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Abstract

This chapter deals with the change of the structure and mechanical properties of the austenitic steels grades TP347HFG, Super 304H and HR3C as well as their welded joints after the exposure at temperatures corresponding to the ultra-super critical (USC) and advanced ultra-super critical (A-USC) parameters. Several tube bend radii were investigated in order to understand relation between cold work plastic deformation, mechanical properties and the structural changes with special attention to the generation of sigma phase during long-term high temperature exposure. The effect of post bend solution annealing work on the material properties and structural changes was studied, too, using small punch test (SPT) and miniaturized tensile tests taken both from straight part and extrados of bends under investigation. Creep properties of either base metal either welded joints were tested and evaluated using standard creep specimens at temperatures 650, 700 and 750°C. The obtained results confirmed that the mechanical properties and the structure were significantly influenced even after relatively short-time exposure at elevated temperature. The results of experiments were compared with other results obtained from analyses of tubes after 100,000 h of exposure in a USC block where extensive precipitation of sigma phase was also identified.
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1. Introduction

The utilization of ultra-super critical (USC) boilers working with advanced steam parameters (typically 600/620°C and 28.5 MPa) has led to the development of new materials with improved
long-term creep properties. In order to meet the demanding conditions, new grades of low-alloy steels for waterwalls, modified chromium steels for headers and pipelines as well as austenitic steels and nickel superalloys for the final stages of superheaters and reheaters (RHs) have been developed. The investigation of the functional properties and further development of groups of materials is thus the key factor in the design and construction of new power units.

The effort to improve creep resistance of austenitic steels based on 18Cr-8Ni series, originally developed as corrosion resistant materials, was initiated in 1970s. Similarly, the improvement of creep resistance of 25Cr-20Ni series for demanding corrosive conditions has started in 1980s. Figure 1 shows the development of austenitic creep-resistant steels for fossil fired power plant applications [1].

Austenitic heat-resistant steels for boiler applications of 18Cr-8Ni series are used in the under-stabilized condition, the content of strong carbide forming elements (Ti, Nb) is decreased and M23C6 carbide contributes to the precipitation strengthening of the steel. Thanks to the working conditions at high temperatures, austenitic creep-resistant steels do not need to be resistant to the intergranular corrosion caused by precipitation of M23C6 carbides on grain boundaries and high temperature also enable fast healing effect due to fast diffusion of chromium to the chromium depleted zone around carbide on the grain boundary.

Figure 2 shows the increase of creep rupture strength of heat-resistant boiler steels at 600°C after 100,000 h for materials developed during the twentieth century [2].

2. Advanced austenitic steels for USC boilers and their material characteristics

The austenitic steels of AISI 304(H) and AISI 316(H) type used in boilers, where the temperature of the superheater tubes can exceed 650°C, suffer from significant steam-side oxide

---

[Figure 1. Development of austenitic creep-resistant steels for power plants [1].]
spallation resulting in overheating and subsequent creep fracture of the tubes [3]. This spallation occurs due to different thermal expansion of the steel and the oxides on the steam-side surface of the tube. The compactness of the oxide layer in austenitic steels then causes spallation of the almost entire protective oxide layer during sudden temperature changes.

Efforts to increase the steam oxidation resistance as well as creep strength at temperatures above 650°C resulted in the development and successful use of advanced austenitic heat-resistant steels for USC boilers known as Super 304H (1.4907, X10CrNiCuNb 18-9-3), HR3C (1.4952, X6CrNiNbN 25-20) and TP347HFG (1.4908, X8CrNi 19-11). The chemical composition and mechanical properties are shown in Tables 1 and 2, respectively.

Chemical composition of steel Super 304H has been changed in comparison to the grade AISI 304H by the addition of 3% Cu and alloying by niobium and nitrogen. Copper forms particles of ε-phase in the matrix, that is, small spherical precipitates that significantly strengthen the matrix. Minimal changes were made to TP347HFG compared to the former grade TP347H, the niobium to carbon ratio was increased and special heat treatment that guarantees a fine-grained structure was developed. Steel HR3C has balanced nitrogen and niobium contents, which should increase creep resistance and reduce the susceptibility to the formation of undesirable hard and brittle σ-phase [4]. The change of chemical composition, namely addition of niobium increased precipitation strengthening of these steel grades and copper in Super 304H steel further increased its creep rupture strength. All of them (TP347H, Super 304H and HR3C) have been successfully used as superheater/reheater tubes in USC power plants all over the world.

Figure 2. Increase of 100,000 h creep rupture strength of types and grades of creep-resistant steels at 600°C [2].
3. Precipitation of sigma phase

The σ-phase is an intermetallic phase that forms in more than 50 transition alloys. The technically most important is σ-phase precipitation in Fe-Cr system. The σ-phase has a tetragonal crystal lattice and precipitates between 600 and 1000°C \[5–7\]. The chromium contents in the σ-phase containing alloys is usually in range from 25 to 76 wt.%. In alloys with lower chromium content can sigma phase contain other alloying elements as well. In the Cr-Ni austenitic steels, sigma phase formation is encouraged by increasing Cr content above 17–20 wt.%, and is discouraged by an increase in Ni content. Since the sigma phase is Cr-rich phase, the diffusion of Cr is usually regarded as one of the controlling factors for its precipitation. Besides chromium and carbon contents, many other factors affect the formation of σ-phase. Diffusion of another substitutional element like Mo could also be important \[8\]. In general, all ferrite-forming elements like Cr, Nb, Ti, Mo or W promote precipitation of σ-phase. Silicon also promotes and accelerates σ-phase formation. Due to differences in diffusion rate of alloying elements, the formation of σ-phase in austenite is about 100 times slower than in ferrite. Consequently, the presence of δ-ferrite in austenitic alloys accelerates σ-phase precipitation \[9\]. The δ-ferrite (BCC), compared to the γ-austenite (FCC), is a chromium-rich region where the diffusion of chromium and other ferrite-forming elements is faster. Hence, δ-ferrite is naturally a beneficial site for the precipitation of the σ-phase \[10\]. Therefore, in steels containing

### Table 1. Chemical composition of advanced austenitic steels (wt.%).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>Si</th>
<th>Mn</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>Cr</th>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>Cu</th>
<th>Nb</th>
<th>Al</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Super 304H</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>max.</td>
<td>max.</td>
<td>max.</td>
<td>max.</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR3C</td>
<td>max.</td>
<td>max.</td>
<td>max.</td>
<td>max.</td>
<td>max.</td>
<td>23.0–</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP347HFG</td>
<td>0.06–</td>
<td>max.</td>
<td>max.</td>
<td>max.</td>
<td>max.</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>8 × C</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2. Mechanical properties of advanced austenitic steel grades.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>$R_{p0.2}$ (MPa)</th>
<th>$R_m$ (MPa)</th>
<th>$R_{p0.2}$ (650°C) (MPa)</th>
<th>A (%)</th>
<th>KV (+20°C) (J)</th>
<th>$R_u$ (650°C)/10 h (MPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Super 304H</td>
<td>≥235</td>
<td>590–850</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>≥35</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR3C</td>
<td>≥295</td>
<td>655–900</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>≥30</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP347HFG</td>
<td>≥205</td>
<td>550–750</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>≥35</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
some ferrite or in which ferrite forms temporarily during aging, will \( \sigma \)-phase be formed via
the ferrite.

The \( \sigma \) phase forming tendency of an alloy can be predicted according to the electron vacancy
number originally developed by Woodyatt et al. \[11\] in the form

\[
N_v = 0.66Ni + 1.71Co + 2.66Fe + 4.66Cr + Mo + W + 5.66V + 6.66Zr + 10.66NB \cdot \text{at.}
\]  

When the calculated value of electron vacancy number is greater than 2.52, \( \sigma \)-phase should
form.

Since the \( \sigma \)-phase presence is closely related to the \( M_{23}C_6 \), there is a proposition that \( M_{23}C_6 \) acts
as the precursor to the \( \sigma \)-phase \[12–14\]. Pre-existence of carbides in the steel can be an inten-
sifying factor for \( \sigma \)-phase formation, as the formed carbides have high chromium content
and can act as the source of chromium. The formation from \( M_7C_3 \) carbides is faster than from
\( M_{23}C_6 \) probably due to instability of \( M_7C_3 \) carbide \[15\].

Other authors \[16\] stated that in situ transformation of \( M_{23}C_6 \) to the \( \sigma \)-phase is improbable,
although they tend to be in contact. A study on the precipitation sequence in 316L steel at
temperatures up to 500°C has found that the formation of the \( \sigma \)-phase precedes that of \( M_{23}C_6 \).
This work has pointed out that the precursors to the \( \sigma \)-phase in this temperature range are
in fact precipitates forming on dislocations and grain boundaries, which are not possible
to identify even by using high resolution TEM (HR-TEM) because of their extremely small
sizes \[17\].

In stainless steels, classification of the morphology of the \( \sigma \)-phase can be divided into four
types \[4\]:

1. Grain boundary precipitation,
2. Triple point precipitation,
3. Corner precipitation and

3.1. \( \delta \)-Ferrite/austenite grain boundary precipitation

The \( \sigma \)-phase easily precipitates at the \( \delta/\gamma \) phase boundary, which is a region with high con-
centration of chromium. At the same time, it is a high interface energy site and a beneficial
site for the heterogeneous nucleation thank to high concentration of lattice defects. When the
\( \sigma \)-phase nucleates at the \( \delta/\gamma \) interphase boundary, some defects disappear, which releases
the free energy of the system. Consequently, the activation energy barrier to form a coherent
interface is reduced. This case is typical for austenitic stainless steels.
3.2. Triple point precipitation

The $\sigma$-phase precipitates also at the triple point of the $\delta$-ferrite boundary. According to Barcik [9], $\sigma$-phase precipitates first on triple points and then on grain faces. After long-term aging at high temperature, it also forms on the coherent twin boundaries and intragranular inclusions.

3.3. Corner precipitation

The corner precipitation of the $\sigma$-phase means that it precipitates directly at the corner $\delta$-ferrite particles because the $\delta$-ferrite has a high Cr content phase, and $\sigma$-phase prefers to nucleate and precipitate at that point. When the $\sigma$-phase precipitates at the corner $\delta$-ferrite, it consumes the Cr from the $\delta$-ferrite particles.

3.4. Cellular precipitation

Cellular precipitation means that the $\sigma$-phase and secondary austenite ($\sigma + \gamma_2$) precipitate as laminar precipitation in the $\delta$-ferrite particles. This reaction is called the eutectoid decomposition of $\delta \rightarrow \sigma + \gamma_2$. When the eutectoid decomposition of $\delta \rightarrow \sigma + \gamma_2$ is finished, the $\sigma$-phase consumes the Cr, Mo and Si from the $\delta$-ferrite particles.

The direct precipitation of $\sigma$-phase in austenite is in general very slow and takes thousands of hours due to:

- very low carbon and nitrogen solubility in $\sigma$-phase, which means that the both elements have to form carbide and nitride before $\sigma$-phase precipitation,
- very slow diffusion rate of substitutional elements in austenite and
- incoherent boundary between $\sigma$-phase and austenite, which makes $\sigma$-phase nucleation difficult.

Figure 3 shows the precipitation mechanism of $\sigma$-phase in AISI 316 L stainless steel at different aging temperatures. Without heating ($t_0$), the $\delta$-ferrite precipitates at the $\delta/\gamma$ interphase boundary and $\gamma$-phase. When the aging temperature increases to $t_1$, the $\sigma$ and $\gamma_2$-phases precipitate in $\delta$-ferrite particles. When the aging temperatures increases to $t_2$, the cellular $\sigma + \gamma_2$ also forms in $\delta$-ferrite particles, and the $\sigma$-phase precipitates at the triple points and the $\delta/\gamma$ interphase boundaries. When the aging temperature is $t_3$, the precipitation of the laminar $\sigma + \gamma_2$ is more pronounced than at the other aging temperatures [18].

When the $\delta$-ferrite to $\sigma$-phase transformation occurs, the $\sigma$-phase precipitates in the Cr-enriched region of $\delta$-ferrite, directly in $\delta$-ferrite particles. The precipitation of $\sigma$-phase is a diffusion-controlled phase transformation in 25% Cr–20% Ni stainless steel, where Cr atoms have an important effect on acceleration of the precipitation rate of $\sigma$-phase [19]. The precipitation rate of $\sigma$-phase in $\delta$-ferrite is therefore about 100 times higher than in $\gamma$-austenite [9, 20]. After $\sigma$-phase precipitation, will the content of Cr and Mo in $\delta$-ferrite decrease and simultaneously will Ni content increase. This fact leads to the formation of secondary austenite phases ($\gamma_2$) through the $\delta$-ferrite [21]. The plate $\sigma$-phase and $\gamma_2$-precipitate in $\delta$-ferrite particles were observed in microstructures of AISI 304 stainless steel aged at 595 and 650°C for 31,000 h [22]. The precipitation
mechanism is through the eutectoid decomposition of δ-ferrite into σ-phase and γ₂-austenite and is similar at both temperatures, but at 650°C it was more evident (see Figures 4 and 5).

In stabilized grades, the formation of σ-phase is faster than in other grades. Minami et al. reported precipitation of σ-phase in most of the grades of austenitic stainless steels: type AISI 304, 316, 321 and 347, but it forms after different times (in 347 and 321 already after 1000 h at 700°C but in 304, 316 and Tempaloy-A1, σ-phase was found in significant quantities only after 10,000 h, see Figure 5 (the percentage of σ-phase equals to the area etched by KOH) [23].

Figure 3. Precipitation mechanisms of σ-phase in AISI 316 L stainless steel [18].
Figure 4. Microstructural observation of the δ → σ + γ₂ phase transformation in AISI 304 stainless steel (595°C, 31,000 h) [15].

Figure 5. Precipitation of σ-phase in different grades of austenitic stainless steels [23].
According to Ref. [23], the behavior of Tempaloy-A1, which is 18/10 steel stabilized with Nb, but its Nb/C ratio is only 1.86 compared to 17.40 in the steel 347, supports the observation that α-phase forms in steels with chromium equivalent higher than 18 wt.% when the carbon content falls below a critical value. In the 347, almost all the carbon is rapidly precipitated as NbC, while the low Nb content of Tempaloy-A1 means that a part of carbon is still dissolved in the solid solution. The different trend for 321 could be linked to the instability of TiC with regard to $M_{23}C_6$. The precipitation of $M_{23}C_6$ lowers both the carbon and the chromium contents.

The formation of α-phase in austenitic stainless steel greatly depends on grain size, as it affects the density of nucleation sites [9]. According to Ref. [24] in austenitic stainless steels, both the grain size and grain shape influence sigma precipitation because grain boundaries represent high-energy nucleation sites for nucleation of α-phase [25]. Fine-grained structure then provides more α-phase formation.

The same is true for cold deformation, however, the effect of cold work on α-phase precipitation depends mainly on its influence on recrystallization. The α-phase formation is enhanced if the amount of deformation energy is sufficient to generate recrystallization at operating temperature. If the amount of cold work is too low and recrystallization does not occur, α-phase formation can be actually retarded [26]. Hot working enhances α-phase precipitation, as it was demonstrated on a duplex stainless steel hot deformed at 900°C. Hot deformation induces lattice defects which act as favorable sites for α-phase precipitation. Higher total content of α-phase in the specimen deformed at the lower strain rate (0.01 s$^{-1}$) compared to the sample deformed at 1 s$^{-1}$ was explained by the difference in density and velocity of dislocations. Deformation at high strain rates results in higher dislocation density, which means precipitation of fine α-phase particles throughout the whole specimen. These fine particles grow slowly, because they are in contact with individual dislocations for shorter time. As a result, material deformed at high strain rates has plenty of fine α-phase particles but the total volume fraction of α-phase is greater in samples deformed at lower strain rates [27].

4. Presence of sigma phase in the tube bends after high temperature exposure

Extensive experimental work confirmed the effect of cold bending on α-phase precipitation. The study includes advanced steels for USC boilers. The effect of technology and high temperature exposure was evaluated (see Figure 6).

Series of tube bends were analyzed. The first part were cold worked bends without any further heat treatment (referred to as-bended state), the second part were heat-treated tubes after bending (referred to post-bend heat treatment—PBHT), third part of test program focused on evaluation of structural changes and changes in mechanical properties after exposure at high temperature.

The mechanical properties and fracture behavior were examined using miniaturized tensile tests and small punch test (SPT). The effect of plastic deformation applied during the bending
of tubes on the microstructure and the mechanical properties were also studied. The positive effect of solution annealing on the microstructure was quantified as well [28, 29].

During the third part of the experimental program the tube bends exposed to high temperature in the boiler without any loading were investigated. The effect of high temperature exposure on formation of σ-phase and fracture energy after exposure was evaluated.

Bend tubes Ø 38 × 6.3 of Grades TP347HFG, Super 304H and HR3C and the bend radii R60, R80 and R100 were tested (see Tables 1 and 2). The samples of the tube bends were cut out from bended part of tubes (see Figure 7). The samples were then evaluated in as-received conditions (with PBHT and without PBHT) and installed into a boiler without any loading for 1 year at temperature ranges from 635 to 695°C and 726 to 775°C, respectively.

Figure 6. Diagram of evaluation of tube bends.

Figure 7. Samples in as-bended state under study and cutting plan.
5. Testing method used to evaluate mechanical properties of tube bends

In order to understand the effect of σ-phase on material properties and microstructure, various testing methods based on miniaturized test techniques have been used. As the standard tensile test is not sensitive enough to quantify the effect of σ-phase, a special testing method, small punch test (SPT), originally developed for residual lifetime assessment of power plants components, has been used. This testing method is covered at this time by draft of ISO standard. A basic tenet of small punch test method is to penetrate small volume of experimental material (Figure 8a). The small punch testing technique utilizes a small disc specimen, 8 mm in diameter and 0.5 mm in thickness, clamped around its circumference and indented by a spherical punch up to failure [30]. Monotonic load versus displacement records are used to correlate the tensile and fracture toughness parameters (see Figure 8b). Moreover, the fracture energy can be calculated as the area under the deflection curve. All tests presented in this work were performed at room temperature.

![Figure 8. Principle of small punch test (a) and typical SPT record (b).](image)

6. Results of metallographic analyses of tube bends after exposure at high temperature

Comparison of the structure of specimen in the as-bended state after exposure at lower and higher temperatures in the boiler for all three type steels can be seen in Figures 9–11.

Microstructural investigation showed that all tube bends that were exposed at the elevated temperature in the boiler without any loading, contain various amount of σ-phase. Amount of σ-phase depends on temperature of exposure, bend radii and heat treatment after bending. Therefore, the maximum amount was found in bends with smaller radii and without PBHT. The tube bends with smaller bending radii without PBHT, corresponds to maximum amount of cold work deformation, contain the largest amount of σ-phase for all grades. As amount of cold work deformation decrease, lower percentage of σ-phase can be observed, for example, in the structure of bend with higher radii where minimum amount of σ-phase was measured in the tube bends with bending radius R100 (Figures 9–11).
Figure 9. Comparison of the structure of specimen TP347HFG in the as-bended state after exposure at lower and higher temperatures in the boiler.

Figure 10. Comparison of the structure of specimen HR3C in the as-bended state after exposure at lower and higher temperatures in the boiler.
Logically, tube bends exposed at higher temperature contain significantly higher amount of $\sigma$-phase, this fact is in very good agreement with theoretical results. The particles of $\sigma$-phase differ in quantity and in size and even in the distribution in the wall of the bent tube. Tube bends exposed at the lower temperature contain $\sigma$-phase mostly near the outer surface of extrados, corresponding to the position of the highest cold work deformation. While specimens exposed at higher temperature contain $\sigma$-phase in the whole wall of extrados.

Reciprocally, Figure 10 shows the structure of HR3C tube bend after the exposure in two locations in the boiler. The tube bend with post-bend heat treatment (PBHT) exposed at lower temperature showed very few particles of $\sigma$-phase [31].

Tube bends without PBHT contain considerably more $\sigma$-phase. Higher temperature of exposure caused the coarsening of $\sigma$-phase in the tube bends that will significantly affect toughness of materials.

7. Effect of sigma phase on fracture energy

As demonstrated in Figure 12, the presence of even very small volume of $\sigma$-phase in the structure means drop in fracture energy and can be clearly detected from force-displacement record of the small punch test. The test results in as-bended state and after the exposure (at lower temperature) and the test results for samples with and without PBHT were compared. Figure 12
shows the effect of $\sigma$-phase on fracture energy represented by SPT method in TP347HFG samples and effect of PBHT for the same steel. It is clearly seen positive effect of PBHT on fracture energy as well as effect of exposure, where the fracture energy is decreased significantly.

**Figure 13** shows effect of exposure on the fracture energy of HR3C steel for as-bended and PBHT state, respectively. In both examples was fracture energy significantly reduced by the high temperature exposure. In the case of as-bended tubes, changes in the slope of SPT records were identified as well. These changes can probably be connected with submicro-structural changes during exposure in this type of steel and will be studied in the future work.

Only moderate changes were identified in the case of Super 304H grade steel, see **Figure 14**. Drop in fracture energy is very small and no changes in the slope were recognized.

The obtained results indicate that $\sigma$-phase is one of important factors that should be remembered during high temperature exposure of these materials in USC blocks. The presence of $\sigma$-phase only at the outer surface of extrados at lower exposure temperatures and in the whole wall section of extrados at higher exposure temperature indicates, that the amount of $\sigma$-phase in the tube bends is also connected to the deformation energy introduced into the wall of

**Figure 12.** Force versus displacement of tube bend TP347HFG in as-bended state and after the exposure.

**Figure 13.** Force versus displacement of tube bend HR3C in as-bended state and after exposure.
bend and the deformation energy seems to decrease the activation energy of σ-phase formation. Therefore, samples with PBHT and samples with large bend radii and relaxed or lower internal stresses, show only small, if any, content of σ-phase.

8. Importance of heat treatment of tube bends—when is HT necessary?

Based on experimental investigations mentioned above the tube bends with low bending radii (R60, R80) require heat treatment after bending to minimize internal residual stresses and tendency for precipitation of σ-phase in the structure. If the exposure temperature is higher than 700°C, it is necessary to apply heat treatment after bending even for bending radii R100. After exposure in temperature range 635–694°C small amount of σ-phase was found in all specimens near the surfaces. After exposure in temperature range 726–775°C σ-phase was found across the whole wall thickness of extrados. In order to minimize the volume of σ-phase in the structure of extrados with small bend radii, it is necessary to carry out solution annealing after bending [28].

9. Degradation of material properties due to sigma phase in power plant tubes after 100,000 h of exposure

Very little data describing long-term exposure of TP347HFG and TP347H is available in the literature. Although there are many articles on short-term laboratory exposure and initial experience in power plants. The Danish coal-fired ultra-super critical (USC) plant, Nordjyllandsværket, after 100,000 h of operation has focused on the state of materials, such as P 91 or TP347HFG used in the boiler. Tube sections were removed from more than 20 different locations in the boiler during the 2012 summer shutdown to assess the material conditions in the boiler. The boiler was designed as an USC once-through Benson tower type with double reheat and was commissioned in 1998 with 290 bar and 580/580°C steam data [32].
The tubes have been investigated in order to document the residual wall thickness, fire-side corrosion and streamside oxidation rates and morphology and microstructure evolution after 100,000 h of exposure. This assessment has revealed the presence of σ-phase in superheater (SH) and reheater (RH) tubes.

It is well-known that the orientation of tubes in the boiler has a great influence on the microstructure. Therefore, the fire-side facing the hot flue gas was marked as position 0° and the opposite side was marked 180° (see Table 3). Table 3 also shows amount of σ-phase identified using SEM in various tubes.

### 10. Mechanical testing

Tensile strength seemed to be quite comparable in all tubes regardless of the position, that is, regardless of the content of σ-phase. On the other hand, yield strength at the position 0° was lower in tubes that contained higher amounts of σ-phase (tubes 17 and 19) and also in tube 13, which contained small amount of σ-phase, but was operated at the higher temperature (585°C), see Table 4.

Although strength seemed not to be affected by σ-phase appearance, plastic properties, such as elongation and reduction of area, showed a significant response. The presence of σ-phase resulted in a higher loss of elongation and reduction of area. The measured values of elongation and reduction of area can be directly related to toughness of steel as σ-phase appears to change the fracture properties. Nearly all investigated tubes showed decrease of elongation and reduction of area (8–25% depending on the content of σ-phase) compared to tubes without σ-phase.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tube</th>
<th>Location in the boiler</th>
<th>Material</th>
<th>σ-phase (%)</th>
<th>Temperature of exposure (°C)</th>
<th>ASTM grain size G</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Outlet SH1</td>
<td>TP347HFG</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>585</td>
<td>7–9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Outlet RH2.2</td>
<td>TP347HFG</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>6–8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>SH1 middle</td>
<td>TP347H</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>585</td>
<td>7–8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Outlet RH1.2</td>
<td>TP347HFG</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>590</td>
<td>7–8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Outlet SH2</td>
<td>TP347HFG</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>6–8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Inlet SH2</td>
<td>TP347HFG</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>7–9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Inlet RH1.2</td>
<td>TP347HFG</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>585/570</td>
<td>7–9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Inlet SH1</td>
<td>TP347H</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>535</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Inlet SH1</td>
<td>TP347H</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>550/535</td>
<td>8–9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Outlet Screen</td>
<td>TP347HFG</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>570/555</td>
<td>5–8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Outlet Screen</td>
<td>TP347HFG</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>570/555</td>
<td>5–8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Area fraction of sigma phase in TP347H and TP347HFG tubes [32].
Although the presence of brittle phase in the structure cannot be unambiguously indicated by tensile testing, it could be clearly identified by drop in fracture energy during Charpy-V notch testing. The presence of σ-phase in the test sample resulted in drop of impact energy in all tested tubes, Figure 15. Even though the absolute values of impact energy between thin-walled and thick-walled tubes cannot be directly compared due to various specimen size, the difference between position 0° and 180° is clearly visible. The only exception is the tube 14, which contains the smallest amount of σ-phase. The decrease of the impact energy due to high temperature exposure and σ-phase precipitation is illustrated also in Figure 15, where the last green columns represent the impact energy in TP347HFG tubes in the as-received condition [32, 33].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tube</th>
<th>Specimen ID</th>
<th>Tensile strength (MPa)</th>
<th>Yield strength (MPa)</th>
<th>Elongation (%)</th>
<th>Reduction of area (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Position 180°</td>
<td>675</td>
<td>357</td>
<td>48.0</td>
<td>64.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Position 0°</td>
<td>684</td>
<td>389</td>
<td>43.3</td>
<td>59.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Position 180°</td>
<td>696</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>47.3</td>
<td>73.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Position 0°</td>
<td>685</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>42.7</td>
<td>55.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Position 180°</td>
<td>715</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>51.3</td>
<td>71.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Position 0°</td>
<td>716</td>
<td>379</td>
<td>50.3</td>
<td>71.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Results of tensile test of tube with maximum content of σ-phase.

Figure 15. Absorbed energy at the side with (position 0°) and without σ-phase (position 180°) and in as-received condition tubes with wall thickness 3.6 mm miniaturized Charpy V specimens 5 × 3 × 32 mm (a) and tubes with wall thickness higher than 7 mm - subsize Charpy-V specimens 10 × 5 × 55 mm (b).
11. Metallographic and fractographic investigations of sigma phase

In order to get a general overview of the microstructural changes and to quantify the amount of $\sigma$-phase, all samples were investigated by light optical microscopy (LOM) and by scanning electron microscopy (SEM). ASTM grain size was also measured, see Table 3.

Larger amount of $\sigma$-phase was found in position $0^\circ$, that is, on the fire-side. The $\sigma$-phase free band of about 300–400 $\mu$m at the surface on the flue gas side was observed. The greatest concentration of $\sigma$-phase was found in the mid-thickness of the tubes with decreasing concentration toward the inner surface of the tubes. Small amount of $\sigma$-phase was observed also in position $180^\circ$ that is partially shielded from the hot flue gas by surrounding tubes [25]. Figure 16 shows the difference in $\sigma$-phase content in position 0 and $180^\circ$ for sample with the maximum content of $\sigma$-phase.

![Figure 16. Tube 17: position $0^\circ$ — 5.5% of sigma phase (a), position $180^\circ$ — 0.6% of $\sigma$-phase (b).](image)

Figure 17. Tube 17: position $0^\circ$ (high content of $\sigma$-phase) combined ductile/brittle fracture mode (a) and detailed image of brittle cleavage facets connected by ductile tearing ridges (b).
Drop of fracture energy due to $\sigma$-phase has become evident on the fracture surface. The fractographic analysis performed on the surfaces of miniaturized Charpy specimens revealed significant differences in the morphology of fracture surfaces and these differences qualitatively corresponded to different values of impact energy observed in both cases (Figures 17 and 18).

12. Small punch testing

Another way how to cope with the fact that tensile test cannot respond to $\sigma$-phase presence in the microstructure is application of small punch test method. Figure 19 shows comparison of test records (force versus displacement) of the SPT specimens from both sides of the tube 17 containing the highest amount of $\sigma$-phase. Five SPT specimens were prepared from each side of tube in order to obtain the information about the scatter of results. The difference between the side with and without $\sigma$-phase can be imagined as the area under the curves representing fracture energy. The drop of fracture energy between both sides of one tube was about 50%.

The values of fracture energy of all tested tubes are shown in Figure 20, where the test results are complemented by the values of fracture energy of TP347HFG steel in as-received condition [32]. In this way, it was possible to compare both the effect of $\sigma$-phase and the effect of operation conditions on fracture behavior of the steel under investigation. It was assumed that the difference between position 0 and 180° represented the effect of $\sigma$-phase in the structure after long-term operation at high temperature.

The surprising result of this testing was the fact that the fine-grained tubes (14, 16, 17) are more sensitive to the presence of $\sigma$-phase in the structure than coarse-grained tubes (13, 18, 19). It seems that the coarse-grained tubes are more resistant to embrittlement effect of $\sigma$-phase than the fine-grained tubes. This result is in great contradiction to the widely published statement about the higher embrittlement of coarse-grained material due to $\sigma$-phase precipitation.
Figure 19. Comparison of absorbed fracture energy during SPT testing of tube 17 at position 0 and 180°.

Figure 20. Fracture energy of tube position 0 and 180° and as-received state.
All the performed experiments thus confirmed that σ-phase precipitated in the tube bends of advanced heat-resistant austenitic steels for USC conditions after 1 year of high temperature exposure without any external loading. The strong embrittlement effect of σ-phase was clearly confirmed by drop of fracture energy of small punch tests. Cold work, which stores the deformation energy in the microstructure, accelerates the precipitation of σ-phase. However, this effect can be eliminated by the post-bend heat treatment.

The negative effect of sigma phase on fracture energy of TP347HFG can clearly be seen from results obtained by evaluation of microstructure and mechanical properties of superheater tubes made of TP347HFG grade after exposure high temperatures for 100,000 h in a USC plan, where the amount of σ-phase was measured to be about 5%.

13. Creep properties and structural changes of base material and welded joints of advanced austenitic steels

Tubes Ø 38 × 6.3 mm made from steels Super 304H and HR3C were used for the evaluation of creep properties of the tubes and their welded joints. The circumferential tube joints were performed by GTAW (method 141) automated orbital welding (position PK), where both ends of the tube are centered in horizontal position and do not move during welding. Generally, welding of all austenitic steels must be performed with restricted thermal input in order to avoid hot cracking of weldments. Heat input for individual layers was therefore in the range from 1100 to 1900 J/mm and interpass temperature was kept at 150°C. Welding wires Ø 0.8 mm were used for welding. A matching filler metal Thermanit 304HCu was used for homogeneous weld joints of Super 304H steel, whereas overmatching nickel-based alloy filler metals Thermanit 617 and UTP A6170Co were used for homogeneous weld joint of HR3C steel. The chemical compositions of the filler metals are shown in Table 5 [34].

Stress rupture tests of both base materials and welded joints performed at temperatures 650, 700 and 750°C. The calculated values of 10,000 h (R_{10/104}) creep rupture strength are stated in Table 6. The calculation was performed using Seifert parametric equation [35]:

\[
\log R_{10/104} = A_0 + A_1 P + A_2 P^2, \quad \text{where} \quad P = \left[ T(C + \log t) \right] 10^{-4}
\]  

(2)

where \( T \) is the absolute temperature in K, \( t \) is time to fracture in hours, \( A_0, A_1, A_2 \) and \( C \) are material constants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Filler metal</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>Si</th>
<th>Mn</th>
<th>Cu</th>
<th>Mo</th>
<th>Cr</th>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>Ti</th>
<th>Fe</th>
<th>Nb</th>
<th>Al</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Co</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thermanit 304HCu</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermanit 617</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>rest</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>11.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UTP A 6170 Co</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>rest</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>11.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Nominal chemical composition of filler materials, wt.% [34].
Table 6 shows also the results of calculation of strength reduction factor (SRF) expressing the ratio of creep rupture strength of welded joints $R_u(W)$ and the creep strength of base metal $R_u(BM)$, that depends on the temperature and time to rupture [36]:

$$SRF = \frac{R_u(W)}{R_u(BM)} = f(t, T) \leq 1$$

Recalculation of experimental results into the value of Larson-Miller parameter $P_{LM}$ was used to compare the evaluated creep resistance of the base metal and welded joint in the Eq. (4) [37]:

$$P_{LM} = T[\log(t) + C]$$

with the usual meanings of the variables. Constants C equals to 20.8 in Super 304H and 16.8 in HR3C, which are the values calculated using least squares method from stress rupture data stated in material sheets [38, 39].

Creep rupture strength as well as creep behavior of both steels is very similar, their strength reduction factor increases with increasing temperature and at 700°C creep rupture strength of welded joint overcomes that for base metal and SRF equals to one. Similar behavior was observed also in other tested welded joints of Super 304H steel [40]. Literature data show that and this type of behavior is typical for nearly all austenitic heat-resistant steels [41–43].

The comparison of creep tests of the tubes and weldment of Super 304H and HR3C steels is shown in Figure 21, base metal (dark symbols) and welded joints (light symbols). Still running stress rupture tests are marked by red arrows. The solid lines labeled WB 550 and WB 47 represent the average creep rupture strength of steels Super 304H according to Ref. [38] and HR3C according to Ref. [39], the dashed lines then correspond to the lower ~20% tolerance limit. All the results confirmed good creep resistance of the tested tubes as well as their weld joints.

However, the danger of $\sigma$-phase precipitation in the steel does not lie in lowering the creep strength but in exhausting of plasticity and embrittlement of the structure. This phenomenon can be clearly seen in Figure 22, showing the dependence of reduction of area on Larson-Miller parameter in both basic material and weldments.
Plasticity drop in stainless steels at room temperature associated with precipitation of $\sigma$-phase is a well-known phenomenon. However, $\sigma$-phase seems to precipitate in shorter time and the drop of reduction of area seems to be deeper than that observed in austenitic heat-resistant steels of 304, 316, 321 and 347 types formerly used for the outer parts of superheaters of supercritical boilers.

The structural change and the form and extent of the $\sigma$-phase precipitation during creep exposure can be demonstrated on the example of above mentioned circumferential welds on tubes made of HR3C steel where structural states are compared here, in the as-welded state and after creep exposure at 750°C/50 MPa for 7704 h.

In the as-welded state the microstructure of the base metal of the welded joint close to the fusion line consisted of austenitic grains of various size (Figure 23). The microstructure of the nickel-based weld metal is documented in Figure 24. The austenitic structure contained relatively large primary carbides inside the grains, but no carbides were present at the grain boundaries of base material (Figures 25 and 26).
Figure 23. Microstructure of the root part of welded tube made of steel HR3C.

Figure 24. Microstructure of weld metal (Thermanit 617).

Figure 25. Microstructure of base material.
The final rupture of the analyzed creep specimen started in the fusion line and propagated through the coarse-grained part of heat-affected zone (Figures 27 and 28). The failure was brittle, mostly intergranular and the reduction of area was very low, only 2.8%. Even though \( \sigma \)-phase precipitated in the base material, too, it formed randomly distributed particles that are not so dangerous as a network of \( \sigma \)-phase particles along grain boundaries detected in the coarse-grained HAZ, compare Figures 29 and 30.

The microstructural analysis thus confirmed the correlation between low plasticity of steel after long-term creep exposure at high temperatures and precipitation of \( \sigma \)-phase on grain boundaries of austenite. Especially in welded joints precipitation in the form of network on grain boundaries can lead to the type III cracking, that is, failure in the coarse-grained part of heat-affected zone close to the fusion line. This part of heat-affected zone is overheated during welding and accompanying grain growth will reduce the number of grains and the length of grain boundaries. Precipitating \( \sigma \)-phase along grain boundaries can form more or less continuous network and further reduce plasticity of this part of heat-affected zone that has due to its microstructure high, creep strength but very low toughness and plasticity.

Figure 26. Detail of microstructure of base material.

Figure 27. Macrostructure of failure location of creep specimen tested at 750°C/7704 h.
Figure 28. Microstructure of coarse-grained HAZ (etched in KOH).

Figure 29. Detail of $\sigma$-phase particles in the base metal (etched in KOH).

Figure 30. Detail of network of $\sigma$-phase along grain boundaries in coarse-grained HAZ (etched in KOH).
14. Conclusions

High temperature and long-term exposure of all heat-resistant steels in USC and advanced ultra-super critical (A-USC) boilers brings about advanced demands for their structural stability. Increased operating temperatures accelerate all degradation processes that appear in all types of heat-resistant steels, from low-alloy through chromium modified toward austenitic stainless steels. Precipitation of σ-phase represents one of the most potentially dangerous degradation mechanism in advanced austenitic heat-resistant steels. Although precipitation of this phase was observed after long-term exposure at high temperatures in many austenitic steels, the results obtained during testing of superheater tubes and their bends as well as welded joint have shown that the precipitation of σ-phase starts in these steel grades after few thousands of high temperature exposure and even without external loading. Stress and plastic deformation induced in the material during cold bending and/or welding can further accelerate the commencement of its precipitation. Although there are developed also steels intentionally precipitation strengthened by σ-phase [44], the results obtained on three advanced austenitic heat-resistant steel grades Super 304H, HR3C and TP347HFG have proved the detrimental effect of σ-phase precipitation namely on toughness and plasticity of these steels.
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