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1. Introduction

In recent years, numbers of questions concerning energy generation have arisen. Emission levels, delivery security, and diversification of the portfolio of technologies have been extensively discussed. Well-established generation based on fossil fuels in large-scale power stations is criticized for big environmental impacts, and limited sustainability due to high fraction of process losses. Not only emissions, but also extraction of resources, alteration of the landscape, transmission and distribution inefficiencies are often pointed as the main downside. As a solution for rapidly increasing energy consumption, and emerging threat of current resources depletion, distributed generation based on highly efficient micro- and small-system was proposed. Moreover, combined heat and power (CHP) units with high achievable efficiency are seen as possible substitutes for stand-alone electricity generators. Most of technologies from that group are currently under development, however selected systems are already reaching market availability. In 2004 European Commission indicated selected systems, with guidelines for promotion and development of highly efficient co-generative units [1]. List of technologies, which can provide high electrical and overall efficiency with limited environmental impacts, includes the following:

- Combined cycle gas turbine with heat recovery
- Steam backpressure turbines
- Steam condensing extraction turbines
- Gas turbines with heat recovery
• Internal combustion engines
• Microturbines
• Stirling engines
• Fuel cells
• Steam engines
• Organic Rankine cycles
• Any other type of technology of combination of thereof falling under the definition laid in the directive

Further studies were devoted to finding the optimal technology for micro- and small scale-systems suitable for CHP applications. It should be noted that, to distinguish between selected systems scales, terms micro and small were introduced. In the EU Combined Heat and Power directive [1] the earlier term refers to units with nominal power output 50 kWel, while in literature it usually covers systems with nominal power output of single kWel [2,3,4]. The later usually refers to system with output of tens of kWel.

It was found that three groups of technologies are especially interesting from the technical and economical point of view for systems with single kilowatts power output, namely:
• Internal combustion engines
• Stirling engines
• Fuel Cells (PEFC and SOFC)

While different energy generating systems with internal combustion and Stirling engines are a well-established technology, fuel cells in stationary generation have been known for not more than two decades. Even though technology is not yet mature, numerous demonstration systems have already been operated allowing to gain operating experience. The main reason to consider fuel cells as an alternative to other generation systems is high electrical performance due to the direct conversion of chemical energy of a fuel into electricity.

Evaluation of PEFC and SOFC for micro-CHP application was recently presented [3]. Authors underlined high efficiency and multifuel capabilities of the SOFC. Additionally, in case of low-temperature cells, such as PEFC, partial internal reforming cannot be done, hence efficiency penalty due to external reforming is observed next to limited fuel flexibility. Moreover, SOFCs offer utilization of high temperature heat in co-generative systems [4]. Substantial part of the high-grade heat can be recovered from the anodic and cathodic gas streams leaving the SOFC stack at elevated temperature [5] for hot tap water supply or heating purposes [6]. Taking into account these advantages, SOFC technology has been selected for further analysis with different modeling techniques.
2. Selected systems with Solid Oxide Fuel Cells

Over the years fuel cell technology proved to be feasible in a number of applications, including portable energy generation, transportation, stationary back-up systems and energy generators in space missions among others. Currently, selected fuel cells such as SOFCs are considered as suitable conversion systems for the clean and sustainable energy generation. Development of such systems requires proper modeling approaches, construction of high fidelity numerical simulators and tools able to provide clear insight into various aspects of the system operation.

Selected units with SOFCs have already reached proof-of-the-concept stage of development, and in some cases units are already available in for sale. Comparison of market-available systems is presented in Table 1, based on available data [7,8,9,10,11,12,13]. It should be emphasized, that using fuel cells for electricity only generation in micro- and small-units is not economically feasible, therefore it was not considered. SOFC-based electricity-only generation is economically feasible only for the capacity range over 100 kWel. For such systems expected electrical efficiency ranges between 40 and 85%, while capital and peration and maintenance (O&M) costs were estimated for 1500-3000 $/kW and 0.0019 – 0.0153 $/kW, respectively [14]. By comparing these numbers with data for other systems presented in Table 2 it becomes clear that SOFC can be indeed competitive.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vendor</th>
<th>Type of fuel cell</th>
<th>Power: electrical/thermal [kW]</th>
<th>Efficiency: $\eta_{el}/\eta_{tot}$ [%]</th>
<th>Number of units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hexis</td>
<td>SOFC</td>
<td>1.0/2.0</td>
<td>30-35/&gt;90</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFCL</td>
<td>SOFC</td>
<td>1.5/0.6</td>
<td>60/85</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaillant</td>
<td>SOFC</td>
<td>4.6/6.5</td>
<td>30/88</td>
<td>&gt;60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JX Nippon</td>
<td>SOFC</td>
<td>0.7/1.25</td>
<td>45/87</td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baxi</td>
<td>PEFC</td>
<td>1.0/1.7</td>
<td>32/85</td>
<td>&gt;20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viessmann</td>
<td>PEFC</td>
<td>2.0/5.0</td>
<td>28/80</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bosch</td>
<td>PEFC</td>
<td>4.6/6.5</td>
<td>29/80</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Comparison of selected micro-CHP systems with fuel cells.

Different concepts of large SOFC-based systems were developed and studied [15,16,17]. Among those, various plants proposed by Siemens-Westinghouse with nominal power ranging from single up to hundreds MWel were investigated [18,19], including pressurized systems. Despite the fact that high efficiency and near-zero emissions in such plants were envisioned, attention has been focused on smaller scales – single and tens of kWel.


### Table 2. Comparison of selected systems for stand-alone electricity generation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technology</th>
<th>Capacity</th>
<th>$\eta_e$ [%]</th>
<th>Capital cost [$/kW]</th>
<th>O&amp;M costs [$/kW]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diesel engines</td>
<td>500 kW - 50 MW</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>200-350</td>
<td>0.005 - 0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas turbines</td>
<td>500 - 5 MW</td>
<td>29 - 42</td>
<td>450 - 870</td>
<td>0.005 - 0.0065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photovoltaic system</td>
<td>1 kW - 1 MW</td>
<td>6 - 19</td>
<td>6600</td>
<td>0.001 - 0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind turbines</td>
<td>10 kW - 2 MW</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Modeling: transition between different length and time scales

During SOFC-based system operation numbers of different processes are taking place at different length- and time-scales. Summary of typically considered phenomena is presented in Table 3.

**Figure 1.** Different processes and their corresponding length scales and time frames (after [20])
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scale [m]</th>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>Phenomena</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$10^{-4}$–$10^{-7}$</td>
<td>Electrode material</td>
<td>Electrochemistry Diffusion through the surface Chemical reaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Triple phase boundary – electrode, electrolyte and oxidant contact point</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^{-6}$–$10^{-5}$</td>
<td>Porous media</td>
<td>Knudsen diffusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Flow through porous media Chemical reaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^{-3}$–$10^{-1}$</td>
<td>Flow field</td>
<td>Diffusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mass flow Heat exchange</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^{-2}$–$10^{0}$</td>
<td>Single cell</td>
<td>Transport of oxidant and fuel Thermal balancing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stack</td>
<td>Electrical circuits of the cell Processes in the electrical system Thermal balancing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^{0}$–$10^{7}$</td>
<td>System level</td>
<td>Control, automatics, safety systems Integration of the entire system</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Selected processes taking place during SOFC-system operation and their corresponding length scales.

Application-specific criteria and various designs require dedicated methodology for detailed investigation of processes listed in Table 3. In general, models are used to help understand and predict behavior of a particular system, to optimize control strategy, thermal balancing, and other aspects. Additionally, optimization tools can provide information on the optimal operational parameters. Moreover, models can be used as predictive tools for performance evaluation under off-design conditions. Modeling can provide crucial information for the system configuration improvements. Work on a prototype design is usually an iterative procedure where modeling is coupled with design definition. In each case, determination of criteria is an important step and must correspond to particular requirements. Depending on type of modeling, desired complexity and level of details, sufficient data have to be supplied to model. This section will briefly review different modeling techniques, including 0, 1-2 and 3D models. In a recent and valuable summary of modeling and simulation techniques [20] pictorial illustration of different issues and their corresponding length scales (Table 3) and characteristic time has been proposed (Fig. 1).

Models can be divided into macro- and micro-scale, depending on the length scales that are covered by particular approach. In general case, analysis of SOFC at the stack level focuses
on development of models for electrochemical processes, chemical reaction, transport phenomena, and geometry influence. Investigation of the entire system includes studies on the integration, heat and mass exchange, electrical circuits, and equipment.

3.1. 0D modeling

Zero-dimensional methodology allows studying processes that can be analyzed without taking into account spatial configuration and geometry. Such approach is justified for system-level studies, however might also be used for estimation of certain parameters. Depending on the required precision, 0D models can be used to solve governing equations for planar SOFC, written for each of the cell components: electrodes, electrolyte, interconnects and flow channels [21,22,23,24,25]. Required assumptions include constant fluid properties, air as an incompressible gas and no chemical reactions occurring in the fuel and air channels. Set of governing equations is later solved with desired accuracy by different algorithms. System-level studies can be performed with commercially available software such as Aspen Plus or Aspen Hysys. The later was recently used by Kupecki and Badyda [5] for evaluation of different fuel processing technologies for micro-CHP unit with SOFC. Different designs, presented in Fig. 2-6 were studied for evaluation of heat and mass balances. In the study, characteristics of market-available SOFCs were implemented, and auxiliary equipment was selected for off-the-shelf products. Considering different fuels, including natural gas, diesel, and LPG it was possible to define the optimal processing technology for micro-CHP unit equipped with afterburner. Steam reforming allowed achieving the highest overall system efficiency, even tough it required substantial amount of heat. Generally, 0D method proved to be sufficient for system-level studied, including thermal processes (i.e. heat exchange, heat losses, combustion in the afterburner), electrochemical reactions in the SOFC stack and chemical reactions occurring in the fuel processor.

With introduction of heat capacity, dynamics can be studied to some extent using 0D modeling techniques, as it will be presented in the dynamic modeling section. In certain cases chemistry can also be investigated. The main limitation is the difficulty to explicitly incorporate geometry of chemical reactors, although semi-empirical correlations are sometimes applicable. Bove and Ubertini [26] suggested using black-box 0D models to investigate impact of fuel composition, oxidant or fuel utilization and overpotentials on the macroscopic performance of SOFC in terms of efficiency and current-voltage characteristics. Such models should be used when system-level approach is required, without main focus on the SOFC stack itself [27]. In cell-level modeling, zero dimensional approach can be efficiently used for solving elementary balance equations for fluids: continuity, momentum, energy and species transport. Since solid oxide fuel cells consists of two porous electrodes separated by an electrolyte, porosity of these materials should be explicitly considered in the governing equations. Once the set of equations is developed, it can easily be transferred from discrete 0D to 1D model to be solved using proper CFD method [28].

Summarizing, the main advantage of zero-dimensional approach is low computational costs, simple formulation of the model. Such models can be freely used for systems where
no mass and heat accumulation occurs. The main disadvantage is the significant limitation in modeling influence of geometry and sizing, especially when those are of a high importance, for instance in chemistry modeling.

Figure 2. Single-pass system with CPOX reactor

Figure 3. Single-pass system with steam reformer
Figure 4. System with recirculation based on an ejector

Figure 5. System with recirculation based on a high-temperature fan
3.2. 2- and 3D modeling and computational fluid dynamics codes

In 1-, 2- and 3D models, space-dependent governing equations are being solved. In case of three-dimensional approach, mathematical formulas are usually written in form of partial differential equations. Different methods can be used for solving the resulting set of equations. In 1D approach, ordinary differential equations may be encountered, and solution can be easily found with simple codes or even analytically. Complex 3D models of SOFC stack are useful for heat and mass exchange modeling [29]. With high fidelity models, different heat exchange means can be studies, and cell voltage under inhomogeneous temperature distribution can be found. Space-continuous models can be applied for material studies and evaluation of process losses. Time-dependent thermal processes can be studied in similar way to proposed nearly twenty years ago by Achenbach [30]. In his work, numerical tool was used to investigate heat conductivity of stack made of ceramic and metallic plates. With the proposed methodology it was possible to find the overall heat conductivities of the combined SOFC assembly. Additionally, the model was applied to evaluate influence of thermal radiation and the total heat losses from the stack. Such studies are crucial for evaluation of overall system performance, and can indicate dangerous operational modes, which should be avoided. Several analytical models of pressure and flow distribution in the stack have been presented [59, 60]. The results have been compared to 3D CFD model, showing accuracy sufficient for engineering calculations. However, analytical models are typically applicable only to no-load, isothermal stack conditions.

Significant computational power is required to implement fully-3D CFD combined models of the SOFC stack and auxiliary system components. Computational time requirements limits complex optimization of such cases. In particular, when optimization of 3D models of system sections is necessary to approximate integration of SOFC stack with pre-heaters or reform-
ers, engineering accuracy approximations are often implemented. 3D non-CFD numerical model of SOFC stack has been applied to improve the thermal management of SOFC system through radiant heat transfer from the stack walls to adjacent air preheater panels [61].

In this study, options for minimizing axial and in-plane temperature gradients in the stack have also been identified. The results of subsequent tests, verifying modeling results, suggested that the use of radiation-based approach significantly improves the management of stack-generated heat [62].

Since porous body, representing electrochemically active part of the SOFC stack, is impermeable in directions other than flow direction in gas channels, simplifications of the 3D CFD stack model is possible, including 2D CFD model with the porous body approach (see Fig. 7). Periodical and ordered geometry of reactant channels in the stack, allows treatment of stack geometry as a porous body, with porosity defined as a ratio of channels cross-sectional area to stack cross-sectional area [63]. In the study, 2-D and 3D CFD SOFC stack models with internal manifolds have been implemented to simulate flow distribution under electric load conditions for the selected fuels. The semi-empirical model of electrochemical kinetics has been implemented. Typical flow arrangements of the inlet and outlet gas supply manifolds (U-flow, Z-flow) have been evaluated, including effects associated water-shift reaction and finite-rate of internal reforming of methane in the stack.

Figure 7. Stack representation in the 2D/3D CFD porous body approach
In yet another approach to SOFC stack modeling, so-called hydraulic network approach [64], pressure drop is calculated separately for each manifold section and reactant channel section, as shown in Fig. 8. The pressure drop is calculated based on the Darcy’s friction factor, incorporating local geometry and stream characteristics. The hydraulic model approach has been implemented for the planar, rectangular geometry of the fuel cells. In the model, pressure drop is calculated separately for each manifold section and cell section:

\[
\Delta P_i = f_D \frac{L_i}{D_i} \frac{\rho_i V_i^2}{2}
\]

where:
- \( f_D \) Darcy’s friction factor
- \( f_D = \frac{K}{Re} \) for the laminar flow and \( f_D = \varepsilon/D \) for the turbulent flow
- \( \Delta P_i \) pressure drop in the manifold section or cell section [Pa]
- \( L_i \) length of the manifold section or cell section [m]
- \( \rho_i \) gas density [kg cm\(^{-1}\)]
- \( V_i \) gas velocity [m s\(^{-1}\)]
- \( D \) hydraulic diameter [m]
- \( K \) constant (64 for the circular channels)
- \( \varepsilon/D \) relative roughness of the channel
- \( Re \) Reynolds number

Figure 8. Stack representation in the hydraulic network approach
Additional pressure losses are calculated for the flow obstacles, such as dividing/combining flows at the manifold/reactant channel junctions, as:

$$\Delta P_i = K_{it} \frac{\rho_i V_i^2}{2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.2)

The resulting system of nonlinear equations is solved numerically for each of the flow loops:

$$\Delta P_{MI,i} + \Delta P_{CELL,i+1} - \Delta P_{CELL,i+1} - Q \cdot \Delta P_{MO,i} = 0 \text{ for } i = 1...N-1$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.3)

Numerical results show good convergence with analytical models (Fig. 9). The hydraulic networks approach is also applicable to SOFC stack modeling under electric load conditions.

**Figure 9.** Comparison of pressure drop across the cathode side of the SOFC cell for a range of flows corresponding to a range of oxidant utilizations (▲– hydraulic model results; ♦ – measurements, 295 K; ■ – 3D CFD simulation results)

Computational fluid dynamic system can be also used for system integration [2]. The coupling different models in one simulator can provide insight into operation of system components such as BoP devices, fuel processor, tail gas combustor, and SOFC stack. Time scale selection for the modeling should be done with caution. As has been noted by Tanaka et al. [29], certain fluctuations during small-scale co-generative SOFC-based unit operation occur. Authors performed detailed uncertainty estimation for 10 kW class units fed with town gas. Research was focused on evaluation of possible fluctuations, including changes in fuel quality over time (i.e. deviation of HHV from the nominal value), flow variations, precision of measurement equipment and other factors. The results indicate that the electrical efficiency of system can be determined with 1.0% relative uncertainty at 95% level of confidence for
such system. However, it should be noted that fuel cells are generally believed to operate quite stable when compared to other energy conversion techniques [31].

3.3. Fuel processing technologies

It is well known that the main advantage of solid oxide fuel cells is the ability to operate with number of different fuels including alcohols [32], hydrocarbons [31], pure hydrogen [33,21,31], biofuels [34] and energy carries which can be converted to hydrogen-rich gas, including ammonia [35] and dimethyl ether [36]. Nonetheless, in order to assure high performance operation of a fuel cell stack, by limiting cells degradation, proper fuel processing has to be selected. As recently reported by Leone et al. [40] different fuel processing technologies may be used for fuel cell-based system, however reforming technique can influence cell operating conditions and selection should be made taking into account different factors.

Generally, three different technologies can be distinguished for converting fuel before it enters the SOFC stack: catalytic partial oxidation (CPOX), steam reforming (SR) and autothermal reforming (AT). In certain cases these processes can be accompanied by fuel clean-up stage as it is usually done for fuels with significant H\textsubscript{2}S content.

The important part of SOFC system operation is direct thermal integration of stack and fuel reforming. Different implementations have been proposed and corresponding modeling studies performed:

1. Intermediate indirect reforming plates (IIR) can be directly integrated with the SOFC stack [45,46]. In this approach, fuel reforming plates are integrated with the stack structure and separated with one or more fuel cells. Reformed fuel from the reforming plates is redirected to fuel inlet of the adjacent cell(s).

2. Direct internal reforming (DIR) is often implemented, taking advantage of catalytic properties of the SOFC anode material [47]. In this approach fuel is directly reformed on the anode side of the fuel cell. Pre-reforming of the fuel might be necessary in some cases to avoid overcooling of the fuel inlet stack region, particularly for the fuel with high methane content.

3. Thermal integration of SOFC stack and fuel reformer can also be implemented with thermal radiation/convection/conduction conjugate heat transfer between SOFC stack(s) and reformer. In this approach, fuel reformer is placed in a direct vicinity of the stack(s).

In this subsection, theory of different fuel processing technologies will be briefly discussed.

Partial oxidation reaction proceeds with the presence of catalyst can be written in a general form for any hydrocarbon [37]

\[
C_nH_{m}O_p + x(O_2 + 3.76N_2) + (2n - 2x - p)H_2O \rightarrow nCO_2 + (2n - 2x - p - 0.5m)H_2 + 3.76xN_2
\]  

(4.4)

where \(x\) is the oxygen to fuel molar ratio. This ratio defines the required amount of water for carbon to carbon monoxide conversion, amount of generated hydrogen, and molar concen-
tration of hydrogen in the reaction products. For \( x = 0 \) the reaction becomes an endothermic steam reforming, and for \( x = 12.5 \) it corresponds to a combustion process. Partial oxidation reaction should be controlled in such way, that overall thermal balance would be exothermic. Simple calculations lead to conclusion, that for a low value of \( x \) coefficient, higher amounts (or concentrations) of hydrogen should be expected. The main reason for using catalyst is the reduction of the process temperature. Reaction described by equation (1) to proceed without catalyst, however temperature of about 1000° C is required in such case. Because of that fact in most commercial applications, including SOFC- based systems, catalyst is used.

Second method for turning different fuels into hydrogen-rich gas is the steam reforming. In most fuel cell applications, reaction proceeds at high temperature with addition of water vapor. Typical products of steam reforming include hydrogen and carbon dioxide. Ideal reaction can be written for any hydrocarbon fuel fed in the following form:

\[
C_nH_{2n} + 0.5(n-1)H_2O \rightarrow 0.25(3n+1)CH_4 \ + \ 0.25(n-1)CO_2
\] (4.5)

In most technological processes, steam reforming comprises two stages which can be written for the simplest hydrocarbon in a form:

\[
CH_4 + H_2O \rightarrow CO_2 + 3H_2
\] (4.6)

and

\[
CO_2 + H_2O \rightarrow CO_2 + H_2
\] (4.7)

Where equation (4.6) is strongly endothermic and (4.7) is slightly exothermic, therefore the overall reaction requires heat delivery. Typically, steam reforming of gases can also be done as a catalyst-supported process. Usually a metallic nickel catalyst [38,39] either Ni/Al₂O₃ or Ni on refractory material, containing 5-30% of Ni are used. Lifetime of a catalyst strongly depends on quality of gases converted in the steam reformer, so-called poisoning is usually the main process leading to rapid performance deterioration. In order to ensure long lasting operation of the catalyst, poisonous impurities should be removed prior the reforming process.

Next to CPOX and SR, internal reforming is also mentioned as an interesting processing technology and the most economical way to convert hydrocarbon fuels for tubular and planar SOFCs. Despite the fact that process has number of advantages, it may lead to high temperature variations in the fuel cell and stack [41]. Highly endothermic character of the reaction is responsible for local cooling of the cell material leading to cracking and rupture. In a similar way, CPOX reaction along the cell is often claimed to be responsible for cell overheating which can compromise the ceramic material stability in a similar way as internal reforming. Even though, internal reforming is allowed to a certain extent, it is believed that thermal decomposition of higher hydrocarbons may lead to carbon formation on the anode compartments [30]. Usually, limitation on the fraction of higher hydrocarbons is imposed by
material issues (endothermic reforming reaction) and possibility of carbon deposition to occur. Recent study presented in 0D modeling section and available literature [42,43] clearly indicates that steam reforming is the most efficient technology for bioethanol, methane and other hydrocarbons conversion into hydrogen rich-gas. Arteaga et al. [44] performed thorough evaluation of different fuel processing technologies particularly for SOFC application also finding steam reforming the most suitable. Comparison of different fuel processing technologies for biogas and methane was previously done and reported [53]. It was clearly indicated that steam reforming is the optimal selection for micro-CHP units with SOFCs.

As it was discussed in previous section, systems with SOFCs require fuel processing. In most cases steam reforming would be selected, and in such system catalysts would be employed.

4. Control strategy for micro-CHP unit

Since micro-CHP unit of discussed type generated both electricity and heat, two control strategies are possible. Device can operate following electricity or heat/hot water demand. Generally it is believed, that the most optimal strategy is to control electricity generation, considering heat as a by-product which can possibly be stored in sufficiently large water tank. In available literature, different tank sizes were considered. In design of micro-CHP system with power output of about 2 kW by Kupecki and Badyda [5], tank with volume of 600 liters was considered. At average storage temperature of 55°C, the total of about 28 kW th can be stored in the tank. This volume was selected based on availability of off-the-shelf products, its reasonable price and sufficient heat capacity. Surprisingly, some authors [65] suggest selection of much larger size like 1000 or even 3000 liters. From the product development point of view, customer expectations and required compact size, this is an a way too large volume. Moreover, according to authors’ own calculations, selection of such a big vessel has negligible economical gain, and in all cases can lead to increase of capital cost of the system. Price of hot water storage tanks increases exponentially with the capacity increase, therefore considerations of volumes above 600 liters should not have place. Additional aspect of control strategy selection depend on current conditions, including generation price and cost or resources.

In a recent study [66] control strategy for the highest energy savings for 20 households using 0.7 kW micro-CHP systems with SOFC was evaluated. Mixed-integer linear programming was used to optimized control for a case, and when each of households has a different consumption. They clearly found, that electrical load-following is the best strategy, allowing the highest energy-saving effect. Authors also pointed out that wastage of surplus hot water is possible in the summer season, but this can be avoided by selection of slightly larger water tank.
5. Modeling the dynamic behavior of a singular Solid Oxide Fuel Cell

5.1 Dynamic oriented model of SOFC

The mathematical model of SOFC for steady state calculations was presented in a few previous papers [73,74,75,76,77,78,79,80]. In this section only dynamic oriented relationships are included and commented on.

As an object for modeling, a singular fuel cell is chosen with dimensions of 5 cm × 5 cm and thickness of 1 mm (see Fig. 10). It was assumed that the manifolds (for fuel and oxidant) are identical.

Some processes which occur during fuel cell operation are very rapid, thus they can be assumed to be time independent compared to others. The following processes are assumed to be time independent:

1. Electrical processes
2. Electrochemical processes
3. Pressure changes

For those processes only the static equations were utilized.

Fig. 11 presents a concept of a model of Solid Oxide Fuel Cell, the fuel cell is equipped with two inlet streams and two outlet streams. Processes which occur during fuel cell operation can be divided into three steps: capture of oxygen atoms from the delivered oxidant (air), oxygen ions passing through the electrolyte layer, and the ions escaping and reacting with the delivered fuel. Material aspects play a crucial role here [68].
The fuel cell presented in Fig. 11 can be reduced to a 0D model. This is the simplest approach, but generates a model of the same class as models of other equipment (compressors, pumps, heat exchangers). The set of equations for the 0D model is as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dT_{\text{Cell}}}{dt} &= \frac{\dot{Q}_{\text{Oxidant}} + 2 \cdot \dot{Q}_{\text{Surrounding}} - P_{\text{SOFC}} + \dot{Q}_{\text{Fuel}}}{2 \cdot C_{\text{Manifold}} + C_{\text{Fuel}} + C_{\text{Cell}}} \\
\frac{dp_{\text{Cathode},\text{Out}}}{dt} &= \frac{m_{\text{Cathode},\text{In}} - m_{\text{Cathode},\text{Out}}}{\rho_{\text{Cathode}} \cdot V_{\text{Cell}}} \\
\frac{dp_{\text{Anode},\text{Out}}}{dt} &= \frac{m_{\text{Anode},\text{In}} - m_{\text{Anode},\text{Out}}}{\rho_{\text{Anode}} \cdot V_{\text{Cell}}} \\
\end{align*}
\]

where:

\[
\dot{Q}_{\text{Oxidant}} = \dot{m}_{\text{Oxidant}} \cdot c_{p,\text{Oxidant}} (T_{\text{Cathode},\text{In}} - T_{\text{Cell}}) \\
\dot{Q}_{\text{Fuel}} = \dot{m}_{\text{Fuel}} \cdot c_{p,\text{Fuel}} (T_{\text{Anode},\text{In}} - T_{\text{Cell}}) \\
\dot{Q}_{\text{Surrounding}} = k_{\text{Surrounding}} \cdot A_{\text{Cell}} (T_{\text{Cell}} - T_{\text{Surrounding}}) \\
P_{\text{SOFC}} = E_{\text{SOFC}} \cdot I_{\text{SOFC}} \quad (6.5) \\
\dot{Q}_{\text{Fuel}} = \dot{m}_{\text{Fuel}} \cdot HHV_{\text{Fuel}} \cdot \eta_f \quad (6.6) \\
C_{\text{Manifold}} = m_{\text{Manifold}} \cdot c_{p,\text{Manifold}} \quad (6.7)
\]
\[ C_{\text{Cell}} = m_{\text{Cell}} \cdot c_{p,\text{Cell}} \] (6.8)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Specific heat of oxidant, ( c_{p,\text{Oxidant}} ) [kJ kg(^{-1}) K(^{-1})]</td>
<td>1.156</td>
<td>Electrochemistry air</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific heat of fuel, ( c_{p,\text{Fuel}} ) [kJ kg(^{-1}) K(^{-1})]</td>
<td>15.25</td>
<td>hydrogen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat transfer coefficient to surrounding, ( k_{\text{Surrounding}} ) [W m(^{-1})K(^{-1})]</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>fuel cell is isolated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Higher Heating Value of fuel, ( HHV_{\text{Fuel}} ) [MJ kg(^{-1})]</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>hydrogen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific heat of interconnector material, ( c_{p,\text{Manifold}} ) [kJ kg(^{-1}) K(^{-1})]</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>LaCrO(_3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific heat of fuel cell, ( c_{p,\text{Cell}} ) [kJ kg(^{-1}) K(^{-1})]</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>YSZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interconnector weight in relation to fuel cell area [kg m(^{-2})]</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel cell weight in relation to fuel cell area [kg m(^{-2})]</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Selected factors of a dynamic oriented mathematical model of SOFC.

The factors used in the above equations are presented in Table 4.

Typical interconnects have a thickness of 3 mm, which gives 7.5 cm\(^3\) of material for fuel cell dimensions of 5 cm × 5 cm × 3 mm. Assuming that the interconnect is made from LaCrO\(_3\), the interconnect weight is 50 g per fuel cell. The additional weight relates to the manifolds which deliver the working fluids—depending on the current architecture solution of the stack. In this study, it was assumed that the interconnect weight in relation to fuel cell area is 2.03 g cm\(^{-2}\).

The typical channel within which working fluids are delivered has dimensions of 0.5 mm × 1.5 mm, and its length depends on the total fuel cell dimensions (5–8 cm). Usually, the distance between the channels are the same as the channels themselves. Assuming a planar fuel cell of dimensions of 5 cm × 5 cm, the channel volume is 0.5 mm × 5 cm × 5 cm–(17 × 17 × 1.5 mm × 1.5 mm × 0.5 mm) = 0.925 cm\(^3\) per each fuel cell side and in total 1.85 cm\(^3\) for the fuel cell. Relating the volume to the fuel cell area gives a value of 0.074 cm\(^3\)/cm\(^2\) of the channel volume in relation to fuel cell area.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>LaCrO(_3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heat conductivity [67] [W m(^{-1})K(^{-1})]</td>
<td>1.7–2.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5. Main material parameters of interconnector.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coefficient of thermal expansion</th>
<th>(2–8)⋅10^-4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Density [71] [g cm^-2]</td>
<td>3–6.77</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Working fluids velocities inside the channels depend on the channel dimensions and quantity of flows delivered. To provide an adequate time for reaction as well as mixing of reagents, the velocities of working fluids should be relatively low. Based on the authors’ own calculations, the nominal velocities of working fluids are below 5 m s^-1, being on average 1.6 m s^-1. Due to such low velocities, the pressure drops along the channels can be omitted [69].

Table 6. Main material factors of the fuel cell related to fuel cell area.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Specific fuel cell weight [g cm^-2]</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific interconnect weight [g cm^-2]</td>
<td>2.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific volume [cm^3 cm^-2]</td>
<td>0.074</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.2. Dynamic behavior of SOFC

5.2.1. The control strategy

During fuel cell operation there is a series of processes that affect its performance. The operator affects only some of them; the parameters subject to direct regulation are:

1. Temperature of inlet air
2. Temperature of inlet fuel
3. Quantity of inlet air
4. Quantity of inlet fuel
5. Electric current draw from the cell

The amounts of air and fuel supplied to the fuel cell should enable its proper operation, especially the behavior of the quantities of both fuel utilization and oxidant utilization. In addition, changes in certain parameters interact in a similar way: maintaining the desired temperature of fuel cells can be achieved by either reducing or increasing the amount of air and its temperature. Both of these parameters are related to each other (you cannot cool the cell with overly hot air, regardless of the amount). Selection of the optimal control strategy in this case is a key issue.
In this study, it was assumed that the fuel utilization factor is kept constant at the point of maximum efficiency (in fact at the laboratory scale it means only 4.5% for a fuel utilization factor of 12%). This means that inlet fuel mass flow is correlated with fuel cell current. The most important parameter is cell temperature, which must be kept constant. The temperature is controlled by an inlet air mass-flow, which is regulated by a valve equipped with a PID regulator.

Table 7. Optimal parameters of PID controller [70].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>( K_p )</th>
<th>( K_i )</th>
<th>( K_d )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PI</td>
<td>( \frac{1}{2 \cdot T_0} )</td>
<td>4.3 ( T_0 )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PID</td>
<td>( \frac{1 - 36}{2 \cdot T_0} )</td>
<td>1.6 ( T_0 )</td>
<td>0.5 ( T_0 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The singular PID controller is chosen to keep the fuel cell temperature at set point (800°C). The PID controls inlet air mass flow. Optimal PID parameters are listed in Table 7. For these parameters the optimal parameter settings for the PID controller of the fuel cell are determined:

- \( K_p = 3.264 \)
- \( K_i = 1.6 \)
- \( K_d = 0.5 \).

Fig. 12 shows the cell parameters change with a stepped increase in current density using the PID controller. It can be seen that the quality of control is very good (almost no distortion: 15°C), and the system reaches a steady state after about 4 minutes. The amount of air
fed to the cathode reaches 1000 ml min⁻¹ cm⁻². Cell voltage drops to the value of 0.75 V and remains without significant change.

5.2.2. Start-up

An external source of heat is required to support the start-up of a fuel cell. The simplest solution is to use the burner boot to warm the cell to a temperature which enables it to work independently. During fuel cell start-up acceptable temperature differences should be preserved, with the assumed values being:

- 45°C between inlet and outlet temperatures of working fluids
- 90°C between working fluid temperature and fuel cell temperature

An active start-up system is proposed, comprising regulating the temperature of gases supplied to the cells depending on cell temperature.

![Figure 13. Correlation of air temperature used for heating the cell with cell temperature was applied during the simulation starting from cold state](image)

The amount of air used to heat the cells was determined as the nominal point. The temperature of the air is correlated with the cell temperature according to the relation with which the air temperature decreases from the value of 700°C in proportion to the increase in cell temperature (see Fig. 13).
Fig. 14 presents a comparison of the simulated cell voltage during start-up against the real values (data [80]). The two cells differ in structure as well as in the procedures used during start-up but, qualitatively speaking, the modeled start-up is a very close approximation to the reality.

5.2.3. Continuous operation and changes in power

Figure 15. Changes of the fuel cell operating parameters during load increases by 10%
The results of simulated rapid increase in power by 10% are shown in Fig. 15. The control system keeps all key parameters in acceptable ranges. Larger changes are noted only for current density (which increases from 2.43–2.68 A cm$^{-2}$), and the air flow rate, which is the result of regulation and oscillates between 1600–2200 ml min$^{-1}$cm$^{-2}$ finally stays at 2000 ml min$^{-1}$cm$^{-2}$.

Figure 16. Changes of the fuel cell operating parameters during load decreases by 10%

The results of simulated rapid decrease in power by 10% are shown in Fig. 16. The control system keeps all crucial parameters in the acceptable ranges, so that most of the parameters practically do not change themselves. Larger changes are noted only for the current density (which increases from 2.43–2.19 A cm$^{-2}$), and the air flow rate which is the result of regulation and oscillates between 1300–1700 ml min$^{-1}$cm$^{-2}$ finally stays at 1400 ml min$^{-1}$cm$^{-2}$.

5.2.4. Loss of load

The most likely emergency scenario is a sudden loss of load resulting from load shut down (e.g. activation of the safety switch). In this case the fuel cell should be left to idle.

Fig. 17 presents the simulated behavior of a fuel cell reacting to a sudden loss of load. The fuel cell parameters stabilize after about 4 minutes and the cell goes into idle mode. The cell temperature reaches 807°C, which seems to be a safe value.
On the basis of the simulation it can be concluded that the fuel cell is relatively resistant to a sudden loss of load in the presence of a proper control system.

5.2.5. Shut down

During normal operation the fuel cell is able to resist a sudden loss of load. Therefore no special procedures are required to shut down the fuel cell unit. Additional procedures should be used to cool down the fuel cell to ambient temperature. The best option seems to be using a PID controller with variable temperature settings.

Fig. 18 shows the fuel cell characteristics with stepped increase in the quantity of air supplied to the cathode to its maximum value (6000 ml min$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$). The cathode part of the fuel cell loses heat relatively quickly, reaching ambient temperature after about 10 minutes. By contrast, at the anode side, there is no fuel flow and cooling takes far longer (after 30 minutes the temperature drops by only a few degrees). In total, this leads to very large temperature differences between the anode and cathode side (almost 600°C).

In order to shut down the fuel cell, the flows on both the anode and cathode sides need to be maintained. The simplest solution is to maintain the fuel stream on the anode side, which otherwise experiences a loss of fuel. Another solution is to provide another gas, but it should be an inert gas (the use of air may result in oxidation of the anode surface).
5.3. Discussion

The control strategy for a singular solid oxide fuel cell is proposed. The strategy is based on a singular PID controller which controls the amount of air delivered to the cathode side of the fuel cell. Additionally, fuel mass flow is correlated with current density to achieve a fixed fuel utilization factor. In fact, the efficiency of the singular laboratory scale fuel cell unit is relatively low, as is the fuel utilization factor.

The start-up procedure of the fuel cell must be supported by an external source of heat. Theoretically speaking, it is possible to heat up the cell until the point at which it starts to generate some voltage (practically, above 0.4 V) and then the fuel cell should be able to heat itself up to working temperature by the applied external load. The simulations performed do not confirm this theoretical speculation. After the load is applied, the voltage drops and no current can be drawn. Thus, adequate correlation of air inlet temperature with cell temperature is proposed in order to reach the nominal temperature. The simulated start-up was compared with the experimental data, with satisfactory results.

During normal operation, the proposed control system keeps all fuel cell parameters within acceptable ranges—there are no consequences following a rapid increase/decrease of load by 10%.

The one conceivable emergency scenario was analyzed: rapid loss of external load. The control system keeps the key parameters at acceptable levels (e.g. cell temperature reaches 807°C).
The simulated shut down procedure was unsuccessful: the PID controller was used to cool the fuel cell, but it only influenced air flow, causing an extremely high temperature gradient. Additional procedures must be applied to cool the fuel cell properly.

6. Conclusions

Different mathematical models are useful for evaluation and prediction of fuel cells and entire system performance. In all cases, specific application-related criteria are selected for development of numerical tool.

Development of advanced energy systems, including micro-CHP units, under various operating conditions is possible only with high-fidelity numerical simulator. Tool has to be validated against available experimental data. In certain cases, numerical modeling is not possible without supporting experimental measurement.

Different time- and length-scales can be covered with dedicated models, ranging from 0D up to complex full-3D tools. Steady state can be evaluated with available engineering software, including computational fluid dynamic tools.

Analysis of chemical and electrochemical reactions taking place during SOFC operation requires specific knowledge and in most cases detailed models are needed. Spatial configuration and influence of geometry can only be studied with space-continuous models or number of simplifications is required.

Based on mathematical modeling, an analysis of the dynamic operation of a singular fuel cell is presented. Based on the analysis a few cases relating to the cell were simulated:

- Start up
- Continuous operation (with power changes in the range of +/-10%)
- Shut down, and
- Emergency scenario (loss of load)

In almost all cases, the singular PID controller is able to keep the fuel cell operation within a safe range. Special procedures are required during start up and shut down. During start up, external heat sources are required to warm the cell to operational temperature. It is proposed that air temperature be correlated to cell temperature. As regards the shut down procedure, a change in fuel cell configuration is required—an inert gas instead of fuel must be delivered in order to cool the cell.

The start up procedure was compared against available experimental data with satisfactory results, qualitatively speaking.
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