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Abstract

Total hip arthroplasty is one of the most successful operations to be done and is definitely a rewarding procedure for both the surgeon and the patient. Ever since 3 days of low friction arthroplasty by Sir John Charnley, there has been considerable interest in improvement in the knowledge of surgical techniques and hip biomechanics. Over the past two decades there has been an exponential increase in total hip replacements. Therefore strategies to simplify the procedure and classifications to encounter difficulties in treatment plans were devised. It is imperative for consultants and trainees to be aware of these classifications systems which are helpful in pre op, intra op and postop planning.
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1. Paprosky classification of acetabular deficiencies for revision hip arthroplasty

1.1. Introduction

Wayne Paprosky (Illinois, USA) proposed this classification in 1994 based on his experience with revision of 134 acetabular cups [1] (Figure 1).

1.2. Classification

Type I: Defect with undistorted rim.

Type II: Defect with distorted rim but adequate to support a hemispherical cup.

• IIA: Superior and medial with intact superior rim.
• **IIB:** Superior with less than one-third superior rim deficient.
• **IIC:** Medial wall defect.

**Type III:** Defect with non-supportive rim.
• **III A:** Superior and lateral with 40 to 60% of the host bone intact and partial inherent mechanical stability.
• **III B:** Superior and medial with host bone less than 40% and possibility of occult discontinuity.

### 1.3. Clinical applications

Bone grafting techniques depend on the type of acetabular bone defect. Superior dome defect will need structural distal femoral allografts or trabecular metal wedges. Medial wall and ischial defect will need particulate bone grafts. Pelvic discontinuity needs ORIF versus triflanged custom cage. Unsupportive bone stock will need cup and cage construct.

### 1.4. Reliability

Gozzard et al. had performed a study to assess the reliability and validity of classification systems used for defects in acetabulum during revision arthroplasty [2]. It was found that there was poor to good intra observer agreement with the consultants (0.24) and moderate to good intra observer agreement with the registrars (0.36). Interobserver agreement was noted to
be moderate with consultant and registrars scoring 0.56 and 0.27, respectively. Validity was
deemed to be good ($\kappa = 0.65$). Overall, the authors found the system to be unreliable.

2. Saleh classification of acetabular deficiencies for revision hip arthroplasty

2.1. Introduction

This system of classification was proposed by Saleh et al. in 1999. The study had included 21
expert arthroplasty surgeons and was proposed based on estimation of anticipated bone stock
following implant removal [3] (Figure 2).

2.2. Classification

Type I No significant bone loss.

Type II Contained loss of bone stock where there is cavitary enlargement of the acetabular
cavity but no wall deficiency.

Type III Uncontained loss of bone stock where there is <50% segmental loss of the acetabulum
involving anterior or posterior column.

Type IV Uncontained loss of bone stock where there is >50% segmental loss of the acetabulum
affecting both anterior or posterior columns (if there is >50% loss of the acetabulum, involving

Figure 2. Saleh classification. (A) Type uncontained cavitary loss of bone stock. (B) Type III, uncontained (segmental) loss
of bone stock involving <50% of acetabulum. (C) Type IV, uncontained (segmental) loss of bone stock involving >50% of
the acetabulum.
mostly the medial wall but the columns are intact, then this type of defect is considered type II because of the availability of the columns for reconstruction).

**Type V** Acetabular defect with uncontained loss of bone stock in association with pelvic discontinuity.

### 2.3. Reliability

Gozzard et al. had observed an Inter-observer reliability testing revealed kappa values of 0.89 for the acetabulum. Average validation value was kappa = 0.86 for the acetabulum [2]. To put things into perspective: clinical epidemiologists consider correlation values of 0.6–0.8 to be "substantial" and between 0.8 and 1.0 to be "perfect association".

### 3. Hodgkinson classification of radiographic demarcation of the socket following total hip arthroplasty

#### 3.1. Introduction

This classification was proposed by Hodgkinson et al. from Wrightington, UK in 1988. He reviewed 200 patients undergoing revision arthroplasty and found out strong correlation between the extent of radiographic demarcation at bone-cement interface and intraoperative loosening of cemented acetabular components [4].

#### 3.2. Classification

- **Type 0**: No demarcation.
- **Type 1**: Demarcation of outer one-third.
- **Type 2**: Demarcation of outer and middle thirds.
- **Type 3**: Complete demarcation.
- **Type 4**: Socket migration.

#### 3.3. Clinical significance

This classification helps surgeon help decide between partial or complete revision preoperatively.

### 4. Paprosky classification of femoral bone deficiencies

#### 4.1. Introduction

This classification was described by Wayne Paprosky et al. from Illinois, USA. He emphasized that his classification will help the surgeon determine the most appropriate option for
reconstruction and thereby assists with ensuring that the appropriate implants and instru-
ments are available at the time of surgery [5]. Gozzard et al. found moderate agreement
between the preoperative and intraoperative validity; but the reliability of the classification
was found to be fair (Figure 3).

4.2. Classification

Type 1: Minimal metaphyseal and diaphyseal bone loss.
Type 2A: Absent calcar extend just below the inter-trochanteric region.
Type 2B: Anterolateral metaphyseal bone loss wit absent calcar.
Type 2C: Posteromedial metaphyseal bone loss.
Type 3A: 2A plus diaphyseal bone loss but at least 4 cm of diaphyseal support possible.
Type 3B: 2B plus diaphyseal bone loss with less than 4 cm of diaphyseal support available.
Type 3C: 2C plus complete diaphyseal bone loss.

4.3. Clinical applications

Type 1: Cemented or proximally porous coated cementless implant can be used.
Type 2A, 2B, 2C: Extensively porous coated cementless stem is preferred. Cemented stem
should be avoided because of loss of metaphyseal endosteal bone.
Type 3A: Extensively porous coated stems or modular distal fitting tapered stems can be used.
Type 3B: Modular tapered cementless stems are used if adequate bone stock.
Impaction bone grafting is also an option.
Type 4: Impaction bone grafting with tapered cemented stem if intact cortex. Composite prosthesis allograft if no proximal cortex. Long cemented stem is an option in elderly.

5. AAOS classification of femoral bone deficiencies for revision hip arthroplasty

5.1. Introduction

This classification was first proposed by D’Antonio et al. (Pennsylvania, USA) in 1989 and later adopted by American Academy of Orthopedic Surgeons (AAOS) [6] (Figure 4).

5.2. Classification

Type I: Segmental deficiencies.
   1a: Proximal either partial or complete.
   1b: Intercalary.
   1c: Greater trochanteric.

Type II: Cavitary deficiencies (cancellous, cortical or ectasia).

Type III: Combined.

Type IV: Rotational or angular malalignment.

Type V: Femoral stenosis.

Type VI: Femoral discontinuity.

Figure 4. AAOS classification.
5.3. Clinical applications

This classification is very useful in describing the bone defect accurately but has less role in guiding the surgeon determine the reconstructive option.

5.4. Reliability

Gozzard et al. in their study observed the inter observer agreement among consultants and registrars. They noted a fair agreement (k value of 0.28) among consultants and a poor agreement (k value of –1.0) among the registrars.

6. Saleh classification of femoral bone deficiencies

6.1. Introduction

This system of classification was proposed by Saleh et al. in 1999. The study had included 21 expert arthroplasty surgeons and was proposed based on estimation of anticipated bone stock following implant removal [3].

6.2. Classification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Defect</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>No significant loss of bone stock.</td>
<td>Conventional cemented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Uncemented components</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>Contained loss of bone stock, cortical sleeve intact</td>
<td>Proximal fixation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Impaction grafting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Porous coated implant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Modular implant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Non-circumferential loss of bone stock uncontained</td>
<td>Cortical strut allograft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Proximal circumferential loss of bone stock less than 5 cm in length</td>
<td>Calcar replacing prosthesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>Circumferential loss of bone stock more than 5 cm in length (distal to</td>
<td>Custom implant, proximal femoral allograft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>lesser trochanter)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>Periprosthetic fracture with proximal circumferential loss of bone</td>
<td>Restoration of bone stock plus long stem femoral component custom implant proximal femoral allograft.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>stock.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.3. Reliability

In the study by Saleh et al., they noted an inter observer reliability of k value 0.88 and average validity, k value of 0.88; indicating perfect association. The classification also provides probable treatment options for each type.
7. Dossick and Dorr classification of proximal femoral geometry

7.1. Introduction

Based on the calcar-to-canal ratio which is defined as the diameter of the femur at the midportion of the lesser trochanter divided by the diameter at a point 10 cm distal [7].

7.2. Classification

Type A: Calcar-to-canal ratio < 0.5.
No thinning of cortices on AP or lateral radiographs.

Type B: Calcar-to-canal ratio 0.5–0.75.
Thinning of the posterior cortex on the lateral view.

Type C: Calcar-to-canal ratio > 0.75.
Thinning of cortices on both views (Stovepipe femur) (Figure 5).

7.3. Clinical significance

Type A suitable for cementless femoral stem, type C requires use of cemented stem and type B is intermediate.

Figure 5. Dossick and Dorr classification.
8. Vancouver classification of intraoperative periprosthetic femur fractures around total hip arthroplasty

8.1. Classifications

Type A: Proximal metaphyseal.
   A1: Cortical perforation.
   A2: Undisplaced linear crack.
   A3: Displaced or unstable fractures.

Type B: Proximal diaphyseal.
   B1: Cortical perforation.
   B3: Displaced or unstable fractures.

Type C: Distal diaphyseal fractures.
   C1: Cortical perforation.
   C2: Undisplaced linear crack.
   C3: Displaced or unstable fracture [8].

8.2. Clinical applications

Type A1: Bone graft alone.

Type A2: Circelage wire if using proximally porous coated stem and can be ignored if using fully porous coated stem and there is no distal extension into diaphysis.

Type A3: Needs fixation.

Type B1: Bypassing stem ± cortical allograft fixation.

Type B2: Circelage wire ± cortical allograft fixation.

Type B3: Long stem with cortical allograft fixation.

Type C1: Morselized bone graft ± bypass stem and cortical allograft.

Type C2: Circelage wire ± bypass stem and cortical allograft.

Type C3: ORIF (Figure 6).
9. Vancouver classification of postoperative periprosthetic femur fractures around total hip arthroplasty

9.1. Classification

**Type A:** Peritrochanteric.

**AG:** Greater trochanter.

**AL:** Lesser trochanter.

**Type B:** Around or just distal to the tip of the stem.

**B1:** Well-fixed femoral component.

**B2:** Loose femoral component.

**B3:** Loose femoral component and poor bone stock.

**Type C:** Well distal to the stem.

Figure 6. Intraoperative Vancouver classification.
9.2. Clinical application

This classification guides the surgeon with treatment decision.

AG and AL: Usually stable and can be treated non-operatively.

B1: ORIF if displaced.

B2: Revision to long stem.

B3: Revision with struct grafting.

C: ORIF.

9.3. Reliability

A European validation for this classification was performed by Rayan et al. The study had included consultants, trainees and medical students. It was noted to have an inter observer reliability of substantial agreement among consultants (k value of 0.72–0.74) orthopedic trainees (k value of 0.68–0.70) and medical students (k value of 0.61). The validity within B type fractures revealed an agreement of 77% with a k value of 0.67 [9, 10] (Figure 7).

![Figure 7. Postoperative Vancouver classification.](http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.77231)
10. Tsukayama classification of infected hip joint prosthesis

10.1. Introduction

Tsukayama et al. proposed the classification based on the study of 97 patients with infected hip joint prosthesis [11].

10.2. Classification

Positive intraoperative cultures: Two out of five intraoperative specimens positive on culture in a patient undergoing revision hip arthroplasty with no clinical evidence of infection at the time of revision.

Early postoperative infection: Wound infection developed less than 1 month after the operation.

Late chronic infection: Wound infection developed 1 month or more after the index operation and with insidious course.

Acute hematogenous infection: Associated with a documented or suspected antecedent bacteremia and characterized by an acute onset of symptoms in the affected joint with the prosthesis.

10.3. Clinical implication (treatment guidelines)

Positive intraoperative cultures: Intravenous administration of antibiotics for 6 weeks without operative intervention.

Early postoperative infection: Debridement, replacement of the polyethylene inserts of the acetabular component, retention of the prosthesis, and intravenous administration of antibiotics for 4 weeks.

Late chronic infection: Debridement; removal of all prosthetic components and bone cement; and placement of antibiotic beads. Intravenous antibiotics for 6 weeks. Revision arthroplasty 2 weeks after cessation of antibiotic therapy.

Acute hematogenous infection: Debridement, replacement of the polyethylene insert, retention of the prosthesis if it was not loose, and intravenous administration of antibiotics for 6 weeks [11].

11. Brooker’s classification

11.1. Introduction

This system was proposed by Brooker et al. from John Hopkins Hospital in 1973 on a series of 100 consecutive patients undergoing Total hip arthroplasty. Since then it has been in widespread use and has stood the test of time [12].
11.2. Classification

Class I: Isolated islands of bone.

Class II: Gap between bones at least 1 cm.

Class III: Gap between bones less than 1 cm.

Class IV: Apparent ankylosis.

11.3. Clinical application

This classification is useful in the follow up of the high-risk patients and in patients with post HO resection.

11.4. Reliability

Vasileiadis et al. from mayo clinic in their study noted a moderate to substantial agreement (k value 0.49–0.71) in the inter observer reliability. Grade IV had best inter observer reliability [13, 14] (Figure 8).

Figure 8. Brooker classification.
12. Barrack grading of cementing

12.1. Introduction

This classification was proposed by Barrack et al. based on review of 50 second generation cemented femoral stems [15].

12.2. Classification

**Grade A:** White-out with complete filling.

**Grade B:** Slight defects at the cement-bone interface.

**Grade C:** Defective cement mantle or radiolucency involving 50 to 99\% of the cement-bone interface.

**Grade D:** 100\% lucency or failure to cover the tip of the stem.

12.3. Clinical applications

This classification helps in predicting the survivability of the implant based on the grade of cementing.
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