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1. Introduction

Kidneys play several roles in helping maintain physiologic balance; they are therefore important for continuing or regaining homeostasis during and after surgery and anesthesia. The renal system is necessary to support the processes of fluid, electrolyte, and acid-based balance, drug metabolism and elimination, blood pressure control through the renin-angiotensin system, red blood cell production through erythropoietin production, and vitamin D hydroxylation.

2. Main issues regarding the oral health in patients on hemodialysis and those with kidney transplant

The primary role of the dental doctor consists of the early diagnosis or referral of the patient to the right specialist, as the most frequent renal disease a dentist may encounter is the chronic kidney disease [18, 39, 114].

The symptoms that may lead us to the conclusion of constrained renal function vary depending on the extent of the damage and the reaction to the suggested treatment, and are characterized with systemic as well as intraoral findings.
3. Common symptoms at CKD (Chronic Kidney Disease)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disease</th>
<th>Symptoms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gastrointestinal</td>
<td>– nausea, vomiting, anorexia, metal taste, malodor, oesophagitis, gastritis, gastrointestinal bleeding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuromuscular</td>
<td>– headache, peripheral neuropathy, paralysis, sleep disturbances, numbness of limbs, convulsions correlating with level of the azotemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hematoimmunologic</td>
<td>– normocytic and normochromic anemia, coagulopathy, low resistance to infections, low production of erythropoietin, lymphocytopenia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endocrine metabolic</td>
<td>– renal osteodystrophy (osteomalacia, osteosclerosis, fibrous cysts), secondary hyperparathyroidism, disturbed growth, decreased libido, amenorrhea, thyroid dysfunction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiovascular</td>
<td>– cardiomyopathy, arrhythmia, pericarditis, high blood pressure, difficulty in breathing, congestive heart failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dermatologic</td>
<td>– paleness, itching, signs of scratching because of the itch, increased photosensitive pigmentation, uremic white spots, brown coloring of the nails Fig.1, signs of water retention, limb heaviness, edema of the ankles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory</td>
<td>– Kussmaul breathing because of acidosis, pulmonary edema, dyspnea</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Symptoms at CKD [18, 125]

Figure 1. Brown coloring of nails

Renal osteodystrophy or renal bone disease is one of the most prominent signs of CKD and may occur in one or several combined forms. As a result of the increase of the level of phosphates in blood plasma, the decrease of calcium in blood plasma and the failure of processing of 25-hydroxycholecalciferol into the active and necessary 1,25 dihydroxycholecalciferol, an
increase of the parathormone (PTH) occurs. This leads to secondary hyperparathyroidism. Because of the increase of the non-mineralized bone matrix progressive bone changes may be observed - osteomalacia, lytic lesions followed by bone fibrosis. Renal osteodystrophy in kids leads to a delay in skeletal growth and a tendency for spontaneous fractures.

Most frequent orofacial signs of renal osteodystrophy are bone demineralization, lower trabeculation, lower density of the cortical bone, calcifications in soft tissues, radiolucent fibrocystic lesions, and complicated bone healing following extraction. Regarding the teeth and parodontal tissues we may observe delayed eruption, enamel hypoplasia (fig.2), loss of lamina dura, widening of the periodontal space, severe periodontal destruction, tooth mobility, denticles, obliteration of pulp chamber, and giant-cell lesions of the type "brown tumors" [78, 85].

Nephrotic syndrome is observed in patients with glomerular diseases. It includes proteinuria (over 3.5 gr), hypoalbuminemia, hyperlipidemia, lipiduria, and edema. Causes may vary: sugar diabetes, chronic lupus erythematosus, or membrane glomerulonephritis. Increased level of blood coagulation factor VIII may lead to hypercoagulation and increased risk of thrombosis. Such patients may suffer catabolic processes, bacterial, fungal and viral infections [53, 66].

It should be noted that a significant part of the patients with renal disorders may also suffer from diabetes [14]. It is less probable for a dentist to diagnose diabetes, but patients whose dental status alters unexpectedly as rapidly as in progressive parodontitis, fungal eczemas, abscesses, high fluid intake, rapid weight loss, mouth dryness and halitosis [28, 29] may be suspicious. Those symptoms impose the appointment of definite examinations, which may help to set the latter diagnosis.

Renal disorders almost invariably cause anemia as a result of the kidneys’ inability to produce erythropoietin. Fibrosis of marrow and the increased loss of erythrocytes are additional factors which increase the development of the disease. Anemia leads to fatigue, loss of concentration,
tissue hypoxia, and paleness of the oral mucosa. In patients with advanced and untreated uremia, yellow-brownish coloring of the skin and mucosa because of the accumulation of carotene-like substances [4, 125] may be observed.

4. Intraoral findings typical for patients on hemodialysis and patients with kidney transplant

- Almost mandatory findings for each patient on hemodialysis are uremic breath and altered taste in the oral cavity. They occur as a result of the increased concentration of urea in saliva and its following transformation to ammonia [18, 60, 113, 121]. It is possible, however, for similar complaints to be registered in patients with normal values for blood and urine, for example after transplantation, and this is caused by the higher corrosion potential, combined with insufficient and personal and professional oral hygiene [90].

- Xerostomia could be explained with lowered fluid intake, as a side effect of antihypertensive or other medicaments, possible alterations in the salivary glands due to autoimmune or age-related changes [25, 26, 27, 28, 41, 47, 52, 73, 91, 92]. The study of Bots et al. [12] proves that in patients on hemodialysis the saliva quantity (stimulated and non-stimulated) is temporarily lowered but after transplantation and recovery of the renal function it is restored to normal values. With the same patients they register drop in pH from 7.36 to 6.74 probably because of the lower concentration of urea in saliva and the following decrease of hydrolysis and by the transformation of oral flora to ammonia [16].

- Oral mucosa findings, reported in patients with CKD, with the exception of uremic stomatitis (fig.3), are unusual and vary, as a result of the main disease, as well as the intake of drugs: white plaques, macules, nodules, erythematous plaques, fibro-epithelial polypus, ulceration, geographic tongue (fig.4), lichen planus, red fibrous tongue, fiber leukoplakia, and papilloma [21, 38, 76]. We may notice pale oral mucosa because of anemia, but also red-orange coloring of the skin following the deposition of carotene-like substances [71, 92, 110].

Cervero et al. [18] described 4 types of uremic stomatitis (erythematous, ulcerative, hemorrhagic and hyperkeratotic). The lesions are painful, situated on the ventral surface of the tongue, on the floor of the oral cavity and the buccal mucosa. Most frequently the cause is untreated CKD. It emerges because of inflammation and the chemical influence of ammonia or ammonia components, formed by the hydrolysis of the urea (over 30mmol/L intraoral) [95] in the saliva by urease. Kellet [58] reports about four patients with chronic renal insufficiency suffering white painless plaques. They are not subject to treatment, and they disappear in up to 2 or 3 weeks after regulating the level of blood urea. Long [71] defines two types of uremic stomatitis: I type - generalized or localized erythema with grey-white pseudomembrane coating the removal of which doesn’t lead to bleeding or ulceration, and type II- after removing the coating of the surface is ulcerating [3, 27, 58, 71].
Uremic stomatitis, whitish-gray pseudomembranes

Figure 3. Uremic stomatitis

Figure 4. Geographic tongue
Mac-Donald [74], Peneva et al. [87] found delay in the eruption of the permanent teeth with statistically significant difference in children born with the disease and lasting for life in comparison to healthy children.

Peneva et al. [85] explored the incidence of tooth decay among 30 children on hemodialysis and defined that children on dialysis suffer less frequently from decay compared to healthy children. They also found that, decay resistance is higher at children with earlier beginning of the disease and longer duration.

Shu et al. [105] explored the correlation between decay and urease activity of the tooth plaque in 25 caries-free participants and 8 participants with decays. They found that in the caries-free subjects urease activity of the dental plaque is significantly higher than this in patients with caries. They suggested that the loss of alkalizing potential of the tooth biofilm is in positive correlation with the incidence of tooth decay. Meanwhile they didn’t establish statistically significant difference in the salivary urease activity.

Takeuchi et al. [111] researched the oral microbial flora in patients with renal disease and its influence on caries and parodontal pathology. They discovered significantly higher count of parodontal and decay pathogens in patients with renal disease. This fact in turn defines the higher risk of tooth decay and parodontal disease compared to healthy samples.

Most researches regarding the oral status of patients with CKD, on hemodialysis or transplanted, are made with a control group consisting of healthy patients. For the first time a long-term, two-year research by Bots et al. [13] compares xerostomia, the sense of thirst, saliva secretion and the general oral health of patients with renal failure to those of a group of transplanted patients. Using DMFT, DMFS-indexes they find that the teeth affected by decay don’t differ statistically in the group of patients on hemodialysis from those of the control group with transplanted patients. In this research, the scholars expressly note the increased necessity of examining the oral status of patients expecting transplantation.

Gavalda et al. [41] examined 105 patients on hemodialysis. They diagnosed mucosal, salivary, dental and periodontal findings in the oral cavity. They didn’t find significant difference between the value of the index referring to the decay incidence in patients on hemodialysis and healthy samples, but they established such at indexes reflecting the amount of calculus and tooth plaque.

Bayraktar et al. [8] found elevated incidence of tooth caries in their control healthy group compared to group of patients on hemodialysis, but that rise is not statistically significant. Rustemeyer et al. [97] didn’t find statistically significant difference of the dental health of the groups in their research either, but they noted the tendency for higher value of DMFT in the groups expecting renal transplantation ($=14,9$), liver transplantation ($=14,5$), valve transplantation ($=15,2$) and the control group ($=13,8$).

In the scientific literature, the issue of prevention and early dental intervention in patients on dialysis has become extremely pertinent, with a marked emphasis on the requirement for an interdisciplinary approach towards these patient groups [11, 30, 61].
Hypoplasia - there are cases where ESRD evolved in childhood. Pulp obliteration is due to violations in calcium and phosphoric exchange [60, 74, 81, 86, 90].

1. It’s been proven that **parodontitis** may contribute to the development of common inflammation processes and systemic diseases such as atherosclerosis and cardiovascular diseases [22, 109]. Gingival pathogens may damage system circulation in the body by one of two connected mechanisms:

2. They provoke liver enzyme activity, influencing IL-6 and C-reactive protein, which in its turn activates the system of the complement and cause the deposition of calcium connections and aggregation of LDL and very LDL cholesterol.

3. *P. gingivalis* damages human endothelial cells and helps the formation of atheromatous plaques [11, 22, 60, 65, 84, 112].

Fisher [37] in his research defines parodontal disease as an “unconventional risk factor for the development of chronic renal disease”. Pejcic et al. [84] took part into the discussion about the role of periodontitis as a risk factor for general diseases. Authors such as Klassen and Krasasko [62] and Al Wahadni and Al Omari [2] report prevalence of gingival and parodontal diseases in patients on hemodialysis. There are authors who don’t find increase in parodontal indexes with such patients [13, 55, 81]. Khisageret et al. [68] note the significance of parodontal health in end-stage renal failure. They carried out a retrospective cohort research and followed the correlation between parodontal diseases and the mortality rate of patients with severe cardiovascular disease, such as patients with CKD. They established define that the mortality rate in the group of the patients with medium to severe periodontitis and cardiovascular disease is five times higher for the 18-month period of the research.

Using parodontal diagnostics, that includes CPITN, PI, PBI (papillary bleeding index), CAL (clinical attachment level), Borawski et al. examine patients on hemodialysis, patients on peritoneal dialysis, patients in the pre-dialysis stage, patients with advanced periodontitis and average patients (randomly selected). The research shows a much higher incidence of periodontitis development in patients with renal disease in comparison to average patients. Periodontal disease is practically most severe in patients on hemodialysis, less severe in patients on peritoneal dialysis and moderate in patients in the pre-dialysis stage.

Relatively little is known about the **long term** effect of dialysis treatment on oral health. A research carried out by a group of Turkish scientists, Bayraktar et al. [8], proves the necessity of sanitation, because of the negative results that occur with time onto oral health of this patient group. The publications of Graig [44], Donald [31], and Davidovich [23, 24] testify to the two-way relation between end-stage CKD and the severity of parodontal inflammation, which can be proved by examining the levels of C-reactive protein. Bayraktar et al. [8], led by the fact that problems with oral health may have a negative influence over patients in end-stage CKD, launched a survey comparing the parodontal and dental status of patients with renal failure and a healthy control group. They established that there isn’t a statistically significant difference between the measured pocket depths (PPD) of the two groups, but the values of the plague index (PI), the calculus index (CSI), and the gingival index (GI) show significant statistical
difference. A positive correlation was established between the duration of dialysis procedures more than 3 years and missing teeth, the gingival index and pocket depth.

The research of Davidovich [24] shows for the first time the relation between the duration of dialysis and parodontal diseases in children. The results present a significant loss of epithelial attachment in patients with end-stage CKD compared to healthy patients. A positive correlation was established between the severity of parodontal status and bad oral hygiene, the uremic status, and the duration of the kidney disease.

- Regarding the cause of commonly reported gingival inflammation in patients with CKD, controversial data in literature exists. Nunn et al. [81], Tollefsen & Jonasen [118, 119], and Ertugrul et al. [36] report reduction in gingivitis because of immunosuppressants and uremia. Naugle et al. [79] reveal conflicting data. Furthermore, Kitsou et al. [62] reproduce experimental gingivitis following the protocol of Löe. Oral hygiene is discontinued for 28 days. The authors report they haven’t found differences in the gingival indexes between the group of 6 patients on hemodialysis and one of 6 patients without renal problems and conclude that chronic uremia doesn’t contribute to the defensive mechanisms of parodontal tissue against tooth plaque. Davidovich et al. [24] report a statistically significant difference comparing the duration of the dialysis and CKD and gingival and parodontal changes. They report that uremia and immunosuppression reduce but don’t eliminate an inflammation response of the gingiva and periodontium against tooth plaque. Another condition accompanying end-stage renal failure is diabetes. Chuang et al. [21] compared the oral health of 45 patients suffering from diabetes and undergoing hemodialysis treatment to that of 83 patients without diabetes but on hemodialysis. They reported lower saliva secretion and lower pH connected with higher caries levels in the diabetes group, but they didn’t observe differences in gingival inflammation and the presence of parodontitis. In the research of Borawski et al. [11] the need is noted of treatment through CPITN for patients on hemodialysis and transplanted renal patients.

Figure 5. Cyclosporine induced gingival hyperplasia
Another finding in patients with end-stage liver failure, is drug-induced gingival hyperplasia (DIGH) (fig.5). Its mechanism of occurrence is multifactorial and has not yet been fully explained. The intake of antihypertensive and immunosuppressive drugs gives evidence in the oral cavity [42, 50, 104, 115]. Such overgrowth is usually observed in the early posttransplantation period (4 m) and in combination with insufficient oral hygiene or previously damaged periodontium [1, 30, 89, 112]. The sole influence of cyclosporine remains controversial in the specialized literature. Data varies from 25 to 81% depending on methods used [104]. R. A. Seymour [103] compares the influence of azathioprine on gingiva to that of cyclosporine and finds that azathioprine has no damaging effect on the gingiva. J. A. James [57] reports the absence of gingival changes when using tacrolimus (6.4%) and cyclosporine (17.9%) on the third month after immunosuppression, excluding patients with accompanying antihypertensive therapy. Their study shows that tacrolimus also induces gingival hyperplasia, but to a lesser extent [3]. James [56] takes into consideration 4 cases of swapping cyclosporine with tacrolimus, combined with professional care of periodontist. In only one case a full regression of the gingival overgrowth occurs. J. A. James [57] compares gingival hyperplasia among 25 patients taking tacrolimus, and 26 control group patients and doesn’t find a statistically significant difference. This gives him the grounds to distinguish tacrolimus as an alternative to cyclosporine A, when a severe case of gingival hyperplasia is present.

Researches made by Davidovich [24] and Thorp et al. [116] confirmed the findings of Nunn et al. [81] about gingival overgrowth in transplanted patients on immunosuppression with cyclosporine A and less frequently occurring one in patients taking tacrolimus.

Radwan-Oczko et al. [94] sought a connection between gingival hyperplasia, immunosuppressive drugs and the growth factor β1 (TGF β1), which is considered a key cytokine in fibrogenesis. They didn’t prove any statistically significant relation between gene expression of TGF β1, gingival hyperplasia and treatment with cyclosporine A and tacrolimus.

Djemileva [30] and Gera [42] believe that shared responsibility in maintaining oral health in the long process of treatment of these patients is a crucial factor as well as the possible switch of immunosuppressants. The studies of Somacarrera et al. [108], Ellis et al. [34], and J. Smith et al. [106] are taken as evidence corroborating the supposition that maintaining sufficient oral hygiene leads to a decrease of gingival hyperplasia.

Malignancy. The suppression of the immune system in transplanted patients may predispose the formation of malignant entities. Two types of malignant formations that prevail in patients with kidney transplantation have been reported: cancer of the cervix and squamous cancer of the skin [10, 17, 66, 88, 100]. Malignancy may also include Kaposi’s sarcoma, renal cancer, and lymphomas.

Candidiasis is particularly specific for the early post-transplantation period: from 0 to 6 months. It is caused first by the immunosuppressive action of the drugs and the impact on oral homeostasis and second by the decrease of saliva secretion- medications for hypertension, and dialysis procedures [32, 49, 78, 99].
4.1. A dental treatment approach to patients on hemodialysis and transplanted

Assuming susceptibility of the patients on hemodialysis and those with a renal transplant to infections, it proves necessary to pick the right antibiotics for each dental procedure, that may cause longer bacteraemia [97, 120]. A number of studies prove the need of antibiotic protection at risky dental manipulations, even though according to Lockhart et al. [70], washing one’s teeth is comparable to tooth extraction as a possible cause for bacteraemia [122, 123]. It’s necessary to have in mind the possibility of contamination of parodontal tissues through various means of personal oral hygiene [72, 93].

The American Heart Association in its recommendations for the prevention of bacterial endocarditis from 2007 [123] divides the dental procedures into such hazardous for bacteraemia: all procedures connected with manipulation of the gingival tissue and the periapical region of the teeth, or perforation of the oral mucosa, and these where antibiotic prophylaxis is not necessary: routine anesthesia through non-infected tissue, radiographs, and bleeding from trauma of the oral mucosa. In a similar way, D. Tong [119, 120] made a division of the dental procedures (table 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>High risk category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tooth extraction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Periodontal procedure that includes surgery, Ultrasound scaling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Root probing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implant placing and tooth reimplantation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endodontic instrumentation or surgery beyond root apex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subgingival application of antibiotic fibers and bands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial placing of orthodontic rings but not brackets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intraligamentary local anesthetia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preventive cleaning of teeth or implants with expected bleeding</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedures where prophylaxis is not needed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dental restorations with or without a retraction cord</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local anesthesia (excluding intraligamentary)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intracanal endodontic procedures after placing implants and build-ups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rubber dam placing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-operative suture removal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placement of orthodontic and prosthetic constructions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taking of dental impressions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teeth fluoridation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiographs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjustment of orthodontic constructions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replacement of milk teeth</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Dental procedures with a compelling antibiotic prophylaxis in patients at risk
4.2. Dental treatment approaches in the pre- and post-operative periods

1. Most authors are unanimous that in the pre-transplantation period preventive sanitation of all foci is necessary [5, 6, 11, 12, 27, 46, 64, 82, 84, 96, 97, 98]. To the initial dental diagnostics that includes standard dental examination and parodontal examination the methods of the complex oral and focal diagnostics could be added, which may define the dominant and latent foci that early in the pre-transplantation period so that a treatment plan for the post-transplantation period can be devised. Dental doctors should be aware of the degree of renal insufficiency and the current medical status of the patient. Consultation with the patient’s general doctor should be made and lab tests should be performed, especially before surgical dental interventions. The intake of systemic antibiotics in the pre-transplantation period is contraindicated [82], not counting life-threatening situations. Heavily damaged decayed teeth and such with radiograph changes and symptoms should be extracted. A mass teeth extraction procedure is to be performed on patients with bad oral hygiene and advanced periodontal disease, and on those unmotivated to maintain sufficient oral hygiene. Surgical sanitation is followed by prosthetic restoration [54].

It is necessary to treat all newly emerged dental conditions without waiting for the clinical symptoms to develop. Moreover, to fulfil the requirements for sufficient dental health, a patient should have a sufficient knowledge. Several studies take notice of the fact that patients suffering from CKD don’t maintain sufficient oral hygiene and it should be improved [7, 43].

Infection control is a complex issue regarding patients with end-stage renal disease. If an invasive dental procedure is required, a consultation with the treatment doctor must be made. The current health status of the patients is consulted, as well as the possible need of antibiotic premedication, usage of local anesthetics and other drugs. Prescribing medicaments to patients with renal insufficiency should be approached with care and in full accordance with their current medical and renal condition [19, 20, 53, 67] (table 3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibiotic</th>
<th>Normal renal function</th>
<th>Glomerular filtration 10–50 ml/min</th>
<th>Glomerular filtration &lt;10 ml/min</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amoxicillin</td>
<td>8 h</td>
<td>8–12 h</td>
<td>12–18 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ampicillin</td>
<td>6 h</td>
<td>6–9 h</td>
<td>9–12 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cephalexin</td>
<td>6 h</td>
<td>6 h</td>
<td>6–12 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clindamycin</td>
<td>8 h</td>
<td>8 h</td>
<td>8 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doxycycline</td>
<td>12–24 h</td>
<td>12–24 h</td>
<td>12–24 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erythromycin</td>
<td>6 h</td>
<td>6 h</td>
<td>6 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metronidazole</td>
<td>8 h</td>
<td>8 h</td>
<td>12–16 h</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Antibiotic premedication for patients suffering from CKD, adap. J. W. Little, D. A. Falace et al [66]; J. A. Ship [105]
W. M. Bennett et al. [9] propose a change in dosage for patients on hemodialysis with emerged tooth infection:

- Penicillin 500 mg p. o. every 6 hours after dialysis;
- Amoxicillin 500 mg p. o. every 24 hours after hemodialysis;
- Ampicillin 250 mg – 1 g p. o. every 12-24 hours after hemodialysis;
- Erythromycin 250 mg р.о. every 6 hours optional only after dialysis;
- Clindamycin 300 mg p.o. every 6 hours optional only after dialysis.

According to data from Tong and Walker [120] in Australia and New Zealand, 53% of dental doctors follow the instructions of AHA for the prevention of bacterial endocarditis. One of the most frequently used patterns for premedication is taking a 2g Amoxicillin or 600 mg Clindamycin (in cases of Penicillin allergy) one hour before a dental procedure: for kids 50mg/kg oral intake 30-60 minutes before the procedure.

A survey in two Swedish provinces reveals that the most frequently prescribed antibiotics to kidney transplanted patients when performing scaling, tooth extraction and root canal treatment are Amoxicillin, Penicillin, Clindamycin [33].

The issue of antibiotic prevention of bacterial endocarditis has undergone considerable development in the past 10 years. In 2007 AHA published an amendment to the recommendations from 1997. AHA (2007) narrows significantly the diseases whose dental treatment is indicative for antibiotic prevention. In the recommendations patients with dialysis shunts are classified in class 3, level C on account of the possibility for the development of bacterial endocarditis during dental treatment. This means that there are indications, supported with evidence or general agreement, that the procedures/treatment are not necessary, ineffective and in some cases even damaging. The level of evidence is C, in other words the recommendations are based only on an established consensus of views of experts, on separate cases or on accepted standards of treatment. Despite this fact, these patients are defined as “unique” in view of the higher risk of infections of the venous shunt, because of their immunocompromised status and the increased count of S. aureus [5]. Around 22% of the arteriovenous shunts get infected, which leads to antibiotic intake or to changes in the intake plan. The pathogens linked with the infection occurring in the application of the vascular approach are 53% S. aureus and 20.3% coagulase-negative staphylococci. In the AHA guide [5] to non-valvular cardiovascular equipment a regime of antibiotic prophylaxis of patients with hemodialysis shunts and organ transplants is not mentioned. The same opinion is maintained by Pallsh [83]. Lockhart et al. [69] methodically examine the efficiency of antibiotic premedication in dental practice. They divide patients taking antibiotics in 8 groups. One of the groups consists of patients with hemo- and peritoneal dialysis: with kidney dialysis shunts (hemodialysis and peritoneal). The authors found little or no scientific evidence on issues relating to the usage of antibiotic prophylaxis before dental procedures in these 8 groups of patients.

Until now no clear evidence has been provided that during invasive dental treatment of patients with advanced renal, liver or heart condition antibiotic prevention is needed, but most
dental centers and authors follow the instructions of the AHA [123], pointing out two main reasons: shunt infection risk [101] or the possible development of infectious endocarditis [109] (table 4).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Antibiotic</th>
<th>Prescription</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Standard prophylaxis</strong></td>
<td>Amoxicillin</td>
<td>Adults 2.0 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Kids 50 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Inability for oral intake</strong></td>
<td>Ampicillin</td>
<td>2 g i.m. or i.v.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>50 mg/kg i.m. or i.v.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Penicillin allergy</strong></td>
<td>Clindamycin</td>
<td>600 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20 mg/kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cephalexin* or cefadroxil</td>
<td>2 gr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>50 mg/kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Azithromycin or Clarithromycin</td>
<td>500 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15 mg/kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Penicillin allergy and inability for oral intake</strong></td>
<td>Clindamycin</td>
<td>600 mg i.m. or i.v.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20 mg i.m. or i.v.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cefazolin</td>
<td>1 gr i.m. or i.v.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>50 mg/kg i.m. or i.v.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Or other first or second generation cephalosporins in equivalent doses for adults and children

Table 4. Antibiotic premedication according to AHA’s recommendations

Patients awaiting transplantation undergo antibiotic premedication from the moment they are moved into the operation theater. The duration of the antibiotic treatment is usually with a duration of up to 3 days. In the best case scenario the antibiotic intake should be determined on the basis of the bacterial flora present, the kind of transplantation and patient-specific features [107]. For example a kidney transplanted patient may be prescribed Cefazolin and Ampicillin-sulbactam to cope with the uropathogens and staphylococci. In patients with chronic dental infections, frequent or continuous bacteraemia may occur, which in its turn may trigger acute or chronic inflammation in other organs [61].

De Rossi and Glick [27] systematize a few guidelines for a recommended dental approach to patients on hemodialysis. They also follow AHA’s recommendations, but they think that the antibiotic of choice should be Vancomycin, which must be flowed on the day of the dialysis before an invasive dental procedure, since its action on the organism lasts for the next 7 days. What follows is a radical approach with the extraction of the tooth.

With better medical care, the expectations of the patients for better and longer life are justified.

**Hemostatic agents**

Standard tests for suspected coagulopathy include [45]:

1. Bleeding time (BT).
2. Prothrombin time (PT).
3. Partial thromboplastin time (PTT).
4. Platelet count (table 8).

5. INR (International Normalised Ratio).

Lockhart et al. [68] define several points which need to be considered before invasive dental procedure is initiated on patients with CKD. The first issue to consider is the analysis of what is described above, as well as the influence of the platelet count on the expected post-operative bleeding (table 5).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Platelet count (na μl)</th>
<th>Diagnose</th>
<th>Effect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>150 000–450 000</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Rare but possible operative bleeding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 000–150 000</td>
<td>Mild thrombocytopenia</td>
<td>Increased possibility for bleeding during dental manipulation but unusual.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 000–100 000</td>
<td>Moderate thrombocytopenia</td>
<td>Expected problematic bleeding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 000–50 000</td>
<td>Severe thrombocytopenia</td>
<td>Spontaneous bleeding at &lt;10 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;25 000</td>
<td>Life-threatening condition</td>
<td>Invasive procedures only at emergency and blood transfusion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Platelet count and its effect on post-operative bleeding

They define hemostasis in the oral cavity as a multifactorial process, which is not well studied yet. To a greater extent the insufficient use of lab tests may confound the appointed anticoagulation therapy and the risk for the patient could be greater than post-operative bleeding.

Meechan and Greenwood [76] propose that in cases of platelet count lower than 50*10⁹/L an urgent invasive procedure is needed. The latter could be performed after substitute platelet transfusion 30 minutes before operation. In practice this approach is used quite rarely because of the risk of immune sensitization. Patients on hemodialysis undergo heparinisation 3 times a week before procedures, but heparin has a short half-life (around 5 hours), that’s why as a precaution it’s accepted that it is best for any dental procedures to be performed on the day after dialysis [30, 59]. On the other hand, the longer the time since the last dialysis, the greater the chance for prolonged bleeding during invasive dental procedures because of uremia.

As a result of thrombocytic dysfunction, even with relatively good blood indicators, profuse bleeding could be expected during invasive dental procedures [53, 80]. The treatment of these patients requires a preventive strategy for oral and parodontal surgery that includes:

- the ability and the knowledge to perform atraumatic surgery;
- the use of sutures, compression bandages and local or systemic hemostatic agents. Assuming different reference books that propose different patterns for hemostasis and according to Bulgarian experience in this direction, most frequently used medicaments are as follow:
Cryoprecipitates are less frequently used because of the risk of disease transmission [40, 67, 80].

6. Post transplantation period (fig. 6)

Figure 6. Schematic depiction of the post transplantation period

Dental treatment after transplantation can be differentiated in 3 periods - immediately after transplantation to the 3rd month after transplantation, stable post-transplantation period, and the period of chronic graft rejection [45, 67]. Diaz [54] defines the immediate after transplantation period till the 6th month following the operation.

Through the immediate period the possibility for post-operative complications, dominating opportunistic virus and fungal infections, the risk of acute graft rejection is greater. Therefore dental interference is not advisable, excluding any emergencies [53, 67, 82, 117].

Muzyka et al. [78] and J. B. Epstein [35] find that the most used antifungal agent in the initial treatment plan of surface forms of oral candidiasis is Nystatin, applied locally as well as
clotrimazole. Parenteral administration of Amphotericin B is associated with increased nephrotoxicity, especially in combination with cyclosporin or aminoglycoside antibiotics. A diluted parenteral solution of Amphotericin B for mouth rinse is successfully used in USA [15]. Ketoconazole is part of the imidazole group, but in combination with cyclosporine it may lead to increased level of Cyclosporin A [32, 49, 99, 124].

The recommendations for dental treatment in the immediate after-transplantation period are the following:

• Avoiding routine dental treatment, and if such is needed, conservative treatment methods should be used;

• Meticulous oral hygiene that includes mouth rinse solutions containing chlorhexidine. Djemileva [30] points out that the simultaneous use of toothpastes containing sodium laurylsulfate may inactivate the chlorhexidine which is part of some mouth rinse solutions and gels.

Dental rehabilitation of patients on hemodialysis and transplanted patients would be more successful, if the methods of complex focal diagnostics and treatments are applied. They are non-invasive and provide atraumatic and aseptic work techniques, combining thermal diagnostics and a laser treatment approach.
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