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1. Introduction

Ferroelectrics are multifunctional materials exhibiting a host of appealing properties resulting from the presence of the spontaneous polarization, which is a polarization occurring in the absence of an applied electric field, due to a structural transformation taking place at a certain temperature (Uchino, 2000; Lines & Glass, 1977). Among the most important properties are: ferroelectricity—the ability to switch the spontaneous polarization by the application of a suitable electric field; piezoelectricity—the ability to produce a voltage by the application of a mechanical stress, or the ability to change the strain by applying a voltage; pyroelectricity—the ability to generate current when heated/cooled; birefringence—different refraction indices along the polar axis and on other crystalline directions, etc. It is thus of no wonder that ferroelectric materials, especially those with perovskite structure (e.g. Pb(Zr,Ti)O$_3$, known as PZT, or BaTiO$_3$), quickly found a lot of applications in the electronic industry, security, medicine, different type of automations, etc. In most of the applications the ferroelectrics are used as capacitors, either as bulk ceramics or single crystals or as thin films of polycrystalline or epitaxial quality (Izyumskaya et al., 2008; Dawber et al. 2). Also, most of the applications are based on the application of an external voltage on the ferroelectric capacitor, leading unavoidable to the occurrence of a leakage current. If in the case of bulk ferroelectrics, especially in the form of ceramics, the leakage is usually negligible, only the currents due to polarization variations being of significant value (e.g. pyroelectric or reversal currents), in the case of the thin films the leakage currents can be so large that they hidden any contribution from polarization variation. This fact is not acceptable in applications which are based on the hysteresis loop, considering that the loop is obtained by the integration of the charge released during the polarization switching. A large leakage current, over-imposed on the switching current will alter the hysteresis, masking the presence of ferroelectricity in the analyzed sample. Therefore, the study of the charge transport in ferroelectric thin films is of high importance for all the applications using ferroelectric capacitors subjected to an applied external voltage, in order to indentify the conduction mechanisms responsible for the leakage current (Chentir et al. 2009; Pabst et al. 2007; Meyer et al., 2005; Horii et al., 1999). Traditionally, the possible conduction mechanisms in ferroelectric thin films are divided in two major classes (Pintilie L. & Alexe M., 2005; Pintilie L. et al., 2005):
1. Bulk limited: ohmic-type conduction; space charge limited currents (SCLC); Pool-Frenkel emission from the deep traps (PFE); hopping.

2. Interface limited: thermionic emission over the potential barrier at the electrode interface, known also as Schottky emission (SE); electric field assisted tunneling or Fowler-Nordheim tunneling (FNT).

For very thin films, direct tunneling is also possible. The classic way to investigate the charge transport through ferroelectric films is to record the current-voltage (I-V) characteristic, eventually at different temperatures, and then to see if the experimental curve fits one of the above mentioned conduction mechanisms. Problems occur when more than one conduction mechanisms fit the experimental data. For example, when representing the I-V characteristic in log-log scale we may find that on some voltage range the slope is near unity and that on a higher voltage range the slope is near 2. In between could be a very narrow voltage range where the slope is much higher, usually around 10. From these data we may conclude that at low voltage the conduction is ohmic and that at high voltage the conduction is dominated by trap controlled space charge limited currents. However, the same set of experimental data may fit the Schottky emission or the Pool-Frenkel emission if we draw the Ln(I)~V^{1/2} representation. Some more insight can bring the temperature measurements, considering that the temperature dependence is not exactly the same for the above mentioned conduction mechanisms. Even more information can be obtained by recording the I-V characteristic on films with different thicknesses, because different conduction mechanisms may have different thickness dependencies (e.g. SCLC varies as d^{-3}, with d the thickness of the film, while the hopping is proportional with d) (Kao & Hwang, 1981).

The classic recipe was applied on a large amount of samples, of different ferroelectric materials. The idea was to find the dominant conduction mechanism in each material and, consequently, to find ways to reduce the leakage current. However, there are some problems if considering the existing literature regarding the origin of the leakage current in ferroelectric thin films:

- Several conduction mechanisms were found for the same material. This is because the effect of the structural quality on the charge transport is not considered. Whatever is pure polycrystalline, textured or epitaxial the ferroelectric thin film capacitor is regarded as a black box on which a voltage is applied in order to read a current. It is neglected the fact that any structural defect can impact the density of the free carriers or their mobility.

- Different metals are used for electrodes, neglecting the fact that the metal-ferroelectric interface is part of the ferroelectric capacitor on which the I-V measurement is performed. The fit of the experimental data with one or another of the conduction mechanisms is performed without investigating the interface properties and behavior, whatever the metal contact is ohmic or rectifying (Tang et al., 2003; Nunez & Nardelli, 2008).

- The tendency to generalize a model to different ferroelectric materials, neglecting the subtle structural details. For example, the origin of the ferroelectricity in PbTiO$_3$ and BaTiO$_3$ is thought to be the same. However, the ferroelectric phase in PbTiO$_3$ is stable up to a higher temperature than in BaTiO$_3$. This is because of the different natures of the Pb-O and Ba-O bonds. The Ba-O bond is nearly an ideal ionic bond, while the Pb-O bond has a high degree of covalency. Thus, sharing the electrons helps to stabilize the spontaneous polarization (Cohen, 1992). Consequently, we should expect different electronic properties for PbTiO$_3$ and BaTiO$_3$ including different mechanisms for the charge transport.
The effect of the ferroelectric polarization on the charge transport is not considered. In an ideal, monodomain, ferroelectric the elemental dipoles are oriented head-to-tail to give the macroscopic polarization. It means that the bulk has a zero net charge. All the polarization charges are located near the electrode interfaces, positive on one side and negative on the other. These sheets of bound charges must have a certain effect on the interface properties, as for example the barrier height or the width of the depleted region.

In the following pages some of the above mentioned problems will be analyzed in more details. First, several considerations regarding the metal-ferroelectric interface and the effect of the local microstructure on the charge transport will be presented. Then the conduction mechanisms in some prototype perovskite ferroelectric thin films will be analyzed, discussing the differences and the similarities. The last part will be dedicated to phenomena related to charge transport, as for example the integration method applied to obtain the hysteresis loop or the photovoltaic/photoconductive properties of perovskite ferroelectrics.

2. The ferroelectric capacitor and its electrical properties

The prototype sample for current-voltage (I-V) measurements is the ferroelectric capacitor. The sample consists of two inter-connected systems: the electrode interfaces, including the possible presence of a depletion region, and the bulk. In the following part the influence of the polarization charges on the specific properties of the interface (built-in potential, maximum electric field, capacitance) will be analyzed. Further on, the effect of the microstructure on the electric properties of ferroelectrics, with special emphasis on charge transport, will be discussed by comparing polycrystalline and epitaxial films.

2.1 Metal-ferroelectric interface

The ferroelectric capacitor consists of a slab of ferroelectric material with two metal layers deposited on opposite faces of the slab in such a way that the ferroelectric polarization is perpendicular on the metal electrodes. In the ideal case the electric dipoles inside the ferroelectric are head-to-tail oriented, so that they end with a sheet of positive charge near one electrode interface and one sheet of negative charge near the other interface. The bulk of the film is free of any net polarization charges because these cancel each other except the interfaces with the electrodes.

Considering this, it is expected that the charges associated to ferroelectric polarization which are present near the electrode interfaces will affect the quantities specific to classic metal-semiconductor Schottky contacts. Therefore, a model was developed to take into consideration the effect of polarization charges on the interface properties. Here, the main results of the model will be summarized (Pintilie L. & Alexe M., 2005).

The equations giving the specific quantities of a Schottky contact are the following:

- The built-in potential

\[ V_{bi}' = V_{bi} \pm \frac{P}{\varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_r} \delta \]  

(1)

- The maximum field at the interface

\[ E_m = \frac{2qN_{eff} (V + V_{bi}')}{\varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_r} \pm \frac{P}{\varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_r} \]  

(2)
The width of the depleted region

\[ w = \sqrt{\frac{2\varepsilon_0\varepsilon_{st}(V + V_{bi})}{qN_{eff}}} \]  

(3)

The notations are: \( V_{bi} \) – the built-in potential in the absence of the ferroelectric polarization; \( P \) – ferroelectric polarization; \( \delta \) – the distance between the polarization sheet of charge and the physical metal-ferroelectric interface; \( \varepsilon_0 \) – the permittivity of the vacuum; \( \varepsilon_{st} \) – the static dielectric constant; \( q \) – the electron charge; \( N_{eff} \) – the effective density of space charge in the depleted region (takes into consideration the ionized donors and acceptors, but also the trapping centers carrying a net charge after capturing a charge carrier). It can be seen that all the specific quantities are affected by the presence of the polarization charges. More of that, the effect is not symmetric, because the polarization charges have opposite signs at the two interfaces. It results that the presence of the polarization can make a symmetric structure, with same metals as electrodes, asymmetric. The band diagram of such a structure is presented in fig. 1.

Fig. 1. The band diagram for a metal-ferroelectric-metal structure. The notations are: B.C.-conduction band; B.V.-valance band, \( V_{bi} \)-the built-in voltage in the absence of the ferroelectric polarization; \( V_{bi}' \)-the built-in voltage with polarization; \( \Phi_B^0 \)-the potential barrier in the absence of the ferroelectric polarization.

The figure was made for the case of a p-type ferroelectric, but the discussion is valid also in case of a n-type material. It can be seen that the band bending increases near the interface with positive polarization charge because this charge will reject the holes (positive charges) from the interface region. This will lead to a larger built-in potential compared to the case when the polarization is missing. The opposite takes place to the other interface, were the negative polarization charge will attract holes, leading to a smaller band bending. The
conclusion is that the presence of the ferroelectric polarization makes the metal-ferroelectric-metal (MFM) structure asymmetric from the point of view of interfaces behavior. This will have consequences on all the electric properties, including the charge transport. It worth to notice that the above results are valid no matter the ferroelectric film is polycrystalline or epitaxial. Differences may come from the density of the grain barriers. If the films are columnar, then it may have the same behavior from the point of view of interfaces as in the case of an epitaxial film. The overall film properties will be dominated by the interfaces. If there are several grains in between the electrodes, then the behavior may change, leading to a less and less visible effects from the part of electrode interfaces as the number of the grain barriers between the electrodes increases. The truly polycrystalline films are expected to behave as bulk ceramics, with properties dominated by the bulk and much reduced influence from the metal-ferroelectric interface. This aspect will be discussed in more detail in the following paragraph.

2.2 Microstructure and the electric properties of ferroelectrics
The fig. 2 shows the capacitance-voltage (C-V) characteristics obtained in the case of two ferroelectric films, with nominally the same composition (PZT 40/60, were 40/60 is the Zr/Ti ratio), but with different microstructure: one polycrystalline, with top and bottom Pt electrodes, and one epitaxial, with top and bottom SrRuO$_3$-SRO electrodes. Therefore, both MFM structures are, nominally, symmetrical.

Fig. 2. The C-V characteristics in the case of an epitaxial PZT film (left) and in the case of a polycrystalline PZT film. The films have the same composition and about the same thickness.
Figure 2 reveals how deep can be the effect of the microstructure on the shape of the C-V characteristic, but also on the value of the dielectric constant. For example, in the case of the epitaxial films the switching is marked by sharp peaks in the capacitance, accompanied by abrupt changes in the capacitance values once the polarization was reversed. In the case of polycrystalline films the switching produces rounded, broad peaks, with no abrupt changes in the capacitance value. The value of the dielectric constant, calculated as for a plan-parallel capacitor, is higher for the polycrystalline films. This fact can be explained by the presence of grain boundaries, which can bring an additive contribution to the polarization charges. Although hard to be comprehended, any interfacial charge brings an additive contribution to the overall capacitance of the film, which can be simulated as a parallel-connected capacitor to the ideal ferroelectric capacitor (no other charges in the film except the polarization ones).

The results of the C-V measurements correlate well with those of the hysteresis ones, shown in figure 3. The measurements were made in the same conditions: at 1 kHz and using a triangular shape voltage for the dynamic mode; at 100 Hz and using a delay time of 1 second, with triangular shape voltage pulses, for the static mode. Figure 3 shows an almost rectangular loop in the case of the epitaxial film, while in the case of the polycrystalline film the loop is elongated along the voltage axis. Several observations can be made when analyzing the two loops:

- The switching is much faster in the epitaxial film. The switching comprises three distinct steps: the nucleation of ferroelectric domains with opposite direction of polarization; the growth of the ferroelectric domains with polarization parallel to the applied electric field (Tagantsev et al., 2002; Shur et al., 2001; Lohse et al., 2001); the compensation of the depolarization field occurring just after the switching is taking place (Jiang et al., 2007). The models proposed for switching considers only the first two steps, which can be very fast (switching times of the order of nanoseconds). However, the third step is the one who define the switching speed in the hysteresis measurements, because the compensation of the depolarization field is the slowest process. The compensation is made with free charges coming from the bulk of the ferroelectric or from the external circuitry including the metal electrodes. It comes that
the electrical time constant, defining the ability of the MFM system to respond to a rapid change in the polarization charge near the metal-ferroelectric interfaces, is the most important time factor in setting up the shape of the hysteresis loop. If the compensation is fast, then the hysteresis loop is rectangular, which is possible for epitaxial films having larger concentration of free carriers (lower resistivity $\rho$) and lower static dielectric constant $\varepsilon_{st}$. We remind here that the hysteresis measurement was performed with a triangular voltage wave, thus the voltage can be converted into time. A small voltage domain for switching means, in fact, a short time for compensating the depolarization field. A large voltage domain for switching, as is the case for the polycrystalline film, means a long time for compensation of the depolarization field. This fact can be explained by the much larger amount of structural defects, especially grain boundaries, acting as trapping-scattering center for the free carriers, thus reducing their concentration and mobility. The consequence is a much higher resistivity for polycrystalline films compared to very high quality epitaxial ones, where only point defect can exist. The point defects may contribute to the decrease of resistivity if they act as donors or acceptors in the ferroelectric material. Another effect of the grain boundaries in polycrystalline films is the increase of the static dielectric constant due to the extrinsic effects. Therefore, both the increase of resistivity and dielectric constant leads to a slower response time of the polycrystalline film to any change in polarization state. It was assumed that the resistance of the external circuitry is much smaller than that of the MFM structure, and that the capacitance of the external circuitry is parallel connected to the capacitance of the MFM structure, and is of negligible value.

- The coercive voltage is smaller in the case of epitaxial film, considering that the thicknesses are about the same. This fact suggests that the absence of grain boundaries is beneficial for polarization switching in the sense that these are no longer obstructing the movement of the ferroelectric domains.

- The remnant polarization is much higher in the case of the epitaxial film. This is, again, and effect of the microstructure. The slow compensation of the depolarization field in polycrystalline film leads to the so-called back-switching phenomena, reflected in a wide voltage domain for switching (Picinini et al., 2004; Wu et al. 2008). The final consequence is an elongation of the hysteresis loop along the voltage axis and a large difference between the remnant polarization and the saturation polarization. Once the applied external field is removed, a large part of the polarization becomes randomly oriented due to local fields generated by the charged defects, and because of the incomplete compensation of the depolarization field. These phenomena are not present in the epitaxial film, where the saturation polarization is practically equal with the remnant polarization, fact which leads to a rectangular shape of the hysteresis.

The way to interpret the linear part of the hysteresis loop will be discussed later on in the chapter. The microstructure will have a strong effect on the current-voltage characteristics (I-V) as well (see figure 4). Regarding the I-V characteristics from figure 4, it can be seen that the current density increases as the quality of the film is enhanced. This fact is consistent with the discussion regarding the hysteresis loop. A very good epitaxial film is associated to a low resistivity, suggested by the rectangular shape of the hysteresis, thus the current density is high. In polycrystalline films, all the structural defects, especially the grain boundaries, are affecting the charge transport either by trapping carriers (lower concentration) or by scattering the
carriers (lower mobility). The effect is a significant increase in the resistivity, reflected in a lower current density compared to the epitaxial layers.

An interesting phenomenon was observed in the epitaxial films, while analyzing the recorded charge and current hysteresis loops. We remind here that the actual equipments used for investigating the ferroelectric properties of thin films allows the simultaneous recording of the current and of the integrated charge which gives the hysteresis loop. It was observed that in the films containing defects spreading from one electrode to the other the hysteresis loop opens in a strange way (see figure 5). At low voltages the film shows a very large leakage current and no ferroelectric hysteresis. By the gradual increase of the applied voltage, at some voltage value, the leakage current drops abruptly and the well-known shape of the ferroelectric hysteresis is obtained. This phenomenon can be explained by admitting that the defects spreading between the electrodes can act as conduction paths, of very low resistance, leading to large currents at relatively low voltages. At some voltage, these paths breakdown similar to a normal fuse in an electric circuit, thus the high conduction paths disappear and the behavior of the ferroelectric film returns to normal. This assumption is supported by the finding that stacking faults occur in ferroelectric thin films, where an oxygen atom is missing, leading to a row of Pb atoms between electrodes acting as metal wires in a fuse (Vrejoiu & al., 2006). Threading dislocations may also play the role of low conduction paths. The “fuse like” behavior is not present in high quality films, free of stacking faults or dislocations.

Considering the effect of microstructure on the current density and on the shape of the I-V characteristics, it is natural to assume that the conduction mechanisms are different in epitaxial and polycrystalline films. Further on in the chapter only the epitaxial films will be considered. This is because the interest is to obtain information about the intrinsic properties of the material. Such information can be obtained by using single crystal-like quality films, not polycrystalline samples. In the last case the intrinsic properties of the material are masked by the dominance of the extrinsic contributions coming from the structural defects. Therefore, it is not recommended to take the values obtained for dielectric constant, polarization, resistivity, etc. in the case of polycrystalline films as materials constant for a
certain ferroelectric material. These quantities are too much dependent on microstructure, which explains very well the large spread of values in the literature, covering orders of magnitude. In order to obtain the intrinsic properties it is necessary to use high quality epitaxial films, and even in this case the results may be altered by the presence of point defects and of the strain imposed by the substrate. In any case the results are much closer to the intrinsic values than in the case of polycrystalline films.

Fig. 5. Left-the hysteresis loops obtained on a fresh contact (black line) and on the same contact after a few cycles up to high voltages to breakdown the high conduction paths existing in the defective epitaxial film. The microstructure is shown in the right TEM photograph.

3. Conduction mechanisms in some representative ferroelectric materials

In the following pages the conduction mechanisms in epitaxial films of PZT20/80, BaTiO$_3$ and BiFeO$_3$ will be analyzed. All the films were grown by pulsed laser deposition on SrRuO$_3$/SrTiO$_3$ (SRO/STO) substrates. We mention here that the identification of the dominant conduction mechanism had required extensive current measurement at different temperatures and thicknesses of the films.

3.1 Conduction mechanism in epitaxial PZT20/80

A series of high quality epitaxial PZT20/80 films, with different thicknesses, were grown by PLD in order to investigate the charge transport mechanism (Vrejoiu & al., 2006). The thickness dependence of the I-V characteristics measured at room temperature is presented in figure 6. It can be observed that:

- The characteristics are relatively symmetric with voltage polarity, and they have the same shape. However, the small asymmetry for positive and negative voltages suggests that the Pool-Frenkel emission from the traps is not the dominant conduction mechanism, as the I-V characteristic should be symmetric in this case.

- The spread in current density values is not so large, being below one order of magnitude for thicknesses between 50 nm and 270 nm. This finding suggests that SCLC is not the dominant conduction mechanism in epitaxial PZT. In the case of SCLC mechanism the current density varies as $d^{-3}$, where $d$ is the thickness. For a 5 times thickness variation the current density should differ with more than two orders of magnitude, which is not the case.
It can be concluded that the dominant conduction mechanism at room temperature is not bulk limited, but is interface limited (Pintilie L. & al., 2007). Current measurements at different temperatures were performed in order to distinguish between Schottky emission over the barrier and Fowler-Nordheim tunneling through the potential barrier at the metal-PZT interface. The results of the temperature measurements are presented in figure 7.

Fig. 6. The thickness dependence of the I-V characteristics in the case of epitaxial PZT20/80 films. Measurements performed at room temperature. The delay time for current measurements, meaning the time between changing the voltage and reading the current, was 1 second.

Fig. 7. The temperature dependence of the I-V characteristics in the case of epitaxial PZT20/80 films. Measurements performed on a sample with thickness of 230 nm.
The temperature measurements had revealed two temperature domains:
- Below 130 K the FN tunneling is the dominant conduction mechanism, the current density being practically independent of temperature.
- Between 130 K and 350 K the dominant conduction mechanism is the Schottky emission. Over 350 K the film suffer breakdown.

It is interesting to note also that the asymmetry is more pronounced at low temperatures. This is due to the fact that the two SRO/PZT interfaces were processed slightly different. The bottom one had suffered a temperature annealing during the deposition of the PZT film and is influenced by the strain imposed by the thick STO substrate. The top SRO/PZT interface had suffered a shorter temperature annealing and is less exposed to strain. Therefore, the density of the interface defects affecting the interface properties can be different. This fact can induce asymmetry at different temperatures if one considers that the occupancy of the interface states is temperature dependent. Further on calculations will be made only for the positive part of the I-V characteristic, which is assumed to be related to the bottom SRO/PZT interface (less defective interface). The analysis was done by using the following equation for the current density (Cowley & Sze, 1965; Levine, 1971):

\[
J = A^* T^2 \exp \left( -\frac{q}{kT} \left( \Phi_B^0 - \frac{qE_m}{\sqrt{4\pi\varepsilon_0\varepsilon_{op}}} \right) \right)
\]

where \(A^*\) is Richardson’s constant, \(\Phi_B^0\) is the potential barrier height at zero applied field, \(E_m\) is the electric field, \(T\) is the temperature, and \(\varepsilon_{op}\) is the dynamic (high frequency) dielectric constant. The electric field \(E_m\) should be the maximum field at the Schottky interface. Two representations can be used:

- One at constant temperature

\[
\ln \left( \frac{J}{T^2} \right) \sim \left( \ln (A^*) - \frac{q\Phi_B^0}{kT} \right) - f(V^{1/2})
\]

- One at constant voltage

\[
\ln \left( \frac{J}{T^2} \right) \sim \ln (A^*) - \frac{q}{kT} \Phi_{app}
\]

The apparent potential barrier at a give voltage \(V\) is given by:

\[
\Phi_{app} = \Phi_B^0 - \frac{qE_m}{\sqrt{4\pi\varepsilon_0\varepsilon_{op}}}
\]

Details regarding calculations and discussion can be found elsewhere (Pintilie L. & al., 2007). Important fact is that the potential barrier rendered by using the classical equation for thermionic (Schottky) emission over the potential barrier is of only 0.12-0.13 eV, which is very low compared to other reports on polycrystalline PZT films. Another problem is that the value of the effective Richardson’s constant is too low, of about \(10^{-7}\) A/cm²K². The conclusion is that the classical Schottky emission is not working properly in this case. This theory can be used only if the mean free path of the injected carriers is larger than the film
thickness. In the case of ferroelectrics, even they are of epitaxial quality, the mean free path is of about 10-20 nm. This value is considerably lower compared to the film thickness, which is usually above 100 nm. For the case when the mean free path is smaller than the film thickness then the Schottky-Simmons equation has to be used (Simmons, 1965):

\[ J = 2q \left( \frac{2\pi m_{\text{eff}} kT}{\hbar^2} \right)^{3/2} \mu E \exp \left\{ -\frac{q}{kT} \left[ \Phi_B^0 - \frac{qE_m}{4\pi\epsilon_0\epsilon_{op}} \right] \right\} \]

\[ (8) \]

\( m_{\text{eff}} \) stands for the effective mass, and \( \mu \) is the carrier mobility in PZT. The following representation was used to obtain the potential barrier:

\[ \text{Ln} \left( \frac{J}{T^{3/2}} \right) = \text{Ln} \left\{ 2q \left( \frac{2\pi m_{\text{eff}} k}{\hbar^2} \right)^{3/2} \mu E \right\} - \frac{q}{kT} \left[ \Phi_B^0 - \frac{qE_m}{4\pi\epsilon_0\epsilon_{op}} \right] \]

\[ (9) \]

The obtained value is of only 0.12 eV, like in the case of classical Schottky emission. The solution to explain such a low value for the potential barrier is to take into consideration the fact that the ferroelectric polarization is affecting the maximum electric field at the interface, like in equation (2). Considering equation (2) in equation (8), there can be two possibilities:

1. \( \sqrt{\frac{2qN_{\text{eff}} V}{\epsilon_0\epsilon_{st}}} \ll \frac{P}{\epsilon_0\epsilon_{st}} \) then the current density can be written as:

\[ J = 2q \left( \frac{2\pi m_{\text{eff}} kT}{\hbar^2} \right)^{3/2} \mu E \exp \left\{ -\frac{q}{kT} \left[ \Phi_B^0 - \frac{qP}{4\pi\epsilon_0\epsilon_{op}\epsilon_{st}} - \sqrt{\frac{2q^2 N_{\text{eff}} V}{8\pi\epsilon_0\epsilon_{op}\epsilon_{st} P}} \right] \right\} \]

\[ (10) \]

From equation (10) it can be seen that the potential barrier is reduced with a term depending on ferroelectric polarization. The „apparent” potential barrier, the one which is estimated from the graphical representation (9), is:

\[ \Phi_{\text{app}}^0 = \Phi_B^0 - \frac{qP}{4\pi\epsilon_0\epsilon_{op}\epsilon_{st}} \]

\[ (11) \]

The real potential barrier can be obtained after adding the polarization term. For the epitaxial PZT the polarization is around 100 \( \mu \)C/cm\(^2\), while the static and optic dielectric constants are 80 and 6.5 respectively. With this numbers, the contribution of the polarization term in equation (11) is about 0.6 eV. This value must be added to the one of 0.13 eV obtained from the graphical representation, leading to a potential barrier at zero volts of about 0.73 eV.

2. \( \sqrt{\frac{2qN_{\text{eff}} V}{\epsilon_0\epsilon_{st}}} \gg \frac{P}{\epsilon_0\epsilon_{st}} \) then the current density can be written as:
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\[ J = 2q \left( \frac{2\pi m_{\text{eff}} kT}{\hbar^2} \right)^{3/2} \mu \exp \left\{ \frac{q}{kT} \left( \Phi_{\text{bi}}^0 - \frac{q}{4\pi \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_{\text{ref}}} \sqrt{\frac{2qN_{\text{eff}} (V + V_{\text{bi}'})}{\varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_{\text{st}}}} \right) \right\} \] (12)

Returning to the equation (8) and to the representation (9), the pre-exponential term is dependent on the applied electric field. It was found that the pre-exponential term has a linear dependence on the applied voltage. This fact suggests a non-zero electric field in between the depleted regions located near the electrode interfaces (see figure 8).

The mobility of the carriers was estimated from the pre-exponential term in equation (8) and a value of about \(10^{-6}\) cm\(^2\)/Vs was obtained. This low value is a consequence of the polar order, similar to the phenomenon observed in AlGaN. It was shown in this case that the mobility can be reduced from about 3000 cm\(^2\)/Vs to less than 10 cm\(^2\)/Vs just because of the high polarity of the material (Zhao & Jena, 2004). The effective mass used to estimate the mobility was about \(0.8m_0\) (\(m_0\) is the mass of the free electron), and was deduced from the current-voltage characteristics at low temperature, where the Fowler-Nordheim tunneling is dominant. It can be concluded that in epitaxial PZT films of very good quality the dominant conduction mechanism is a combination between interface limited injection and bulk limited drift-diffusion, and that the electric field is non-zero throughout the film thickness.

3.2 Conduction mechanism in epitaxial BaTiO\(_3\)

The conduction mechanism in epitaxial BaTiO\(_3\) was investigated on a set of samples with different thicknesses (Pintilie L., 2009; Petraru et al., 2007). The corresponding I-V characteristics are shown in figure 9, for room temperature.
Fig. 9. I-V characteristics at room temperature for epitaxial films with different thicknesses. The electrodes were of SRO/PT with an area of 40x40 microns.

It is interesting to note that, contrary to the PZT films where no significant thickness dependence was observed (see figure 6), in the case of the BaTiO$_3$ films there is an increase of the current with the thickness of the film. This fact is unusual for ferroelectrics, where the current is expected to increase with decreasing the thickness. The only mechanism which allows an increase of the current with thickness is the hopping conduction (Rybicki et al., 1996; Angadi & Shivaprasad, 1986). The hopping can be thermally activated or of variable range. These two have different temperature dependencies. The thermally activated hopping of small polaron has the following temperature dependence (Boettger & Bryskin, 1985):

$$\sigma \sim T^{-3/2} \exp\left(-\frac{W_a}{kT}\right)$$

Here $T$ is the temperature and $W_a$ is the activation energy for the hopping mechanism. In the case of the variable range hopping the temperature dependence is (Demishev et al., 2000):

$$\sigma \sim \exp\left[-\left(\frac{T_0}{T}\right)^n\right]$$

Here $T_0$ is a characteristic temperature for the hopping conduction. The exponent $n$ is $\frac{1}{4}$ for 3D systems (bulk), while for 2D systems (thin films) is $\frac{1}{3}$ and for 1D systems (wires) is $\frac{1}{2}$. The graphical representations of equations (13) and (14) are presented in figure 10. Although at very low temperatures is hard to decide between the two hopping mechanisms, it seems that at higher temperature the thermally activated hopping of small polaron is most probable mechanism in BaTiO$_3$ epitaxial films. The activation energy for the high temperature range was estimated to about 0.2 eV.

Another problem is the non-linearity of the I-V characteristic. The following equation for the current density could explain the non-linearity:
\[ j \sim \sinh\left(\frac{qa}{2kT}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{W_w}{kT}\right) \]  

(15)

Here \( a \) is the distance between the nearest neighbors.

Fig. 10. The representation of equation (13) on the left and of the equation (14) on the right. The representations were made for different voltages applied on the film of 165 nm thickness.

The current is represented as a function of \( \sinh(\alpha V) \) at constant temperature, where \( \alpha \) is given by \( (qa)/(2kTw) \), with \( w \) being the thickness of the layer over which the voltage drop is equal with the applied voltage \( V \). These representations, shown in figure 11 for two temperatures, have to be linear if the equation (15) is valid.

Fig. 11. The representation of the current as a function of \( \sinh(\alpha V) \) at two different temperatures, in accordance with the equation (15). The data are for the BaTiO\(_3\) film of 165 nm thickness.

The linearity is obtained by adjusting the parameter \( \alpha \), which means the change in the thickness \( w \). At very low temperatures the value obtained for \( w \) is of 165 nm, which is the same with the film thickness. At room temperature the value for \( w \) is of about 15 nm, much lower than the film thickness. All the estimations were made considering a value of about 4 angstroems between nearest neighbors. The results suggest that the BaTiO\(_3\) film is fully
depleted at low temperatures, and is only partly depleted at room temperature. It maybe that the thickness of 15 nm is the thickness of the depletion region at room temperature. This is the high resistivity part of the film, and most of the applied voltage drops on it (Zubko et al., 2006).

The above presented data convey to the conclusion that the most probable conduction mechanism in epitaxial BaTiO$_3$ film is the thermally activated hopping of small polarons. Going further, it can be that the injection in the film is still interface controlled like in PZT, with the difference that the movement of the injected carriers inside the film is no longer through a band conduction mechanism like in PZT but is through a hopping mechanism in a narrow band located in the gap and associated to some kind of structural defects. An example can be the oxygen vacancies, which can arrange along the polarization axis allowing the hopping of injected electrons from one vacancy to the other.

It is interesting to remark that two ferroelectric materials, with very similar crystalline structures (both are tetragonal perovskites in the ferroelectric phase) and with similar origin of ferroelectricity, show different electric properties especially regarding the charge transport. A possible explanation for this difference can be that the Ba-O bond is an almost ideal ionic bond while the Pb-O one has a significant degree of covalency. Therefore, BaTiO$_3$ behaves like a ferroelectric dielectric and PZT20/80 behaves like a ferroelectric semiconductor. There are some theoretical studies showing that the higher is the covalency of the A-O bond (the general formula of perovskites is ABO$_3$), the higher is the Curie temperature because the electrons shared between the A and O atoms help to stabilize the ferroelectric polarization at higher temperatures than a pure ionic bond (Kuroiwa et al., 2001).

### 3.3 Conduction mechanism in epitaxial BiFeO$_3$

A very interesting ferroelectric material is BiFeO$_3$. The difference compared to BaTiO$_3$ and PZT is that BiFeO$_3$ is also antiferromagnetic, thus is a multiferroic, and that the origin of the ferroelectricity is electronic (lone pair) and is not related to ionic displacements. Its band gap is also smaller, around 2.8 eV compared to around 4 eV in the case of PZT or BaTiO$_3$ (Wang et al., 2003). It is thus expected to have a larger leakage current in BiFeO$_3$ films than in other perovskite ferroelectric layers (Nakamura et al., 2009; Shelke et al., 2009). This fact would be detrimental for recording the hysteresis loop. However, good Schottky contact can limit the leakage allowing hysteresis measurements in good conditions.

The charge transport was extensively studied in BiFeO$_3$ films of about the same thickness (100 nm) but grown with different orientations ((100), (110) and (111)). The orientation was imposed by the substrate, which in all cases SrTiO$_3$ single crystal. The bottom contact was SrRuO$_3$, while the top contact was Pt. The I-V measurements were performed at different temperatures. The results are shown in figure 12 (Pintilie L. et al., 2009)

In all cases a significant increase of the current density with temperature can be observed. This fact strongly suggests a conduction mechanism like Pool-Frenkel emission from the traps or Schottky emission over potential barrier at the metal-ferroelectric interface. The relative symmetry of the I-V characteristic supports the Pool-Frenkel emission from the traps. Complementary C-V measurements have revealed an asymmetric behavior, which is not possible if the capacitance is dominated by the bulk but is possible if the interface related capacitances dominate the overall capacitance of the MFM structure.

Considering all these results, the I-V characteristics were analyzed similar to the PZT20/80 films (see sub-chapter 3.1). Equation (10) was used to extract the $V^{1/2}$ dependency (see figure 13) of the apparent potential barrier and then the apparent potential barrier at zero volts, given by the equation (11), was extracted from the intercept at origin.
Fig. 12. The I-V characteristics at different temperatures for BiFeO$_3$ films with different orientations (these are mentioned in the down-left corner of the graphic).

Fig. 13. $V^{1/2}$ dependence of the apparent potential barrier for BFO films deposited on STO substrates with different orientations.
In order to estimate the true potential barrier at zero volts it is necessary to know the value of the ferroelectric polarization and of the dielectric constant. Figure 14 shows the hysteresis loops recorded for the three orientations of the BiFeO$_3$ films.

![Hysteresis Loops](image)

**Fig. 14.** The hysteresis loops for BiFeO$_3$ films with different orientations.

The values for the static dielectric constant were determined from capacitance measurements at 1000 Hz. The value of the optical dielectric constant was taken as 5.6. The estimated values for the potential barriers are given in Table I.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Orientation</th>
<th>Polarization ($\mu$C/cm$^2$)</th>
<th>Static dielectric constant</th>
<th>True potential barrier estimated using the equation (11) (eV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(100)</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(110)</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(111)</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. The orientation dependence of spontaneous polarization $P_s$, static dielectric constant $\varepsilon_{st}$, and true potential barrier at zero field $\Phi_B^0$.

The highest potential barrier is obtained for the (111) orientation, which is consistent with the current measurements (showing the lowest current density for this orientation) and with the results of hysteresis measurements (showing that for (111) orientation the hysteresis loop is the less affected by the leakage current).

It can be concluded that the leakage current in BiFeO$_3$ films can be reduced by engineering the potential barrier at the metal-ferroelectric interface. This leads us to the next chapter,
which is discussing the effect of the metal electrode on the electric properties of ferroelectric thin films.

4. The influence of the metal electrodes on the electric properties of ferroelectric thin films

4.1 The case of epitaxial PZT thin films

Several metals were tested as electrodes on the same epitaxial PZT20/80 thin film deposited on SRO/STO substrate (see figure 15, showing that all the electrodes were deposited, by using shadow masks, on the same film). This allowed ones to compare the electrical properties of the same PZT film, with the same bottom SRO contact, but with different top metals as electrodes (Pintilie L. et al., 2008). Practically the bottom interface is the same in all cases, just the top metal-ferroelectric interface is changed by changing the metal.

Fig. 15. Photograph showing different metal electrodes deposited on the same epitaxial PZT20/80 film.

The main properties of metals used as electrodes are presented in the Table II. The metals can be divided in three main categories: with complete d-shell (Pd, Au, Cu and Ag); with incomplete d-shell (Pt, Ni, Cr and Ta); without d-electrons (Al).

Standard electrical measurements were performed: hysteresis; I-V and C-V characteristics. The main results are presented in figures 16-18.
Table 2. Work function and electronegativity for the metals used as top contacts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metal</th>
<th>Work Function</th>
<th>Electronegativity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pd</td>
<td>5.12</td>
<td>2.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>2.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>4.65</td>
<td>1.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ag</td>
<td>4.26</td>
<td>1.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt</td>
<td>5.65</td>
<td>2.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>5.15</td>
<td>1.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>1.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ta</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al</td>
<td>4.28</td>
<td>1.61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 16. Hysteresis loops obtained in the case of four representative metals from Table II, used as top electrodes on the same epitaxial PZT20/80 film.
Analyzing the results of the electrical measurements, several interesting conclusions could be drawn:

- The value of the static dielectric constant is dependent on the metal used as the top electrode. This fact can be seen from figure 19. We remind here that the capacitance measurements were performed in the same conditions for all the metals used as top electrodes. This is a very interesting result supporting the idea that, at least in the case of epitaxial PZT films, the capacitance of the MFM structure is dominated by the interfaces and has nothing in common with the intrinsic value of the ferroelectric material itself. The finding is in line with other studies showing that the static dielectric constant in the case of PZT films, even they are of epitaxial quality, is dominated by extrinsic contributions, and that the intrinsic dielectric constant of the PZT without defects and metal interfaces is of low value (Sai et al., 2002; Ang & Yu, 2004).

- There is no correlation between the current density and the work function of the metals used as top electrodes. As can be seen from the above presented data, the best results in term of leakage current are given by Cu top electrodes, although the work function of
Cu is lower than for Pt or Au. There is some correlation between the magnitude of the leakage current and electronegativity or the number of electrons on the d-shell. It was observed that the leakage current increases as the electronegativity and the number of the electrons on the d-shell decreases. In any case, further studies are needed in order to fully understand the way in which the metal-ferroelectric interface is forming while the metal contact is deposited. Recent studies have shown that both the potential barrier and the polarization can be tuned by using different metals as electrodes, partly confirming the experimental results presented in this study (Prabhumirashi & Dravid, 2005; Dong et al. 2006; Nunez & Nardelli, 2008).

Fig. 19. The dielectric constant calculated from the capacitance of the MFM structures realized by depositing different top metals on the same PZT20/80 film, with the same bottom SRO electrode.

It was studied the influence of different metals used as top electrodes on a PZT polycrystalline film deposited by sol-gel on a Pt/Si substrate. In this case the bottom electrode is in all cases Pt. Only the hysteresis loops will be presented in figure 20.

Fig. 20. The hysteresis loops, polarization and corresponding current, obtained in the case of top Cu and Ta contacts used on a polycrystalline PZT film deposited by sol-gel on Pt/Si substrate.
The results are only partly similar with those obtained in the case of epitaxial films with the same metals as top contacts. It can be seen that the leakage current has significantly increased when Ta is used as top contact. This fact affects the hysteresis loop, which is inflated and is losing its specific shape for ferroelectrics. However, the loops are symmetric in both cases. By contrast, the hysteresis loop is completely asymmetric when Ta is used on epitaxial films (see figure 16). It appears that the bulk contribution is dominant in the case of polycrystalline films, although the injection of the charge carriers is still controlled by the potential barrier at the electrodes, which are different from one metal to another. It is not clear yet why the Cu gives almost symmetric loops both for epitaxial and polycrystalline films, especially considering that the bottom electrode is different: SRO for epitaxial and Pt for polycrystalline. A possible explanation can be that the ferroelectric polarization is controlling the band alignment at the metal interfaces and, by consequence, the potential barriers. This effect is pregnant in the case of epitaxial films, while in the case of polycrystalline ones is somehow smeared by the grain boundaries interposed between the two metal-PZT interfaces.

4.2 The ferroelectric Schottky diode

From the results presented in the previous paragraph it was concluded that Ta forms an ohmic contact on epitaxial PZT. This fact allowed the construction and characterization of the first single ferroelectric Schottky diode presenting the specific features in both I-V and C-V characteristics (see figure 17 for the C-V characteristic and figure 21 for the I-V characteristic).

![Fig. 21. The I-V characteristics in the case of a Ta-PZT-SRO single ferroelectric Schottky diode. The rectifying ratio at 3 V is larger than 10^4.](image)

Very similar results were obtained using Al top contacts on epitaxial PZT-SRO structures. The corresponding C-V and I-V characteristics are presented in figure 22. Without going into further details, it appears that ferroelectric Schottky diodes can be obtained by using metals with few electrons on the d-shell or with no d-shell at all as top contacts on epitaxial PZT-SRO structures.
Fig. 22. The C-V characteristic (left) and the I-V characteristics (right) in the case of an Al-PZT-SRO ferroelectric Schottky diode.

5. Other properties related to the charge transport in ferroelectric thin films

5.1 The hysteresis loop

The hysteresis loop is obtained by integrating the current flowing through the MFM structure and the external circuit during the polarization reversal. The true current and the corresponding integrated charge are given by:

$$j(V) = j_l(V) + j_{tr}(V) + \frac{\partial D(V)}{\partial t}$$

$$Q(V) = \int j_l(V) dt + \int j_{tr}(V) dt + D(V)$$

(16)

Here the notations are: $j_l$-the leakage current; $j_{tr}$-the emission current from the traps; $D$-electric displacement; $V$-applied voltage; $Q$-integrated charge. In the ideal case, of an insulating ferroelectric with perfect structure, the leakage current and the emission current from the traps at constant voltage are null and the result is the well known theory of the Sawyer-Tower circuit. However, in ferroelectric thin films both $j_l$ and $j_{tr}$ components can be different from zero. It is clear that the main contribution can come from the leakage current, which is present in any ferroelectric thin film no matter the crystalline quality. The larger is the leakage current, the larger will be its contribution to the integrated charge $Q$. The consequence can be a significant alteration of the hysteresis loop, which become inflated up to the limit when the shape does no longer resemble the specific shape of the ferroelectric hysteresis, making difficult the identification of the ferroelectricity in the studied material. Sometimes this drawback can be overcome by analyzing the current hysteresis. If the current peaks associated to the polarization switching are still visible, then it can be concluded that the material is still ferroelectric. The problem is when the leakage current is so large that it hidden the switching peaks making almost impossible the identification of the ferroelectric phase only from the hysteresis measurements. We remind here that electrical hysteresis can be used to confirm the presence of the ferroelectric phase in a certain material only if:

- The saturation of the ferroelectric polarization is clearly obtained and the linear regime is clearly visible in the loop. It has to be mentioned here that the quantity which is
determined from the hysteresis loops is not exactly the spontaneous polarization of the ferroelectric $P_S$, but the electric displacement $D$. The two are related through the following equation:

$$D = \varepsilon_0\varepsilon E + P_S$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)

Here $\varepsilon$ is the static dielectric constant of the ferroelectric, including the linear response of the material to an applied electric field. When the ferroelectric polarization is saturated, then $P_S$ is constant and a further increase in $D$ is possible only through the linear term in $E$. An example of an almost ideal hysteresis is presented in figure 23.

![Fig. 23. The hysteresis loop showing the saturation regime of the ferroelectric polarization.](image)

- The remnant polarization should not depend on the measuring frequency of the hysteresis. Usually the hysteresis measurements are performed at frequencies between 100 Hz and 10 kHz. Therefore, a single hysteresis loop at a specific frequency is not an irrefutable fingerprint for the presence of ferroelectricity in the studied material.
- The presence of the ferroelectricity, suggested by the presence of a hysteresis loop, must be confirmed by another quantity showing hysteretic behavior. The easiest way is to look to the current hysteresis recorded during the hysteresis measurements. If the current peaks associated to switching are present, then the materials is almost sure ferroelectric. An independent C-V measurement can bring further confirmation if the characteristic has the specific butterfly shape shown in figure 2.

In conclusion, the hysteresis measurement only is not enough to decide if a material is ferroelectric or not. Returning to equation (17), it can be seen that the dielectric constant can be estimated from the slope of the hysteresis loop in the saturation (linear) regime. Sometimes it is possible to obtain large values for the dielectric constant, larger than the values obtained from capacitive measurements. This fact can be explained by taking into consideration the contribution of $j_{tr}$. This is valid especially if the hysteresis measurement is performed at low
frequencies (1-100 Hz). In this frequency range can be traps responding to the external voltage variations. The capacitance measurements are performed at frequencies higher than 1 kHz, where the traps may be no longer responsive. It is worth to remind that the traps are energetic levels located in the forbidden band and associated to some structural defects such as vacancies, interstitials, or complex defects. During an electrical measurements based on a voltage variation, non-equilibrium carriers are injected into the film. Some of these carriers can be trapped on trapping centers located in the depleted regions associated to the presence of the Schottky contacts at the metal-ferroelectric interfaces. The occupation state of a trapping center is time and temperature dependent, meaning that the trapped carriers can be released in time or by heating to a certain temperature. In the case of the hysteresis measurements the temperature is constant, but the trapped carrier can be released in time if, for example, the period of the hysteresis measurement is longer than the emission time constant of the trapping center. The current obtained in this case is given by (Sze, 1981; Schroder, 1998):

\[ I_{tr}(t) = \frac{qAw_iN_0}{\tau} \exp\left(-\frac{t}{\tau}\right) \]  

(18)

Here the notations are: A-electrode area; \( w \)-the width of the depleted region; \( N_0 \)-the density of the traps; \( \tau \)-the emission time constant from the traps; \( t \)-measuring time.

Considering a triangular shape for the applied voltage in a hysteresis measurement, with frequency \( f \) and amplitude \( V_a \), it can be shown that the integrated charge due to the emission from the traps adds to the total charge \( Q \) in the following form:

\[ Q(V) = \frac{\varepsilon_0 \varepsilon dA}{d} - \frac{qAw_iN_0V_a}{4\tau V_a f} V + AP(V) \]  

(19)

Here \( d \) is the thickness of the ferroelectric film. It can be seen that the traps bring a significant contribution to the static dielectric constant:

\[ \varepsilon_{app} = \varepsilon + \frac{qdaw_iN_0}{4\varepsilon_0 V_a f} \]  

(20)

If the trap density \( N_0 \) is null, then the second term in (20) disappear and the dielectric constant is not altered. If the trap density is not null and the frequency is low, then the second term in equation (20) can bring a significant contribution to the static dielectric constant. This contribution decreases with increasing the frequency because the traps are no longer responsive to the applied electric field. The frequency dependence of the dielectric constant evaluated from the saturation part of the hysteresis loop is shown in figure 24. It can be seen that, indeed, the dielectric constant varies as \( 1/f \).

The presence of the traps can affect significantly the electric properties of the ferroelectric thin films. The charged traps generate local electric fields, pinning the polarization and leading to back-switching phenomena (Warren et al., 1994). The consequence is the elongated shape of the hysteresis. They can bring also additive contribution to the dielectric constant and a significant frequency dependence of this quantity. Finally, the traps can alter the density of the free carriers, leading to an apparent increase in the resistivity, and to a lower leakage current when non-equilibrium carriers are injected into the ferroelectric film. In principle, the traps are
unavoidable, but their density and types can be reduced by increasing the crystal quality. In the high quality epitaxial films only point defects are expected, thus the extrinsic effects associated to the presence of traps are very much reduced.

![Graph showing the frequency dependence of the dielectric constant estimated from the linear part of the hysteresis loop.](image)

Fig. 24. The frequency dependence of the dielectric constant estimated from the linear part of the hysteresis loop (the saturation regime of spontaneous ferroelectric polarization).

5.2 The photoelectric properties of ferroelectrics

The ferroelectric materials with perovskite structure can be regarded as wide gap semiconductors if they are in the form of epitaxial thin films. This assumption is valid mainly for PZT and BiFeO$_3$ but can work also in the case of BaTiO$_3$. The presence of the Schottky contacts, with space charge regions near the electrodes, suggests the presence of the photovoltaic effect similar to the one encountered in semiconductor diode devices (Qin et al., 2009; Yang et al., 2009). This effect is different from the bulk photovoltaic effect which is present in the thick films or in massive ferroelectric ceramics and single crystals. Therefore, the short-circuit current was measured and its relation with the ferroelectric polarization was studied (Pintilie L. et al., 2007). A summary of the main results obtained on epitaxial PZT thin films is presented below:

- The sign of the short-circuit photocurrent is dependent on the orientation of the ferroelectric polarization. In the ideal case the sign should change when the polarization orientation is changed. When an important imprint is present in the film, favoring one of the two orientation of ferroelectric polarization then the photocurrent does not change the sign. The fact that the photocurrent changes the sign when the polarization changes the orientation can be speculated in non-volatile memories as a non-destructive readout procedure of the written information (Kholkin et al., 1997).
- The magnitude of the short-circuit photocurrent depends on the magnitude of the ferroelectric polarization. This is supported by the fact that, for contacts with negligible...
imprint, the short-circuit photocurrent describes a hysteresis loop similar to the one described by the ferroelectric polarization when the applied voltage is varied (Yang et al., 2000). Because the magnitude of the polarization is dependent also on the existing imprint in the film, the short-circuit photocurrent is also dependent on the imprint. This fact can be speculated to map the imprint in a ferroelectric film by using a non-destructive method (Pintilie L. et al., 2010).

- The spectral range is in the blue-UV domain. For high quality epitaxial films the maximum sensibility is obtained at wavelengths between 270-290 nm, and can reach values of about 0.1 A/W. This can make the ferroelectric thin films attractive for solid state UV detectors, at least for applications where the magnitude of the generated photo-signal is not so important.

- The ferroelectric Schottky diode shows a significant photovoltaic effect. It was observed that the short-circuit photocurrent is not changing the sign when the polarization orientation was changed by external poling. This is confirming the fact that only one direction of polarization is stable in the ferroelectric Schottky diode.

Short-circuit photocurrent was measured also in polycrystalline films. A typical spectral distribution is shown in figure 25. Short-circuit current was measured also on BaTiO$_3$ films (see figure 26), as well as in BiFeO$_3$ films. However, in the last case the magnitude of the short-circuit photocurrent is very much reduced by the presence of high density of free carriers, leading to a high recombination rate.

Fig. 25. The spectral distribution of the short-circuit photocurrent measured after poling the polycrystalline PZT film with different applied voltages. It was also observed that the short-circuit photocurrent is present on a large temperature domain (see results presented in figure 27 for PZT films). This fact offers the possibility to study the possible temperature dependence of the energy gap in ferroelectric materials with perovskite structures.
Fig. 26. The spectral distribution of the short-circuit photocurrent in the case of an epitaxial BaTiO$_3$ film. The inset shows the hysteresis loop for the same film. A large imprint is observed, which is consistent with the fact that the short-circuit photocurrent is not changing the sign when the BaTiO$_3$ film is poled with opposite applied electric fields which should impose opposite directions for the ferroelectric polarization.

Fig. 27. The spectral distribution of the short-circuit photocurrent in the case of an epitaxial PZT film, at different temperatures. A shift towards shorter wavelengths of the peak sensitivity can be observed at low temperatures compared to room temperature, which can be related to an increase of the energy gap of the PZT.
6. Conclusions

The results presented above shed some light regarding the charge transport in ferroelectric thin films and its intimate relation with the presence of the ferroelectric polarization. The charge transport can impact all the electric/ferroelectric properties of the ferroelectric thin film. Therefore, it is not advisable to treat or model separately the experimental results obtained by measuring capacitance, current or hysteresis. The proposed model should be able to simulate all the features observed in the experimental characteristics, including voltage, frequency and temperature dependencies.

In any case, the technological advance in the deposition methods will allow in the near future a more precise control of the structural defects, especially impurities and vacancies. This will be an important step forward because it will allow a more precise control of the free carrier concentration, type and mobility. On the other hand, the in-depth understanding of the metal-ferroelectric interface will allow the leakage current control through the precise engineering of the potential barrier at the interface. All these advances will open new perspectives in manufacturing new ferroelectric electronic devices and sensors.
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