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Abstract

Transabdominal ultrasound (US) is the first-line imaging method used to diagnose pancreatic lesions, but contrast techniques are needed to differentiate among inflammatory and malignant lesions, as well as between pseudocysts and cystic tumors. Contrast-enhanced (CE) ultrasonography has been proven to be a useful tool in this regard with performance similar to contrast-enhanced computer tomography/magnetic resonance imaging (CT/MRI), being also safer and nonirradiant. According to the EFSUMB guidelines on the nonhepatic use of contrast-enhanced ultrasound (CEUS), this method is useful to improve characterization of ductal adenocarcinoma; to differentiate between pseudocysts and cystic tumors; to differentiate vascular (solid) from avascular (liquid/necrotic) components of a lesion; to better define the dimensions and margins of a lesion, including its relationship with adjacent vessels; and to help the choice for a next imaging technique.
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1. Introduction

The pancreas, a retroperitoneal organ, is more difficult to evaluate by ultrasound (US), mostly due to a poor acoustic window generated by the interposition of intestinal gas between the transducer and the pancreas. In order to be able to correctly evaluate the pancreas, an experienced operator is needed.
On the other hand, US is a useful tool to identify pancreatic lesions, but ultrasound alone is not enough for the differential diagnosis and staging of the identified lesions, especially if a malignant tumor is suspected.

Contrast-enhanced ultrasound (CEUS) is not useful for the detection of focal pancreatic lesions, either solid or liquid. It is useful for the characterization of ultrasound-detected lesions at this level [1]. The technique can be used in acute and chronic pancreatitis (CP), in the characterization of solid tumors: ductal adenocarcinoma or neuroendocrine tumors, in the characterization of pseudocysts or pancreatic cystic tumors.

When a pancreatic tumor is detected, an immediate and correct differential diagnosis is mandatory to establish the appropriate management [2]. Conventional ultrasound followed by CEUS can provide a rapid assessment of the pancreatic lesion's pattern and can characterize the vascularization, thus making possible a differential diagnosis immediately after detection.

CEUS has the advantage of being a real-time imaging method that allows continuous visualization of vascular enhancement pattern, as opposed to contrast-enhanced (CE) computer tomography (CT) or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), which only take snapshots at preset time moments. Due to lack of side effects and irradiation, CEUS can be repeated immediately if inconclusive.

The most frequently used ultrasound contrast agent for pancreas examination is SonoVue (Bracco SpA, Milan, Italy), a second generation, strictly intravascular contrast agent, containing sulfur hexafluoride–filled microbubbles encapsulated in a phospholipid shell. US contrast agents have no influence on micro-vascularity and can be also used in patients with renal failure, and also allergic reactions are exceptionally rare [2, 3], as opposed to contrast-enhanced CT/MRI [4–7].

2. CEUS technique and CEUS aspect of the normal pancreas

For the CEUS examination of the pancreas, usually a 2.4 ml bolus of SonoVue is injected in an antecubital vein, followed by a 10 ml bolus saline solution. Contrast-specific US modes are required for the contrast study. They are available on specific ultrasound systems and are generally based on the cancellation and separation of linear US signals from the tissue and use of the nonlinear response from the contrast agent microbubbles [1].

The examination is performed with low mechanical index, using conventional image for orientation, and following in the same time the contrast study in a specific window. A “real-time” dynamic observation of the contrast-enhanced phases – arterial (early stage of enhancement, until 30 s) and late (delayed stage of enhancement, 30–45 until 120 s following contrast injection) – begins immediately after the contrast bolus [1]. The following aspects are followed up during CEUS: timing and intensity of enhancement and the distribution of the contrast agent.
Approximately 25–40 s following the contrast bolus, the pancreas shows a homogeneous intense enhancement due to its rich vascularity (Figure 1) [8]. Also due to the rich vascularity, the pancreas has a rapid “wash-out,” and 2 min following the contrast bolus, the pancreas appears as hypoenhancing as compared to the nearby liver (Figure 2) [8]. Thus, CEUS is useful especially for delineation of avascular pancreatic lesions.

Figure 1. CEUS of the normal pancreas, arterial phase: homogeneous intense enhancement (AO—aorta).

Figure 2. CEUS of the normal pancreas, late phase: the pancreas is hypoenhancing as compared to the liver (PV—portal vein; WD—Wirsung duct).
3. Acute pancreatitis (AP)

AP is a potentially severe disease with unpredictable outcome which can develop multiple complications with fast dynamics. The early differential diagnosis between a mild, edematous form and a severe, necrotic-hemorrhagic one is very important in order to be able to adapt the treatment and to be able to try to prevent the occurrence of complications. Currently, contrast-enhanced CT (CE-CT) is considered to be the reference method for the assessment and for staging AP [9]. But CE-CT is an irradiating, relatively expensive technique, and animal studies have suggested a potential risk of aggravation of AP following CE-CT due to pancreatic microcirculation impairment by the contrast agent [10-12], even if in human studies this effect has not been proven [13, 14]. Another impediment for CE-CT is the need for repetitive examinations according to the patient’s evolution. This is why a safer, cheaper diagnostic tool would be useful for the diagnosis, staging, and follow-up of patients with AP.

Abdominal US is in most cases the first imaging method used to evaluate patients with AP since it is widely available, safe, rapid, and inexpensive. It is also nonirradiating, and thus, it can be repeated as often as needed to follow-up the patient’s evolution. On the other hand, US has limitations due to the poor acoustic window in AP secondary to large amount of bowel gas and also due to the patient’s abdominal pain which makes him unable to cooperate for an optimal evaluation.

Standard abdominal US allows only assessment of the imaging aspect of the pancreas in AP, without being able to assess vascularity. But it also reveals suggestive signs for a severe AP such as hyperechoic bursa omentalis (Figure 3) and presence of intra-peritoneal collections (peripancreatic, pericolic or in the Douglas space), while using Doppler US, a splenic vein thrombosis may be seen.
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Figure 3. Acute pancreatitis, standard US: hypoechoic, inhomogeneous enlarged pancreas, hyperechoic bursa omentalis.)
CEUS allows visualization of pancreatic vascularity and thus is able to reveal necrotic areas which will not enhance following the contrast bolus (Figure 4). But the same limitations as for standard US apply for CEUS, which is useless if the acoustic window for the pancreas is poor.

Rickes et al. evaluated the accuracy of CEUS for the diagnosis of AP severity, considering CE-CT as the reference method, and they found out that CEUS had 82% sensitivity (Se), 89% specificity (Sp), 95% positive predictive value (PPV), and 67% negative predictive value (NPV) for diagnosing severe AP, with a much lesser cost than CE-CT [15]. Similar results were obtained by other authors, CEUS Se and Sp ranging from 86 and 97%, respectively [16], to 90.3 and 98.8%, respectively (97.4% accuracy) [17].

Thus, all these studies confirmed the value of CEUS for detecting pancreatic necrosis and for predicting the severity of AP. It showed similar results as compared with CE-CT with fewer side effects since CEUS is nonirradiant and since the US contrast agents have no influence on microvascularity and can also be used in patients with renal failure.

4. Chronic pancreatitis (CP)

During the evolution of CP, inflammatory masses can appear, a characteristic feature of pseudotumoral CP. Differential diagnosis between this entity and pancreatic cancer is often difficult not only due to the similar imaging aspect but also due to similar clinical symptoms [18]. The US aspect of pseudotumoral CP is most often of a hypoechoic, imprecisely delineated mass in the head of the pancreas. CEUS is useful to differentiate among the two entities since pancreatic adenocarcinomas are hypoenhancing following contrast (due to massive desmoplastic reaction as well as poor vascularity), while the inflammatory pseudotumor in CP will be enhancing in the arterial phase [19–21].
D’Onofrio et al. evaluated the performance of CEUS to diagnose pseudotumoral CP in a study that included 173 pancreatic masses. CEUS had 88.6% Se, 97.8% Sp, 91.2% PPV, and 96% accuracy for the diagnosis of pseudotumoral CP, while in 94% of cases the inflammatory mass showed moderate enhancement following contrast, similar to the adjacent pancreatic parenchyma [20].

A more recent study showed that the blood flow ratio between the superior mesenteric artery and the pancreatic parenchyma evaluated by CEUS correlates with the grade of chronic pancreatitis and concluded that this safe and convenient method may be useful for the early diagnose of CP [22].

A special entity is autoimmune pancreatitis. It is characterized by a high level of gamma-globulins or IgG, presence of auto-antibodies, mild or absent clinical symptoms. Imaging techniques reveal an enlarged, “sausage-like” pancreas, with diffuse, irregular thinning of the Wirsung duct (WD), with possible stenosis of the retro-pancreatic main biliary duct and rarely cysts or calcifications in the pancreatic parenchyma [20]. Autoimmune pancreatitis is sometimes associated with other autoimmune diseases such as diabetes mellitus, inflammatory bowel disease, primary biliary cirrhosis, primary sclerosis cholangitis, or lupus.

On standard US, in autoimmune pancreatitis the imaging changes are most often diffuse. The pancreas is enlarged, hypoechoic and with a compressed Wirsung duct. Following contrast bolus, the pancreas is moderate or hyperenhancing in the early arterial phase, but also with inhomogeneous enhancement due to lymphocytic infiltration and fibrosis [20].

5. Solid focal pancreatic lesions

5.1. Ductal adenocarcinoma

Ductal adenocarcinomas represent 80–90% of the exocrine pancreatic tumors [23]. They have a poor prognosis, due to both their aggressiveness and the difficult diagnosis in early phases, in which effective treatment can be initiated.

On standard US, ductal adenocarcinoma most frequently appears as a hypoechoic, imprecisely delineated mass which sometimes exceeds the contour of the pancreas (which facilitates detection) (Figure 5), but which other times is completely embedded in the pancreatic parenchyma.

In CEUS, ductal adenocarcinoma is only slightly enhancing in the early phase, appearing as hypoenhancing as compared with the adjacent pancreatic parenchyma (Figure 6) probably due to the desmoplastic reaction and low mean vascular density [20, 23–25]. This pattern is present in approximately 90% of cases [2, 20, 26]. Moreover, CEUS enables a better visualization and staging of ductal adenocarcinoma by allowing a better delineation as well as assessment of vascular invasion [23, 27–29].
Figure 5. Ductal adenocarcinoma in the head of the pancreas, standard US: hypoechoic mass (TU arrows). PA — pancreas.

Figure 6. Ductal adenocarcinoma in the head of the pancreas, CEUS—arterial phase: hypoenhancing mass (TU—arrows) as compared to the adjacent pancreatic parenchyma (PA).

Also CEUS enables liver assessment in the late vascular phases, thus allowing visualization of eventual metastases, with a better accuracy than standard US. In the late phase (more than 120 s after the contrast bolus), liver metastases will appear as hypoenhancing focal liver lesions.

5.2. Neuroendocrine tumors

Neuroendocrine tumors may be symptomatic if they are secreting, with specific clinical signs according to the secreted hormone, or asymptomatic if they are nonfunctioning, in this case only nonspecific symptoms are present, secondary to tumoral growth.
Neuroendocrine tumors are hypervascular lesions [30]. On standard US, they have a similar aspect to ductal adenocarcinoma, as hypoechoic masses (Figure 7). The differential diagnosis among the two entities is extremely important, for prognosis, as well as for therapeutic strategy, and this is where CEUS can make a difference.

Figure 7. Neuroendocrine tumor in the pancreatic head, standard US: hypoechoic mass (TU). Dilated main biliary duct (MBD). GB—gallbladder.

On CEUS, there are different enhancing patterns according to the size and tumor vascularity [23]. Thus, large tumors show an intense enhancement in the early phase, excepting the necrotic areas that are unenhancing, while medium-sized lesions will also by enhancing in the arterial phase (Figure 8) [31]. Both types of lesions are hypoenhancing in the late phase (Figure 9). On the other hand, nonfunctioning neuroendocrine tumors can be hypovascular due to their dense hyaline stroma (they also appear as hypointense on CE-CT) [31, 32].

Figure 8. Neuroendocrine tumor in the pancreatic head, CEUS—arterial phase: hyperenhancing mass (arrows). Dilated main biliary duct (MBD). GB—gallbladder.
6. Cystic focal pancreatic lesions

6.1. Pseudocysts

Pseudocysts are the most frequent cystic pancreatic lesions and are characterized by a fibrous wall with no epithelium [33]. On standard US, they usually appear as anechoic lesions in the pancreatic area. Sometimes echoic material can be seen inside the lesions, usually in the lower areas (Figure 10). Association with an episode of acute pancreatitis in the recent history of the patient can be useful for a positive diagnosis.
In CEUS, pseudocysts appear as completely unenhancing due to the fact that they are avascular structures, even if in standard US they may have an echoic content (Figure 11). This feature is very important for the differential diagnosis with cystic pancreatic tumors [2, 23, 34]. The method has up to 100% sensitivity and specificity in characterizing these lesions [34].

Figure 11. Pancreatic cystic lesion the same as in Figure 10. CEUS—arterial phase. Anechoic content with unenhancing walls and protrusions. Definitive diagnosis: pancreatic pseudocyst.

6.2. Mucinous cystadenomas and cystadenocarcinomas

Mucinous cystadenomas of the pancreas are rather rare and considered to be premalignant lesions. If found they should be resected to avoid malignant transformation. Differentiation between pancreatic serous cystadenoma and mucinous cystadenoma is difficult and is based on fine needle aspiration (FNA) that will reveal in the later high levels of carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) in the aspirated fluid as well as a low level of pancreatic enzymes [35, 36]. They are cystic pancreatic masses, usually multilocular, rarely unilocular when they must be differentiated from pancreatic pseudocysts and from serous cystadenomas [37–41].

On standard US, mucinous cystic tumors appear as cystic masses with thick wall and septa, with echoic protrusions from the wall and with the content (mucin) not always anechoic, sometimes with peripheric calcifications (Figure 12). On CEUS, the cystic wall as well as the septa will enhance following the contrast bolus, being easy to differentiate from the unenhancing content (Figure 13). The presence of enhancing walls, protrusions, and septa is the differential element from pancreatic pseudocysts [23, 41–43].
The diagnosis of intra-ductal papillary mucinous neoplasms (IPMN), main-duct or side branch-duct types, is based on MRI and endoscopic ultrasound. CEUS can be also helpful for differentiating between perfused (tumoral) and nonperfused (clot) regions [44].

6.3. Serous cystadenomas

Serous cystadenomas are benign cystic lesions, with a lobulated microcystic structure with thin and centrally oriented septa, which are vascularized on CEUS [43]. It may mimic a solid lesion, both on conventional US and on CEUS, but they are hyperenhanced on CEUS [44].
The criteria used for the differential diagnosis of pancreatic masses (standard US, Doppler US and CEUS) are presented in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lesion</th>
<th>Standard US</th>
<th>Power Doppler US</th>
<th>CEUS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ductal adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>Hypoechoic</td>
<td>Undetectable tumoral</td>
<td>Poorly vascularized tumor (hypoenhancing)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Imprecisely delineated</td>
<td>vessels</td>
<td>Marginal tumoral vessels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dilated Wirsung duct</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vascular invasion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Metastases</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute pancreatitis</td>
<td>Hypoechoic</td>
<td>Rarely detectable</td>
<td>Enhancement according to necrosis and inflammation: hyperenhancement in edematous AP with unenhancement of the necrotic areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Imprecisely delineated</td>
<td>vessels</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Necrotic areas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thrombosis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic pancreatitis</td>
<td>Dilated Wirsung duct</td>
<td>Rarely detectable</td>
<td>Enhancement according to necrosis and inflammation: Hypoenhancement in CP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Calcifications</td>
<td>vessels</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuroendocrine tumors</td>
<td>Hypoechoic</td>
<td>Rarely detectable</td>
<td>Hyperenhancing tumors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Well delineated</td>
<td>vessels</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Undilated Wirsung duct</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rare vascular invasion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Metastases</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cystadenomas</td>
<td>Small cysts (&lt;3 cm)</td>
<td>Undetectable tumor</td>
<td>Hyperenhancing tumors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Small size calcifications</td>
<td></td>
<td>Arteries accompanying the fibrous septa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fibrous septa</td>
<td>vessels</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Undilated Wirsung duct</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cystadenocarcinomas</td>
<td>Large size cysts (&gt;5 cm)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Enhancing echoic areas inside the cyst</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Echoic areas inside the cyst and septa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Undilated Wirsung duct</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudocysts</td>
<td>Anechoic, well delineated lesion</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rarely detectable tumor vessels in young lesions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Signs of acute/chronic pancreatitis</td>
<td></td>
<td>Unenhancing walls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Signs of bleeding/calcifications</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Accompanying changes of the adjacent bowel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Differential diagnosis of pancreatic masses [1, 9, 45, 46].

Several studies proved the utility of CEUS for the characterization of pancreatic tumors [2, 9, 17, 47–49]. The accuracy for the diagnosis of solid pancreatic lesions varies from 91.7% [2] to 92.9% [17] or 93.8% in other series [48].
In a recent meta-analysis that included 23 CEUS studies, the pooled estimate of CEUS sensitivity for the diagnosis of ductal adenocarcinoma was 0.89 (95% CI, 0.85–0.92), while the average specificity was 0.84 (95% CI, 0.77–0.89). For the differentiation of neoplastic and nonneoplastic lesions, the reported pooled sensitivity and specificity were 0.95 (95% CI, 0.93–0.96) and 0.72 (95% CI, 0.58–0.83) [50].

7. Contrast-enhanced endoscopic ultrasound (CE-EUS)

CE-EUS combines the benefits of high-resolution US to those of a contrast-enhanced imaging method. It has two subtypes with similar performance: contrast-enhanced endoscopic Doppler ultrasound (which uses a high mechanical index for examination and no special software) and contrast-enhanced low mechanical index endoscopic ultrasound (in which the examination is made in contrast mode) [51]. CE-EUS in Doppler mode is useful to differentiate between ductal adenocarcinomas (in which only arterioles are seen) and pseudotumoral chronic pancreatitis (in which both arterioles and venules can be identified by CE-EUS Doppler) [52, 53].

CE-EUS with low mechanical index has been used similarly to transabdominal CEUS to differentiate between pseudotumoral CP and ductal adenocarcinoma, but also to guide FNA in order to avoid avascular areas, improving the diagnostic accuracy of FNA [54]. Quantitative postprocedural assessment of uptake of the contrast agent has been proven useful to improve the accuracy of CE-EUS with low mechanical index for differentiating between the two entities [55, 56].

As a conclusion, we must cite the EFSUMB guidelines on the nonhepatic use of CEUS, which state that CEUS is useful to improve characterization of ductal adenocarcinoma (A;1b); to differentiate between pseudocysts and cystic tumors (A;1b); to differentiate vascular (solid) from avascular (liquid/necrotic) components of a lesion (A;1b); to better define the dimensions and margins of a lesion, including its relationship with adjacent vessels (B;2b); to help the choice for a next imaging technique (C;5) [1].
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