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1. Introduction

Unlike abdominal and combined aortoiliac artery aneurysms, isolated iliac artery aneurysms (IIAAs) are uncommon. An isolated iliac artery aneurysm is defined as a twofold increase in the diameter of the iliac artery without a coexisting aneurysm at another location. IIAA was encountered infrequently in the past, comprising 0.9% to 4.7% of all intra-abdominal aneurysms according to a review of previous studies; however, in recent times, many asymptomatic IIAAs have been detected incidentally because of the widespread use of abdominal ultrasonography and computed tomography. The frequency of IIAA compared to that of abdominal aortic aneurysm (AAA) ranges from 5.1% to 19.4%.

2. History

In 1817, Sir Astley Paston Cooper performed the first surgical ligation of the abdominal aorta proximal to the aneurysm for a traumatic external iliac artery (EIA) aneurysm in a 37-year-old man, but the man died 40 hours later. In 1827, Valentine Molt performed the first successful ligation of the proximal iliac artery for a common iliac artery aneurysm (CIAA) in a 33-year-old farmer; 18 days later, he found that the aneurysm was nonpulsatile, and he removed the ligature percutaneously. In 1912, Halsted reported that only 5 of 15 (33.3%) patients who underwent iliac artery ligation for aneurysm survived the surgical procedure. In 1913, MacLaren performed a successful ligation for a traumatic internal iliac aneurysm in a young woman. In 1923, more than a century after Cooper’s first operation for IIAA, Rudolph Matas performed the first successful proximal aortic ligation for a combined aortoiliac aneurysm in a young man with syphilis, who later died of tuberculosis.

3. Etiology and natural progression

The primary etiology is arteriosclerosis; however, IIAA also arises because of other predisposing conditions such as infection, dissection, fibromuscular dysplasia, trauma, and Marfan syndrome. If arteriosclerosis causes an arterial bifurcation to become an obtuse rather than an acute angle, which is not morbid, the pulsation waves will be reflected more strongly. This factor may account for the high incidence of abdominal aneurysm, and common and internal iliac artery aneurysms (IIA’s) may readily develop, because pulsation waves are generated very strongly at the common and internal iliac artery.
bifurcations owing to shortening of the distance to reflection of the pulse. However, because the EIA does not bifurcate before it becomes the common femoral artery, reflection of a pulsation wave does not readily occur, which may be the reason EIA aneurysms do not develop frequently. Furthermore, because the internal iliac artery branches off the common iliac artery after a short distance, the branch acts as a fulcrum, hindering the extension of an aneurysm along the long axis and expediting the expansion of the short axis diameter, which may facilitate rupture.

4. Incidence of aneurysm
We reviewed the cases of 183 men and 15 women in the literature\textsuperscript{15-18}, and our series consisted of 35 men and 6 women; which indicates male predominance. The mean age of the men and women in our series were 69.8 ± 10.8 years and 73 ± 7.9 years, respectively. IIAAs comprised 0.9% to 4.7% of all intra-abdominal aneurysms, as per the reports published before the last decade\textsuperscript{1-2}. The frequency of IIAA compared to that of AAA was 5.1% to 19.4%, according to the reports published in the last decade, because improvements in diagnostic technology have increased detection of IIAAs\textsuperscript{3,4}. Over the past 20 years, 41 patients with IIAA presented at the Kurume University School of Medicine. During the same period, 652 patients with AAA underwent surgery, including 52 patients with ruptured AAA (8%); therefore, the relative frequency of IIAA to that of AAA was 6.1% over a 20-year period at our hospital.

(Location of aneurysm) In the previous literature, 31 of 198 patients (15.7%) with IIAA had concurrent common and iIAA’s, and the incidence was higher than the incidence in our series, which was 7.3% (3/41). The aneurysms were located in the common iliac artery in 31 patients and in the internal iliac artery in 7 (7.1%); EIA aneurysms were not observed. These findings are consistent with those of previous studies, which found that 57.1% (113/198) of IIAAs occurred in the common iliac artery, 26.3% (52/198) in the internal iliac artery, and 1.0% (2/198) in the EIA. CIAA was predominant in our series and in the series in the literature\textsuperscript{1-4,15-18}.

5. Frequency of rupture
Unlike abdominal aortic aneurysm, the natural progression of IIAA is not well defined. In the literature, the rate of rupture of an IIAA is high. Lowry and Kraft\textsuperscript{1} reported that 75% of their patients presented with ruptured aneurysms. Similarly, Schuler and Flanigan reported that 51% of their patients had ruptured aneurysms. In an attempt to define the natural progression of these aneurysms, Schuler and Flanigan also reported the cases of 13 patients with untreated aneurysms. Nine of these patients (69%) died of aneurysm rupture after an average of just over 4 months after diagnosis\textsuperscript{19}. In addition, the association between rupture and size is not defined. A threshold size of 3–4 cm was recommended in the era of open surgical repair, with its attendant increased morbidity\textsuperscript{20}. In our series, the maximum diameter of the IIAAs was 3.2–13 cm (mean, 6.0 ± 1.9 cm). The diameter of the ruptured IIAAs was 5.0–13.0 cm (mean, 6.8 ± 2.1 cm), whereas that of nonruptured IIAAs was 3.2–7.5 cm (mean, 4.8 cm ± 1.1 cm). The diameter of the ruptured IIAAs was significantly greater than that of nonruptured IIAAs. Rupture occurred in 20 patients (48.8%). During the same period, 658 patients with AAA underwent surgery, of which 53 had ruptured aneurysms (8.1%). The frequency of ruptured IIAA was significantly higher than that of ruptured AAA.
The median maximum diameters of ruptured AAAs and ruptured IIAAs (measured at preoperative computed tomography [CT] scanning) were $7.2 \pm 1.6$ cm and $6.8 \pm 2.1$ cm, respectively.

6. Symptoms and diagnosis

IIAA may be masked by nonspecific signs and symptoms resulting from pressure on or erosion of adjacent structures, such as hydroureteronephrosis, hematuria, femoral or obturator neurological symptoms, and hemorrhagic stool, particularly in the absence of a pulsatile mass. If the physician, who encounters orthopedic, urologic, or lower abdominal symptoms, does not consider an aneurysm, the aneurysm may not be detected. Iliac aneurysms can be recognized early if the orthopedic surgeon, urologist, or gynecologist suspects this diagnosis; therefore, it is critical that they are aware of this disease. In the literature, IIAA could not be palpated as a pulsatile mass on abdominal examination in the case of 28 of 38 patients. IIAA is difficult to appreciate on an abdominal examination when a physician encounters a patient with lower abdominal pain. However, IIAAs could be palpated as pulsatile masses on rectal examination in 4 of 6 cases in previous studies. Therefore, rectal examination is useful for the diagnosis of this aneurysm in a patient who complains of lower abdominal pain. In recent times, many asymptomatic IIAAs have been detected incidentally because of the widespread use of abdominal ultrasonography and three-dimensional CT.

7. Surgical indications and treatment strategies

The relative and absolute surgical indications for IIAA are minimum diameters of 3 and 4 cm, respectively. We present the treatment strategy for IIAA at our hospital. Most physicians recommend that patients with an IIAA of a diameter more than 3 cm, who are otherwise good surgical candidates, undergo elective repair. We believe that IIAA has a great impact on the remainder of a patient’s life because of a high incidence of rupture and fatality. The natural prognosis of IIAA is not clearly understood. However, patients with IIAA should undergo surgical repair if the patient is conscious, is not bedridden owing to a decrease in quality of life (QOL), and is not in the terminal stage of a malignant disease.

7.1 Surgical procedures

We recommend surgery, even in high-risk patients, because various procedures are available for IIAA treatment, depending on patient condition and aneurysm location. In addition, because commercial endografts have become widely available, we have offered endovascular iliac artery aneurysm repair (EVIAR) as an option to all anatomically appropriate patients with IIAAs of diameter more than 3–4 cm. The strategy for IIAA differs for CIAA and iIAA. Furthermore, each group has 2 categories, i.e., high risk and low risk, and the surgical procedure varies with patient suitability for EVIAR. The exclusion criteria for EVIAR were as follows: unfavorable anatomy (calcification, thrombus-lined aneurysm neck, bilateral common iliac aneurysms, excessive angulation, and iliac occlusive disease), a concomitant procedure, and surgeon preference.
7.1.1 The treatment strategies for CIAA

Figure 1 presents the treatment strategies for CIAA when anatomical characteristics exclude EVIAR. High-risk patients with an ipsilateral CIAA undergo thromboexclusion (TE) by coil or ligation of the proximal aneurysm neck, which necessitates femorofemoral bypass\(^\text{21, 24}\), whereas those at lower risk are treated by aneurysmectomy with a bifurcated or local interposition prosthetic graft. For bilateral CIAAs, high-risk patients undergo TE with a bifurcated interposition prosthetic graft, whereas lower-risk patients are treated by aneurysmectomy with a bifurcated interposition prosthetic graft. When anatomical characteristics favor EVIAR, the treatment strategies for high-risk patients are the same as those for low-risk patients when EVIAR is used. Patients treated via an endovascular approach receive a unilateral iliac endograft if there is sufficient neck (usually 15 mm) present in the proximal common iliac artery. Otherwise, bifurcated aortoiliac stent grafts are used, which usually preserve at least 1 internal iliac artery. In all instances, the endograft limb is extended into the EIA landing zone (usually >20 mm). The ipsilateral internal iliac artery is addressed by covering the ostium with an endograft by coil embolization. Exclusion of the hypogastric artery by coil embolization and extension of the graft limb into the EIA are the most common.

![Fig. 1. The treatment strategies for CIAA](www.intechopen.com)
7.1.2 The treatment strategies for iIAA

Figure 2 illustrates the strategy for iIAA. High-risk patients with an ipsilateral iIAA undergo TE by coil or ligation of the proximal aneurysm neck, and those at lower risk are treated by endoaneurysmorrhaphy (EA) without reimplantation of the internal iliac artery. For bilateral iIAA, high-risk patients undergo TE by coil or ligation of the proximal aneurysm neck, whereas those at a low risk receive EA with a bifurcated interposition prosthetic graft. Both these procedures require unilateral reimplantation of an internal iliac artery.

When EVIAR is used, ipsilateral internal iliac artery aneurysm is coil-embolized, and the branches of the internal iliac artery are individually coil-embolized, if sufficient neck (usually 15 mm) is present in the proximal internal iliac artery. If the neck is short or absent in the proximal internal iliac artery, the proximal side is supported by a stent graft with extension into the EIA, and the branches are coil-embolized. In the case of bilateral internal iliac artery aneurysms, if the proximal aneurysm necks are <15 mm on both the sides, we recommend open surgery to preserve at least 1 internal iliac artery.

Fig. 2. The treatment strategies for iIAA
7.2 Other procedures
Recently, there has been considerable interest in percutaneous endovascular stent-graft repair of IIAA\textsuperscript{20,25,26}. We have performed this procedure in 1 patient at our hospital, and the aneurysm was still reduced 5 years after the procedure without re-expansion. Casana et al. reported that endovascular repair of IIAA was initially successful in all the patients, although the median follow-up period was only 18 months\textsuperscript{27}. However, Krupski et al. suggested that surgical repair might be more enduring and effective than percutaneous methods, because long-term results are still undetermined\textsuperscript{20}. We believe that stent grafts will become the treatment of choice for IIAA in the future, assuming improvements in equipment and long-term results. When we perform EVIAR for CIAA at our department, we insert a tapered device from the abdominal aorta to the diseased CIAA; on the other hand, for the contralateral iliac artery, we fenestrate a stent graft to maintain blood flow. Thus, the indications for EVIAR are as follows: (1) a unilateral common iliac artery aneurysm is present, (2) the aneurysm neck is $\geq$ 1 cm, (3) the diameter of the CIAA into which the stent graft will be inserted is $\geq$ 8 mm, and (4) the contralateral internal iliac artery can be preserved. Patients who met all 4 of these criteria were included as subjects in the study. Further, recently we have been considering iIAA treatment with a stent graft, which involves the same surgical procedure for a stent graft for CIAA in addition to coil embolization of the iIAA.

8. Early and mid-term results
Several series have shown that 30-day mortality was 6%–7% for elective open procedures and 0% for elective EVIAR. However, 30-day mortality was 17%–50% for emergency open procedures and 33% for emergency EVIAR. The mid-term (36 months) primary graft patency rates in the open group and the EVIAR group were 100% and 95.6%–97%, respectively. In addition, the midterm (36 months) secondary intervention in the 2 groups was 0% and 11%–14%, respectively\textsuperscript{28-33}. Endovascular repair for IIAA is safe and has similar intermediate-term outcomes to open repair. However, there are various procedures besides the placement of a bifurcated prosthetic graft for IIAA treatment\textsuperscript{34}. Surgery should be performed immediately after IIAA diagnosis owing to the risk of rupture, even if patients are anatomically unsuitable for EVIAR. The IIAA treatment should be tailored to the patient’s health status and the aneurysm anatomy.

9. Conclusion
Surgery should be performed immediately after IIAA diagnosis owing to the risk of rupture. We undertake surgical management even if the patient has a concomitant disease, because the treatment for IIAA can be performed with MIVS other than the placement of a bifurcated prosthetic graft. The IIAA treatment should be tailored to the patient’s health status and the aneurysm anatomy.
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