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Abstract

Healing of the bone fracture is a biological process that is based on various cell lineages recruited, activated and regulated by molecular mediators, namely chemokines, growth factors, and cytokines, cooperating in a cascade of events aimed to fill the fracture gap with callus. Remodeling of the callus rebuilds the microarchitecture to the mature bone—cancellous or compact, depending on the type of the bone that was primarily at the fracture gap. Restitution of the bone continuity requires activation of mesenchymal stem cells that transform into osteoblasts and mature into osteocytes. It is activated and regulated by molecules released from blood platelets from posttraumatic hematoma, traumatized tissues, nerve endings, and inflowing inflammatory cells. The significance of the inflammatory cells in this process is inappreciable, as they eradicate pathogens, remove wound debris, and supply the fracture gap with molecules regulating forthcoming cellular events. They also provide immune regulation of the healing. To proceed uneventfully, healing requires an adequate bone contact and biomechanical environment, proper oxygenation, and nutrition. Unfortunately, up to 15% of bone fractures show some kinds of disturbances that may result in cessation of reparative processes leading to non-union. Factors, responsible for that, are brought to date based on current literature and clinical observations.

Keywords: fracture repair, bone fracture, non-union, mechanical, infection, iatrogenic, mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs), immune control, pharmaceuticals, nutrition

1. Background

Healing of the bone fracture is a biological process that restores its continuity, mechanical properties, and structure. It bases on various cell lineages recruited, activated and regulated by molecular mediators, namely chemokines, growth factors, and cytokines, cooperating in a cascade of events aimed to fill the fracture gap with callus, which later on is remodeled into
mature bone. Thus, this process is, in fact, a regeneration, not healing, as its goal is to restore not only the bone’s continuity but also its structure.

Clinically, healing manifests with remission of pain corresponding with gradually increasing stiffness enabling transduction of mechanical loads. Radiographically—with formation and remodeling of the callus in-between its gap. Monitoring of this process indicates the advance of the reparative processes.

2. Cellular aspects of fracture healing

From the histological point of view, restitution of the bone continuity proceeds due to accumulation and activation of mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) that, transforming into osteoblasts and maturating into osteocytes, synthesize and release proteins forming the extracellular matrix (ECM).

In the vast majority of cases, MSCs that settle hematoma differentiate into chondrocytes. But revascularization, due to the ingrowth of blood vessels from the vasculature of the adjacent tissues, improves local oxygenation enabling the transformation of newly inflowing progenitors into osteoblasts that, maturating into osteocytes, initiate ossification forming bone cuff around the fracture gap. Starting from its periphery, it moves toward the center replacing the soft callus with woven bone [1, 2]. Later on, it’s remodeling rebuilds the microarchitecture to the mature bone-cancellous or compact, depending on the type of the bone that was primarily at the fracture gap.

The described above process, the endochondral ossification, proceeds in ca. 97–98% of all fractures, whereas remaining 2–3% heal due to the direct osteonal growth in the process called primary bone healing basing on the intramembranous ossification [3]. The latter one is possible, when the volume of the fracture’s gap is minimal, thus in non-displaced or impacted fractures only. In those cases, the short distance between bone fragments enables osteonal remodeling toward the fracture gap restoring its vascularization and mineralizing it.

MSCs reside several tissues, including bone marrow, endosteum, and periosteum. They are abundantly represented in adipose tissue surrounding the extremity with subcutaneous fat and form a subpopulation of its leukocytes in peripheral blood as well [4]. Thus, extravasated into posttraumatic hematoma and recruited from adjacent tissues they form a population of precursors for reparative processes.

Their accumulation proceeds due to chemotactic stimulation. Stromal-derived factor-1 (SDF-1; also known as CXC-motif chemokine 12: CXCL12) is one of the most potent attractants of MSCs. Widely distributed in bone marrow, it splits in-between neighboring tissues, when bone continuity is broken, recruiting progenitors that accumulate at the sites of its highest concentration, the fracture gap. Inflowing cells multiply under the mitogenic stimulation of platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF) [5].

MSCs are precursors of various cells of mesenchymal origin, including chondrocytes, fibroblasts, adipocytes, neurons, and myocytes. The direction of their differentiation depends upon molecular regulation and local physicochemical conditions. When stimulated improperly or
under unfavorable conditions, they may differentiate into, unwanted from the point of view of the fracture healing, cellular population forming cartilaginous or fibrous pseudoarthrosis. Hypoxia, hypercapnia, and acidosis that characterize deprived of vasculature posttraumatic hematoma, promote their differentiation into chondrocytes, whereas higher oxygen tension and reduced acidosis-into osteoblasts [6].

3. Molecular stimulators of fracture repair

Four sources of molecular stimulators and regulators of bone healing could be distinguished:

1. Extravagated blood forming the posttraumatic hematoma
2. Traumatized bone and tissues neighboring it
3. Nerve endings at the adjacent tissues
4. Inflowing inflammatory cells

Platelets are the abundant source of molecular substances of blood origin. Released from granules into a posttraumatic hematoma, those substances activate, together with mediators released from nerve endings, and cellular events proceeding in the fracture gap.

Platelets participate in various reparative processes, being involved in the restoration of traumatized mucous and epithelia, healing various soft tissues (i.e. muscle) and the bone, and restoration of the vascularity in the process of angiogenesis. An influence of other hematoma products, including fibrin clot and activated clotting factors, hemoglobin, complement cascade and subcellular structures such as subcellular fragments of blood cells increases, giving an insight into a complex role of several hematoma compounds in the healing [7].

Traumatized tissues provide molecular stimuli that are released in response to injury. Damage-associated molecular pattern molecules (DAMPs) are the most potent activators of the sterile, traumatic inflammation (“first hit”), whereas the later one (“second hit”) mostly dependents on molecules provided by the inflowing immune cells. Those molecules activate immune system directly through toll-like receptors (TLRs) [8]. So far, several DAMPs have been distinguished, including heat-shock proteins (HSPs), high-mobility group box 1 (HMGB-1), monosodium urate, heparan sulfate, adenosine triphosphate (ATP), polysaccharides, proteoglycan, phospholipids, and deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA). Similar capabilities possess hyaluronian fragments released from disintegrated ECM [9, 10].

Nerve endings provide neuromediators that participate in fracture repair, including calcitonin gene-related peptide and neuropeptide-Y [11]. Released in response to mechanical (injury) and physicochemical (hypoxia, acidosis) stimuli, they participate in the molecular regulation of cellular events during the reparative phase and callus mineralization [12]. However, they were also found to control remodeling [13].

The later abundant source of molecular stimulators are leukocytes originating from the blood-forming hematoma and inflowing from the peripheral circulation. Granulocytes are the first
cellular population that actively populates the fracture gap. Those cells infiltrate the wound as early as at the sixth hour after injury providing its innate immune protection against patho-
gens, but also participating in reparative processes [14]. Being followed by lymphocytes and
monocytes/macrophages they form an inflammatory phase of the healing cascade.

The significance of the inflammatory cells for the reparative processes is inappreciable, as
eye eradicate pathogens, remove wound debris and, partially, foreign bodies, but also sup-
ply the fracture gap with molecules regulating forthcoming cellular events. They also provide
immune regulation of the healing, as the response of the lymph node draining the fracture
gap was shown to reflect its cellular and molecular processes [35]. This mechanism seems to
depend on regulatory B and T lymphocytes (B_{regs} and T_{regs}), as they were shown to participate
in fracture healing. B_{regs} were presented to suppress the inflammatory phase secreting anti-
inflammatory cytokines IL-10 (interleukin-10) and TGF-β (transforming growth factor-β),
and enhancing maturation of T_{regs} [15]. At the early phase of the reparative processes, they

---

Figure 1. Schematic representation of recruitment, proliferation, multiplication, and differentiation of MSCs into osteoblasts or chondroblasts.
probably prevent from auto aggression against infiltrating progenitors, thus enabling them to proliferate and differentiate into bone forming cells. Depletion of B_{reg} cells, analogically to splenectomy, results in the delay of the fracture healing [16, 17]. Moreover, T cells were shown to promote maturation of the osteoblasts [18].

Immune cells are an ample source of several molecular substances, including cytokines (i.e. IL-6 and IL-8) and growth factors (PDGF, fibroblast growth factor; FGF, TGF-β, and bone morphogenetic proteins—BMPs) [19]. Together with molecules released from nerve endings and bone marrow, they regulate cellular events stimulating proliferation and differentiation of MSCs.

The most effective MSCs stimulators are the granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (G-CSF) and granulocyte-macrophage-colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF), cytokines (IL-1, IL-3, IL-7, IL-8, and IL-12), stem cell factor (SCF), Flt3 (fms like tyrosine kinase 3) ligand, macrophage inflammatory protein-1 (MIP-1) and the chemokines GROβ (growth-regulated oncogene β; also known as CXC-2) and SDF-1 [20, 21]. Each of them evokes the unique effect promoting migration, division, activating synthesis, and release of molecules forming an appropriate environment or fulfilling the specialized biological function. They enable migration, multiplication, and differentiation of progenitors into desired cell lineage. The most potent stimulators of MSCs differentiation into, according to the local physicochemical environment, chondrocytes, or osteoblasts are TGF-β, several BMPs (2, 4, 6, 7, 13, and 14), IGF-1 (insulin-like growth factor), and FGF [22, 23]. The final outcome in the form of fracture healing results from the convergent actions of numerous factors influencing the target cells in an appropriate time sequence and place (Figure 1).

4. Extracellular matrix mineralization

Mineralization of the ECM restores mechanical properties of the gap bringing back its ability to carry body weight. Briefly, it consists in the deposition of calcium and phosphate precipitates, hydroxyapatite, around the mesh of ECM proteins, namely collagens [24]. The process takes place in matrix vesicles; subcellular structures of approx. 20–200 nm in diameter that contains a number of compounds, including annexins (annexin V), alkaline phosphatase, calbindin-D9k, pyrophosphatases, carbonic anhydrase, AMP-ases, bone sialoprotein-1 (BSP-1), osteonectin, osteocalcin, and several growth factors [25]. Matrix vesicles concentrate inorganic substrates for mineralization due to annexin-formed calcium channels and Na/Pi phosphate transporters (NPT3/Pit1; natrium-phosphate transporter 3/POU domain class 1 transcription factor 1). High concentration of those ions results in their spontaneous precipitation to amorphous octa-Ca/Pi crystals that later on, when released from the vesicles, are converted by osteonectin, osteocalcin, and bone sialoprotein-1 (BSP-1) into hydroxyapatite. Hydroxyapatite crystals are deposited into the ECM at the outer and inner surface of the collagen fibrils [26]. In consequence, the collagen forming ECM being responsible for bone elasticity also serves as a scaffold for inorganic substances [27]. Their remodeling by matrix metalloproteinases sets the direction of trabecular bone remodeling, creating it is three-dimensional structure according to the direction of mechanical loads, and thus optimizing its micro-architecture for the most effective resistance [28, 29]. Finally, remodeling restores the structure of the primary callus to the mature bone identical to that primarily present at the fracture gap.
5. Remodeling

Remodeling proceeds in consequence of osteolysis and forthcoming osteogenesis. In the beginning, a group of activated osteoclasts, acidifying ECM, dissolve the osteoid and enzymatically (MMPs) digest its proteins. In consequence, resorptive (Howship) lacuna is formed.

Released molecules that are stored in the latent form bound to ECM heparan sulfate (BMPs, Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), FGF, and EGF) activate proliferation and folding into three-dimensional structures of endothelial cells originating from neighboring blood vessels [30]. Those form vascular loops (sprouts) in-growing into the lacunae, providing its blood supply. Inflowing MSCs differentiate into osteoblasts that repopulate lacunae as osteocytes excreting ECM proteins and mineralizing them.

Osteoclasts at the top (cutting cone) gradually move across the bone as far as they reach its borderline (osteoclastic tunneling; remaining as Haversian canal), and finally undergo apoptosis. Passing across the fracture, they restore bone continuity (osteonal fracture healing), but only when the distance between bone fragments does not exceed 1 mm [31]. If the distance is higher, each bone fragment is remodeled alone and the fracture gap remains intact, that is not healed.

6. Uneventful and disturbed fracture healing

From the clinical point of view, the fracture is considered to be healed, when its mechanical properties are restored allowing carrying the body weight. Thus, the main indicators of successful healing are lack of the pathological mobility corresponding with a resolution of pain and restored the ability to carry mechanical loads confirmed by radiographic images showing callus mineralization and remodeling.

It was estimated that up to 15% of fractures display some kind of healing disturbances [32]. Depending on the severity of the pathological changes, it ranges from slow fracture healing (slow union), delayed union or non-union, if complete inhibition of the reparative processes occurs. The lack of union and the resultant non-union (pseudoarthrosis) are diagnosed when callus was not formed in-between bone fragments in an assumed period of time and all regenerative processes have stopped.

According to the recommendations of the food and drug administration, a non-union could be diagnosed, when the fracture is not healed in the 9th post-injury month, or any evidence of the healing progress could be observed on X-rays during the three consecutive months. However, the number of orthopedists that diagnose non-union as early as at the 6th post-fracture month implementing procedures that improve reparative processes increases. However, it is also believed that the time of the healing of a given bone should be determined arbitrarily, based on the clinical experience [33].

The varying opinions that concern the definition of disturbed fracture healing come from the lack of diagnostic tools that could demonstrate the moment of cessation of regenerative processes. The very important flaw of radiographic monitoring is the possibility to assess the status of the healing after a sufficiently long period of follow-up. Moreover, it does not allow predicting the final result.
The only examination that may be useful in the monitoring of the healing process and predicting its outcome is limb lymphoscintigraphy [34]. Observation of the lymphatic system showed that regional lymph node draining the fracture is a subject of molecules released from its gap. Thus, increased lymph drainage and enlargement of the lymph node (accumulation of cells) reflect molecular and cellular events taking place at the fracture gap that may be used as an indicator of the quality of reparative processes (Figure 2).

Uneventful healing may be divided into three phases:

1. Reactive- colonization of posttraumatic hematoma by inflammatory cells,
2. Reparative-replacement of hematoma with cartilaginous tissue and its endochondral osification (primary callus),
3. Remodeling-formation of mature bone with the structure analogous to that prior to the fracture.

The first phase lasts up to several (3–7) days, the second up to 4 weeks and the third may last up to 2 (or even more) years after the fracture. However, the advance of the healing may differ even in adjacent areas; especially, when proceeds in comminuted fractures. In consequence, remodeling already proceeding in between some bone fragments may coexist with early, reactive phase between others.
Histologically, uneventful healing is characterized by soft callus filling the fracture gap in the 2nd week after injury (soft callus). In the 4th week, the callus should already be replaced by spongy bone (hard callus), and in the 8th be a subject of remodeling. Non-union is characterized by the lack of ossification at the 4th post-fracture week, despite the fact that similarly to uneventful healing, the fracture’s gap is filled with an excess of cartilage “flowing” out of it. In the 8th week, young fibrous tissue with scarce and loose foci of cartilaginous tissue is observed and finally, the pseudoarthrosis is formed [35].

On a molecular level, there are no differences in the expression of PDGF, TGF-β, and FGF-2 in the 1st week after the fracture in both uneventfully and healing with delay fracture gaps. But in the 8th week, in contrary to uneventful healing, whose osteocytes express all these factors, none of them is expressed [36]. It was proved that lack of the mentioned above molecular stimuli leading to non-union could also be produced surgically removing tissues from the fracture gap that may result from repeated debridement or rinsing drainage [37].

7. Factors disturbing healing of the fracture

As the goal of reparative events is to fill the fracture gap with cells possessing osteogenic potential, the participation of their precursors, the MSCs, is crucial. As MSCs are widely distributed in the body, the risk of their deficiency is rather not feasible. Nevertheless, those cells exert some specific features that may reduce their number and activity.

First of all, they are very sensitive to unfavorable conditions, distinctly responding to inordinate mechanical stimuli, hypoxia, and malnutrition [38]. They are also very prone to injury, regardless of its mechanism: mechanical, thermal (burns, frostbites), chemical (acids, bases, toxins), electric, or radiative. Thus, massive traumatization of tissues neighboring the fracture deprives them of progenitors resulting in cessation of reparative processes. Moreover, their loss, exposing the bone to the outer environment, favor its drying that promotes intravascular coagulation depriving the fracture of blood supply. Also, iatrogenic injuries, including vast surgical approach, wide periosteal stripping, excessive cauterization, or just brutal operative technique, superimpose traumatic changes impairing the healing.

Second of all, removal or drainage of hematoma or cellular infiltrates from the fracture, especially, when performed repeatedly, deprives it of molecular regulators [7].

Third of all, under hypoxia MSCs have been shown to differentiate into chondrocytes, instead of osteoblasts. This process, being natural at early stages of reparative processes, when prolongs, results in the formation of cartilaginous pseudoarthrosis. The problem usually occurs, when the fracture is immobilized inadequately or is not immobilized at all, as excessive movements between bone fragments disrupt newly formed vasculature depriving it of blood supply [39].

An especially unappreciated is the contribution of the shock in the cessation of fracture gap perfusion. Centralizing the circulation to protect the circulation of vital organs, it deprives the perfusion of peripheral tissues, including the fractured gap and surrounding it tissues [40]. When prolongs, shock aggravates tissue injury, impairs the healing, and increases the risk of
An inadequate blood supply may also originate from central cardiovascular (stroke, cardiac arrest) insufficiency and peripheral vascular (i.e. atherosclerosis, venous thrombosis) diseases [43] (Figure 3).

Satisfactory healing requires an appropriate oxygenation and nutrition. Bone fracture corresponds with the disruption of its vasculature leading to the necrosis of 5 to 10 mm-width bone fragments adjacent to the fracture gap [44]. The area of necrosis may spread on the damage of the neighboring soft tissues, cardiovascular insufficiency (decompensated heart failure, arterial damage, or occlusion, venous thrombosis), anemia, or infection [45, 46]. Hypothermia also exerts an impact on local circulation constricting blood vessels [47].
Properly balanced diet provides all the nutrients, vitamins, and minerals that are necessary for healing. In case of bone fracture, an attention has to be paid over calcium, phosphates (osteoid formation), proteins (source of amino acids for collagen synthesis), and vitamin D₃ that may, in some cases, require supplementation.

Starvation is nowadays relatively seldom in developed societies, whose overweight and obese population alarmingly increases. Nevertheless, it could not be forgotten that it pertains only ca one-fourth of the Earth’s population, whereas the next three-fourth suffers from hunger. Moreover, starvation and malnutrition may result from other than just a food shortage, reasons.

At the risk are especially elder, handicapped (also mentally) persons, drugs or alcohol abused, patients suffering from anorexia, and all others suffering from disturbed food intake, digestion, absorption, or processing. Thus, at risk are all those suffering from various digestive disorders, including short bowel syndrome, Hirschsprung’s or Crohn’s diseases, liver cirrhosis, pancreatitis and many others. Diabetes also leads to some type of starvation, as intracellular hypoglycemia deprives cells of glucose, the most important source of energy [48].

An increasing number of population implementing restrictive diet to reduce the body weight may present various nutritional deficiencies. Nevertheless, so far any religious (i.e. exclusion from the diet some kind of a meat) nor ideological (i.e. growing population of vegetarians and vegans) dietary restrictions nor customs were reported to influence bone healing. However, their negative insult, especially on young individuals, should be considered [49].

7.1. Habits disturbing healing of the fracture

Several habits affect the healing. Entering hundreds of detrimental substances, including highly toxic and carcinogenic ones, smoking impairs the function of progenitor cells, impairs local circulation, and reduces hemoglobin oxygenation disturbing reparative processes [50]. Alcohol was also shown to evoke its negative impact, but in small quantities may be beneficial supporting the fracture energetically and improving its perfusion [51, 52].

Several other addictions, including opioids, cannabinoids, and psychostimulants, indirectly influence the healing trajectories degrading the patient’s psychosomatic status, and thus resulting in poverty, homelessness, malnourishment, and increased susceptibility to infections and additional injuries. Addicted persons have also limited access to health services, both due to social and economic reasons, and their irrational behavior. Moreover, some of them are not interested in successful treatment at all, as complications, when occur ease them to obtain social support.

7.2. Mechanical aspects of fracture healing

Immobilization and stabilization of bone fragments after their anatomical repositioning provide an optimal mechanical environment for the healing. Thus, casts splints, and orthopedic implants are the most effective methods of treatment.

They provide an optimal, biomechanical environment to the fracture gap, as excessive interfragmentary movements disrupt callus’ vasculature. Newly formed blood vessels, built with the single layer of endothelial cells only, are very fragile. Irrespective of the direction (by side,
angular, rotation or distraction), displacements disrupt the microvasculature that deprives the fracture of blood supply arresting the healing at the phase of cartilage ossification (Figure 4).

In consequence, the cartilaginous pseudoarthrosis is formed presenting an abundant callus formation, the hypertrophic non-union [53]. It is usually observed in not immobilized fractures, but may also occur in stabilized ones due to implant’s destruction (Figure 5).

However, rigid fixation precluding movements between bone fragments deprives them of mechanical stimuli that promote osteoblastogenesis [54]. Optimal amplitude of axial movements is below 1 mm, as those are beneficial for osteogenesis, but do not disrupt the blood supply. Other dislocations are detrimental.

It was shown that an excessive distance between bone fragments leads to the cessation of reparative processes leading to non-union [55]. The contact between bone fragments is reduced by a half when translocation reaches 6% of the bone’s diameter or five degrees of angulation. Moreover, decreasing cortical thickness that characterizes osteoporotic bone aggravates the loss of interfragmentary contact (Figure 6a-c). That leads to the conclusion that fractures require accurate repositioning, especially osteoporotic ones [56].
To enable healing, the maximal distance between bone fragments should not exceed 1 mm, although the minimal is the best (Figure 7). Compression, shortening the distance between bone fragments, is nowadays implemented under several treatment modalities including Figure 6. (a) An influence of by-side dislocations between bone fragments on bone contact. (b) An influence of angular dislocations between bone fragments on bone contact. (c) An influence of rotation between bone fragments on bone contact.

Figure 7. An inappropriate reduction of the fracture; Lack of the contact between bone fragments and screw situated in-between the fracture gap (arrows) disturb the healing.
compression screws and plates, pre-bending of Arbeitsgemeinschaft für Osteosynthesefragen (AO) plates, intramedullary and external stabilizations and so on [57]. Moreover, it mechanically stimulates osteogenesis (Figure 8).

Interposition of soft tissues or foreign material in-between bone fragments forms the barrier that precludes restoration of bone’s continuity (Figure 9).
7.3. Pharmacotherapy

The negative impact of several pharmaceutics on healing processes was reported, including chemotherapeutics, antimicrobial drugs, steroids, heparins and antiresorptive drugs.

Chemotherapeutics are toxic to MSCs, reducing their number and activity and thus, depriving the fracture of osteoblastic progenitors. Their influence is aggravated by radiotherapy that is regularly used to treat neoplasms. Together with changed metabolism evoked by the tumor itself, those impair the healing.

Antibiotics were reported to affect the healing despite their beneficial capabilities to control infection. Tetracyclines were shown to impair ossification, thus arresting skeletal growth and fracture healing. Moreover, their negative impact prolongs for years, as bound with osteoid they impair bone remodeling decreasing its mechanical strength and thus, increase the risk of forthcoming fractures. Beta-lactams and cephalosporins, as well as ciprofloxacin, clindamycin, rifampicin, macrolides, and many others, and also evoke their negative impact. Their usage is justified as far as the positive antimicrobial effect is rationalized, that is weighed against negative influence on the reparative processes [58].

Corticosteroids, used in asthma, rheumatoid and dermatologic diseases, demineralize skeleton resulting in steroid-induced osteoporosis. In consequence, increased susceptibility to fractures, but also their impaired healing and remodeling, occur. Moreover, their chronic use threatens the bone viability bringing the risk of steroid-induced osteonecrosis [59]. Nevertheless, those unwanted side effects could easily be controlled modifying the route of administration and reducing their doses [60]. Analogically, nonsteroidal, anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), widely used analgesics, disturb reparative processes, usually expressing their negative influence, when chronically used at high doses [51]. Antihistamines were also reported to affect the healing [61].

Heparins, regularly used in trauma surgery for antithrombotic prophylaxis, are known to bind several growth factors including TGF-β and BMPs, FGF and EGF, decreasing their bioavailability for reparative processes [30]. Bisphosphonates, antiresorptive drugs dedicated for treatment of osteoporosis and prevention of fragility fractures, impair bone remodeling and healing, but also bring the risk of atypical fractures [62].

Other drugs were also shown to evoke negative impact on the bone union, including those used in the treatment of hypertension. Captopril, for instance, hinders angiogenesis and collagen deposition [63] and beta blockers affect wound healing through disturbed fibroblast proliferation [64].

7.4. Infection

Pathogens, colonizing the fracture gap, compete with its cells for nutrients, oxygen, and growth regulators depriving them of substances that are necessary for reparative processes. Moreover, hypoxia turns progenitor’s differentiation into chondroblastic cell lineage, and pathogen-associated molecular patterns activate immune response aggravating the risk of non-union [65].

Unfortunately, eradication of microbes from the fracture gap is very hard, at least due to limited blood perfusion and poor antibiotic penetration. Moreover, they produce biofilms that protect them from recognition and counteraction by the immune system and antibiotics [66].
8. Final remarks

Healing of the bone fracture is a biological process that proceeds due to the cooperation of various cell lineages under the control of the molecular regulators. Since, it bases on mechanisms that were validated during skeletogenesis, everyone, who developed the skeleton properly, possess the mechanisms that enable him to heal the fracture. Thus, our role is just to provide optimal conditions for those natural mechanisms (Figure 10).

From the clinical point of view, an adequate supply of oxygen, nutrients, minerals, and vitamins under an appropriate biomechanical environment are the most important, as they enable those natural, biological mechanisms, to proceed uneventfully. Thus, fracture immobilizations or stabilizations, rational nourishment, improving circulation and local blood perfusion, withholding smoking, reducing alcohol intake, and rationalizing pharmaceutical medication are among the most effective activities that improve the healing. Factors that positively and negatively affect it were discussed above giving the clear suggestions for effective treatment. Unfortunately, several of them could not be corrected or just are above our limits. Nevertheless, in the vast majority of cases, one can introduce the treatment that could reduce of the risk non-union.

Figure 10. Comminuted, multiple-level fractures of the right femur in 41-years-old male (femoral neck, trochanteric, and the shaft) anatomically reduced and stabilized operatively. The final result (36 months) showing satisfactory bone union at all fractures after implants removal.
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