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Serotonin Reuptake Inhibitors and Their Role in Chronic Pain Management

Adela Hilda Onuțu, Dan Sebastian Dîrzu and Cristina Petrișor

Abstract

Serotonin has a particular place in the modulation of pain. Experimental studies have described 5-HT1–7 receptors and their effects on facilitation or inhibition of nociceptive input. Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) and serotonin-norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors showed efficient and safer than tricyclic antidepressants in neuropathic pain. Although there is evidence regarding the beneficial impact of SSRIs in the multimodal acute pain management, studies are still searching for the potentially favorable effect of these drugs in the prevention of chronic postoperative pain. The scope of this chapter would be to update the knowledge regarding serotonin involving in pain pathways and to highlight the importance and contribution of serotonin reuptake inhibitors in the multimodal pain management schemes.
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1. Introduction

Chronic pain is recognized today as a disease [1], affects almost 20% of the population [2], and represents a significant cause of disability bringing along high secondary social costs. The management of chronic pain involves pharmacological and interventional tools and become a priority for healthcare systems. This chapter aims to summarize the role of serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SRI) in the treatment of chronic pain. SRI includes selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRI) and serotonin-norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors (SNRI).

2. Chronic pain conditions

Defined as the pain that lasts more than 3 months, frequent after the disappearance of the causal factor, chronic pain shows numerous risk factors (socio-demographic, biological, clinical, and psychological). Thus, most affected are females, older people, and people with low socio-economic level. A significant risk for developing chronic pain is the pain itself (acute and chronic at other sites), and also are incriminated the geographical background, occupational factors, and the history of abuse and violence. Neuroimaging studies have already proved the changes in the...
brain with severe pain, reversible with proper treatment, and also suggested its importance for preventing the chronicization of pain [3]. The treatment of chronic pain is multi/interdisciplinary and multimodal, targeting different mechanisms of pain. We summarized some critical pain syndromes, which benefit from the SRI medication.

2.1 Diabetic neuropathy

Diabetes mellitus affects billions of people worldwide. The painful diabetic neuropathy (PDPN) occurs in 20% of diabetes patients during the disease. Risk factors include age, hypertension, obesity, alcohol abuse, and smoking.

Pathogenesis implies endoneurial microangiopathy and axonal loss, especially in sensory nerves. Aldose reductase activation by increasing polyol flux and the deposition of advanced glycated end-products are the primary determinants of PDPN. Secondary ischemia leads to enhanced oxidative stress and high production of free radicals, which leads to nerve damage [4].

Clinical PDPN may present as burning, stabbing, dull and aching, or sharp pain. In some instances, allodynia (painful response to a normally non-noxious stimulus) might accompany pain. PDPN is symptomatic mainly in the lower limbs and progresses proximally. Patients with PDPN show skin changes and loss of sensory that could lead further to diabetic ulcers.

The medication of painful diabetic neuropathy includes duloxetine, venlafaxine, tricyclic antidepressants (TAD), oxcarbazepine, and tapentadol. Overall, the quality of life in patients with PDPN is poor [5].

2.2 Fibromyalgia

Fibromyalgia (FM) is a syndrome composed of widespread chronic pain, muscle fatigue, and functional symptoms. It shows a genetic predisposition, but environmental factors play a prominent place during the disease. FM pathogenesis involves modified inflammatory response and oxidative stress [6].

Diagnosis is difficult because of the variety of clinical symptoms—75% of these patients do not meet the inclusion criteria, thus often they lack the diagnosis. Besides, these patients develop sleep disturbances and sexual dysfunction, altering further the quality of life.

The current evidence suggest for FM management antidepressants, cardiovascular exercise, and cognitive behavioral therapy [7]. Meta-analysis results agree that the medication approved by FDA—milnacipran—and duloxetine are effective in FM while there are concerns that the results showed only a moderate effect on pain and sleep, and no impact on fatigue [8].

2.3 Tension-type headache

Tension-type headache (TTH) is a typical headache (up to 78%), caused by the contractions of muscles of the scalp, neck, and jaw, and triggered mainly by stress and emotional conflicts. It is described as a moderate pressure applied to the frontal area, around the head or neck, and according to its frequency is classified as infrequent, frequent, and chronic.

Chronic TTH results as a consequence of sensitization of the pain pathway due to persistent pericranial myofascial nociceptive input. This TTH shows a frequency of at least 15 days/month for at least 3 months. If nausea and vomiting are present, exclude the diagnosis of TTH. Photophobia may occur in TTH.
Treatment of the acute episodes of TTH includes nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and acetaminophen, while their prevention associates pharmacologic and non-pharmacologic (physical and psychologic therapy) interventions. Tricyclic antidepressants (amitriptyline) are the most studied drugs in TTH, but new studies showed efficacy for other antidepressants including SRI—citalopram, sertraline, venlafaxine, and paroxetine [9].

2.4 Somatoform pain

Somatoform pain (SP) is the primary symptom in an ambiguous and unclarified category called somatization spectrum disorders (SSD), defined as the displaying of somatic complaints as a result of social stress. It shows a growing incidence (up to 60%) and is a symptom generally unexplained by the medical condition of these patients (which must be ruled out). The symptomatology—headache and musculoskeletal pain—overlaps with other chronic pain syndromes and may be associated with psychiatric symptoms (depression, anxiety, personality disorders) and thus makes the diagnosis difficult. The mechanism of this condition is a subject of debate, but a genetic predisposition plus an altered interpersonal relationship in childhood and adolescence are the determining factors [10].

Treatment is focused on psychotherapy and modulation of interpersonal relations, by learning to develop robust, safe, and supportive social relationships. Besides, acupuncture and massage proved efficacy. Medication includes TADs and SSRIs [11].

3. Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors in chronic pain management

Due to the association between chronic pain states and depression and also due to the continuous need and search for effective analgesic drugs, antidepressants have long been considered for the treatment of chronic pain. Some antidepressants are useful in the management of pain syndromes showing analgesic effects, but not all antidepressants have analgesic properties [12]. TADs are recognized to have analgesic effects in doses lower than the antidepressant ones. However, frequent side effects preclude their widespread use.

Consequently, newer generations of antidepressants, like SSRIs and SNRIs, have been studied in chronic pain management. For SSRIs, efficiency in chronic pain conditions has been debated, and results are still inconclusive. It is felt that antidepressants with both noradrenergic and serotoninergic activities are superior analgesics compared to drugs that possess only serotoninergic activity [13].

Currently available SSRIs are fluoxetine, sertraline, paroxetine, citalopram, and escitalopram. Fluvoxamine is approved for the treatment of obsessive-compulsive disorders but has sometimes been used off-label for the treatment of depression. SSRIs are currently approved and used for the treatment of a wide range of diseases: depression, anxiety and panic disorders, obsessive-compulsive disorders, post-traumatic stress disorder, premenstrual dysphoric syndrome, dysthymia, irritable bowel syndrome, eating disorders, alcohol abuse, and some personality disorders [14].

SSRIs utility for the treatment of chronic pain has been questioned but seems attractive due to their better side-effect profile compared to first-generation antidepressants like TADs, as SSRIs selectively block serotonin reuptake (reabsorption in the synaptic cleft).

How could SSRIs be useful in chronic pain management? Do they possess both antidepressant and intrinsic analgesic properties?
Even though widely prescribed, the mechanism of action of SSRIs is not yet fully understood. The traditional theories claim the fact that antidepressant drugs act by influencing certain brain neurotransmitters [15]. Serotonin (5-HT) is one of the neurotransmitters which carry signals between neurons. The monoamine signaling theory of depression explains how SSRIs and other antidepressants work at the synaptic level by inhibiting the reuptake of one or several neurotransmitters, an effect which is almost immediate and leads to the increase of the extracellular level of the mediator. SSRIs are selective inhibitors of the presynaptic 5-HT reuptake transporter (SERT) that leads to an acute increase in serotonin concentrations in the synaptic cleft. This effect does not explain why antidepressant drugs work 2–4 weeks after treatment commencement, which might be better explained by receptor downregulation and delayed desensitization of presynaptic serotonin receptors [16]. Recent findings also suggest changes in brain-derived neurotrophic factor expression, which might even lead to SSRI antidepressant effect. Another newer theory suggests that SSRIs impact brain levels of allopregnanolone, enhancing gamma-aminobutyric functions in the brain [14]. Apart from monoamine neurotransmitter's imbalance, the inflammatory theory of depression claims the increased serum levels of proinflammatory mediators in the depressed patients [17]. As inflammation is the well-known cause of acute and some type of chronic pain, proinflammatory mediators play the capital role in initiating nociception and peripheral sensitization. In vitro experimental studies and early in vivo studies suggested that SSRIs could inhibit the release of TNF-α, interferon γ, interleukin 1β, and free radical superoxide [16, 18]. Probably one of the most plausible humoral links between chronic pain conditions and depression is inflammation. If SSRIs have intrinsic anti-inflammatory and anti-oxidative properties and could modulate inflammatory processes, then this could be an explanation for their therapeutic effect in chronic pain management. The detailed specificity of action for this mechanism remains unknown [19]. Intrinsic antihyperalgesic effects in animal models have recently been described for SSRIs [20–23].

Possible side effects observed during antidepressant treatment with SSRIs also need to be considered when prescribing SSRIs for chronic pain management. These side effects include [12, 14, 24]:

- Drowsiness, dry mouth, blurred vision, dizziness
- Gastrointestinal effects: nausea, diarrhea or constipation, vomiting
- Central nervous system effects: insomnia, agitation or restlessness, headache, tremors, increased sweating, rarely extrapyramidal symptoms, anorexia
- Syndrome of inappropriate antidiuretic hormone secretion with hyponatremia, somnolence, delirium, confusion
- Sexual dysfunction
- Weight gain
- Platelet dysfunction and increased risk of bleeding
- Drug interactions due to the concomitant hepatic metabolism involving the cytochrome P450
- Safety issues in pregnancy

Serotonin
Serotonin syndrome

Suicide might be a risk occurring early in the treatment, even though larger epidemiological studies do not confirm this assumption [14]. SSRIs discontinuation syndrome is characterized by sensory and gastrointestinal symptoms, dizziness, lethargy, and sleep disturbances [25].

3.1 Individual SSRIs and their efficiency in chronic pain conditions as highlighted in clinical trials

3.1.1 Fluoxetine

Fluoxetine (Prozac™, Sarafem™) has been one of the first SSRIs available for the treatment of depression. Its use for chronic pain management has been highlighted in several clinical trials including modest numbers of patients (Table 1). For chronic tension-type headache, fluoxetine administered in 20 mg daily dose is equally efficient to desipramine [26]. For the treatment of painful diabetic neuropathy, fluoxetine is no more effective than placebo and ameliorates pain in 48% of the patients, especially the depressed ones [27]. For somatoform pain disorders, the analgesic effect is related to treatment duration and is related to its antidepressant effect as depressive patients show greater improvement compared to non-depressed ones [28]. Fluoxetine was found to be efficient for the treatment of fibromyalgia when compared to placebo or amitriptyline [29, 30].

3.1.2 Fluvoxamine

Fluvoxamine (Luvox™) is currently used for the treatment of obsessive-compulsive disorders, and the therapeutic dose varies widely between 50 and 300 mg. Non-depressed patients with severe chronic tension-type headache respond to fluvoxamine 50–100 mg daily [31], and it is efficient in central post-stroke pain, cancer pain, and osteoarthritis [32–34]. However, for chronic cancer pain, its beneficial effect has not yet been proven [35].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Chronic pain condition</th>
<th>Dose used for chronic pain (mg)</th>
<th>No patients</th>
<th>Comparator</th>
<th>Efficiency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Walker et al. [26]</td>
<td>Chronic tension-type headache</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Desipramine</td>
<td>Equally efficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max et al. [27]</td>
<td>Diabetic neuropathy</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>Equally efficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luo et al. [28]</td>
<td>Somatoform pain disorders</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>Efficient for depressed patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goldenberg et al. [29]</td>
<td>Fibromyalgia</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>Amitriptyline</td>
<td>Effective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arnold et al. [30]</td>
<td>Fibromyalgia</td>
<td>45 ± 25</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>Effective</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Randomized controlled trials for fluoxetine in chronic pain management.
3.1.3 Sertraline

Sertraline (Zoloft™) is recommended in single daily doses of 50–200 mg for the treatment of depression. In small sample size studies, it has proven to be efficient in non-cardiac chronic chest pain and chronic pelvic pain of prostatic origin in men [36, 37], but not in women with chronic pelvic pain [38].

3.1.4 Paroxetine

Paroxetine (Paxil™, Seroxat™) is one of the most extensively studied SSRI for chronic pain management (Table 2). For tension-type daily headache, two studies failed to prove any beneficial effect [39, 40]. Foster et al. suggested that by extending treatment periods up to 3–9 months, patients may benefit [41]. For chronic low back pain, doses of 20 mg are less efficient than maprotiline, and the effects are similar to placebo [42, 43]. In fibromyalgia, paroxetine improves overall symptomatology, but the effect on pain is less robust [44]. Paroxetine has been shown to be useful for the treatment of diabetic peripheral neuropathy, but not more efficient than imipramine [45]. In a mixed study comparing paroxetine and citalopram versus gabapentin, the comparable efficiency of these two SSRIs with gabapentin was shown [46].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Chronic pain condition</th>
<th>Dose used for chronic pain (mg)</th>
<th>No of patients</th>
<th>Comparator</th>
<th>Efficiency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Paroxetine 10–50 mg daily for depression [14]</td>
<td>Langemark and Olesen [39] Chronic tension-type headache</td>
<td>20–30</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Sulpiride</td>
<td>Less efficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Holroyd et al. [40] Chronic headache, non-responding to amitriptyline</td>
<td>Up to 40</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>Modest effect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Foster and Bafaloukos [41] Chronic daily headache</td>
<td>10–50</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>Efficient when used for 3–9 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dickens et al. [42] Chronic low back pain</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>Not efficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Atkinson et al. [43] Chronic low back pain</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>Maprotiline</td>
<td>Less efficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Patkar et al. [44] Fibromyalgia</td>
<td>12.5–2.5 mg</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>Inconclusive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sindrup et al. [45] Diabetic peripheral neuropathy</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Placebo and imipramine</td>
<td>Efficient compared to placebo, less efficient compared to imipramine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Giannopoulos et al. [52] Diabetic peripheral neuropathy</td>
<td>20–40</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>Citalopram or paroxetine versus gabapentin</td>
<td>Comparable efficiency</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Randomized controlled trials for paroxetine in chronic pain management.
3.1.5 Citalopram

Citalopram (Celexa™, Cipramil™) is administered in 10–80 mg dose once daily for the treatment of depression (Table 3). It has been investigated for the treatment of fibromyalgia and chronic tension-type headache, with no beneficial results [47–49], while for somatoform pain disorders it has only moderate analgesic effect [50–52].

3.1.6 Escitalopram

Escitalopram (Cipralex™, Lexapro™) has antidepressive effects in 10–20 mg daily dose. For chronic low back pain, citalopram has similar results compared to duloxetine [53]. It has pain-relieving effects in painful diabetic neuropathy and somatoform disorders [54, 55]. For the treatment of pain symptoms associated with depression, escitalopram is equally effective with nortriptyline [56].

4. Serotonin norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors in pain management

SNRIs are first-line antidepressants known to inhibit the reuptake of serotonin and norepinephrine almost exclusively by binding to their transporters (SERT and NET). This category includes drugs with very different chemical structure and includes venlafaxine, desvenlafaxine, duloxetine, milnacipran, and levomilnacipran.

SNRIs show different pharmacokinetics and dynamics and also different affinity to SERT and NET with consequences on their therapeutic actions (Table 4).
Side effects of SNRIs are common to all antidepressants, but these drugs add dry mouth and constipation due to increased levels of noradrenaline. The risk of withdrawal because of side effects, in patients with chronic pain, was highest for milnacipran and followed by venlafaxine and duloxetine [57].

### 4.1 Venlafaxine

Venlafaxine (Effexor™) is an SNRI with mixed action on amine reuptake. When administrated in low doses, it inhibits SERT and at higher doses NAT. It is indicated for major depressive disorder (MDD) and also for anxiety, panic disorders, and social phobia management.

An experimental study showed its antihyperalgesic effect after a single administration in a diabetic neuropathic pain model, a result reversed by pretreatment with yohimbine and chloroamphetamine, but not by naloxone [58].

Long ago, a short case report raised attention to the potential beneficial effect of venlafaxine in chronic pain management [59], and later others confirmed its beneficial effects in managing neuropathic pain: peripheral neuropathy, postherpetic neuropathy, headache, and multiple sclerosis. In a systematic review on neuropathic pain, the authors found four trials (high quality evidence): two with positive results at doses of 150–225 mg venlafaxine ER daily and two with negative results (lower doses). The number needed to treat (NNT) was 6.4 and the number needed to harm (NNH) was 11.8 [60].

In elderly patients with low back pain and depression, 150 mg venlafaxine showed efficacy, but the authors suggested that patients who did not respond to small doses may benefit from dose augmentation after a 2-week period [61].

Venlafaxine may be useful in the treatment of spinal cord injury (SCI) associated with MDD because this medication improved SCI-related disability and pain. Still, further trials are needed to determine optimal doses and efficiency in patients with SCI without MDD [62, 63].

Studies in patients with taxane-oxaliplatin-induced neurotoxicity showed clinical improvement after venlafaxine (37.5 mg bid) [64], and further studies are in progress [65].

Venlafaxine had good results in acute pain; in patients with cancer breast surgery, the preoperative administration of 37.5 mg venlafaxine reduced the postoperative opioid consumption and the incidence of chronic postoperative pain at 6 months [66].

---

**Table 4.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SNRI</th>
<th>Bioavailability (%)</th>
<th>Elimination half life</th>
<th>Elimination SERT affinity</th>
<th>NAT affinity</th>
<th>Active metabolite</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Venlafaxine</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>5 h (IR)</td>
<td>Renal</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11 h (ER)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duloxetine IR</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>12 h</td>
<td>Renal + feces</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milnacipran</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>8 h</td>
<td>Renal (55% unchanged)</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desvenlafaxine</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>11 h (IR)</td>
<td>Renal (45% unchanged)</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>13–14 h (ER)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levomilnacipran</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>12 h</td>
<td>Renal 58% unchanged</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>High</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SERT, serotonin transporter; NAT, noradrenaline transporter; IR, immediate release; ER, extended release.
A former Cochrane meta-analysis reported little evidence to support the recommendation of venlafaxine in neuropathic pain management and noted that venlafaxine promoted fatigue, nausea, dizziness, and somnolence with a low incidence [67]. Eventually, two recent reviews (11 and 13 trials) found that venlafaxine was beneficial in neuropathic pain management with good tolerability claiming the necessity for further research to expand these findings [68, 69]. There are contradictory findings in these recent reviews, but there is need for further good quality evidence.

4.2 Desvenlafaxine

Desvenlafaxine (Pristiq™) is the third SNRI with FDA approval and only indication for MDD management (50–400 mg daily). The daily recommended dose is 50 mg. Desvenlafaxine is the salt of an active metabolite of venlafaxine, and the ER form allows 1 day administration. It presents a good bioavailability (Table 4) and shows a low binding to plasma proteins (30%). Desvenlafaxine binds to SERT 10 times more than to NAT and also has a weak affinity for dopamine transporter. Adverse effects are dose-dependent and typical to all antidepressants. Doses of 200–400 mg showed efficacy in DPN management, with effect sizes similar to duloxetine [70] and with increased side effects at higher doses. At the moment, there is a lack of evidence to support the use of desvenlafaxine in chronic pain management.

4.3 Duloxetine

Duloxetine (Cymbalta™; DLX) is probably the most used drug from this class of antidepressants. Aside from MDD and urinary incontinence, duloxetine is indicated for anxiety disorder, chronic pain in diabetic neuropathy, fibromyalgia, musculoskeletal pain, and osteoarthritis. DLX is a potent SNRI, with a high affinity for both SERT and NAT. It has a moderate bioavailability, with an elimination half-time of 12 h. It is metabolized in the liver and does not possess any active metabolite. Duloxetine exerts antihyperalgesic and alldynic effects, by impairing nociception at a peripheral level (blocks NaV 1.7 current) and by inhibiting neuronal firing [71]. With acute administration, DLX leads to elevated levels of NA and 5-HT, and with chronic treatment, it does not affect further basal levels of these monoamines [72]. Even if the significant pain-relieving effect was found after 7 weeks of treatment [73], others showed that patients treated with DLX for OA knee pain or low lumbar pain who have <10% reduction in pain after 4 weeks treatment have low chance to reach moderate pain reduction by the end of 12 weeks [74]. DLX’s recommended dose for the first week is 30 mg, raising the dose to 60 mg in the second week in order to avoid a high incidence of side effects. Because of interfering with platelet function, it is indicated to stop its administration 4 days before surgery.

Data from animal pain models and clinical studies on DLX administration in perioperative setting (spine, knee, breast surgery) suggested its analgesic effects. Pre- and postoperative duloxetine reduced 24-h opioid consumption, delayed first analgesic requirement, and reduced incidence of chronic postoperative pain at 6 months, being of primary interest for patients with preoperative chronic pain and spine surgery [75–77]; results from ongoing studies will respond to questions remained unanswered. Duloxetine shows good tolerability with dizziness and nausea, dry mouth, and constipation, as more frequent side effects [78].
While duloxetine proved its efficacy in chronic nociceptive/neuropathic pain [79–83] (Table 5), it is yet unrevealed its possible impact on acute postoperative pain and chronic postoperative pain.

### 4.4 Milnacipran

Milnacipran (Savella™) described in 1998 by Briley as a potent SNRI that showed similar inhibition on both monoamine re-uptakes, in vitro and in vivo, was approved in Europe for the treatment of depression. It did not link to alpha adrenoreceptors, muscarinic cholinergic, and histaminic receptors and showed no effect on beta-adrenergic receptors sensitivity, thus having reduced side effects. The drug has an excellent bioavailability with a mean peak plasma concentration reached between 0.5 and 4 h after the oral administration. About 13% binds to plasma proteins and is wholly eliminated after 36 h [84]. Studies on the efficacy of milnacipran in psychiatric patients revealed its significant superiority when compared to SSRIs. Most frequent adverse effects were nausea, dry mouth, and headaches [85]. Milnacipran has FDA approval for the management of fibromyalgia.

In 2006, Obata et al. found that intrathecal administration of milnacipran reduced allodynia in a rat neuropathic pain model [86].

Other experimental data confirmed these findings regarding milnacipran’s antiallodynic and antihyperalgesic effects [87] and showed its effectiveness in treating allodynia in vincristine-induced neuropathic pain [88].

In a Cochrane meta-analysis, Cording et al. analyzed six studies (4238 patients) that compared milnacipran 100/200 mg with placebo in fibromyalgia. By using a “conservative” method of analysis, they found 26% positive response with milnacipran as compared to 19% for placebo and an increased rate of side effects [89].

Despite the evidence that milnacipran (100 or 200 mg) was found to be useful in neuropathic pain, as compared with placebo, Derry et al.’s meta-analysis did not

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Pathology</th>
<th>No trials</th>
<th>Number of patients</th>
<th>Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lunn et al.</td>
<td>Diabetes, fibromyalgia</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>6407</td>
<td>In both category showed efficacy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[79] 60 mg</td>
<td>8-DPN; 6-FM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>DPN-NNT 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FM-NNT 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quilici et al.</td>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>679</td>
<td>Effective in DPN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[80]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NNT = 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Good toleration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Superior to placebo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Discontinuation due to AE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang et al.</td>
<td>Knee osteoarthritis</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1011</td>
<td>Significant pain reduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[81] 60/120 mg (QD)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Improved function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Reported “acceptable” AE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee and Song</td>
<td>Fibromyalgia</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5140</td>
<td>Results showed equal efficacy and tolerability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[82]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hauser et al.</td>
<td>Fibromyalgia</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6038</td>
<td>Small benefit over placebo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[83]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

QD, quaque die; DLX, duloxetine; MLC, milnacipran; DPN, diabetes polyneuropathy; NNT, number needed to treat; FM, fibromyalgia; AE, adverse effect.

Table 5. Meta-analysis for duloxetine in chronic pain management.
obtain enough data to confirm former data and support its recommendation in chronic neuropathic pain [90]. Future trials are needed to establish milnacipran’s possible favorable effects in pain management.

4.5 Levomilnacipran

Levomilnacipran (Fetzima™) is the enantiomer of milnacipran with the highest activity, and its primary indication is MDD. At usual doses, this drug is known to possess a higher potency for norepinephrine (twofold) reuptake inhibition, as compared with 5-HT [91]; but with higher doses, it showed equal efficacy in increasing 5-HT and NE levels [92].

Regarding tolerability, the most frequently recorded adverse effects were nausea, constipation, and sweating, although a small proportion (3–6%) of patients recorded increased blood pressure and heart rate [93]. We have not found any data regarding its use in chronic pain patients.

5. Double function serotonin reuptake inhibitors

A particular category of drugs includes SRIs with double mechanism: 5-HT reuptake inhibition and interaction with 5-HT receptors. Animal studies have suggested that these receptors are included in the descending pain inhibitory systems [94, 95], and their activation is involved in reducing the acute nociceptive and neuropathic pain [96].

5.1 Trazodone

Trazodone (Desyrel™, Oleptro™) is the first non-tricyclic antidepressant approved for the treatment of MDD (1981), and it is also used to treat anxiety, alcohol dependence, insomnia, and chronic pain (off-label). It was developed for the treatment of “mental pain,” which was recognized to occur in depression [97]. It acts as a SRI, antagonist of 5-HT\textsubscript{A2} receptor, and a partial agonist for 5-HT\textsubscript{A1} receptors. Secondary acts as an antagonist to \(\alpha\)-adrenergic receptors and lacks any effect on cholinergic receptors. The drug shows a 65% oral bioavailability, 90% plasma protein binding capacity, and is metabolized in the liver (via CYP3A4) to an active metabolite—mCPP. The main excretion route is renal, and the biological half-time is 7 h. Side effects are not only shared with the other antidepressants but also list dry mouth, orthostatic hypotension, cardiac arrhythmias, and priapism.

Trazodone showed some efficacy in several chronic pain conditions represented in Table 6, but future studies are needed.

5.2 Nefazodone

Nefazodone (Serzone™) is related to trazodone but with fewer side effects. Doses of 300–600 mg are indicated for the treatment of MDD, panic disorders, and aggressive behavior. It acts as an antagonist of 5-HT\textsubscript{A2} and 5-HT\textsubscript{C2} receptors and serotonin, norepinephrine, and dopamine reuptake inhibitor. Its effects on the mentioned receptors enhance neurotransmission by an increased binding on the 5-HT\textsubscript{A1} receptors. Nefazodone shows an affinity for \(\alpha_1\) and less for \(\beta\)-adrenergic receptors and does not interact with muscarinic cholinergic receptors. It has low bioavailability; it is metabolized in the liver (CYP3A4) and has four metabolites (mCPP active). Nefazodone has a biological half-time between 2 and 4 h and is excreted in urine. Frequent side effects are dry mouth, dizziness, and sleepiness, and rare, severe liver damage [98].
Murine studies yielded the capacity of nefazodone to potentiate opioid analgesia by acting through \( \mu_1 \) and \( \mu_2 \) receptors without affecting mortality [99]. Other results indicated that rats treated with nefazodone have shown an increased expression of \( \mu \)-opioid receptors in the area of the central nervous system related to pain perception and modulation [100].

Even if it shows an excellent clinical profile, at this time we found only a two-center open-label study on the efficacy of nefazodone on preventing chronic daily headache. The study included 52 patients who received nefazodone between 100 and 450 mg (300 mg median) for 12 weeks. The results showed significantly lower incidence and intensity of daily headache and a good tolerance for nefazodone [101].

### 5.3 Vilazodone

Vilazodone (Viibryd™) approved by FDA (2011) for the treatment of MDD is a partial agonist to the 5-HT\(_{A1}\) receptor, GABA agonist, and SRI. Currently is presumed that it increases serotoninergic neurotransmission and it shows fast onset and good effect at daily doses between 10 and 40 mg. Vilazodone has 72% bioavailability when it is taken with food, is metabolized in the liver (via CYP3A4), and it did not possess active metabolites. It is excreted in urine and feces and has a biological half-time of 25 h [102]. Side effects include nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, and insomnia (>5%). Sexual adverse reactions and low influence on weight-gain were reported [103]. Even if it presumed that vilazodone should add value in the treatment of patients with the depression-pain syndrome, there are not yet available data on its efficacy in pain states.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Chronic pain condition</th>
<th>Dose</th>
<th>Number of patients</th>
<th>Comparator</th>
<th>Efficiency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wilson [103]</td>
<td>Diabetic neuropathy</td>
<td>50–100 mg</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Effective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventafridda et al. [104]</td>
<td>Deafferentation pain</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>Amitriptyline</td>
<td>Equal efficacy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goodkin et al. [105]</td>
<td>Chronic low back pain</td>
<td>201 mg (average)</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>Similar effect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morillas-Arques et al. [106]</td>
<td>Fibromyalgia</td>
<td>50–300 mg</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Effective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calandre et al. [107]</td>
<td>Fibromyalgia</td>
<td>50–300 mg + 75–450 mg pregabalin</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Pregabalin enhanced the favorable effects of trazodone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Davidoff et al. [108]</td>
<td>Dysesthetic pain following spinal cord injury</td>
<td>150 mg</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>placebo</td>
<td>Similar effect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Battistella et al. [109]</td>
<td>Migraine (pediatric 7–18 years)</td>
<td>1 mg/kg</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>placebo</td>
<td>Effective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frank et al. [110]</td>
<td>Rheumatoid arthritis</td>
<td>1.5 mg/kg</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>No effect</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6. Trials for trazodone in chronic pain management.
6. Conclusions

SSRIs seem to be effective in most chronic pain conditions, and they are well tolerated [41]. The efficacy of SSRIs might be comparable to TADs and SNRIs, but their tolerability and safety are superior [30]. For some chronic pain conditions, valuable, while for others their utility is limited:

- For migraine, SSRIs are not better than placebo for reducing the number of attacks, and results of studies on migraine are conflicting [24, 111].
- Patients with chronic tension-type headache seem to benefit from SSRIs [24].
- There are conflicting results regarding the use of SSRIs for pelvic pain.
- Non-cardiac chest pain might benefit from SSRIs.
- Low back pain does not seem to respond well to SSRIs.
- The effects of SSRIs on fibromyalgia are uncertain [112].
- Diabetic neuropathy looks to improve from SSRIs treatment.
- Post stroke central pain might improve with fluvoxamine.
- Evidences support that antidepressants are useful for the treatment of irritable bowel syndrome [113].
- There is no evidence from randomized controlled trials to recommend antidepressants to treat chronic non-cancer pain in children and adolescents [114] or adults.

Even though several clinical trials were published, the results remain inconclusive. That happens because the sample sizes are quite modest rendering the studies slightly underpowered. Primary outcomes are variable: self-reported pain scores, effect on pain symptoms observed by the physician, complex pain questionnaires, and effects on quality of life and functionality. Current drug classes available for chronic pain treatment include anti-inflammatory drugs, opioids, gabapentinoids along with interventional or surgical management, and physical activity. Heterogeneity of the chronic pain syndromes, many currently available drugs and treatment modalities, and drug-drug and drug-interventional management associations should be considered when designing future larger scale trials.

In conclusion, compared to all other antidepressants in the management of chronic pain, for SSRIs, the data are still inconclusive, and studies are fewer in number. For depression, SSRIs are considered first-line agents due to a favorable side-effect profile and good tolerability. However, they have not yet entered first-line use for neuropathic pain conditions [12]. Probably, it would be advisable to restrict their use for those patients failing to respond to other medications or who do not tolerate side effects.

From SNRIs category, in particular duloxetine is already a first-line treatment for DPN and other chronic pain syndromes (fibromyalgia, musculoskeletal pain, and osteoarthritis), showing good results and an acceptable safety profile. It also showed favorable effects on chronic postoperative pain and life quality with the perioperative administration in surgery with a high incidence of chronic pain.
(spine, breast). Venlafaxine is a drug of choice for the treatment of fibromyalgia. Milnacipran proved antiallodynic and antihyperalgesic effects and might show further positive results in chronic pain management; well-designed trials are still required.

SRIs seem to play their role through the spinal modulation pain pathways being less involved in reducing nociception, and that is probably why their effects are more evident in patients with chronic pain states.
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