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1. Introduction

Metals like zinc, iron and copper are essential micronutrients required for a wide range of physiological processes in all plant organs for the activities of various metal-dependent enzymes and proteins. However, they can also be toxic at elevated levels. Metals like arsenic, mercury, cadmium and lead are nonessential and potentially highly toxic. Once the cytosolic metal concentration in plant turns out of control, phytotoxicity of heavy metal inhibits transpiration and photosynthesis, disturbs carbohydrate metabolism, and drives the secondary stresses like nutrition stress and oxidative stress, which collectively affect the plant development and growth (Krämer & Clemens, 2005).

Plants have developed a complex network of highly effective homeostatic mechanisms that serve to control the uptake, accumulation, trafficking, and detoxification of metals. Components of this network have been identified continuously, including metal transporters in charge of metal uptake and vacuolar transport; chelators involved in metal detoxification via buffering the cytosolic metal concentrations; and chaperones helping delivery and trafficking of metal ions (Clemens, 2001).

This chapter summarizes heavy metal stress and detoxification in plant. Special focus is given to metallothionein, yet vacuolar metal transporters, phytochelatins as well as certain organic acids, amino acids, and chaperones are also addressed with recent advances. Besides, heavy metal-induced oxidative stress and tolerance as an example of abiotic stress cross-talk will be discussed.

1.1 The vacuolar compartmentation mediated by transporter families CDF and Nramp

A balanced cytosolic metal concentration has to be maintained all the time via strict compartmentation and chelation. The plant vacuole is a main storage compartment site for heavy metals present in excess (Ernst et al., 1992). Nickel-hyperaccumulator plant Alyssum serpyllifolium keeps its 72% of the cellular Ni in the vacuole (Brooks et al., 1980). Analysis with leaves from barley grown at heavy metal-polluted environment showed that cadmium, molybdenum, and zinc are mainly subjected to vacuolar compartmentation (Brune et al., 1995). Study on Phragmites australis under zinc pollution revealed that most Zn was immobilized in the apoplast or sequestered into the vacuolar lumen (Jiang & Wang, 2008).

The CDF (cation diffusion facilitator) transporters, once named as MTP for metal tolerance protein, are involved in mediating the cytoplasmic efflux of transition metal cations such as...
Zn$^{2+}$, Cd$^{2+}$, Co$^{2+}$, Ni$^{2+}$ or Mn$^{2+}$. In *S. cerevisiae*, two proteins COT1 and ZRC1 confer overexpression lines cobalt and zinc/cadmium tolerance respectively (Conklin et al., 1992; Kamizono et al., 1989), and both are localized to the vacuolar membrane, indicating a role in metal sequestration (Li & Kaplan, 1998). The identification of *Arabidopsis* ZAT/MTP1, a member of CDF family, provides the first information for a possible vacuolar zinc transporter in plant. AtMTP1 is localized to vacuolar membranes, and overexpression of the complete protein-coding domain of ZAT results in enhanced Zn resistance and strongly increased Zn content in the roots under high Zn exposure (Kobae et al., 2004; van der Zaal et al., 1999). The ectopic expression of poplar *PtdMTP1* in yeast was able to complement the hypersensitivity of mutant strains to Zn, and transgenic *Arabidopsis* exhibited enhanced zinc tolerance (Blaudez et al., 2003). The vacuolar membrane-localized TgMTP1 of hyperaccumulator *Thlaspi goesingense* confers tolerance to a broad spectrum of heavy metals including Ni, Cd, Zn, and Co, and complements the metal sensitivity of the yeast COT1/ZRC1 mutant strains (Persans et al., 2001), and could particularly increase zinc tolerance by initiating a systemic Zn deficiency response including up-regulation of Zn transporter genes (ZIP3, ZIP4, ZIP5 and ZIP9) (Gustin et al., 2009). The *Stylosanthes humata* ShMTP8 conferred manganese tolerance when heterologously overexpressed in yeast and *Arabidopsis*. Further analysis demonstrated that ShMTP8 is localized to the tonoplast, and the Mn tolerance in yeast was managed by internal sequestration rather than by efflux of Mn$^{2+}$ (Delhaize et al., 2003).

It’s interesting to note the other side, releasing metal ions from the vacuole into the cytosol if required by metabolism. Which transporter takes the challenge? The NRAMPs might be a possible candidate. The plant NRAMP (natural resistance associated macrophage protein) family transport divalent metal cations into the cytoplasm. *Arabidopsis* AtNRAMP3 and AtNRAMP4 can be induced by iron starvation, complement Fe-uptake yeast mutant, and mediate the remobilization of Fe from vacuolar stores, which is crucial for seed germination during early Fe deficiency period (Lanquar et al., 2005; Thomine et al., 2000). AtNRAMP3 protein, localized to the vacuolar membrane, affects metal accumulation and gene expression of Fe uptake transporter *IRT1* and a root ferric chelate reductase *FRO2* by mobilizing vacuolar metal pools to the cytosol (Thomine et al., 2003). In the metal hyperaccumulator *Thlaspi caerulescens*, TcNRAMP3 and TcNRAMP4, the closest homologues to AtNRAMP3 and AtNRAMP4, have been characterized as highly expressed, vacuolar membrane-localized, and transporting Fe, Mn, Cd and Zn with respective preferences (Oomen et al., 2009; Wei et al., 2009).

Progressive reports implicated that *Arabidopsis* NRAMP proteins have an important role in manganese homeostasis and cadmium toxicity. The *nramp3nramp4* double mutant displayed lower Mn release from mesophyll vacuoles, and it’s suggested that AtNRAMP3 and AtNRAMP4 export Mn from vacuoles to maintain mitochondrial MnSOD activity and optimal photosynthesis under Mn deficiency (Lanquar et al., 2010). The transgenic plants with disruption of *AtNRAMP6* exhibits enhanced cadmium tolerance whereas the overexpression causes Cd$^{2+}$ hypersensitivity (Cailliatte et al., 2009). *AtNRAMP3* showed the similar result (Thomine et al., 2000), implying these two metal transporters might affect remobilization and distribution of cadmium within the cell.

1.2 Chelation of cadmium ions by phytochelatin

Chelation of metals in the cytosol is a very important mechanism of heavy metal detoxification and tolerance (Fig 1). The principal classes of known metal chelators in plant
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Fig. 1. Vacuolar sequestration of heavy metals in plant cell. Following the uptake through transporters such as ZIP (zinc/iron-regulated transporters) family members, heavy metal ions like Cd\(^{2+}\) enters the cytosol and it stimulates the glutathione-derived synthesis of phytochelatins (PCs) by PC synthases (PCS). PCs bind cytosolic Cd\(^{2+}\) to form the low-molecular-weight (LMW) complex first, which is transported into the vacuole via a tonoplast-localized ATP-binding-cassette (ABC) transporter. In the vacuole, LMW Cd-complex then accumulate into high-molecular-weight (HMW) complex with more Cd\(^{2+}\), which may enter the vacuole via a direct exchange with protons by tonoplast-localized cation/proton exchanger (CAX) transporters. Transporters MTPs (metal tolerance protein) and NRAMPs (natural resistance associated macrophage protein) residing in the tonoplast mediate passage of metal ions for compartmentation or remobilization. Other chelators including metallothioneins (MTs), organic acids and amino acids help buffering the cytosolic metal concentrations to the safe low metal state.

Phytochelatins have been identified in many plants and photosynthetic organisms, ranging from algae, gymnosperms to monocots and dicots. Phytochelatins (PCs) are synthesized from glutathione (GSH) (in some cases, related compounds) by PC synthases (PCS), and play a role in the distribution and accumulation of Cd and some other highly toxic metals.
like Ag, Hg, As (Cobbett, 2000; Rauser, 1999). Modern techniques including X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS), high performance liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (HPLC-MS), inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometer (ICP-OES) help to reveal that cadmium ions are generally bound to phytochelatins in plant. The percentage of Cd bound to PCs in Indian mustard seedlings increased from 34% after 6 hours of Cd exposure to 60% after 72 hours (Salt et al., 1997). In a Cd-hyperaccumulator desert plant tumbleweed (Salsola kali), cadmium was attached to oxygen and sulfur groups in stems and leaves, implying a great possibility of phytochelatins production in the stems, which later coordinates the absorbed cadmium for transport and storage in cell structures (de la Rosa et al., 2004). The mushroom Boletus edulis presented PC-Cd complex under Cd exposure and the more PC complexes were correlated with reduced level of GSH (Collin-Hansen et al., 2007). In wheat, phytochelatins bound 82% of the Cd in roots, 19% in young leaves and 12% in old leaves, suggesting the speciality of PC-based Cd sequestration varies with tissues even in the same plant (Marentes & Rauser, 2007). And it’s demonstrated that the chemical structure of thiol and carboxyl groups is essential for the metal-binding ability and formation of a Cd-PCs complex (Satofuka et al., 2001).

There are two types of Cd-PC complexes produced during Cd sequestration: low-molecular-weight (LMW) and high-molecular-weight (HMW). The LMW complex serves as the transient form for transporting Cd\(^{2+}\) from cytosol to vacuole where more Cd and sulfide are incorporated to produce the HMW complex, which turns the main storage form of Cd\(^{2+}\) (Rauser, 1995). The first molecular insight into transporting the PC-Cd complex comes from the *S.pombe hmt1* mutant, which is unable to form the HMW complexes. SpHMT1 is a half size ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporter protein, located in the vacuolar membrane, and mediates the ATP-required transport of LMW PC-Cd complexes into vacuolar membrane vesicles (Ortiz et al., 1992; Ortiz et al., 1995). An ATP-dependent, similar-to-SpHMT1 activity has been identified capable of transporting both PCs and PC-Cd complexes in oat roots (Salt & Rauser, 1995). Using a cDNA-microarray approach, some ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporters in Arabidopsis genome were found to be differentially regulated under cadmium treatments, implying their role in Cd sequestration and redistribution (Bovet et al., 2005). A subfamily of ABC transporters, MRPs (multidrug resistance-associated protein) have been implicated mediating PC-Cd complex transport across the tonoplast in plants (Rea, 2007). Expression of Chlamydomonas reinhardtii CrMRP2 not only complements the yeast mutant, but also helps accumulating and sequestering more Cd in the stable HMW PC-Cd complex (Wang & Wu, 2006). Song et al. report the identification of the long-sought and major vacuolar PC transporters recently (Song et al., 2010). Two Arabidopsis ABCC-type transporters, AtABCC1 and AtABCC2 mediating transport of As(III)-PC though, may as well offer us a good perspective of identifying more specific PC vacuolar transporters for other heavy metals in addition to Cd-PC complex.

Besides the phytochelatin-Cd\(^{2+}\) complex transported by ABC transporters, cadmium ions can also reach the vacuole via a direct exchange with protons by tonoplast-localized cation/proton exchanger (CAX) transporters. In oat roots, the pH-dependent Cd\(^{2+}\) accumulation in vesicles was accompanied by efflux of protons, which offers the first clue of Cd\(^{2+}/H^+\) antiport in plant (Salt & Wagner, 1993). Then several Arabidopsis CAX genes have been cloned and characterized. Expression of AtCAX2 in tobacco increased Cd\(^{2+}\) and Mn\(^{2+}\) transport in isolated root tonoplast vesicles (Hirschi et al., 2000). The cax4 loss-of-function mutant and CAX4 RNAi lines displayed altered root growth in response to Cd\(^{2+}\), Mn\(^{2+}\) and auxin treatment (Mei et al., 2009). The transgenic tobacco overexpressing AtCAX4 and
AtCAX2 exhibited high Cd$^{2+}$ transport and certain selectivity in tonoplast vesicles, indicating some CAX transporters are more selective for particular divalent cations (Korenkov et al., 2007). Comparative analysis of CAX2 transporters between different plant species including Arabidopsis, tomato and barley proposed that there are diverse regulatory mechanisms with regard to CAX antiporter diverse functions (Edmond et al., 2009).

1.3 Metallothionein: metal-binding protein and more

Metallothioneins (MTs) are ubiquitous low-molecular-weight, cysteine-rich proteins that can bind metals via mercaptide bonds. Since the first MT was characterized from horse kidneys as cadmium-binding proteins in 1957 (Margoshes & Vallee, 1957), plenty of MT genes have been identified in a wide variety of organisms including bacteria, fungi, and all eukaryotic animal and plant species (Robinson et al., 1993).

The spatial structures of MTs have been uncovered as a dumbbell-like shape with two separate domains, α and β, containing in their core clusters built up of several tetrahedral Metal-Cys units (Fig 2). The different metal reactivity and metal affinity of two domains prompt different functional roles of the two metal clusters, that is, N-terminal β domain is involved in the homeostasis of essential metal ions (Kagi & Schaffer, 1988; Willner et al., 1987), and C-terminal α domain, the tight binding sequestration of excess and/or toxic metal ions (Cherian et al., 1994; Wright et al., 1987). As for the spacer region linking the α and β domains, it may contribute to stability or subcellular localization of MT proteins (Domenech et al., 2005), and is necessary for MT metal detoxification function (Domenech et al., 2007; Zhou & Goldsbrough, 1994).

MT proteins are generally classified into mammalian Class I and plant Class II, and plant MTs can be further subdivided into four types based on the number and arrangement of cysteine residues and the length of spacer region (Cobbett & Goldsbrough, 2002). These four-type plant MTs exhibited certain tissue-preferential expression patterns. Type 1 MTs

![Fig. 2. The spatial structure of metallothionein. (A) general structure of MTs: a dumbbell-like shape with two separate globular domains α and β; (B) structure of the [Cd$_4$] α-domain of rat MT-2 showing the example of a tetrahedral Me(II)-Cys units formed by MTs (adapted from Blindauer et al., 2001)](www.intechopen.com)
are expressed much higher in roots than in shoots (Hudspeth et al., 1996), whereas Type 2 MTs are found mainly in leaves (Hsieh et al., 1995; Zhou & Goldsbrough, 1994). Type 3 MTs are expressed abundantly in the ripe fruits (Clendennen & May, 1997; Ledger & Gardner, 1994; Reid & Ross, 1997), and expression of Type 4 MTs, also known as the Ec type, was only found in developing seeds so far (Chyan et al., 2005; Lane et al., 1987).

A vast number of stimuli have been demonstrated capable of inducing MT genes expression in plants, including natural senescence (Bhalerao et al., 2003), hormones like ABA (Reynolds & Crawford, 1996), ethylene (Coupe et al., 1995), wounding and virus infection (Choi et al., 1996), heat shock (Hsieh et al., 1995), sucrose starvation (Hsieh et al., 1996), UV-light (Foley & Singh, 1994), cold and salt stress (Reid & Ross, 1997), etc. Apparently, different types of MTs respond to different factors, which is especially true when treated with heavy metal stress under different concentrations. Copper increased \( \text{AtMT1a} \) expression more than 10-fold in 5-to-8-day \( \text{Arabidopsis} \) seedlings, while the expression of \( \text{AtMT2a} \) varied insignificantly during the same stage under the same treatment (Garcia-Hernandez et al., 1998). 1 mM \( \text{Cu}^{2+} \), 100 µM \( \text{Cd}^{2+} \) and 1 mM \( \text{Fe}^{2+} \) were found to be efficient to decrease the bean MT1 expression, when other concentrations had no pronounced effect (Foley et al., 1997).

Ever since the first identification of MTs, its striking metal-binding property has been brought into sharp focus, which suggests MTs play the principal role in metal homeostasis and detoxification. In animals, MTs are well-known metal-binding proteins protecting against cadmium toxicity (Klaassen et al., 1999), while in plant PCs mainly take the charge of Cd detoxification (Zenk, 1996). MTs seem to have a broader spectrum of metal affinity than PCs, which points to more complicated functions. It’s proposed that MTs participate in maintaining the homeostasis of essential copper (Cu) or zinc (Zn) at micronutrient levels, and also in the detoxification of non-essential toxic metals such as cadmium (Cd) and arsenic (As) (Lee et al., 2004; Merrifield et al., 2004; Roosens et al., 2004).

Though modulation of metal concentrations has great impact on cellular redox balance (Bell & Vallee, 2009), MTs may just scavenge reactive oxygen species (ROS) directly. With a large quantity of nucleophilic sulphydryl groups in the structure, MTs provide a fine nucleophilic “sink” to trap electrophiles and free radicals, that is, the multiple cysteine residues can react with superoxide (\( \cdot \text{O}_2^- \)) and hydroxyl radicals (\( \cdot \text{OH} \)) leading to their degradation (Klaassen & Cagen, 1981; Sato & Bremner, 1993). Moreover, MTs can be recycled via thiolate exchange with GST (Vasak et al., 1985). Now accumulating evidences support hypothesis that MTs function as an antioxidant in plants. In wild watermelon, drought-induced CLMT2 showed an extraordinarily high activity for detoxifying hydroxyl radicals \( \text{in vitro} \) (Akashi et al., 2004). Three recombinant metallothionein proteins, the rice OsMT2b (Wong et al., 2004), cotton GhMT3a (Xue et al., 2009) and rubber tree (\( \text{Hevea brasiliensis} \)) HbMT2 (Zhu et al., 2010), possessed hydroxyl radical-scavenging activities, even higher than the positive control GSH in the hydroxyl radical inhibition assays.

Thanks to dynamic instability of metal ions in clusters, MTs can exchange metal ions with other metalloproteins universally necessary for a life cycle. There’s zinc transfer between metallothionein and zinc transporter ZnT1 (Palmiter, 2004), chelator EDTA (Leszczyzsyn & Blindauer, 2010), SOD (Koh & Kim, 2001; Suzuki & Kuroda, 1995), and other zinc proteins (Jacob et al., 1998). The metal-transfer mechanism should be a cornerstone for MTs’ dual role abstracting the toxic metals arsenic (Ngu et al., 2010) or cadmium (Roesijadi, 2000), as well as donating the essential metals like zinc or copper (Liu et al., 2000). In this sense, metal-binding protein MTs are involved not merely in the
coordination of metal concentrations, but contribute more to diverse physiological processes like development or senescence. The wheat type 4 MT Ec gene was specially expressed during pollen embryogenesis, and its accumulation correlates well with increase of the plant hormone abscisic acid (ABA). It’s suggested that induced by the ABA signal, this zinc-containing Ec may regulate certain gene expression via zinc trafficking with zinc-dependent DNA/RNA polymerase or zinc-finger proteins (Reynolds & Crawford, 1996). MTs have been implicated during senescence in many plants (Bhalerao et al., 2003; Breeze et al., 2004; Buchanan-Wollaston & Morris, 2000), and hypotheses for MT’s role in senescence primarily reckon on either MTs’ chelating and detoxifying abilities which alleviate the senescence-induced metal ion disturbance and oxidative burst, or the release of necessary metal ions to required places for nutrient recycling.

The positive correlation between MT expression in diverse organisms and the environmental metal concentration suggests that MTs can be effective biomarkers of heavy metal pollution. Such monitoring programs have already gained great potential comprehensively in aquatic and terraneous invertebrates (Chu et al., 2006; Dallinger et al., 2004; Navarro et al., 2009). In plants, MTs are favorable candidates for phytoremediation of heavy metal contaminants, a low-cost, effective, and sustainable plant-based approach for environment governance (Eapen & D'Souza, 2005; Memon & Schroder, 2009). On the other side, biofortification of mineral micronutrients in food crops for the benefit of human health, is another application and extension for metal research in plants, and MTs could also be contributive. The \( \text{rgMT} \)-overexpressing rice had the cysteine content in seed protein increased about seven-fold, which promises further enhancement of iron bioavailability (Lucca et al., 2002). Overexpression of \( \text{OsMT1a} \) in transgenic rice yielded significant increase of the zinc content in grain by 40-50% compared to wild type, making first step of possibility to fight zinc deficiency with zinc-rich rice (Yang et al., 2009).

1.4 Organic acids, amino acids and chaperones

The reactive interactions between metal ions and S, N, and O made organic acids and amino acids potential ligands for metal chelation. Citrate has been proposed the major ligand for Cd\(^{2+} \) at low Cd concentration within cell (Wagner, 1993), and can form Nickel-citrate complex in Ni-hyperaccumulating plant \( \text{Sebertia acuminata} \) (Sagner et al., 1998). The efflux of organic acids including citric acid has been elucidated for aluminium (Al) tolerance mechanisms in plant (Delhaize & Ryan, 1995). Malate and oxalate are also implicated in metal tolerance, metal transport through xylem sap and vacuolar metal sequestration (Rauser, 1999).

The coordination of nickel with histidine has been confirmed with analyses of Ni-hyperaccumulating and non-accumulating species. Upon Ni exposure, a large and proportional increase of free histidine was detected in xylem sap in Ni-hyperaccumulating \( \text{A. lesbiacum} \). When supplying histidine to a non-accumulating species \( \text{A. montanum} \), transgenic plant exhibited great increase of both nickel tolerance and capacity for nickel transport to the shoot (Krämer et al., 1996). And such histidine-dependent root-to-shoot translocation of Ni was also observed in \( \text{Brassica juncea} \) (Kerkeb & Kramer, 2003). Nicotianamine (NA), synthesized from three molecules of S-adenosyl-L L-methionine by nicotianamine synthase (NAS), has been primarily linked with Fe and Cu homeostasis (Hell & Stephan, 1996; Herbik et al., 1996; Pich et al., 2001). Through studies on NAS, NA has also been implicated in Zn homeostasis and tolerance (Weber et al., 2004). Other amino acid chelators including proline, glutathione, polyamines, etc, appear to play roles in metal...
binding, metal hyperaccumulation, metal stress defence as well as signalling and antioxidation (Sharma & Dietz, 2006).

Copper chaperones are a novel class of proteins involved in intracellular trafficking and delivery of copper to copper-containing proteins such as copper-ATPases or copper/zinc superoxide dismutase. *Arabidopsis* AtCCS is necessary for activation of all three types of Cu/ZnSOD activity (Chu et al., 2005). AtATX1 interacts *in vivo* with two Cu-transporting P-type ATPases HMA5 (Andres-Colas et al., 2006) and RAN1 (Puig et al., 2007) by yeast two-hybrid. The intracellular metal trafficking pathway model composed of Cu transporter, Cu pump and Cu chaperone has been proposed (O’Halloran & Culotta, 2000), and based on such cooperative work, chaperones make a great contribution to the metal transport, detoxification and remobilization (Himelblau & Amasino, 2000; Robinson & Winge, 2010).

2. Heavy metal-induced oxidative stress and stress tolerance: Cross-talk

Seen from a systemic view, different abiotic stresses may bring general effects on plant growth and development. For example, drought, salt, and cold stresses can all interrupt the cellular water balance leading to osmotic stress, and generate a phytohormone abscisic acid (ABA) for osmotic adjustment (Wang et al., 2003). ABA acts as a key endogenous messenger in stress response, and hence the ABA signalling pathway is more or less involved during plant cross-adaptive processes (Tuteja, 2007). In addition, all abiotic stresses can accumulate excess ROS (reactive oxygen species) at certain stage of stress exposure leading to oxidative stress. However, ROS are not only toxic compounds, but sometimes play as important regulators for many biological processes in plants such as cell cycle, programmed cell death, hormone signaling, biotic and abiotic cell responses (Laloi et al., 2004). As common consequences of abiotic stresses, osmotic stress and the ubiquitous oxidative stress have been extensively studied and offer more and more evidences for cross-talk at various steps or levels in the complicated network of abiotic stress signalling pathways.

Reactive oxygen species (ROS) such as ‘O$_2^-$’, H$_2$O$_2$ and ‘OH are unavoidable by-products of aerobic metabolism, and also commonly generated under various stress conditions. The unwelcome result of ROS overproduction is the oxidative stress, which can cause extensive cellular damages (Miller et al., 2008). Therefore, a delicate antioxidant system is indispensably required to suprervise the cytotoxic effects of ROS tightly. The plant antioxidant system consists of ROS-scavenging enzymes, such as superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT), and ascorbate peroxidase (APX), as well as low-molecular-weight antioxidants like glutathione, ascorbate, carotenoids, metallothionein, etc (Table 1). Analysis with transgenic plants overexpressing these antioxidant genes revealed that maintenance of a high antioxidant capacity in cells is linked to increased tolerance against various adverse conditions (Guo et al., 2009; Jayaraj & Punja, 2008; Tseng et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2010).

Heavy metal stresses can shift the cellular balance of free radical homeostasis into terrible accumulation of H$_2$O$_2$. For those redox-active transition metals like copper or iron, autoxidation in Fenton reaction and Haber-Weiss reaction will convert H$_2$O$_2$ to the highly reactive ‘OH molecule in a metal-catalyzed way. Non-redox-active metals like cadmium or mercury can also result in H$_2$O$_2$ accumulation and an oxidative burst via depletion of the antioxidant glutathione (GSH) pool and inhibition of antioxidative enzymes, especially glutathione reductase (GR) (Mithofer et al., 2004; Schutzendubel & Polle, 2002). To cope with heavy metal stress and associated oxidative stress, metallothionein, a well-known metal chelator and also antioxidant would possibly be a good way out.
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Low molecular weight antioxidants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compounds</th>
<th>Target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ascorbate</td>
<td>( \text{O}_2 (\text{I}_2 \text{g}) ), ( \cdot \text{OH}, \text{O}_2 \cdot, \text{HO}_2 \cdot )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-Carotene</td>
<td>( \text{O}_2 (\text{I}_2 \text{g}) ), ( \text{RO}_2 \cdot )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-Tocopherol</td>
<td>( \text{RO}_2 \cdot )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glutathione</td>
<td>Nonspecific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urate</td>
<td>( \text{O}_2 (\text{I}_2 \text{g}) ), metal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metallothionein</td>
<td>( \cdot \text{OH}, \text{metal} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flavonoid</td>
<td>( \cdot \text{OH and HOCl} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phytochelatin</td>
<td>Metal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Enzyme antioxidants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enzyme</th>
<th>Reaction catalyzed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Superoxide dismutase</td>
<td>( \text{2O}_2 \cdot + 2\text{H}^+ \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + \text{O}_2 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catalase</td>
<td>( \text{2H}_2\text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{2H}_2\text{O} + \text{O}_2 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glutathione peroxidase</td>
<td>( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \text{or ROOH} + 2\text{GSH} \rightarrow \text{2H}_2\text{O} \text{or ROH} + \text{GSSG} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ascorbate peroxidase</td>
<td>( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + \text{Ascorbate} \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{Monodehydroascorbate} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thioredoxin</td>
<td>( \text{Prot-S}_2 + \text{Prot'}(\text{SH})_2 \rightarrow \text{Prot(\text{SH})}_2 + \text{Prot'}-\text{S}_2 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peroxiredoxin</td>
<td>( \text{ROOH} + \text{R'}(\text{SH})_2 \rightarrow \text{ROH} + \text{R'S}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glutathione reductase</td>
<td>( \text{GSSG} + \text{NAD(P)H} + \text{H}^+ \rightarrow \text{2GSH} + \text{NAD(P)}^+ )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Cellular antioxidants including low molecular weight antioxidants and enzymes of the ROS-scavenging system. (Adapted from Pinto et al., 2003)

Fig. 3. The proposed model for OsMT1a’s role in stress tolerance and metal detoxification.

Take OsMT1a for example. Yang et al. reported functional characterization of a rice type 1 metallothionein, OsMT1a. A model has been proposed to elucidate how OsMT1a plays a role in drought tolerance in plant (Yang et al., 2009). On the one hand, OsMT1a can directly scavenge ROS via increasing activities of antioxidant enzymes CAT, POD and APX. On the
other hand, OsMT1a lies upstream of some zinc finger transcription factors like Ossiz, and may tune up downstream defense genes in virtue of these transcription factors through Zn$^{2+}$ trafficking. Additional data reveal that some zinc/cadmium transporter genes including forecasted vacuolar-membrane-localized ABC transporters ABC1, MRP4 were up-regulated in OsMT1a transgenic plants, which probably accounts for uptake enhancement of Zn, as well as detoxification of toxic Cd via compartmentation into vacuole (Yang et al., unpublished data). Whether stomatal closure or ABA signalling is involved in OsMT1a-mediated drought tolerance in rice will be further examined. Altogether, researches on this metal-binding protein metallothionein provide a convincing insight into plant cross-talk combined with zinc homeostasis, cadmium detoxification, ROS scavenging and stress tolerance (Fig 3).

Despite their toxicity, ROS have been reevaluated in recent years as key signal molecules for regulating cell function and development (Rhee, 2006). In plants, the elaborate and efficient network of scavenging mechanisms allowed overcoming ROS toxicity and using some of these toxic molecules, mainly the hydrogen peroxide (H$_2$O$_2$) produced by cytosolic membrane-bound NADPH oxidases, as a signal in a wide range of abiotic stress responses (Bailey-Serres & Mittler, 2006; Mittler et al., 2004; Neill et al., 2002). For instance, in response to drought stress, ABA-induced H$_2$O$_2$ regulates the stomatal closing of Arabidopsis guard cells via activation of calcium-permeable channels in the plasma membrane (Pei et al., 2000), and such ABA-induced ROS production may also be involved in the phosphatidylinositol 3-phosphate (PI3P)-mediated stomatal closure (Park et al., 2003). A vast network of genes have been activated by ROS accumulation, many of which are also central regulators of stress responses, including zinc finger protein Zat family, heat shock and WRKY transcription factors, multiprotein bridging factor 1c, and Rboh genes (Miller et al., 2008). It’s implicated that ROS could be an essential intermediate integrating different signals during cross-talk between abiotic stress signalling pathways.

3. Outlook and challenges

As the global population and food demand keep increasing fast, and yet the environment has been endangered worse and worse by water deficit and soil salinization, abiotic stress becomes one of the most harmful factors that limit the growth and productivity of crops worldwide. Although we keep moving forward with the understanding of heavy metal stress and detoxification in plant, there are many components of the complex network yet to be identified. Especially much remains unknown about the signalling molecules of the metal-induced signal transduction, including sensing of the cellular metal change and subsequent transcription regulation of metal-responsive genes (DalCorso et al., 2008). In recent years, next-generation sequencing techniques emerge and develop fast, and the microarray-based analyses become available and efficient for transcriptome or proteome high-throughput screenings, which help to identify regulatory factors for the metal homeostasis and still more metal transporters, low-molecular-weight chelators, chaperones as well. In addition, some heavy metal responsive transcription factors can also be induced by other abiotic stresses such as cold, dehydration, Salicylic Acid (SA) and H$_2$O$_2$, suggesting cross-talk exists between heavy metal response and other abiotic stress defense signalling (Fusco et al., 2005; Singh et al., 2002; Suzuki et al., 2001; Weber et al., 2006). Nevertheless, determining the underlying regulatory and cross-talk mechanisms remain a future challenge.
Towards Understanding Plant Response to Heavy Metal Stress

Heavy metal hyperaccumulators are unique plants capable of accumulating high amounts of various toxic elements (Reeves & Baker, 2000), and the active hyperaccumulation is based on mechanisms of internal hypertolerance to cytotoxic metals and a powerful scavenging system compatible for efficient uptake of the pollutants (Salt, 2006). Therefore, comparative studies on hyperaccumulator and non-hyperaccumulator plants will provide us a good view of naturally selected metal hypertolerance and hyperaccumulation. The first core set of candidate genes with high expression in hyperaccumulators has been identified and will be analyzed at biochemical and genetic level (Krämer et al., 2007). Dissecting these genes opens up a wide avenue for understanding the plant metal homeostasis network, and also agricultural genetic engineering for crop tolerance and biofortification, as well as phytoremediation of environmental metal pollution.
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World population is growing at an alarming rate and is anticipated to reach about six billion by the end of year 2050. On the other hand, agricultural productivity is not increasing at a required rate to keep up with the food demand. The reasons for this are water shortages, depleting soil fertility and mainly various abiotic stresses. The fast pace at which developments and novel findings that are recently taking place in the cutting edge areas of molecular biology and basic genetics, have reinforced and augmented the efficiency of science outputs in dealing with plant abiotic stresses. In depth understanding of the stresses and their effects on plants is of paramount importance to evolve effective strategies to counter them. This book is broadly dived into sections on the stresses, their mechanisms and tolerance, genetics and adaptation, and focuses on the mechanic aspects in addition to touching some adaptation features. The chief objective of the book hence is to deliver state of the art information for comprehending the nature of abiotic stress in plants. We attempted here to present a judicious mixture of outlooks in order to interest workers in all areas of plant sciences.
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