

We are IntechOpen, the world's leading publisher of Open Access books Built by scientists, for scientists

4,400

Open access books available

117,000

International authors and editors

130M

Downloads

Our authors are among the

154

Countries delivered to

TOP 1%

most cited scientists

12.2%

Contributors from top 500 universities



WEB OF SCIENCE™

Selection of our books indexed in the Book Citation Index
in Web of Science™ Core Collection (BKCI)

Interested in publishing with us?
Contact book.department@intechopen.com

Numbers displayed above are based on latest data collected.
For more information visit www.intechopen.com



Robust Stabilization for Uncertain Takagi-Sugeno Fuzzy Continuous Model with Time-Delay Based on Razumikhin Theorem

Yassine Manai and Mohamed Benrejeb

Additional information is available at the end of the chapter

<http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/48075>

1. Introduction

Fuzzy control systems have experienced a big growth of industrial applications in the recent decades, because of their reliability and effectiveness. Many researches are investigated on the Takagi-Sugeno models [1], [2] and [3] last decades. Two classes of Lyapunov functions are used to analysis these systems: quadratic Lyapunov functions and non-quadratic Lyapunov ones which are less conservative than first class. Many researches are investigated with non-quadratic Lyapunov functions [4]-[6], [7].

Recently, Takagi-Sugeno fuzzy model approach has been used to examine nonlinear systems with time-delay, and different methodologies have been proposed for analysis and synthesis of this type of systems [1]-[11], [12]-[13]. Time delay often occurs in many dynamical systems such as biological systems, chemical system, metallurgical processing system and network system. Their existences are frequently a cause of infeasibility and poor performances.

The stability approaches are divided into two classes in term of delay. The first one tries to develop delay independent stability criteria. The second class depends on the delay size of the time delay, and it called delay dependent stability criteria. Generally, delay dependent class gives less conservative stability criteria than independent ones.

Two classes of Lyapunov-Razumikhin function are used to analysis these systems: quadratic Lyapunov-Razumikhin function and non-quadratic Lyapunov-Razumikhin ones. The use of first class brings much conservativeness in the stability test. In order to reduce the conservatism entailed in the previous results using quadratic function.

As the information about the time derivatives of membership function is considered by the PDC fuzzy controller, it allows the introduction of slack matrices to facilitate the stability

analysis. The relationship between the membership function of the fuzzy model and the fuzzy controllers is used to introduce some slack matrix variables. The boundary information of the membership functions is brought to the stability condition and thus offers some relaxed stability conditions [5].

In this chapter, a new stability conditions for time-delay Takagi-Sugeno fuzzy systems by using fuzzy Lyapunov-Razumikhin function are presented. In addition, a new stabilization conditions for Takagi Sugeno time-delay uncertain fuzzy models based on the use of fuzzy Lyapunov function are presented. This criterion is expressed in terms of Linear Matrix Inequalities (LMIs) which can be efficiently solved by using various convex optimization algorithms [8],[9]. The presented methods are less conservative than existing results.

The organization of the chapter is as follows. In section 2, we present the system description and problem formulation and we give some preliminaries which are needed to derive results. Section 3 will be concerned to stability and stabilization analysis for T-S fuzzy systems with Parallel Distributed Controller (PDC). An observer approach design is derived to estimate state variables. Section 5 will be concerned to stabilization analysis for time-delay T-S fuzzy systems based on Razumikhin theorem. Next, a new robust stabilization condition for uncertain system with time delay is given in section 6. Illustrative examples are given in section 7 for a comparison of previous results to demonstrate the advantage of proposed method. Finally section 8 makes conclusion.

Notation: Throughout this chapter, a real symmetric matrix $S > 0$ denotes S being a positive definite matrix. The superscript “ T ” is used for the transpose of a matrix.

2. System description and preliminaries

Consider an uncertain T-S fuzzy continuous model with time-delay for a nonlinear system as follows:

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{IF } z_1(t) \text{ is } M_{i1} \text{ and...and } z_p(t) \text{ is } M_{ip} \\ \text{THEN } \begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = (A_i + \Delta A_i)x(t) + (D_i + \Delta D_i)x(t - \tau_i(t)) + (B_i + \Delta B_i)u(t) \\ x(t) = \phi(t), t \in [-\tau, 0] \end{cases} \end{array} \quad (1)$$

where M_{ij} ($i = 1, 2, \dots, r, j = 1, 2, \dots, p$) is the fuzzy set and r is the number of model rules; $x(t) \in \mathfrak{R}^n$ is the state vector, $u(t) \in \mathfrak{R}^m$ is the input vector, $A_i \in \mathfrak{R}^{n \times n}$, $D_i \in \mathfrak{R}^{n \times n}$, $B_i \in \mathfrak{R}^{n \times m}$, and $z_1(t), \dots, z_p(t)$ are known premise variables, $\phi(t)$ is a continuous vector-valued initial function on $[-\tau, 0]$; the time-delay $\tau(t)$ may be unknown but is assumed to be smooth function of time.. $\Delta A_i, \Delta D_i$ and ΔB_i are time-varying matrices representing parametric uncertainties in the plant model. These uncertainties are admissibly norm-bounded and structured.

$$0 \leq \tau(t) \leq \tau, \quad \dot{\tau}(t) \leq d < 1,$$

where $\tau > 0$ and d are two scalars.

The final outputs of the fuzzy systems are:

$$\dot{x}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^r h_i(z(t)) \{ (A_i + \Delta A_i)x(t) + (D_i + \Delta D_i)x(t - \tau_i(t)) + (B_i + \Delta B_i)u(t) \} \quad (2)$$

$$x(t) = \phi(t), \quad t \in [-\tau, 0],$$

where

$$z(t) = [z_1(t) z_2(t) \dots z_p(t)]$$

$$h_i(z(t)) = w_i(z(t)) / \sum_{i=1}^r w_i(z(t)), \quad w_i(z(t)) = \prod_{j=1}^p M_{ij}(z_j(t)) \quad \text{for all } t.$$

The term $M_{i1}(z_j(t))$ is the grade of membership of $z_j(t)$ in M_{i1}

Since
$$\begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^r w_i(z(t)) > 0 \\ w_i(z(t)) \geq 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, r \end{cases}$$

we have
$$\begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^r h_i(z(t)) = 1 \\ h_i(z(t)) \geq 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, r \end{cases} \quad \text{for all } t.$$

The time derivative of premise membership functions is given by:

$$\dot{h}_i(z(t)) = \frac{\partial h_i}{\partial z(t)} \cdot \frac{\partial z(t)}{\partial x(t)} \cdot \frac{dx(t)}{dt} = \sum_{l=1}^s \nu_{il} \xi_{il} \times \frac{dx(t)}{dt}$$

We have the following property:

$$\sum_{k=1}^r \dot{h}_k(z(t)) = 0 \quad (3)$$

Consider a PDC fuzzy controller based on the derivative membership function and given by the equation (4)

$$u(t) = -\sum_{i=1}^r h_i(z(t)) F_i x(t) - \sum_{m=1}^r \dot{h}_m(z(t)) K_m x(t) \quad (4)$$

The fuzzy controller design consists to determine the local feedback gains F_i , and K_m in the consequent parts. The state variables are determined by an observer which detailed in next section.

By substituting (4) into (2), the closed-loop fuzzy system without time-delay can be represented as:

$$\dot{x}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j=1}^r h_i(z(t)) h_j(z(t)) \left\{ \left[A_{\Delta i} - B_{\Delta i} F_j - \sum_{m=1}^r \dot{h}_m(z(t)) B_{\Delta i} K_m \right] x(t) + D_{\Delta i} x(t - \tau_i(t)) \right\} \quad (5)$$

$$x(t) = \phi(t), \quad t \in [-\tau, 0],$$

where $A_{\Delta i} = A_i + \Delta A_i$; $D_{\Delta i} = D_i + \Delta D_i$ and $B_{\Delta i} = B_i + \Delta B_i$

The system without uncertainties is given by equation

$$\dot{x}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j=1}^r h_i(z(t)) h_j(z(t)) \left\{ \left[A_i - B_i F_j - \sum_{m=1}^r \dot{h}_m(z(t)) B_i K_m \right] x(t) + D_i x(t - \tau_i(t)) \right\} \quad (6)$$

$$x(t) = \phi(t), \quad t \in [-\tau, 0],$$

The open-loop system is given by the equation (7),

$$\dot{x}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^r h_i(z(t)) (A_{\Delta i} x(t) + D_{\Delta i} x(t - \tau_i(t))) \quad (7)$$

$$x(t) = \phi(t), \quad t \in [-\tau, 0],$$

Assumption 1

The time derivative of the premises membership function is upper bounded such that $|\dot{h}_k| \leq \phi_k$, for $k = 1, \dots, r$, where, $\phi_k, k = 1, \dots, r$ are given positive constants.

Assumption 2

The matrices denote the uncertainties in the system and take the form of

$$\begin{cases} \Delta A_i = D_{a_i} F_{a_i}(t) E_{a_i} \\ \Delta B_i = D_{b_i} F_{b_i}(t) E_{b_i} \end{cases}$$

where $D_{a_i}, D_{b_i}, E_{a_i}$ and E_{b_i} are known constant matrices and $F_{a_i}(t)$ and $F_{b_i}(t)$ are unknown matrix functions satisfying :

$$\begin{cases} F_{a_i}^T(t) F_{a_i}(t) \leq I, \forall t \\ F_{b_i}^T(t) F_{b_i}(t) \leq I, \forall t \end{cases}$$

where I is an appropriately dimensioned identity matrix.

Lemma 1 (Boyd et al. Schur complement [16])

Given constant matrices Ω_1, Ω_2 and Ω_3 with appropriate dimensions, where $\Omega_1 = \Omega_1^T$ and $\Omega_2 = \Omega_2^T$, then

$$\Omega_1 + \Omega_3^T \Omega_2^{-1} \Omega_3 < 0$$

if and only if

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Omega_1 & \Omega_3^T \\ * & -\Omega_2 \end{bmatrix} < 0 \text{ or } \begin{bmatrix} -\Omega_2 & \Omega_3 \\ * & \Omega_1 \end{bmatrix} < 0$$

Lemma 2 (Peterson and Hollot [2])

Let $Q = Q^T, H, E$ and $F(t)$ satisfying $F^T(t)F(t) \leq I$ are appropriately dimensional matrices then the following inequality

$$Q + HF(t)E + E^T F^T(t)H^T < 0$$

is true, if and only if the following inequality holds for any $\lambda > 0$

$$Q + \lambda^{-1}HH^T + \lambda E^T E < 0$$

Theorem 1 (Razumikhin Theorem)[5]

Suppose $u, v, w: \mathfrak{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}^+$ are continuous, non-decreasing functions satisfying $u(s) > 0, v(s) > 0$ and $w(s) > 0$ for $s > 0, u(0) = v(0) = 0$, and v strictly increasing. If there exist a continuous function $V: \mathfrak{R} \times \mathfrak{R}^n \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$ and a continuous non-decreasing function $p(s) > s$ for $s > 0$ such that

$$u(|x|) \leq V(t, x) \leq v(|x|), \quad \forall t \in \mathfrak{R}, x \in \mathfrak{R}^n, \tag{8}$$

$$\dot{V}(t, x) \leq -w(|x|) \text{ if } V(t + \sigma, x(t + \sigma)) \leq p(V(t, x)), \quad \forall \sigma \in [-\tau, 0], \tag{9}$$

then the solution $x \equiv 0$ of (7) is uniformly asymptotically stable.

Lemma 3 [6]

Assume that $a \in \mathfrak{R}^{n_a}, b \in \mathfrak{R}^{n_b}, N \in \mathfrak{R}^{n_a \times n_b}$ are defined on the interval Ω . Then, for any matrices $X \in \mathfrak{R}^{n_a \times n_a}, Y \in \mathfrak{R}^{n_a \times n_b}$ and $Z \in \mathfrak{R}^{n_b \times n_b}$, the following holds:

$$-2 \int_{\Omega} a^T(\alpha) N b(\alpha) d\alpha \leq \int_{\Omega} \begin{bmatrix} a(\alpha) \\ b(\alpha) \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} X & Y - N \\ Y^T - N^T & Z \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a(\alpha) \\ b(\alpha) \end{bmatrix} d\alpha, \tag{10}$$

where $\begin{bmatrix} X & Y \\ Y^T & Z \end{bmatrix} \geq 0$.

Lemma 4 [9]

The unforced fuzzy time delay system described by (7) with $u = 0$ is uniformly asymptotically stable if there exist matrices $P \succ 0, S_i \succ 0, X_{ai}, X_{di}, Z_{aij}, Z_{dij}$, and Y_i , such that the following LMIs hold:

$$\begin{bmatrix} PA_i + A_i^T P + \tau(X_{ai} + X_{di}) + (2\tau + 1)P + Y_i + Y_i^T & -PD_i \\ Y_i^T - D_i^T P & -S_i \end{bmatrix} \prec 0 \tag{11}$$

$$S_i \leq P \tag{12}$$

$$A_j^T Z_{aij} A_j \leq P \tag{13}$$

$$D_j^T Z_{dij} D_j \leq P \tag{14}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} X_{ai} & Y_i \\ Y_i^T & Z_{aij} \end{bmatrix} \geq 0 \tag{15}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} X_{di} & Y_i \\ Y_i^T & Z_{dij} \end{bmatrix} \geq 0 \tag{16}$$

3. Basic stability and stabilization conditions

In order to design an observer for state variables, this section introduce two theorem developed for continuous TS fuzzy model for open-loop and closed-loop. First, consider the open-loop system without time-delay given by equation(17).

$$\dot{x}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^r h_i(z(t)) A_i x(t) \tag{17}$$

The main approach for T-S fuzzy model stability is given in theorem follows. This approach is based on introduction of ε parameter which influences the stability region.

Theorem 2 [17]

Under assumption 1 and for $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq 1$, the Takagi Sugeno fuzzy system (17) is stable if there exist positive definite symmetric matrices $P_k, k = 1, 2, \dots, r$, matrix $R = R^T$ such that the following LMIs hold.

$$P_k + R \succ 0, \quad k \in \{1, \dots, r\} \quad (18)$$

$$P_j + \mu R \succ 0, \quad j \in \{1, \dots, r\} \quad (19)$$

$$P_\phi + \frac{1}{2} \left\{ A_i^T (P_j + \mu R) + (P_j + \mu R) A_i + A_j^T (P_i + \mu R) + (P_i + \mu R) A_j \right\} \prec 0, \quad i \leq j \quad (20)$$

where $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, r$ and $P_\phi = \sum_{k=1}^r \phi_k (P_k + R)$ and $\mu = 1 - \varepsilon$

Proof

The proof of this theorem is given in detailed in article published in [17].

The closed-loop system without time delay is given by equation (21)

$$\dot{x}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^r h_i(z(t)) h_i(z(t)) G_{ii} x(t) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{i < j} h_i(z(t)) h_j(z(t)) \left\{ \frac{G_{ij} + G_{ji}}{2} \right\} x(t), \quad (21)$$

where

$$G_{ij} = A_i - B_i F_j \text{ and } G_{ii} = A_i - B_i F_i.$$

In this section we define a fuzzy Lyapunov function and then consider stability conditions. A sufficient stability condition, for ensuring stability is given follows.

Theorem 2[18]

Under assumption 1, and assumption 2 and for given $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq 1$, the Takagi-Sugeno system (21) is stable if there exist positive definite symmetric matrices $P_k, k = 1, 2, \dots, r$, and R , matrices F_1, \dots, F_r such that the following LMIs holds.

$$P_k + R \succ 0, \quad k \in \{1, \dots, r\} \quad (22)$$

$$P_j + \mu R \geq 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, r \quad (23)$$

$$P_\phi + \left\{ G_{ii}^T (P_k + \mu R) + (P_k + \mu R) G_{ii} \right\} \prec 0, \quad (24)$$

$$i, k \in \{1, \dots, r\}$$

$$\left\{ \frac{G_{ij} + G_{ji}}{2} \right\}^T (P_k + \mu R) + (P_k + \mu R) \left\{ \frac{G_{ij} + G_{ji}}{2} \right\} \prec 0, \quad (25)$$

for $i, j, k = 1, 2, \dots, r$ such that $i < j$

where

$$G_{ij} = A_i - B_i F_j, G_{ii} = A_i - B_i F_i$$

And $P_\phi = \sum_{k=1}^r \phi_k (P_k + R)$

4. Observer design for T-S fuzzy continuous model

In order to determine state variables of system, this section gives a solution by the mean of fuzzy observer design.

A stabilizing observer-based controller can be formulated as follow:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{x}(t) &= \sum_{j=1}^r h_j(z(t)) \{ A_i \hat{x}(t) + B_i u(t) + L_j (C_i \hat{x}(t) - y(t)) \} \\ u(t) &= \sum_{j=1}^r h_j(z(t)) F_j \hat{x}(t) \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

The closed-loop fuzzy system can be represented as:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}(t) &= \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j=1}^r h_i(z(t)) h_j(z(t)) \left\{ (A_i - B_i F_j) - \sum_{\rho=1}^r \dot{h}_\rho(z(t)) (H_\rho + R) \right\} x(t) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j=1}^r h_i(z(t)) h_j(z(t)) \left\{ B_i F_j + \sum_{\rho=1}^r \dot{h}_\rho(z(t)) (H_\rho + R) \right\} e(t) \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

$$\dot{e}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j=1}^r h_i(z(t)) h_j(z(t)) \{ A_i - K_i C_j \} e(t) \tag{28}$$

The augmented system is represented as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}_a(t) &= \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j=1}^r h_i(z(t)) h_j(z(t)) G_{ij} x_a(t) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^r h_i(z(t)) h_j(z(t)) G_{ii} x_a(t) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{i < j} h_i(z(t)) h_j(z(t)) \left\{ \frac{G_{ij} + G_{ji}}{2} \right\} x_a(t) \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} x_a(t) &= \begin{bmatrix} x(t) \\ e(t) \end{bmatrix} \\ G_{ij} &= \begin{bmatrix} A_i - B_i F_j - \sum_{\rho=1}^r \dot{h}_\rho B_i (H_\rho + R) & B_i F_j + \sum_{\rho=1}^r \dot{h}_\rho B_i (H_\rho + R) \\ 0 & A_i - K_i C_j \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

By applying Theorem 2[18] in the augmented system (29) we derive the following Theorem.

Theorem 3

Under assumption 1 and for given $0 \leq \mu \leq 1$, the Takagi-Sugeno system (29) is stable if there exist positive definite symmetric matrices $P_k, k = 1, 2, \dots, r$, and R , matrices F_1, \dots, F_r such that the following LMIs holds.

$$P_k + R \succ 0, \quad k \in \{1, \dots, r\} \tag{30}$$

$$P_j + \mu R \geq 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, r \tag{31}$$

$$P_\phi + \left\{ G_{ii}^T (P_k + \mu R) + (P_k + \mu R) G_{ii} \right\} \prec 0, \tag{32}$$

$$i, k \in \{1, \dots, r\}$$

$$\left\{ \frac{G_{ij} + G_{ji}}{2} \right\}^T (P_k + \mu R) + (P_k + \mu R) \left\{ \frac{G_{ij} + G_{ji}}{2} \right\} \prec 0, \tag{33}$$

for $i, j, k = 1, 2, \dots, r$ such that $i \prec j$

where

$$G_{ij} = \begin{bmatrix} A_i - B_i F_j - \sum_{\rho=1}^r \dot{h}_\rho B_i (H_\rho + R) & B_i F_j + \sum_{\rho=1}^r \dot{h}_\rho B_i (H_\rho + R) \\ 0 & A_i - K_i C_j \end{bmatrix}$$

And $P_\phi = \sum_{k=1}^r \phi_k (P_k + R)$

Proof

The result follows immediately from the Theorem 2[18].

5. Stabilization of continuous T-S Fuzzy model with time-delay

The aim of this section is to prove the asymptotic stability of the time-delay system (6) based on the combination between Lyapunov theory and the Razumikhin theorem [5].

Theorem 4

Under assumption 1 and for given $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq 1$, the unforced fuzzy time delay system described by (7) with $u = 0$ is uniformly asymptotically stable if there exist matrices $P_k \succ 0, k = 1, 2, \dots, r$, $S_i \succ 0$, X_{aij} , X_{di} , Z_{aij} , Z_{dij} , Y_i , and X , such that the following LMIs hold:

$$\begin{bmatrix} P_\beta + (P_k + \varepsilon X)G_{ij} + G_{ij}^T(P_k + \varepsilon X) & -(P_k + \varepsilon X)D_i \\ +\tau(X_{aij} + X_{di}) + (2\tau + 1)(P_k + \varepsilon X) + Y_i + Y_i^T & \\ Y_i^T - D_i^T(P_k + \varepsilon X) & -S_i \end{bmatrix} < 0 \quad (34)$$

where $P_\beta = \sum_{k=1}^r \beta_k (P_k + \varepsilon X)$
 $G_{ij} = A_i - B_i F_j$

$$S_i \leq (P_k + \varepsilon X) \quad (35)$$

$$G_{ij}^T Z_{aij} G_{ij} \leq (P_k + \varepsilon X) \quad (36)$$

$$D_j^T Z_{dij} D_j \leq (P_k + \varepsilon X) \quad (37)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} X_{aij} & Y_i \\ Y_i^T & Z_{aij} \end{bmatrix} \geq 0 \quad (38)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} X_{di} & Y_i \\ Y_i^T & Z_{dij} \end{bmatrix} \geq 0 \quad (39)$$

Proof

Let consider the fuzzy Lyapunov function as

$$V(x) = x^T(t) V_k(x) x(t) \quad (40)$$

$$V_k(x) = \sum_{k=1}^r h_k (P_k + \varepsilon X)$$

Given the matrix property, clearly,

$$\lambda_{\min}(P_k + \varepsilon X) \|x(t)\|^2 \leq x^T(t)(P_k + \varepsilon X)x(t) \leq \lambda_{\max}(P_k + \varepsilon X) \|x(t)\|^2,$$

where $\lambda_{\min(\max)}$ denotes the smallest (largest) eigenvalue of the matrix.

Finding the maximum value of $\sum_{k=0}^r h_k x^T(t)(P_k + \varepsilon X)x(t)$ is equivalent to determining the maximum value of $\sum_{k=0}^r h_k \lambda_{\max}(P_k + \varepsilon X)$.

Finding the minimum value of $\sum_{k=0}^r h_k x^T(t)(P_k + \varepsilon X)x(t)$ is equivalent to determining the minimum value of $\sum_{k=0}^r h_k \lambda_{\min}(P_k + \varepsilon X)$.

Define

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_1 &= \min_k \sum_{k=0}^r h_k \lambda_{\max}(P_k + \varepsilon X) \text{ for } 0 \leq k \leq r, \\ \kappa_2 &= \max_k \sum_{k=0}^r h_k \lambda_{\min}(P_k + \varepsilon X) \text{ for } 0 \leq k \leq r. \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\kappa_1 \|x(t)\|^2 \leq \sum_{k=1}^r x^T(t)(P_k + \varepsilon X)x(t) \leq \kappa_2 \|x(t)\|^2$$

In the following, we will prove the asymptotic stability of the time-delay system (7) based on the Razumikhin theorem [5].

Since

$$x(t) - x(t - \tau_i(t)) = \int_{t-\tau_i(t)}^t \dot{x}(s) ds,$$

The state equation of (7) with $u=0$ can be rewritten as

$$\dot{x}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j=1}^r h_i h_j \left[(G_{ij} + D_i)x(t) - D_i \int_{t-\tau_i(t)}^t \dot{x}(s) ds \right]$$

where $G_{ij} = A_i - B_i F_j$

The derivative of V along the solutions of the unforced system (7) with $u = 0$ is thus given by

$$\dot{V} = x^T(t) \sum_{k=1}^r \dot{h}_k (P_k + \varepsilon X)x(t) + 2x^T(t) \sum_{i=1}^r h_i (P_i + \varepsilon X)\dot{x}(t) = \Upsilon_1(x, t) + \Upsilon_2(x, t) \quad (41)$$

$$\Upsilon_1(x, t) = x^T(t) \sum_{k=1}^r \dot{h}_k (P_k + \varepsilon X)x(t)$$

$$\Upsilon_2(x, t) = 2x^T(t) \sum_{k=1}^r h_k (P_k + \varepsilon X)\dot{x}(t) = 2x^T(t) \sum_{k=1}^r h_k (P_k + \varepsilon X) \times \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j=1}^r h_i h_j \left[(G_{ij} + D_i)x(t) - D_i \int_{t-\tau_i(t)}^t \dot{x}(s) ds \right].$$

Then, based on assumption 1, an upper bound of $\Upsilon_1(x, z)$ obtained as:

$$\Upsilon_1(x, z) \leq \sum_{k=1}^r \beta_k \cdot x(t)^T (P_k + \varepsilon X)x(t) \quad (42)$$

and for $\Upsilon_2(x, t)$ we can written as,

$$\begin{aligned} \Upsilon_2(x, t) &= 2 \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j=1}^r h_i h_j x^T \sum_{k=1}^r h_k (P_k + \varepsilon X) (G_{ij} + D_i) x(t) - \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j=1}^r h_i h_j \int_{t-\tau_i(t)}^t \left\{ 2x^T(t) \sum_{k=1}^r h_k (P_k + \varepsilon X) D_i \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \sum_{v=1}^r \sum_{\zeta=1}^r h_v(s) h_\zeta(s) \left[G_{v\zeta} x(s) + D_\zeta x(s - \tau_j(s)) \right] ds \right\} \\ \Upsilon_2(x, t) &= 2 \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j=1}^r \sum_{k=1}^r h_i h_j h_k x^T \left\{ (P_k + \varepsilon X) (G_{ij} + D_i) \right\} x(t) - \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j=1}^r \sum_{k=1}^r h_i h_j h_k \int_{t-\tau_i(t)}^t \left\{ 2x^T(t) (P_k + \varepsilon X) D_i \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \sum_{v=1}^r \sum_{\zeta=1}^r h_v(s) h_\zeta(s) \left[G_{v\zeta} x(s) + D_\zeta x(s - \tau_j(s)) \right] ds \right\} \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

Using the bounding method in(10), by setting $a = x(t)$ and $b = G_{ij}x(s)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_{t-\tau_i(t)}^t 2x^T(t) (P_k + \varepsilon X) D_i \times \sum_{v=1}^r \sum_{\zeta=1}^r h_v(s) h_\zeta(s) G_{v\zeta} x(s) ds \\ & \leq \tau_i(t) x^T(t) X_{ai} x(t) + 2x^T(t) (Y_i - (P_k + \varepsilon X) D_i) \times \int_{t-\tau_i(t)}^t \sum_{v=1}^r \sum_{\zeta=1}^r h_v(s) h_\zeta(s) G_{v\zeta} x(s) ds \\ & + \int_{t-\tau_i(t)}^t \sum_{v=1}^r \sum_{\zeta=1}^r h_v(s) h_\zeta(s) x^T(s) G_{v\zeta}^T Z_{aiv} G_{v\zeta} x(s) ds \end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

For any matrices X_{av}, Y_v and Z_{aiv} satisfying

$$\begin{bmatrix} X_{av} & Y_v \\ Y_v^T & Z_{aiv} \end{bmatrix} \geq 0$$

Similarly, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_{t-\tau_i(t)}^t 2x^T(t) (P_k + \varepsilon X) D_i \sum_{j=1}^r h_j(s) D_j x(s - \tau_j(s)) ds \\ & \leq \tau_i(t) x^T(t) X_{di} x(t) + 2x^T(t) (Y_i - (P_k + \varepsilon X) D_i) \int_{t-\tau_i(t)}^t \sum_{j=1}^r h_j(s) D_j x(s - \tau_j(s)) ds \\ & + \int_{t-\tau_i(t)}^t \sum_{j=1}^r h_j(s) x^T(s - \tau_j(s)) D_j^T Z_{dij} D_j x(s - \tau_j(s)) ds \end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

For any matrices X_{di}, Y_i and Z_{dij} satisfying

$$\begin{bmatrix} X_{di} & Y_i \\ Y_i^T & Z_{dij} \end{bmatrix} \geq 0$$

Hence, substituting (44) and (45) into (43), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V} &\leq P_\beta + \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j=1}^r \sum_{k=1}^r h_i h_j h_k x^T(t) \left[2(P_k + \varepsilon X)(G_{ij} + D_i) + \tau(X_{ai} + X_{di}) \right] x(t) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{k=1}^r h_i h_k 2x^T(t) (Y_i - (P_k + \varepsilon X)D_i) \times \int_{t-\tau_i(t)}^t \sum_{v=1}^r \sum_{\zeta=1}^r h_v(s) h_\zeta(s) \left[G_{v\zeta} x(s) + D_v x(s - \tau_v(s)) \right] ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{k=1}^r h_i h_k \int_{t-\tau_i(t)}^t \sum_{v=1}^r \sum_{\zeta=1}^r h_v(s) h_\zeta(s) x^T(s) G_{v\zeta}^T Z_{aiv} G_{v\zeta} x(s) ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{k=1}^r h_i h_k \int_{t-\tau_i(t)}^t \sum_{j=1}^r h_j(s) x^T(s - \tau_j(s)) D_j^T Z_{dij} D_j x(s - \tau_j(s)) ds \\ &\leq P_\beta + \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j=1}^r h_i h_j x^T(t) \left[(P_k + \varepsilon X)G_{ij} + G_{ij}^T(P_k + \varepsilon X) + Y_i + Y_i^T + \tau(X_{aij} + X_{di}) \right] x(t) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^r h_i \left[x^T(t) (Y_i - (P_k + \varepsilon X)D_i) S_i^{-1} (Y_i - (P_k + \varepsilon X)D_i)^T x(t) + x^T(t - \tau_i(t)) S_i x(t - \tau_i(t)) \right] \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^r h_i \times \int_{t-\tau_i(t)}^t \sum_{v=1}^r \sum_{\zeta=1}^r h_v(s) h_\zeta(s) x^T(s) G_{v\zeta}^T Z_{aiv} G_{v\zeta} x(s) ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^r h_i \int_{t-\tau_i(t)}^t \sum_{j=1}^r h_j(s) x^T(s - \tau_j(s)) D_j^T Z_{dij} D_j x(s - \tau_j(s)) ds \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

Note that, by *Shur* complement, the LMI in (34) implies $L_i(\delta) < 0$ for a sufficiently small scalar $\delta > 0$, where

$$\begin{aligned} L_i(\delta) &= P_\beta + (P_k + \varepsilon X)G_{ij} + G_{ij}^T(P_k + \varepsilon X) + Y_i + Y_i^T + \tau(X_{aij} + X_{di}) \\ &\quad + (Y_i - (P_k + \varepsilon X)D_i) S_i^{-1} (Y_i - (P_k + \varepsilon X)D_i)^T x(t) + (2\tau + 1 + \tau\delta)(1 + \delta)(P_k + \varepsilon X) \end{aligned}$$

In order to use the *Razumikhin* Theorem, suppose $V(x(t + \sigma)) < (1 + \delta)V(x(t))$ for $\sigma \in [-\tau, 0]$. Then, if the LMIs in (35)–(39) also hold, we have from (46) that

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V} &\leq \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j=1}^r h_i h_j x^T(t) \left[(P_k + \varepsilon X)G_{ij} + G_{ij}^T(P_k + \varepsilon X) + Y_i + Y_i^T + \tau(X_{aij} + X_{di}) \right] x(t) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^r h_i \left[x^T(t) (Y_i - (P_k + \varepsilon X)D_i) S_i^{-1} (Y_i - (P_k + \varepsilon X)D_i)^T x(t) + x^T(t) (1 + \delta)(P_k + \varepsilon X)x(t) \right] \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^r h_i \tau_i(t) x^T(t) (1 + \delta)(P_k + \varepsilon X)x(t) + \sum_{i=1}^r h_i \int_{t-\tau_i(t)}^t x^T(s) (1 + \delta)(P_k + \varepsilon X)x(s) ds \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq \sum_{i=1}^r h_i x^T(t) \left[(P_k + \varepsilon X) A_i + A_i^T (P_k + \varepsilon X) + Y_i + Y_i^T + \tau (X_{ai} + X_{di}) \right] x(t) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^r h_i \left[x^T(t) (Y_i - (P_k + \varepsilon X) D_i) S_i^{-1} (Y_i - (P_k + \varepsilon X) D_i)^T x(t) + x^T(t) (1 + \delta) (P_k + \varepsilon X) x(t) \right] \\
 &\quad + \tau x^T(t) (1 + \delta) (P_k + \varepsilon X) x(t) + \tau x^T(t) (1 + \delta)^2 (P_k + \varepsilon X) x(t) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^r h_i x^T(t) L_i(\delta) x(t) \\
 &< 0
 \end{aligned}$$

which shows the motion of the unforced system (7) with $u = 0$ is uniformly asymptotically stable. This completes the proof.

6. Robust stability condition with PDC controller

Consider the closed-loop system (5). A sufficient robust stability condition for Time-delay system is given follow.

Theorem 5

Under assumption 1, and assumption 2 and for given $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq 1$, the Takagi-Sugeno system (5) is stable if there exist positive definite symmetric matrices $P_k, k = 1, 2, \dots, r$, and R , matrices F_1, \dots, F_r such that the following LMIs holds.

$$P_k + R \succ 0, \quad k \in \{1, \dots, r\} \tag{47}$$

$$P_j + \mu R \geq 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, r \tag{48}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
 \Phi_1 & (P_k + \mu R) D_{ai} & (P_k + \mu R) D_{bi} & (P_k + \mu R) (D_{ai} \Delta_{di} E_{di}) \\
 * & -\lambda I & 0 & 0 \\
 * & * & -\lambda I & 0 \\
 * & * & * & 0
 \end{array} \right] < 0 \\
 &i, k \in \{1, \dots, r\}
 \end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

with

$$\Phi_1 = P_\phi + \bar{G}_{ii}^T (P_k + \mu R) + (P_k + \mu R) \bar{G}_{ii} + \lambda (P_k + \mu R) \left[E_{ai}^T E_{ai} + (E_{bi} F_i)^T E_{bi} F_i \right]$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Phi_2 & & & & \\ * & -\lambda I & 0 & & \\ * & * & -\lambda I & & \\ \Phi_2 & (P_k + \mu R)(D_{ai} + D_{aj}) & (P_k + \mu R)(D_{bi} + D_{bj}) & (P_k + \mu R)(D_{di}\Delta_{di}E_{di}) & \\ * & -\lambda I & 0 & 0 & \\ * & * & -\lambda I & 0 & \\ * & * & * & 0 & \end{bmatrix} < 0 \quad (50)$$

$i, k \in \{1, \dots, r\}$
for $i, j, k = 1, 2, \dots, r$ such that $i < j$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_2 = & \left(\frac{\bar{G}_{ij} + \bar{G}_{ji}}{2} \right)^T (P_k + \mu R) + (P_k + \mu R) \left(\frac{\bar{G}_{ij} + \bar{G}_{ji}}{2} \right) + \lambda (P_k + \mu R) \left[(E_{ai} + E_{aj})^T (E_{ai} + E_{aj}) \right. \\ & \left. + (E_{bi}F_j + E_{bj}F_i)^T (E_{bi}F_j + E_{bj}F_i) \right] \end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{G}_{ij} = \left[A_i - B_iF_j - \sum_{m=1}^r \dot{h}_m(z(t))B_iK_m \right]$, $G_{ii} = \left[A_i - B_iF_i - \sum_{m=1}^r \dot{h}_m(z(t))B_iK_m \right]$, $\mu = 1 - \varepsilon$, and

$$P_\phi = \sum_{k=1}^r \phi_k (P_k + R)$$

Proof

Let consider the Lyapunov function in the following form:

$$V(x(t)) = \sum_{k=1}^r h_k(z(t)) \cdot V_k(x(t)) \quad (51)$$

with

$$V_k(x(t)) = x^T(t)(P_k + \mu R)x(t), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, r$$

where

$$P_k = P_k^T, R = R^T, 0 \leq \varepsilon \leq 1, \mu = 1 - \varepsilon, \text{ and } (P_k + \mu R) \geq 0, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, r.$$

The time derivative of $V(x(t))$ with respect to t along the trajectory of the system (21) is given by:

$$\dot{V}(x(t)) = \sum_{k=1}^r \dot{h}_k(z(t))V_k(x(t)) + \sum_{k=1}^r h_k(z(t))\dot{V}_k(x(t)) \quad (52)$$

The equation (52) can be rewritten as,

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V}(x(t)) = & x^T(t) \left(\sum_{k=1}^r \dot{h}_k(z(t))(P_k + \mu R) \right) x(t) + \dot{x}^T(t) \left(\sum_{k=1}^r h_k(z(t))(P_k + \mu R) \right) x(t) \\ & + x^T(t) \left(\sum_{k=1}^r h_k(z(t))(P_k + \mu R) \right) \dot{x}(t) \end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

By substituting (5) into (53), we obtain,

$$\dot{V}(x(t)) = \Upsilon_1(x, z) + \Upsilon_2(x, z) + \Upsilon_3(x, z) \tag{54}$$

where

$$\Upsilon_1(x, z) = x^T(t) \left(\sum_{k=1}^r \dot{h}_k(z(t)) \cdot (P_k + \mu R) \right) x(t) \tag{55}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Upsilon_2(x, z) = & x^T(t) \sum_{k=1}^r \sum_{i=1}^r h_k(z(t)) h_i^2(z(t)) \times \left\{ \bar{G}_{ii}^T (P_k + \mu R) + (P_k + \mu R) \bar{G}_{ii} \right\} x(t) \\ & + x^T(t) \sum_{k=1}^r \sum_{i=1}^r h_k(z(t)) h_i^2(z(t)) \times \left\{ \left(\begin{bmatrix} D_{ai} & D_{bi} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta_{ai} & 0 \\ 0 & \Delta_{bi} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} E_{ai} \\ -E_{bi} F_i \end{bmatrix} \right)^T (P_k + \mu R) \right. \\ & \left. + (P_k + \mu R) \left(\begin{bmatrix} D_{ai} & D_{bi} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta_{ai} & 0 \\ 0 & \Delta_{bi} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} E_{ai} \\ -E_{bi} F_i \end{bmatrix} \right) \right\} x(t) \\ & + x^T(t - \tau_i(t)) \sum_{k=1}^r \sum_{i=1}^r h_i(z(t)) h_k(z(t)) \left\{ (D_{di} \Delta_{di} E_{di})^T (P_k + \mu R) \right\} x(t) \\ & + x^T(t) \sum_{k=1}^r \sum_{i=1}^r h_i(z(t)) h_k(z(t)) (P_k + \mu R) (D_{di} \Delta_{di} E_{di}) x(t - \tau_i(t)) \end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

$$\Upsilon_2(x, z) = \sum_{k=1}^r \sum_{i=1}^r h_k(z(t)) h_i^2(z(t)) \times \eta^T \Sigma_{ii} \eta$$

where $\eta^T = \begin{bmatrix} x^T(t) & x^T(t - \tau_i(t)) \end{bmatrix}$

$$\Sigma_{ii} = \begin{bmatrix} \Pi_1 & (P_k + \mu R) (D_{di} \Delta_{di} E_{di}) \\ \left\{ (D_{di} \Delta_{di} E_{di})^T (P_k + \mu R) \right\} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

with $\Pi_1 = \left\{ \bar{G}_{ii}^T (P_k + \mu R) + (P_k + \mu R) \bar{G}_{ii} \right\}$

$$+ \left\{ \left(\begin{bmatrix} D_{ai} & D_{bi} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta_{ai} & 0 \\ 0 & \Delta_{bi} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} E_{ai} \\ -E_{bi} F_i \end{bmatrix} \right)^T (P_k + \mu R) + (P_k + \mu R) \left(\begin{bmatrix} D_{ai} & D_{bi} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta_{ai} & 0 \\ 0 & \Delta_{bi} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} E_{ai} \\ -E_{bi} F_i \end{bmatrix} \right) \right\} x(t)$$

where $\bar{G}_{ii} = \left[A_i - B_i F_i - \sum_{m=1}^r \dot{h}_m(z(t)) B_i K_m \right]$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Upsilon_3(x, z) = & x(t)^T \sum_{k=1}^r \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{i < j} h_k(z(t)) h_i(z(t)) h_j(z(t)) \times \left\{ \left(\frac{\bar{G}_{ij} + \bar{G}_{ji}}{2} \right)^T (P_k + \mu R) + (P_k + \mu R) \left(\frac{\bar{G}_{ij} + \bar{G}_{ji}}{2} \right) \right\} x(t) \\
 & + x(t)^T \sum_{k=1}^r \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{i < j} h_k(z(t)) h_i(z(t)) h_j(z(t)) \times \left\{ \left[D_{ai} \quad D_{bi} \right] \begin{bmatrix} \Delta_{ai} & 0 \\ 0 & \Delta_{bi} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} E_{ai} \\ -E_{bi} F_j \end{bmatrix} \right\}^T (P_k + \mu R) \\
 & + (P_k + \mu R) \left\{ \left[D_{ai} \quad D_{bi} \right] \begin{bmatrix} \Delta_{ai} & 0 \\ 0 & \Delta_{bi} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} E_{ai} \\ -E_{bi} F_j \end{bmatrix} \right\} x(t) + x(t)^T \sum_{k=1}^r \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{i < j} h_k(z(t)) h_i(z(t)) h_j(z(t)) \\
 & \times \left\{ \left[D_{aj} \quad D_{bj} \right] \begin{bmatrix} \Delta_{aj} & 0 \\ 0 & \Delta_{bj} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} E_{aj} \\ -E_{bj} F_i \end{bmatrix} \right\}^T (P_k + \mu R) + (P_k + \mu R) \left\{ \left[D_{aj} \quad D_{bj} \right] \begin{bmatrix} \Delta_{aj} & 0 \\ 0 & \Delta_{bj} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} E_{aj} \\ -E_{bj} F_i \end{bmatrix} \right\} x(t) \\
 & + x^T(t - \tau_i(t)) \sum_{k=1}^r \sum_{i=1}^r h_i(z(t)) h_k(z(t)) \left\{ (D_{di} \Delta_{di} E_{di})^T (P_k + \mu R) \right\} x(t) \\
 & + x^T(t) \sum_{k=1}^r \sum_{i=1}^r h_i(z(t)) h_k(z(t)) (P_k + \mu R) (D_{di} \Delta_{di} E_{di}) x(t - \tau_i(t)) \\
 \text{where } \bar{G}_{ij} = & \left[A_i - B_i F_j - \sum_{m=1}^r \dot{h}_m(z(t)) B_i K_m \right]
 \end{aligned} \tag{57}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Upsilon_3(x, z) = & \sum_{k=1}^r \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{i < j} h_k(z(t)) h_i(z(t)) h_j(z(t)) \times \eta^T \Sigma_{ij} \eta \\
 \text{where } \eta^T = & \left[x^T(t) \quad x^T(t - \tau_i(t)) \right] \\
 \Sigma_{ij} = & \begin{bmatrix} \Pi_2 & (P_k + \mu R) (D_{di} \Delta_{di} E_{di}) \\ \left\{ (D_{di} \Delta_{di} E_{di})^T (P_k + \mu R) \right\} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\
 \text{with } \Pi_2 = & \left\{ \left(\frac{\bar{G}_{ij} + \bar{G}_{ji}}{2} \right)^T (P_k + \mu R) + (P_k + \mu R) \left(\frac{\bar{G}_{ij} + \bar{G}_{ji}}{2} \right) \right\} \\
 & + \left\{ \left[D_{ai} \quad D_{bi} \right] \begin{bmatrix} \Delta_{ai} & 0 \\ 0 & \Delta_{bi} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} E_{ai} \\ -E_{bi} F_j \end{bmatrix} \right\}^T (P_k + \mu R) + (P_k + \mu R) \left\{ \left[D_{ai} \quad D_{bi} \right] \begin{bmatrix} \Delta_{ai} & 0 \\ 0 & \Delta_{bi} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} E_{ai} \\ -E_{bi} F_j \end{bmatrix} \right\} \\
 & + \left\{ \left[D_{aj} \quad D_{bj} \right] \begin{bmatrix} \Delta_{aj} & 0 \\ 0 & \Delta_{bj} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} E_{aj} \\ -E_{bj} F_i \end{bmatrix} \right\}^T (P_k + \mu R) + (P_k + \mu R) \left\{ \left[D_{aj} \quad D_{bj} \right] \begin{bmatrix} \Delta_{aj} & 0 \\ 0 & \Delta_{bj} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} E_{aj} \\ -E_{bj} F_i \end{bmatrix} \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

Then, based on assumption 1, an upper bound of $\Upsilon_1(x, z)$ obtained as:

$$\Upsilon_1(x, z) \leq \sum_{k=1}^r \phi_k \cdot x(t)^T (P_k + \mu R) x(t) \tag{58}$$

Based on (3), it follows that $\sum_{k=1}^r \dot{h}_k(z(t)) \varepsilon R = \bar{R} = 0$ where R is any symmetric matrix of proper dimension.

Adding \bar{R} to (55), then

$$\Upsilon_1(x, z) \leq \sum_{k=1}^r \phi_k \cdot x(t)^T (P_k + R)x(t) \tag{59}$$

Then,

$$\dot{V}(x(t)) \leq \sum_{k=1}^r \phi_k x^T(t) (P_k + R)x(t) + \Upsilon_2(x, z) + \Upsilon_3(x, z)$$

If

$$\begin{bmatrix} H_{11} & (P_k + \mu R)D_{di}\Delta_{di}E_{di} \\ E_{di}^T\Delta_{di}^T D_{di}^T(P_k + \mu R) & 0 \end{bmatrix} \prec 0$$

where $H_{11} = \sum_{k=1}^r \phi_k (P_k + R) + \bar{G}_{ii}^T(P_k + \mu R) + (P_k + \mu R)\bar{G}_{ii}$

$$+ \left\{ \left(\begin{bmatrix} E_{ai} \\ -E_{bi}F_i \end{bmatrix} \right)^T \left(\begin{bmatrix} D_{ai} & D_{bi} \end{bmatrix} \right)^T (P_k + \mu R) + (P_k + \mu R) \begin{bmatrix} D_{ai} & D_{bi} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta_{ai} & 0 \\ 0 & \Delta_{bi} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} E_{ai} \\ -E_{bi}F_i \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

Then, based on Lemma 2, an upper bound of H_{11} obtained as:

$$\sum_{k=1}^r \phi_k (P_k + R) + \bar{G}_{ii}^T(P_k + \mu R) + (P_k + \mu R)\bar{G}_{ii} + \lambda^{-1}(P_k + \mu R) \begin{bmatrix} D_{ai} & D_{bi} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} D_{ai}^T \\ D_{bi}^T \end{bmatrix}$$

$$+ \lambda \begin{bmatrix} E_{ai}^T & -(E_{bi}F_i)^T \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} E_{ai} \\ -E_{bi}F_i \end{bmatrix} (P_k + \mu R) \prec 0$$

by Schur complement, we obtain,

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Phi_1 & (P_k + \mu R)D_{ai} & (P_k + \mu R)D_{bi} \\ * & -\lambda I & 0 \\ * & * & -\lambda I \end{bmatrix} \prec 0$$

with

$$\Phi_1 = P_\phi + \bar{G}_{ii}^T(P_k + \mu R) + (P_k + \mu R)\bar{G}_{ii} + \lambda(P_k + \mu R) \begin{bmatrix} E_{ai}^T E_{ai} + (E_{bi}F_i)^T E_{bi}F_i \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\left\{ \left(\frac{\bar{G}_{ij} + \bar{G}_{ji}}{2} \right)^T (P_k + \mu R) + (P_k + \mu R) \left(\frac{\bar{G}_{ij} + \bar{G}_{ji}}{2} \right) \right\} + \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} D_{ai} + D_{aj} & D_{bi} + D_{bj} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta_{ai} + \Delta_{aj} & 0 \\ 0 & \Delta_{bi} + \Delta_{bj} \end{bmatrix} \right.$$

$$\left. \times \begin{bmatrix} E_{ai} + E_{aj} \\ -E_{bi}F_j - E_{bj}F_i \end{bmatrix} \right\}^T (P_k + \mu R) + (P_k + \mu R) \times \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} D_{ai} + D_{aj} & D_{bi} + D_{bj} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta_{ai} + \Delta_{aj} & 0 \\ 0 & \Delta_{bi} + \Delta_{bj} \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} E_{ai} + E_{aj} \\ -E_{bi}F_j - E_{bj}F_i \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

$$\prec 0$$

Then, based on Lemma 2, an upper bound of $\Upsilon_1(x, z)$ obtained as:

$$\left(\frac{\bar{G}_{ij} + \bar{G}_{ji}}{2}\right)^T (P_k + \mu R) + (P_k + \mu R) \left(\frac{\bar{G}_{ij} + \bar{G}_{ji}}{2}\right) + \lambda^{-1} (P_k + \mu R) \begin{bmatrix} D_{ai} + D_{aj} & D_{bi} + D_{bj} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} D_{ai}^T + D_{aj}^T \\ D_{bi}^T + D_{bj}^T \end{bmatrix} + \lambda \begin{bmatrix} (E_{ai} + E_{aj})^T & (-E_{bi}F_j - E_{bj}F_i)^T \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} E_{ai} + E_{aj} \\ -E_{bi}F_j - E_{bj}F_i \end{bmatrix} (P_k + \mu R) < 0$$

by Schur complement, we obtain,

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Phi_2 & (P_k + \mu R)(D_{ai} + D_{aj}) & (P_k + \mu R)(D_{bi} + D_{bj}) \\ * & -\lambda I & 0 \\ * & * & -\lambda I \end{bmatrix} < 0$$

with

$$\Phi_2 = \left(\frac{\bar{G}_{ij} + \bar{G}_{ji}}{2}\right)^T (P_k + \mu R) + (P_k + \mu R) \left(\frac{\bar{G}_{ij} + \bar{G}_{ji}}{2}\right) + \lambda (P_k + \mu R) \begin{bmatrix} (E_{ai} + E_{aj})^T (E_{ai} + E_{aj}) \\ (E_{bi}F_j + E_{bj}F_i)^T (E_{bi}F_j + E_{bj}F_i) \end{bmatrix}$$

If (49) and (50) holds, the time derivative of the fuzzy Lyapunov function is negative. Consequently, we have $\dot{V}(x(t)) < 0$ and the closed loop fuzzy system (5) is stable. This is complete the proof.

7. Numerical examples

Consider the following T-S fuzzy system:

$$\dot{x}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^r h_i(z(t)) A_i x(t) \tag{60}$$

with: $r = 2$

the premise functions are given by:

$$h_1(x_1(t)) = \frac{1 + \sin x_1(t)}{2}; \quad h_2(x_1(t)) = \frac{1 - \sin x_1(t)}{2}; \quad A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -5 & -4 \\ -1 & -2 \end{bmatrix}; \quad A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & -4 \\ 20 & -2 \end{bmatrix};$$

It is assumed that $|x_1(t)| \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$. For $\xi_{11} = 0, \xi_{12} = 0.5, \xi_{21} = -0.5,$ and $\xi_{22} = 0,$ we obtain

$$P_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 37.7864 & 26.8058 \\ 26.8058 & 36.2722 \end{bmatrix}; \quad P_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 98.5559 & 28.7577 \\ 28.7577 & 22.9286 \end{bmatrix}; \quad R = \begin{bmatrix} -1.2760 & -2.2632 \\ -2.2632 & -0.6389 \end{bmatrix}$$




Figure 1. State variables

Figure 3 shows the evolution of the state variables. As can be seen, the conservatism reduction leads to very interesting results regarding fast convergence of this Takagi-Sugeno fuzzy system.

In order to show the improvements of proposed approaches over some existing results, in this section, we present a numerical example, which concern the feasibility of a time delay T-S fuzzy system. Indeed, we compare our fuzzy Lyapunov-Razumikhin approach (Theorem 3.1) with the Lemma 2.2 in [9].

Example 2. Consider the following T-S fuzzy system with $u=0$:

$$\dot{x}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^2 h_i(z(t)) \{ A_i x(t) + D_i x(t - \tau_i(t)) \}, \tag{61}$$

with:

$$A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -2.1 & 0.1 \\ -0.2 & -0.9 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -1.9 & 0 \\ -0.2 & -1.1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad D_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -1.1 & 0.1 \\ -0.8 & -0.9 \end{bmatrix}, \quad D_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -0.9 & 0 \\ -1.1 & -1.2 \end{bmatrix},$$

with the following membership functions :

$$h_1 = \sin^2(x_1 + 0.5); \quad h_2 = \cos^2(x_1 + 0.5).$$

Assume that $\tau_i(t) = 0.5 |\sin(x_1(t) + x_2(t) + 1)|$ where $x(t) = [x_1(t), x_2(t)]^T$. Then, $\tau_i(t) \leq \tau = 0.5$. Table 1. shows that our approach is less conservative than Lemma 2.2. given in [9].

Methods	τ_{\max}
Lemma 2.1	0.6308
Theorem 3.1	$+\infty$

Table 1. Comparison results of maximum τ for Example 1

The LMIs in (34)-(39) are feasible by choosing $X_{ai} = X_a$, $X_{di} = X_d$, $Y_i = Y$, $Z_{aij} = Z_a$, $Z_{dij} = Z_d$, and $S_i = S$, $i, j = 1, 2$, and for $\tau = 0.5$ a feasible solution is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_1 &= \begin{bmatrix} 1.5121 & -0.1801 \\ -0.1801 & 1.1057 \end{bmatrix}, P_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1.451 & -0.178 \\ -0.178 & 0.883 \end{bmatrix}, S = \begin{bmatrix} 1.021 & -0.064 \\ -0.064 & 0.664 \end{bmatrix}, \\
 Y &= \begin{bmatrix} -0.611 & 0.169 \\ -0.243 & -0.421 \end{bmatrix}, X_a = \begin{bmatrix} 2.523 & 0.707 \\ 0.707 & 2.155 \end{bmatrix}, X_d = \begin{bmatrix} 1.448 & 0.094 \\ 0.094 & 2.353 \end{bmatrix}, Z_a = \begin{bmatrix} 0.201 & -0.087 \\ -0.087 & 0.369 \end{bmatrix}, \\
 Z_d &= \begin{bmatrix} 0.849 & -0.227 \\ -0.227 & 0.246 \end{bmatrix},
 \end{aligned}$$

8. Conclusion

This chapter provided new conditions for the stabilization with a PDC controller of Takagi-Sugeno fuzzy systems with time delay in terms of a combination of the Razumikhin theorem and the use of non-quadratic Lyapunov function as Fuzzy Lyapunov function. In addition, the time derivative of membership function is considered by the PDC fuzzy controller in order to facilitate the stability analysis. An approach to design an observer is derived in order to estimate variable states. In addition, a new condition of the stabilization of uncertain system is given in this chapter.

The stabilization condition proposed in this note is less conservative than some of those in the literature, which has been illustrated via examples.

Author details

Yassine Manai and Mohamed Benrejeb
National Engineering School of Tunis, LR-Automatique, Tunis, Tunisia

9. References

- [1] T. Takagi, and M. Sugeno, "Fuzzy identification of systems and its application to modeling and control," *IEEE Trans. On System, Man and Cybernetics*, vol 15 (1), pp. 116–132, 1985.
- [2] M.A.L. Thathachar, P. Viswanah, "On the Stability of Fuzzy Systems", *IEEE Transactions on Fuzzy Systems*, Vol. 5, N°1, pp. 145 – 151, February 1997.

- [3] L. K. Wong, F.H.F. Leung, P.K.S. Tam, "Stability Design of TS Model Based Fuzzy Systems", *Proceedings of the Sixth IEEE International Conference on Fuzzy Systems*, Vol. 1, pp. 83–86, 1997.
- [4] C.H. Fang, Y.S. Liu, S.W. Kau, L. Hong, and C.H. Lee, "A New LMI-Based Approach to Relaxed Quadratic Stabilization of T–S Fuzzy Control Systems," *IEEE Transactions on Fuzzy Systems*, Vol. 14, N° 3, pp.386–397, June 2006.
- [5] H. K. Lam, F.H. Leung, "Stability Analysis of Fuzzy Model based Control Systems", Hong Kong, Springer 2011.
- [6] I. Abdelmalek, N. Golea, and M.L. Hadjili, "A New Fuzzy Lyapunov Approach to Non-Quadratic Stabilization of Takagi-Sugeno Fuzzy Models," *Int. J. Appl. Math. Comput. Sci.*, Vol. 17, No. 1, 39–51, 2007.
- [7] L.A. Mozelli, R.M. Palhares, F.O. Souza, and E.M. Mendes, "Reducing conservativeness in recent stability conditions of TS fuzzy systems," *Automatica*, Vol. 45, pp. 1580–1583, 2009.
- [8] Y.Y. Cao and P.M. Frank, "Stability analysis and synthesis of nonlinear time-delay systems via Takagi-Sugeno fuzzy models", *Fuzzy Sets and systems*, Vol. 124 N°2, pp. 213-229, 2001.
- [9] C. Lin, Q.G. Wang, T.H. Lee, "Delay-dependent LMI conditions for stability and stabilization of T–S fuzzy systems with bounded time-delay", *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, Vol. 157 N°9, pp. 1229-1247, 2006.
- [10] C. Lin, Q.G. Wang, and T. H. Lee, "Fuzzy Weighting-dependent approach to H_∞ filter design for Time-delay fuzzy systems", *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, Vol. 55 N° 6, 2007.
- [11] C. Lin, Q.G. Wang, and T. H. Lee, *LMI Approach to Analysis and Control of Takagi-Sugeno Fuzzy Systems with Time Delay*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 2007.
- [12] Y.Y. Cao, and P.M. Frank. "Analysis and synthesis of nonlinear time-delay systems via fuzzy control approach". *IEEE Transactions on Fuzzy Systems*, 8(2), 200-211, 2000.
- [13] I Amri, D Soudani, M Benrejeb, "Exponential Stability and Stabilization of Linear Systems with Time Varying Delays", *Conf. SSD'09, Djerba*, 2009.
- [14] K. Tanaka, T. Hori, and H.O. Wang, "A multiple Lyapunov function approach to stabilization of fuzzy control systems," *IEEE Transactions on Fuzzy Systems*, Vol. 11 N°4, pp. 582–589, 2003.
- [15] C.H. Fang, Y.S. Liu, S.W. Kau, L. Hong, and C.H. Lee, "A New LMI-Based Approach to Relaxed Quadratic Stabilization of T–S Fuzzy Control Systems," *IEEE Transactions on Fuzzy Systems*, Vol. 14, N° 3, pp.386–397, June 2006.
- [16] H.O. Wang, K. Tanaka, M. F. Griffin, "An Approach to Fuzzy Control of Nonlinear Systems: Stability and Design Issues", *IEEE Transactions On Fuzzy Systems*, Vol. 4, N°1, February 1996.
- [17] Y. Manai, M. Benrejeb, "Stability for Continuous Takagi-Sugeno Fuzzy System based on Fuzzy Lyapunov Function', *Conf. CCCA'11, Hammamet*, 2011.
- [18] M. Yassine, B. Mohamed, "New Condition of Stabilisation for Continuous Takagi-Sugeno Fuzzy System based on Fuzzy Lyapunov Function", *International Journal of Control and Automation*, Vol. 4 No. 3, September, 2011.