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1. Introduction

Constructed wetlands are promising engineering technique that reproduce the conditions of the natural wetlands [1]. They have high water treatment capacity because of the intensive “work” of the plants and the microorganisms. Depending on the conditions various types of plants are growing: common reed (*Phragmites australis*), rush (*Typha latifolia*), iris, etc. (Fig. 1). These plants are stable toward the climatic changes and the quality parameters of the medium in which they are growing. The metabolism of the microorganisms plays an important role in the pollutants removal from wastewaters. The main chemical and physical processes are sedimentation, sorption, chemical oxidation, photo degradation, evaporation [2] as well as biotic processes such as aerobic/anaerobic degradation, plants accumulation, phytodegradation, phytoevaporation. Many publications demonstrate the removal of suspended solids, organic matter, nutrients and bacteria from wastewater in constructed wetlands. There are two types of constructed wetlands: surface flow wetlands systems and subsurface flow wetland systems. The latter are subsurface horizontal flow wetlands systems (Fig. 2) and subsurface vertical flow wetland systems (Fig. 3), [3-6]. They are characterized with the different extent of nutrients removal [7-10].

In the subsurface vertical-flow constructed wetlands (SSVFCW) the wastewater enters through the surface and flows in vertical direction slowly through the supporting material and the plant roots until reaching the bottom outlet zone. These systems are built with porous materials such as sand and gravel, that restrict the clogging. The package clogging was observed at high organic load of the system [11]. The recirculation of the wastewater is helpful to overcome this limitation.
Figure 1. Different types of plants growing in the wetland systems

Figure 2. Subsurface horizontal-flow wetlands system
Scholz and Hu [12] investigated various filter materials and macrophytes for the removal of lead and copper from wastewaters. They demonstrated the possibility to replace the expensive activated carbon and charcoal with the cheaper sand and gravel. Korkusuz [13] reports for the treatment of domestic wastewater in subsurface flow wetland with wasted granular slag and gravel. He obtained for both materials the removal of suspended solids (SS) 64% and 62%, COD – 49% and 40%, NH$_4^+$-N – 88% and 58%, total nitrogen (TN) – 41% and 44%, total phosphorus (TP) – 63% and 9%, PO$_4^{3-}$-P – 60% and 4%.

SSVFCW are used for treatment of industrial wastewaters from different sources: dye-containing waters [14,15], pharmaceutically polluted waters [16], wastewaters from food industry [17], olive mill wastewaters [18], liquid waste activated sludge from a soft drink factory [19]. Gross et al. [20] reports for a novel method of recycling greywater for irrigation. The all SS and BOD were removed and about 80% of COD after 8 h. A recirculating vertical flow constructed wetland was used also for treatment of domestic waters [21].

A combined subsurface vertical and horizontal flow constructed wetland system was designed for rural domestic wastewater treatment [22]. Several water quality parameters pH, BOD, COD, TSS, TKN, TP and faecal bacteria’s number in both raw and treated wastewaters were monitored during a macrophytes life cycle. Seven mesocosm-scale constructed wetlands of different configurations were operated out-doors for 39 months to assess their ability to remove...
organic matter and nutrients from urban wastewaters [23]. F. Ye and Y. Li [24] have shown that nitrification/denitrification is the main mechanism for nitrogen removal from domestic wastewater in a novel constructed wetland configuration with three stages towery hybrid CW. Increased dissolved oxygen (DO) by passive aeration enhanced nitrification rates and additional organic matter supplied - for denitrification. In an installation, consisted of two settling tanks in series, a VFCW and a zeolite tank, Gicas and Tsihrintzis have studied household wastewater treatment [25]. The zeolite was found to offer additional removal of nitrogen, total phosphorus and organic matter. Significant reduction of total coliform and faecal coliform was achieved in a pilot scale VFCW in North Cairo planted with three kinds of plants [26]. The use of VFCW as a post treatment step will make possible the usage of the treated water for irrigation. The treatment effect of two pilot-scale VFCWs (one planted with Tipha latifolia and the second – with Phragmites australis) on municipal wastewaters and their suitability for irrigation reuse were studied in a 2-year experiment [27]. Zurita et al. [28] suggested that it is possible to produce commercial flowers in CW.

High rate nitrogen removal in a two-stage SSVFCW has been studied by Langergraben et al. [29]. The first stage used sand with a grain size of 2-3.2 mm and the second stage – 0.06-4 mm. Better effluent quality as compared with to conventional single-stage VFCW was obtained. The Austrian effluent standards for organic matter and ammonium nitrogen were met and the average nitrogen removal efficiency was 53 % without recirculation. A three-stage experimental CW system consisting of a vertical flow-gravel filtration bed without plants, a horizontal subsurface flow bed planted with Iris australis and a vertical subsurface flow bed planted with Phragmites australis in series were fed with primary treated domestic water [30]. The beds with plants produced effluents of better quality than that without plants. It was observed that the average removal efficiencies increased with the decrease of hydraulic loading rate. Panuvatvanich et al. studied the nitrification and denitrification potential of sand layer and the effect of percolate impounding regime on nitrogen transformation in four laboratory-scale units of vertical-flow CW fed once a week with faecal sludge [31]. Biabowiec et al. investigated the effects of reed and willow on bioremediation of landfill leachate in comparison with an unplanted control by measuring redox potential levels in the rhizosphere of microcosm systems in a greenhouse [32]. Molle et al. discussed the nitrogen removal in terms of the efficiency of the stages in a hybrid constructed wetlands plant designed for 100 person equivalent [33]. The first stage was composed of vertical filters, followed by a second stage of horizontal filters. Ouyang et al. [34] developed a model using the STELLA software for estimating nitrogen dynamics in a vertical-flow constructed wetland. It was established 18 % of TN lost due to denitrification, 6 % of TN was taken up by roots of a single plant and the rest of 22 % TN from the wastewater was removed from other mechanisms, such as volatilization, adsorption and deposition. Anaerobically pretreated domestic wastewater was treated in a hybrid CW with recirculation (first in horizontal-flow CW and then in vertical-flow CW). 98% total Kjeldahl nitrogen and 79 % total nitrogen removal was obtained [35]. Li-Hua Cui et al. demonstrated the role of Cyperus alternifolius for the removal of total nitrogen in a VFCW [36]. Saeed and Sun [37] conducted comparative experiments in a lab-scale hybrid system with gravel, wood mulch and zeolite as medium. Average NH₄⁺-N, TN and BOD removal percen-
tages were over 99 %, 72 % and 97 %, respectively. The removal of *Escherichia coli* was 99,9 %. Nitrogen and phosphorus removal was studied also in [38-41].

Many investigations have been done of the influence of the operational parameters on the treatment efficiency of the constructed wetlands. Giraldi and Iannelli [42] used a capacitance probe to measure water content in a vertical flow CW pilot plant. They compared field measurements with data recorded in a laboratory apparatus. The effect of various design parameters has been studied by Stefanakis and Tsihrintzis [43]. Various porous media materials (carbonate material, material from river bed, zeolite and bauxite), two vegetation types (common reeds and cattails) and three total thicknesses of the porous media were used in 10 wetlands. Organic matter removal was good in all units, since it reached on the average 71,1 % and 66,9 % for BOD and COD, respectively. Nitrogen removal was 47,1 % for TKN and 42,2 % for NH$_4^+$-N. J.Ye et al. studied the vertical oxygen distribution in a VFCW treating domestic wastewater [44]. The main oxygen source was the atmospheric reoxygenation and approximately 50 % of it was supplied to 0-10 cm below the water distribution system. Over 99,8 % of the oxygen consumed was used for organic degradation and nitrification. The performance response of planted and unplanted wetlands to simulated wastewater with different ratios of carbon to nitrogen (2,5:1, 5:1 and 10:1) was studied during a 9-month period in greenhouse conditions by Zhao et al. [45]. At C/N ratio 5:1 was achieved a relatively high biological nitrogen removal efficiency and a low level of greenhouse gases flux [46]. Prochaska et al. studied the influence of the season, substrate, hydraulic load and frequency of application of simulated urban sewage on the performance of pilot-scale VFCW [47]. The ANOVA statistical model was applied to analyse the relationships between the main operational factors and the effluent COD, NO$_2^-$-N, NO$_3^-$-N, TN and PO$_4^{3-}$-P. The hydrodynamics of VFCW was tested with rhodamine WT and numerical modeling was used as written in [48]. The capacity of an on-site recirculating VFCW to withstand disturbances and highly variable influent quality was studied [49]. It was found that the general recovery is reached within 24 h. Lihua Cui et al. treated domestic wastewater using three different slags, hydraulic loading rates, operational periods with and without plants for the removal of nitrogen and phosphorus [50]. Hybrid systems were compared at different C/N ratios by Zhao et al. [51]. S. Frost-Boucle and P. Molle established the dependence of nitrification on the recirculation rate and seasons (temperature effect) [52]. Effect of loading, resting period, temperature, porous media, vegetation and aeration were studied by Stefanakis and Tsihrintzis [53]. In a review Saeed and Sun [54] discussed the dependence of nitrogen and organics removal on the environmental parameters, operating conditions and supporting media.

The role of the plants was studied toward the removal of nitrogen and phosphorus [55,56]. Iamchaturapatr et al. studied nutrient removal by 21 plants (18 emergent and 3 floating plants) by area-based calculation and biomass-based calculations [57].

Bacterial carbon utilization in VFCW was studied by Tietz et al.[58]. A simple mass-balance approach was applied to explain the bacterially catalysed organic matter degradation. In another paper Tietz et al. [59] made a quantitative description of the microbial bioecosin in subsurface VFCW fed with municipal wastewater. The microbial biomass was measured at
different depths of planted and unplanted systems. Sleytr et al. demonstrated the influence of the plants on the rhizosphere community [60].

Based on Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) Fuchs et al. suggested that constructed wetlands have less environmental impact in terms of resource consumption and greenhouse gas emissions [61].

Different filter materials for phosphorus removal from wastewater in treatment wetlands have been studied [62, 63]. The potential of fragmented Moleanos limestone [64], wollastonite [65], crushed brick and palygorskite [66], a mixture of river sand and dolomite (10:1 w/w), [67] was investigated.

The landfill leachate is characterized with high nitrogenous pollutants content. Investigations have been done on its purification in constructed wetlands. Four vertical-flow wetlands under predominately aerobic conditions were used for a mass-balance study in the transformation of nitrogenous pollutants [68]. Landfill leachate was treated in a pilot-scale sub-surface CW planted with *Cyperus haspan* and three weeks retention time. Samples were tested for 13 parameters (pH, turbidity, color, TSS, COD, BOD₅, NH₄⁺-N, TP, TN, Fe, Mg, Mn, Zn) and a high removal efficiency was obtained [69]. Justin et al. present a combination of landfill leachate pre-treatment in CW and subsequent reuse for the irrigation of grass and willows [70]. Six interconnected beds with horizontal and vertical subsurface water flow and planted with *Phragmites australis* were used. According to Bulk [71] CWs as a tertiary system or as an independent system could be a low-cost alternative for the treatment of leachate from old landfill sites. Leachate from a closed landfill was treated in an integral system consisted of extraction, aeration, settling, intermittent vertical sand filtration, a surface flow wetland with recycle and discharged in a river [72]. Experiments were conducted to treat a sanitary landfill leachate with high nitrogen and bacterial contents [73]. Mass balance analysis, based on total nitrogen contents of the plant biomass and dissolved oxygen and oxidation reduction potential values, suggested that 88 % of the input total nitrogen were uptaken by the plant biomass. Lavrova and Koumanova studied the influence of recirculation in a lab-scale VFCW on the treatment efficiency of landfill leachate [74]. Comparison of horizontal and vertical CW systems for landfill leachate treatment with two types of material (gravel and zeolite) and planted with *Typha latifolia* was made by Yalcuk and Ugurlu [75]. Better NH₄⁺-N removal performance was observed in the VF system with zeolite. Horizontal flow system was more effective in COD removal.

2. Aim

The aim of this study is to investigate treatment efficiency of the raw pig slurry and the landfill leachate in a lab-scale subsurface vertical-flow wetland (SSVFW) planted with *Phragmites australis*, in the lab-scale aerobic activated sludge bioreactor (ASR) and in an hybrid installation where the first stage includes an aerobic activated sludge bioreactor and the second stage – a subsurface vertical-flow wetland (ASR-SSVFW).
3. Material and methods

Pig slurry was taken from a farm located in south-western part of Bulgaria and the landfill leachate was taken from a landfill situated in the north-western region in Bulgaria. After collection, the wastewater was allowed to settle overnight. After that the supernatant was treated. Table 1 summarizes the main characteristics of the influent wastewaters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Pig slurry</th>
<th>Landfill leachate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>COD, mg L⁻¹</td>
<td>1535 ± 502</td>
<td>2940 ± 140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOD, mg L⁻¹</td>
<td>612 ± 419</td>
<td>230.5 ± 26.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH₄⁺-N, mg L⁻¹</td>
<td>322 ± 87</td>
<td>206.7 ± 8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO₃⁻-N, mg L⁻¹</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>7.2 ± 1.1</td>
<td>7.9 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Characteristics of the influent wastewater

The water samples were taken every day. The water samples have been examined for pH, Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD), Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD), Ammonium-Nitrogen (NH₄⁺-N) and Nitrate-Nitrogen (NO₃⁻-N) by standard methods [76].

- **Lab-scale subsurface vertical-flow wetland planted with Phragmites australis (SSVFW)**

The laboratory system consisted of sedimentation tank, subsurface vertical-flow wetland, peristaltic pump and storage tank of the treated water (Fig. 4). The SSVFW was made of Plexiglas with dimensions of 123 mm in diameter and 900 mm in height. The reactor was filled with 35 ÷ 55 mm round gravel with 300 mm height as bottom layer and top layer of 5 ÷ 25 mm gravel with a height of 500 mm. Young Phragmites australis, obtained from comparatively clean area, was planted in the top layer of the SSVFW. After collection, the wastewater was allowed to settle overnight, the supernatant was diluted with tap water and then was treated. This was done to avoid possible damage of the plant because of the significant contamination of the raw pig slurry and landfill leachate. For increasing the purification capacity effluent recirculation was used [77-82]. The SSVFW was operated continuously in recirculation regime. The recirculation was employed at ratio of 1:1, giving SSVFW 1 h of wastewater-bed matrix contact and 1 h of effluent recirculation. The flow rate of the system was 80 ml min⁻¹ [80-82] and the hydraulic retention time was 0.9 h. After filling the reactor with wastewater, the laboratory peristaltic pump was turned on and the water started to flow through the system for a period of one hour. After that, controlled by programmed electronic timer, connected with peristaltic pump, the water stopped moving and remained calm in the SSVFW for one hour. After one hour the peristaltic pump started again and the water began to flow again through the SSVFW.
- **Lab-scale aerobic activated sludge reactor (ASR)**

ASR of 195 mm in diameter and 650 mm in height was used. The aeration system consisted of three diffusers, situated at the bottom of the bioreactor. The activated sludge (AS) was taken from a municipal wastewater treatment plant. After preliminary sedimentation in primary sedimentation tank the wastewater entered the ASR, where it was mixed with activated sludge in volume ratio 1:1. After reaching of the standard measurements of the controlled physico-chemical characteristics, wastewater flow into secondary sedimentation tank for clarifying, which leading to removal of the suspended activated sludge (Fig. 5).

- **hybrid installation consisted of an aerobic activated sludge reactor (ASR) and a subsurface vertical-flow wetland (ASR / SSVFW)**

After preliminary sedimentation in primary sedimentation tank the wastewater entered the ASR, where it was mixed with activated sludge in volume ratio 1:1. For several days the water is treated in the ASR to achieve a double reduce of pollutants concentration in terms of COD and $\left[\text{NH}_4^+\right]$. After reaching the necessary concentration, the suspension passed through the secondary sedimentation tank for clarification and then entered the SSVFW for polishing (Fig. 6). The SSVFW was operated continuously in recirculation regime. The recirculation was employed at ratio of 1:1, giving SSVFW 1 h of wastewater-bed matrix contact and 1 h of effluent recirculation. The flow rate of the system was 80 ml min$^{-1}$ and the hydraulic retention time was 0.9 h.
4. Case study 1: Pig slurry treatment

Fig. 7 to Fig. 10 illustrate the comparison of the water characteristics during the experiments. COD, BOD and NH$_4^+$-N values are presented as a proportion between their concentration in certain moment and its initial concentration (COD$_t$/COD$_0$, BOD$_t$/BOD$_0$ and NH$_4^+$-N$_t$/NH$_4^+$-N$_0$).
During the first 3 days a significant decreasing of COD concentration was observed, especially in the ASR and in the hybrid installation ASR-SSVFW (fig. 7). This is a result of the additional aeration in the ASR. Until this moment the extent of COD decreasing in the ASR, SSVFW and hybrid installation ASR-SSVFW was: 58.9%, 39% and 49.8%. After that the process slows down. During this period easier chemically oxidizable organic matter and biodegradable organic matter undergo changes under the influence of the oxygen and microbial activity. In the SSVFW the decreasing of the COD becomes slower because there is not additional aeration and the plants roots are the only suppliers of oxygen. During the first 3 days the COD concentration decreasing in the hybrid installation is almost identical with that in the ASR, but after wastewater inflow to the SSVFW of the hybrid installation, the tenor of the decreasing curve of the COD concentration lightly altered and reached that in the SSVFW. Due to the preliminary pig slurry dilution in the lab-scale including only subsurface vertical flow wetland, the initial concentrations of the analyzed parameters were almost twice lower than those in the other two experiments. That is why the water purification in the SSVFW is faster than that in the combined system. The reaching of the standards of measured physicochemical characteristic in the SSVFW, ASR and hybrid installation ASR-SSVFW becomes for twelve, then and sixteen days, respectively. Significant extent of the COD concentration decreasing in the three systems was achieved: in SSVFW - 93.1%, in ASR 96.7% and in hybrid installation ASR-SSVFW 97.1%.

BOD decreasing in these systems becomes without significant differences and lightly in comparison with that of the COD decreasing (fig. 8). Under aeration BOD values in ASR and ASR-SSVFW, are decreasing a little bit fully in comparison with that in the SSVFW. During the first 3 days the decreasing extents of the BOD values in the three installations are: SSVFW - 43.3%, ASR – 61.8% and hybrid installation ASR-SSVFW – 54.2%. The reaching of the standards in the SSVFW, ASR and ASR-SSVFW becomes for twelve, ten and sixteen days, respectively.
The nitrogen is one of the main pollutants in wastewater that can cause eutrophication, affects dissolved oxygen levels of receiving water, and may cause toxicity (depending on the nitrogen form) to the aquatic organisms [83]. In these systems the transformation and removal of nitrogen are accomplished by both classical and newly discovered routes. The classical pathways include biological i.e. ammonification, nitrification, denitrification, plant uptake, biomass assimilation, dissimilatory nitrate reduction), and physicochemical routes (e.g. ammonia volatilization and adsorption). The newly discovered nitrogen removal routes are solely dependent on microbiological metabolism such as partial nitrification-denitrification.

The decreasing of ammonium - nitrogen in the systems is shown on Fig. 9. The similar effect was observed. During the first three days of the purification process in these three systems was observed elimination of significant part of the ammonium – nitrogen: SSVFW – 53.7 %, ASR – 100 % and in the hybrid installation ASR-SSVFW – 93.7 %. This process is slower in the SSVFW because of insufficient oxygen concentration in the column matrix. Fully elimination of the ammonium - nitrogen in ASR was achieved for three days and for twelve days in the SSVFW. In the hybrid installation this was achieved for seven days. The decreasing of ammonium – nitrogen can be common result of volatilization, nitrification, plant uptake in wetland system and immobilization onto microbial cells. It is believed that nitrogen constitutes a major part of biomass, e.g. 12.4 % of C_5H_7O_2N mass being nitrogen [84].

In parallel with the decreasing of ammonium-nitrogen concentration, an increasing of nitrate-nitrogen is observed, as a result of the nitrification (fig. 10). In the ASR that becomes faster in comparison with the process in the SSVFW and hybrid installation ASR-SSVFW. During the 5th day was observed equalization of the nitrate-nitrogen concentration in the ASR and SSVFW. Elimination of the nitrate-nitrogen was not achieved during the wastewater purification in
these systems. The reason for impossibility of nitrate-nitrogen removal is the lack of anoxic conditions in the systems. It is also well established that carbon availability plays an important role in both synthesis and activity of denitrifying enzymes as well as general support of the denitrifying population [85]. The lack of organic carbon source is supposed to keep insignificant denitrification. There are two required conditions for denitrification: anoxic environment and sufficient organic carbon source. In the ASR this process does not occur, because there is aeration. In constructed wetland system the bottom layer provides anoxic conditions for achieving a denitrification. Hence, initial high ammonium-nitrogen concentrations and deficient of organic carbon source were the reasons to depress the denitrification in the wetland system treated the pig wastewater.

During the first two days the odour of the treated wastewater in the systems was eliminated. The pH values of the wastewater are in the neutral zone (6.3 – 8.3).

5. Case study 2: Landfill leachate treatment

Fig. 11 to Fig. 14 illustrate the comparison of the water characteristics during the experiments. COD, BOD and NH$_4^+$-N values are presented like proportion between their concentration at certain moment and its initial concentration (COD$_t$/COD$_0$, BOD$_t$/BOD$_0$, and NH$_4^+$-N$_t$/NH$_4^+$-N$_0$).

During the experiments the COD decreasing in these three systems is similar (fig. 11). The reduction of the COD was slightly faster with the preliminary aerobic treatment of the landfill leachate. During the first three days there was a significant decrease of COD. The removal
efficiency in the landfill leachate on the third day in the SSVFW is 24 %, in the ASR it is 51.5 % and in the hybrid system ASR-SSVFW it is 51 %. The COD reduction is smooth and without significant fluctuations. The limit concentration of COD in the SSVFW was reached for 15 days, in the ASR for 9 days and in the hybrid installation ASR-SSVFW for 12 days. The removal efficiency is 98 %, 97.8 % and 97.9 %, respectively.

Figure 10. Nitrate-nitrogen in the water samples from SSVFW, ASR and integrated installation ASR-SSVFW

Figure 11. COD decreasing of the water samples in SSVFW, ASR and hybrid installation ASR-SSVFW
The preliminary dilution with tap water of the landfill leachate and the flowing into the SSVFW, lead to almost double decrease of the BOD. BOD decreasing in the wetland system is smoother compared to the ASR and the hybrid installation (fig. 12). The removal efficiency during the first three days is 46.8 % in the SSVFW, 72.2 % in the ASR and 71.4 % in the hybrid installation ASR-SSVFW. The limiting concentration for BOD in the SSVFW was achieved in 11 days with 92.9 % removal efficiency, in the ASR – 6 days with 95.4 % removal efficiency and in the ASR-SSVFW respectively in 9 days with 94.5 % removal efficiency.

The preliminary dilution of the wastewater and the flowing into the SSVFW, resulted in double decrease of the concentration of the [NH$_4^+$-N]. As shown on Fig. 13 a sharp decrease of the [NH$_4^+$-N] concentration in the first three days occurs in the systems where preliminary aerobic treatment of the landfill leachate was used. The removal efficiency in the SSVFW is 74.8 %, in the ASR 99.6 % and in the ASR-SSVFW 96.2 %. This is probably due to the air blowing into the aerobic reactor to support microbial activity. On the other hand this intensive aeration can cause the escape of ammonia from the system.

Complete removal of the [NH$_4^+$-N] in the SSVFW was achieved for 10 days while in the ASR it was for 4 days and in the ASR-SSVFW - for 5 days. This can be explained by the fact that in this reactor the oxygen is not enough for the nitrification and from the constructive point of view the separation of ammonia from the system is embarrassed.

The aerobic treatment of the landfill leachate in the reactor with suspended activated sludge results in a significant accumulation of nitrate ions in comparison with the process taking place in the wetland system where preliminary diluted landfill leachate is treated (Fig. 14). In the laboratory systems an accumulation of the nitrate ions was observed. Probably this effect is
due to the insufficient quantity of the source of organic carbon in the wetland system [85] and on the other hand - the aerobic conditions in the aerobic bioreactor.

During the experiments pH decreased slightly from 8.3 to 7.5. The neutralization of the landfill leachate was achieved during the preliminary dilution with tap water, while in the hybrid installation it was achieved during the third day of operation.

Figure 13. NH$_4^+$-N decreasing in the water samples from SSVFW, ASR and hybrid installation ASR-SSVFW

Figure 14. [NO$_3^-$-N] decreasing of the water samples in SSVFW, ASR and hybrid installation ASR-SSVFW
In Table 2 are compared the data characterized the two studied wastewaters that are treated in ASR, SSVFW and hybrid installation ASR-SSVFW. The data are compared with the standards in Bulgaria (Benchmarks). Obviously, the requirements of the national standards were met.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Influent</th>
<th>Effluent</th>
<th>Benchmarks</th>
<th>Average efficiency, %</th>
<th>Time, days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ASR</td>
<td>SSVFW</td>
<td>ASR-SSVFW</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COD, mg</td>
<td>Pig slurry</td>
<td>1535 ± 502</td>
<td>2940 ± 140</td>
<td>69.3 ± 7.1</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Landfill leachate</td>
<td>72.4 ± 1</td>
<td>67.5 ± 4 ± 0.4</td>
<td>7.2 ± 0.5</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pig slurry</td>
<td>69 ± 1</td>
<td>63.6 ± 4</td>
<td>7.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Landfill leachate</td>
<td>62.5 ± 4.5</td>
<td>70 ± 4</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOD, mg</td>
<td>Pig slurry</td>
<td>612 ± 419</td>
<td>230.5 ± 26.5</td>
<td>14.4 ± 0.4</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Landfill leachate</td>
<td>14.6 ± 0.5</td>
<td>14.5 ± 0.8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pig slurry</td>
<td>14.6 ± 0.5</td>
<td>14.5 ± 0.8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Landfill leachate</td>
<td>14.6 ± 0.5</td>
<td>14.5 ± 0.8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH₄⁺-N, mg L⁻¹</td>
<td>Pig slurry</td>
<td>322 ± 87</td>
<td>206.7 ± 8.3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Landfill leachate</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO₃⁻-N, mg L⁻¹</td>
<td>Pig slurry</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Landfill leachate</td>
<td>16.7 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>Pig slurry</td>
<td>7.2 ± 0.4</td>
<td>7.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>7 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Landfill leachate</td>
<td>7.2 ± 0.4</td>
<td>7.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Characteristics of the influent and the effluents from the three systems

The flow rate is one of the important factors, which control the performance of subsurface vertical flow wetland systems. The higher flow rate promotes faster passage of wastewater through the media, thus reducing the optimum contact time and leads to longer period needed for treatment [74]. The recirculation of the treated wastewater through the subsurface vertical-flow wetland also has a significant role in the purification efficiency [74, 87-88]. For confirmation of these statements experiments with three different wastewater flow rates (40, 60 and 82 ml/min) and three different recirculation ratios (1:1, 1:2 and 1:3) were conducted. The hydraulic retention time in the wetland system was 1.8, 1.2 and 0.9 h, respectively.

The comparison of the COD and BOD values of the treated landfill leachate during the experiments is illustrated in fig.15. The data demonstrate the influence of the recirculation at the three different flow rates on the treatment ability of the lab-scale vertical-flow wetland system. The decreasing of COD values after five days from the beginning is fast. Then the process slows down. The efficiency at the 5th day (recirculation ratio 1:1) was 67 % at flow rate 82 ml min⁻¹, 81 % - at flow rate 60 ml min⁻¹ and 90 % - at flow rate 40 ml min⁻¹. The efficiency at recirculation ratio 1:2 was 78 %, 86 % and 90 %, respectively, and at recirculation ratio 1:3 it was 78 %, 90 % and 96 %, respectively. COD decreased slower when the flow rate was higher.
The elimination of BOD occurs fast in most cases during the initial five days. The efficiency of BOD removal at recirculation ratio 1:1 was 72 %, 85 % and 92 % for flow rate 82 ml min⁻¹, 60 ml min⁻¹ and 40 ml min⁻¹, respectively. At recirculation ratio 1:2 it was 83 %, 92 % and 93 % for the corresponding flow rates. The efficiency was 91 % at flow rate 82 ml min⁻¹ and recirculation ratio 1:3. The same efficiency was obtained after 4 days at flow rate 60 ml min⁻¹ and after 3 days at flow rate 40 ml min⁻¹. It was observed that the longer the water remained quiet in SSVFW, the faster COD and BOD decreased.

Figure 15. Comparison of the COD and BOD values of the treated landfill leachate at different flow rates
Figure 16. Comparison of the [$\text{NH}_4^+\text{-N}$] and [$\text{NO}_3^-\text{-N}$] values of the treated landfill leachate at different flow rates.

The importance of nitrogen removal is comparable with that for organic carbon, toxic compounds and metals removal during the leachate treatment in SSVFW. Ammonium removal by nitrification in constructed wetlands differing in design and purpose was reported [89]. It is known that autotrophic nitrification consists of two successive aerobic reactions, the conversion of ammonium to nitrite by ammonium oxidizing bacteria and the conversion of nitrite to nitrate by nitrite oxidizing bacteria. The concentration of ammonium-nitrogen in the influent used in this study was relatively high. So, it was interesting to record the changes of $\text{NH}_4^+\text{-N}$ and $\text{NO}_3^-\text{-N}$ values during the leachate treatment at different flow rates and recirculation ratios.
The influence of these parameters on the ammonium depletion is illustrated in Fig. 16. It was exhausted completely during the experiments. The decrease of ammonium-nitrogen could be collective result of volatilization, nitrification, plant uptake in wetland system and immobilization. At the same time NO$_3^-$-N increased depending on the flow rate and the recirculation ratios. In all cases the values increased during the first 1-2 days. After this period the curves shape depended on the experimental conditions. The concentration of NO$_3^-$-N has increased faster when the flow rate was lower. The influence of the recirculation ratios was opposite. During the experiments at different conditions dissolved oxygen was measured and the values were from 5.2 to 8 mg L$^{-1}$. It is known that the concentration of 1 mg L$^{-1}$ is sufficient for oxidation of ammonium [90].

The lack of the denitrification during the treatment can be a result of the less activity of denitrifying bacteria in the system. Vymazal reported that SSVFW removes successfully NH$_4^+$-N but the denitrification is very limited in these systems [91]. It was also well established that carbon availability plays an important role in both synthesis and activity of denitrifying enzymes as well as general support of the denitrifying population. The lack of organic carbon sources is thought to prevent significant levels of denitrification [85].

Phosphorus removal in wetland treatment systems occurs through adsorption, plant uptake, complexation, and precipitation [92]. The value of total phosphorus (TP) in the treated leachate was relatively low (5.5 mg L$^{-1}$). It was established that TP removal follows the same tendency as NH$_4^+$-N removal. During the first two days a significant TP elimination occurred (Fig.17). The higher flow rate leads to a longer period of elimination of TP. At the same time the change of the recirculation ratio from 1:1 to 1:3 (water stays quiet in SSVFW longer) leads to shorter period of elimination (e.g. at flow rate 82 ml min$^{-1}$ the TP removal was 41.8 %, 60 % and 67.3 % for 1:1, 1:2 and 1:3 recirculation ratios during 8, 6 and 4 days, correspondingly).

It was established that during the experiments with different flow rate and hydraulic retention time pH slightly decreased from 7.9 to 7.5 and the salinity also decreased from 2.5 to 1.9 ‰. TDS gradually decreased from 2460 to 1778 mg L$^{-1}$. The values of TSS varied from 1.91 to 3.96 g L$^{-1}$. Landfill leachate conductivity decreased from 4710 to 3408 µS cm$^{-1}$. TDS, TSS, salinity, phosphorus concentration as well as the conductivity have been determined only for the case of landfill leachate at different flow rate and hydraulic retention time.

It is well known that the vegetation in the wetland systems play a significant role in purification process. Aquatic plants enhance nutrient removal through biomass accumulation, fixation of inorganic and organic particles and where ammonium-N is present, by the creation of an oxidized rhizosphere [93]. In the absence of plants, the gravel substrate provided significant wastewater treatment [94, 95], although most studies report improved nutrient removal where plants are present [96]. Our experiments without vegetation in the lab-scale subsurface vertical-flow wetland system leads to lower treatment efficiency in comparison with that where in the laboratory system has grown vegetation (Fig. 18). That confirms the important role of the plants in purification process. On the other hand the lack of plants allows the use of additional organic carbon source, which achieves a denitrification process (Fig. 19). In these experiment was added methanol in the SSVFW without vegetation. As a result was observed decreasing of the nutrition elements and their elimination from the system.
Figure 17. Comparison of the [PO$_4^{3-}$] values of the treated landfill leachate at different flow rates
Figure 18. Treatment efficiency during the experiments with/without *Phragmites australis*

Figure 19. Nutrition concentration decreasing with applying additional carbon source
6. Conclusions

Activated sludge reactor, subsurface vertical-flow wetland and hybrid installation were studied for aerobic treatment and polishing of two types wastewater - pig slurry and landfill leachate. It was established that the values of the treated water characteristics significantly decreased for comparatively short time accompanied by odour elimination and neutralization of wastewater. Significant COD and BOD decreasing were attained in those cases and the aquatic standards were met. Fully elimination of the ammonium-nitrogen in the SSVFW was achieved for longer period of time in comparison whit that in the ASR. Decreasing of obtained nitrate-nitrogen was not achieved in the SSVFW with growing *Phragmites australis* because of absence of anoxic conditions and probably of insufficient organic carbon source. In the SSVFW without vegetation was achieved denitrification process. It was established that the higher flow rate leads to longer period needed for treatment. The recirculation ratios also influence the purification process. Alternating between water movement through the SSVFW and stagnant periods resulted in a varying extent of purification, and the longer the stagnant period of the water in SSVFW the shorter the period for obtaining the desired characteristics of the effluent water was. These investigations show that the use of SSVFW is also effective as ASR and combination of the processes accelerate the purification process. The SSVFW has some advantages simulating the processes occurring in the natural wetlands, easy maintenance, energy conservation and cost effectiveness.

Nomenclature

AS - Activated Sludge
ASR - Aerobic Sludge Reactor
ASR-SSVFW - Aerobic Sludge Reactor - Subsurface Vertical-Flow Wetland (hybrid installation)
BOD - Biochemical Oxygen Demand
COD – Chemical Oxygen Demand
CW – Constructed Wetland
DO – Dissolved Oxygen
LCA - Life Cycle Assessment
NH$_4^+$-N – Ammonium Nitrogen
NO$_2^-$-N – Nitrite Nitrogen
NO$_3^-$-N – Nitrate Nitrogen
PO$_4^{3-}$ -P - Phosphates
SSVFW – Subsurface Vertical Flow Wetland

TKN – Total Kjehldahl Nitrogen

TN – Total Nitrogen

TP – Total Phosphorus

TSS – Total Suspended Solids

VF – Vertical Flow
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