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1. Introduction

Different types of fats have been utilized in an attempt to improve reproductive function in ruminant animals. Fatty acids derived from plants and oil seeds have exerted a major impact on reproductive performance, some of the most common sources include sunflower, linseed, cottonseed, rapeseed and soyabean. Animal fat (tallow) and calcium salts of saturated fatty acids may escape in a significant percentage rumen hydrogenation to be incorporated into adipose tissue and milk. Fish by-products contain a high proportion of polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) and pass without being altered in the rumen exerting no effects on rumen fermentation. Each dietary source of fat varies regarding composition of specific fatty acids (Table 1).

Early studies of the effect of fat in the ration on reproductive performance were carried out by Burr & Burr (1930), who observed that fat deficiency in the ration of growing rats induced alterations in ovulation rate and on the onset of oestrus, while lipid supplementation reestablished reproductive performance of the females, coinining the concept of essential fatty acids. In later studies, research was aimed at evaluating the effect of fat supplementation in different animal species both ruminant and non-ruminant, on reproductive aspects such as the establishment of puberty (Smith et al., 1989), semen production (Castellano et al., 2010), maternal recognition of pregnancy (Abayasekara & Wathes, 1999, Filley et al., 2000, Lopes et al., 2009) by means of the suppression of luteolytic signals (Mattos et al., 2000), restart of ovarian activity after parturition (de Fries et al., 1998), follicle development, quality of oocytes (Staples & Thatcher, 2005; Bilby et al., 2006c), and of the embryo (Cerri et al., 2009), modification in the mechanism of synthesis and secretion of hormones involved in reproductive processes (Staples et al., 1998) and on production aspects such as quality of milk (Rego et al., 2004; Bernal et al., 2010) or meat (Wood et al., 2003). Due to the fact that some fatty acids (FA) are essential for mammals and to the role of fatty acids on reproductive processes, it is possible that cattle reproduction will be influenced more by the type of lipids consumed than for the total lipid intake. This is particularly important since ruminants hydrogenate PUFAs in the rumen, limiting the amount of PUFAs that are absorbed from the small intestine (Thatcher & Staples, 2007,
Santos et al., 2008, Doreau et al., 2011). However, it is possible that some specific PUFAs may pass intact the reticulo-rumen and be absorbed from the small intestine, allowing in this way the improvement of reproductive efficiency directly on the target tissue of the reproductive system of the female (autocrine or paracrine) or by an indirect effect mediated by the endocrine system (Staples & Thatcher, 2005).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fat source</th>
<th>C14:0</th>
<th>C16:0</th>
<th>C16:1</th>
<th>C18:0</th>
<th>C18:1</th>
<th>C18:2</th>
<th>C18:3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Myristic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palmitic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palmit-oleic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stearic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oleic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linoleic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linolenic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tallow</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yellow grease</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy Booster</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Megalac: EnerG-II</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Megalac: R1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canola oil</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cottonseed oil</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flaxseed oil</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extruded Linseed</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>51.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rapeseed oil</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safflower oil</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soyabean oil</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunflower oil</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Menhaden fish oil</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Fatty acid composition of selected dietary fat sources. 1Commercial preparations considered partially inert in the rumen; 2Also contain 14% C20:5 and 9% C22:6 (Thatcher & Staples, 2007).

Several studies have shown that PUFAs of the ω-3 family such as eicosapentaenoic acid (C20:5, ω-3) and docosahexaenoic acid (C22:6, ω-3) suffer insignificant biohydrogenation in the rumen (Thatcher & Staples, 2007). These fatty acids are usually found in feedstuffs derived from fish (and other marine products), such as the oil and the meal which are considered non essential since they can be synthesized from linoleic acid (ω-3), and apparently play an important role in animal performance (Thatcher & Staples, 2007). Furthermore, lipid supplements partially resistant to biohydrogenation in the rumen have been developed such as calcium salts of long chain fatty acids (Ca-LCFA) with the aim of increasing the amount of unsaturated FA which can be absorbed by limiting biohydrogenation (Mattos et al., 2000). PUFAs act as mediators in a series of processes in several reproductive tissues, including fluidity of cell membrane, intracellular signaling and susceptibility to oxidative damage (Wathes et al., 2007). Changes in chain length, degree of unsaturation and position of the double bonds in the acil chain of fatty acids may have a major impact on reproductive function and play a role in livestock reproduction (Mattos et
al., 2000). Potential mechanisms may include increment of energy density of the ration (Ferguson et al., 1990), even when for some workers (Williams & Amstalden, 2010), the effect of fat supplement on reproduction is independent of the energy density of ration or of changes in live weight of animals. Considering all the above described, the aim of this review is to examine some of the reproductive processes in the bovine and ovine females which could be regulated or modified by the inclusion of lipids in the ration.

2. Effect of lipid supplementation on pregnancy rate

Incorporation of lipids in rations for dairy cattle usually increases energy density of ration and improves lactation and reproductive performance (Funston, 2004). However, when they are supplied in early lactation, frequently there is a reduction in feed intake due to a reduction in dry matter digestibility and to an increase in energy of greater availability, so when lipids are supplied in the early postpartum period, there is little alteration in the energy status of the animal even when a higher energy density ration is consumed (Santos et al., 2008).

Then, if dietary fat does not alter the energy status of dairy cows, reproductive response results more from the supply of some fatty acids, than from the effect of the energy supply per se (Staples et al., 1998, Williams & Amstaldem, 2010).

There are several studies that report a better reproductive performance in cows fed supplementary lipids. In this respect, Staples et al. (1998), showed that lipid consumption exerted a positive effect on reproductive aspects in dairy cows (Table 2). In beef cattle, the same trend has been observed. It is in this context that, de Fries et al. (1998) reported that Brahman cows consuming 5.2% lipids in the ration showed a trend towards an increase in pregnancy rate than those cows which consumed only 3.7% lipids in the ration. Ferguson et al. (1990) observed a 2.2 times increase in the possibility of pregnancy in lactating cows consuming 0.5 kg lipids per day. In another study, it was demonstrated that grazing cows supplemented with fat, pregnancy rate at first service was 16% higher than in cows which did not receive fat in the ration (Bader et al., 2000).

Bellows et al., (2001) observed that supplementation with safflower seed, soyabean, or sunflower seed (4.7, 3.8 and 5.1% fat in the ration, respectively) for the last 65 days before calving increased subsequent pregnancy rates (94%, 90% and 91%, respectively) of first-calf beef heifers compared with the control (79 %) that received only 2.4 % fat in the ration. In another study Bellows et al., (2001), using good quality forage and a higher amount of fat in the ration (6.5%) during 68 days before calving, was unable to improve pregnancy rates relative to a control ration (2.2% fat), this result indicates that when adequate nutrients are available, the effect of supplemental fat may be masked.

Grazing Holstein cows which were supplemented for 103 days, as from day 10 post-partum, with two sources of bypass fat Megalac plus 3% (MP; 0.4 kg/day, containing Ca salts of palm fatty acids and Ca salts of methionine hydroxy analogue) and Megapro Gold (MPG; 1.5 kg/day, containing Ca salt of palm fatty acids, extracted rapeseed meal and whey permeate), MPG increased ($P<0.05$) conception rate to first service compared to control group (CG). Conception rate to first service was similar ($P=0.14$) on MP compared to CG. For pregnancy to second service, CG had a higher ($P<0.05$) conception rate than MP. There were no significant differences between treatments in overall pregnancy rate, services per conception, number of cows served in the first three weeks of the breeding season or the 6-
weeks in-calf rate. Comparing the combined fat treatments to CG resulted in a higher ($P<0.05$) conception rate to first service for the fat treatments but no significant difference in overall pregnancy rate (McNamara et al., 2003).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Fat source</th>
<th>Percent inclusion</th>
<th>Pregnancy rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fergunson et al., 1990</td>
<td>Ca-Palm oil</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>59$^1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sklan et al., 1991</td>
<td>Ca-Palm oil</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scott et al., 1995</td>
<td>Ca-Palm oil</td>
<td>1 lb d$^{-1}$</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garcia-Bojalil et al., 1998</td>
<td>Ca-Palm oil</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Son et al., 1996</td>
<td>Tallow</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Espinoza et al., 2010</td>
<td>Tallow</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
<td>70; Herd B 55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frajblat and Butler, 2003</td>
<td>Energy Booster</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petit et al., 2001</td>
<td>Flaxseed</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambrose et al., 2006$^a$</td>
<td>Flaxseed</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>48$^1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambrose et al., 2006b</td>
<td>Flaxseed</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>26$^1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuentes et al., 2007</td>
<td>Extruded Linseed</td>
<td>1.7 kgd$^{-1}$</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McNamara et al., 2003</td>
<td>MegaPro Gold</td>
<td>3.3 lb d$^{-1}$</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juchem et al., 2004</td>
<td>Soy + Trans C18:1</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>34$^1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cullens, 2005</td>
<td>Megalac-R</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>58$^1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aguilar-Pérez et al., 2009</td>
<td>ByFat®</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Espinoza et al., 2010</td>
<td>Megalac®</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
<td>80; Herd B 58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Castañeda-Gutierrez et al., 2005</td>
<td>Ca-CLA</td>
<td>0.3 lb d$^{-1}$</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bernal-Santos et al., 2003</td>
<td>Ca-CLA</td>
<td>0.3 lb d$^{-1}$</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bruckental et al., 1989</td>
<td>Fish meal</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armstrong et al., 1990</td>
<td>Fish meal</td>
<td>1.8 lb d$^{-1}$</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carroll et al., 1994</td>
<td>Fish meal</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burke et al., 1997</td>
<td>Fish meal</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Reproductive performance of beef cows supplemented with fat in the ration. $^1$First insemination (Adapted from Thatcher & Staples, 2007).

In another study, Aguilar-Pérez et al., (2009) observed that pregnancy rate of F$_1$ (Holstein x Zebu) cows grazing under tropical conditions in Mexico, was not affected by supplementation with bypass fat (33.3%), relative to a control group (41.7%) at 90 days postpartum. In conclusion, fat supplementation increased conception rate to first service but did not significantly affect the proportion of cows pregnant at the end of the breeding season, these results suggest that the higher quality of the forage supplied in the different seasons that the trial lasted, may have been a factor that masked the effect of fat supplementation. Juchem et al. (2010) evaluated the effect of supplementation before and after parturition with Ca-LCFA of palm oil or with a mixture of linoleic and trans-octadecenoic acids and observed that cows fed PUFAs showed a higher pregnancy rate than those supplemented with palm oil at 27 and 41 days (37.9 vs 28.6% and 35.5 vs 25.8%, respectively) after artificial insemination. Recently, Lopes et al. (2009) fed Nellore cows with 0.1 kg cow$^{-1}$day$^{-1}$ of PUFAs and observed that pregnancy rate was improved. In this respect, Mattos et al. (2000) suggested that the positive
effect of fat on fertility may not be due to improvement in energy balance of the cows but rather to the specific effect of some dietary fatty acids on the physiology of the hypothalamus-hypophysis-ovary axis and even the uterus. In a review of previous studies in which conjugated linoleic acids (CLA) were supplemented to dairy cows during early lactation, de Veth et al. (2009) demonstrated that the probability of pregnancy increases in 26% when CLA are increased in the ration and that the optimum CLA amount is 10.0 g d⁻¹, after which the beneficial effects are reduced. It is possible that the positive effect of lipid supplementation may be due to specific fatty acids (Staples & Thatcher, 2005), and the absorption of unsaturated FA in ruminants is limited due microbial biohydrogenation in the rumen (Lopes et al., 2009). Some studies have evaluated the possibility that unsaturated FA intake, particularly those of the \( n \)-6 (linoleic acid) and \( n \)-3 (\( \alpha \)-linolenic, eicosapentaenoic, docosahexaenoic acids) families, may have some influence on reproduction in cows, even when reports in the literature are not always consistent (Santos et al., 2008). In this respect, when cows were fed 0.75 kg of linseed rich in \( \alpha \)-linolenic acid (\( n \)-3), or sunflower rich in linoleic acid (\( n \)-6), pregnancy rate tended to increase in cows of the first treatment (Ambrose et al., 2006a). In other studies, no response was observed with linseed (Fuentes et al., 2008). Similarly, feeding \( n \)-3 fatty acids from fish oil in the form of Ca-LCFA did not improve pregnancy rate postpartum at first service in beef cows when compared to supplementation with beef tallow (Juchem, 2007) or with Ca-LCFA from palm oil (Silvestre, quoted by Santos et al., 2008), even when pregnancy rate at second service postpartum was higher in cows fed \( n \)-3 fatty acids (Silvestre, quoted by Santos et al., 2008). In grazing F₁ (\( Bos taurus \times Bos indicus \)) cows, Aranda-Avila et al. (2010) observed a 15.4% increase in pregnancy rate when cows were supplemented with corn oil, relative to a control group (54.5 vs 41.7 % respectively) after 35 day supplementation; however, differences were not statistically significant. It is possible that the poor response observed in those studies may be due to an increase in milk production along with a loss of body weight, which occurs in greater or lesser degree in cows during the early postpartum period (Sklan et al., 1994).

3. Effect of lipid supplementation on the hypothalamus-hypophysis-ovary axis

The major objective of cow-calf enterprises is to produce one calf per cow annually. Thus, management strategies that enhance reproductive performance of milk and beef cows are beneficial to the productivity of cow-calf operations. Previous studies reported that utilization of dietary fat as a nutraceutical, particularly PUFAs, positively influenced reproductive function in both milk and beef cows (Williams & Stanko, 2000). Furthermore, these positive effects were independent of the additional energy contribution from the PUFAs sources (Funston, 2004). Different mechanisms have been proposed by means of which fat supplementation may affect functioning of the hypothalamus-hypophysis-ovary axis. Early work in this respect suggested that fat supplementation may affect secretion of reproductive and metabolic hormones and further research demonstrated that fat addition to the ration modified ovarian activity in heifers and adult cows postpartum. The mechanism (or mechanisms) by which dietary fat improves reproductive performance has not been elucidated. Several hypotheses have been proposed: 1) an amelioration of a negative energetic balance, thus leading to an earlier return to oestrus postpartum and, therefore, improved fertility; 2) an increase in steroidogenesis favorable to improved
fertility; 3) manipulation of insulin so as to stimulate ovarian follicle development; and 4) a
stimulation or inhibition of the production and release of PGF$_{2\alpha}$, which influences the
persistence of the corpus luteum (Staples et al., 1998)

### 3.1 Hormonal secretion and lipid metabolites

Some studies showed that dietary fat supplementation in dairy heifers increased circulating
concentrations of progesterone (Talavera et al., 1985), and enhanced lifespan of induced
corpus luteum during early postpartum in beef cows (Williams, 1989; Ryan et al., 1995).
Other studies suggest that when lipids are included in the ration of cows to increase energy
density, calorific balance is improved which directly influences hypophysis-gonadal activity
postpartum (Harrison et al., 1995), increasing, in principle, the amplitude and frequency of
secretion of luteinizing hormone (LH) in animals (Sklan et al., 1994). In this respect, de Luna
et al. (1982), reported an increase in the secretion of luteinizing hormone in ovariectomized
cows treated with GnRH and supplemented with beef tallow. In sheep, secretion of
luteinizing hormone in response to the injection of GnRH at day 10 of the oestrus cycle was
greater in Pelibuey sheep supplemented with Ca-LCFA from palm oil during 30 days than
in the control group (Espinoza et al., 1997).

Other studies, using isocaloric and isonitrogenous diets in cows of poor body condition
indicated that the increase in dietary fat consumption augmented the number of follicles of
medium-size by 1.5- to 5-fold within 3 to 7 weeks and these changes occurred coincident
with changes in serum insulin, growth hormone and intraovarian insulin-like growth factor
(IGF-1) (Wehrman et al., 1991; Ryan et al., 1992; Thomas et al., 1997). Table 3 summarizes the
effects of dietary fat supplementation on follicular physiology and growth as observed in
different studies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Effect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wehrman et al., 1991; Ryan et al., 1992; Hightshoe et al., 1991; Lucy et al., 1991; Thomas &amp; Williams, 1996; Thomas et al., 1997; Lammoglia et al., 1996; Stanko et al., 1997; de Fries et al., 1998</td>
<td>Increased number of medium-sized follicles (polyunsaturated fat &gt; saturated and highly polyunsaturated fat effects)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lucy et al., 1989, 1991</td>
<td>Milk cows supplemented with Ca-LCFA palm oil, the basal level of LH was increase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wehrman et al., 1991; Ryan et al., 1992</td>
<td>Increased granulosa cell progesterone production in vitro, increased follicular fluid progesterone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lopes et al., 2009, Salas-Razo et al., 2011</td>
<td>Cows supplemented with rumen inert polyunsaturated fat had greater mean serum progesterone concentrations compared with control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ryan et al., 1992; Thomas &amp; Williams, 1996</td>
<td>No effect on superovulation rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>de Fries et al., 1998; Bilby et al., 2006a; Garnsworthy et al., 2008</td>
<td>Increased number of large follicles; increased size of largest follicle</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Summary of the effect of dietary fat supplementation in cattle on ovarian follicular
growth and steroid production. (Modified from Williams & Amstalden, 2010)
On the other hand, it has been shown that hiperlipidic rations supplied both to dairy as well as to beef cows, induced and increase in the levels of blood cholesterol, as it was observed by Hightshoe et al. (1991) in cows supplemented postpartum with Ca-LCFA from palm oil. Similar results were reported in Angus and Hereford cows which consumed a supplement which contained 125 g of Ca-LCFA from palm oil (Espinoza et al., 1995), in Chinampas (Bos taurus) cows consuming Ca-LCFA from palm oil or beef tallow (Espinoza-Villavicencio et al., 2010); this is particularly important since cholesterol is the main precursor for progesterone synthesis in the corpus luteum as well as of other steroid hormones at the follicular level (Childs et al., 2008c). Thus, when supplementation with protected fats from rumen bihydrogenation is augmented, it is possible to increase concentration of plasma progesterone in cows (Lopes et al., 2009), which is associated positively with pregnancy rate (Santos et al., 2008). When endometrial secretions are modified (Gray et al., 2001) changes are induced in endometrial architecture which are fundamental for the appropriate development of the embryo (Wang et al., 2007). Nonetheless, in other studies, no response has been observed of fat supplementation on progesterone synthesis. In this respect, Robinson et al. (2002), using cows fed a ration rich in linoleic acid showed a reduction of up to 50% in the serum levels of progesterone between days 4 and 8 of the oestrus cycle, while those cows consuming a ration rich in linolenic acid showed a lower concentration of this hormone, but in days 4 and 15 of the oestrus cycle (Robinson et al., 2002). Recent work (Huante, 2010), demonstrated that secretion of progesterone in Brahman cows with low body condition at calving and during the postpartum (2.0 points, in the scale 1-5) was unaffected by supplementation with oilseeds with 60% of unsaturated fatty acids, relative to the control group (0.065±0.013 vs 0.054±0.013 ng/ml, respectively). These results suggest that due to the low body condition, the cow uses feed energy for its maintenance and not for reproductive processes. Those results suggest that the above mentioned fatty acid, exert different effects in the synthesis of ovarian steroids (Hinckley et al., 1996). Childs et al. (2008b) fed beef heifers a ration enriched with fish oil (n-3) and even when no increase in serum progesterone was achieved at day 7 of the oestrus cycle, they postulated that there was evidence of a greater synthesis of progesterone during the whole oestrus cycle due to the increase in the serum concentration of cholesterol and to the greater size of the corpus luteum.

While in sheep, concentration of progesterone in the follicular fluid was greater than in sheep which consumed the ration enriched with n-3 fatty acids, than those which were fed with a greater amount of n-6 fatty acids (Wonnacott et al., 2010). Some in vitro studies have demonstrated that progesterone metabolism can be inhibited by high concentrations (300 μM) of α-linolenic acid in the culture media (Piccinato et al., 2010). This is perhaps related to the fact that certain PUFA’s may also increase serum concentration of insulin and this in turn, reduce hepatic expression of some enzymes of the cytochrome P450 complex which catabolize progesterone (Lemley et al., 2008). Oestradiol, another hormone derived from cholesterol has stimulatory effects on the uterine secretion of PGF2α (Knickerbocker et al. 1986) and can increase sensibility of the corpus luteum to prostaglandins by intensifying its regression (Howard et al., 1990). Previous studies demonstrate that intra-abomasal infusion of yellow fat induced a reduction in blood levels of oestradiol between days 15 and 20 of the oestrus cycle, compared to cows which were infused with glucose intra-abomasally. The relationship between dietary fatty acids and synthesis of steroid hormones may be direct through a direct effect on steroidogenesis (acute regulatory protein of steroids; STAR, cytochrome P450 protein, family 11; subfamily A polypeptide enzyme 1; CYP11A1) etc. or...
indirectly through prostaglandins. STAR plays a fundamental role in the synthesis of steroid hormones (Stocco et al., 2005). In previous work, it has been observed that in beef heifers there was a reduction in the concentration of arachidonic acid in the endometrial tissue when they were fed n-3 PUFAs (Childs et al., 2008a; Childs et al., 2008b). On the other hand, there is evidence that supplementation with linoleic acid (n-6) increased uterine secretion of \( \text{PGF}_{2\alpha} \) (Cullens, 2005), which could derive in a greater availability of arachidonic acid in the endometrium. Under such conditions it could be speculated that a possible way to act of the n-3 and n-6 fatty acids in steroidogenesis is by reducing or increasing the availability of arachidonic acid, respectively and as a consequence, the expression of RNAm for STAR synthesis.

### 3.2 Lipids on ovarian activity

These results suggest that another of the mechanisms by means dietary lipids may improve reproductive performance of cattle is influencing follicular development and ovulation. In this, respect, Lucy et al. (1991), replaced corn with Ca-LCFA from palm oil in the ration of dairy cows at calving, and increased the number of medium size follicles (6-9 mm) and of follicles greater than 15 mm within 25 days postpartum. Furthermore, the diameter of the greatest follicle was superior in cows fed Ca-LCFA from palm oil (18.2 vs 12.4 mm). When this study was repeated with isocaloric diet, observed effects were similar (Lucy et al. 1993). The greatest increase in medium follicle populations occurred in response to plant oil consumption, which is likely a direct result of the effects of high levels of linoleic acid in the rumen. Maximum follicular growth responses to plant oil supplementation have occurred when plant oils were fed at 4 to 6% of dietary dry matter, with lesser increases observed at lower levels of added fat. Animal tallow, calcium salts of saturated fatty acids or fish oil have been shown to have less clear effects on follicular growth than plant-derived oils. Moreover, postpartum beef cows which calved in a very poor body condition (BCS of 3; 1-9 scale) were unable to develop medium or large follicles at a rate equal to those with a body condition score of 4 or greater after 3 weeks of fat consumption (Ryan et al., 1994).

The number of medium size follicles (5 to 10 mm) was higher in beef cows which consumed feed with a greater content of PUFAs (Thomas et al., 1997) and in dairy cows which consumed a diet enriched with 5% n-3 fatty acids derived from fish oil (Heravi-Moussavi et al., 2007). Similar results were observed in cows fed with diets enriched with n-3 or n-6 fatty acids (Robinson et al., 2002). Staples & Thatcher (2005) summarized the effect of increasing lipids in the ration on the size of the dominant follicle: on average, the size of the dominant follicle was 3.2 mm greater than in females fed with some source of fat, which represents a 23% increase. Other studies (Bilby et al., 2006d; Garnsworthy et al., 2008) showed that the size of the dominant follicle is increased in cows fed diets rich in PUFAs. In sheep, the use of Ca-LCFA from palm oil (El-Shahat & Abo-El maaty, 2010) or from corn oil (Herrera et al., 2008) in the feed improved the number and size of the preovulatory follicles, as well as rate of ovulation and the superovulatory response in Pelibuey sheep (Herrera et al., 2008). These evidences demonstrate that consumption of lipids accelerate follicular growth (de Fries et al., 1998), which may influence the restart of ovulation postpartum, as it was shown by Marin-Aguilar et al. (2007) who observed that Holstein cows supplemented with plant oil (60% PUFAs) reduced by 7 days the restart of ovarian activity relative to a control group. de Veth et al. (2009) observed that time at first ovulation in dairy cows was reduced by 8 days when they were supplemented with 8 g/d of trans-10, cis-12 CLA.
4. Lipids and its effect on endometrial secretion of prostaglandins

Studies in a variety of species have shown that dietary PUFAs can modulate prostaglandin synthesis and metabolism. Eicosanoids, comprising prostaglandins, thromboxanes, leukotrienes and lipoxins, are all synthesized from C20 fatty acids (Mattos et al., 2000). The most biologically active two series prostaglandins are derived from arachidonic acid, but the less active three series prostaglandins can be produced from eicosapentaenoic acid by the action of the same enzymes (Robinson et al., 2002).

Prostaglandins play an important role in reestablishing oestrus cycles both immediately after parturition and thereafter until conception. Prostaglandin F\textsubscript{2\alpha} (PGF\textsubscript{2\alpha}) is responsible for uterine involution after parturition. The uterus releases PGF\textsubscript{2\alpha} during each oestrus to regress each new corpus luteum if the cow is not pregnant and initiate a new oestrus cycle. During the period of corpus luteum regression, concentrations of PGF\textsubscript{2\alpha} and progesterone are inversely related. If the cow does conceive, release of PGF\textsubscript{2\alpha} from the uterus is prevented in order to preserve the corpus luteum and maintain pregnancy (Funston & Filley, 2002). Linoleic acid is a substrate for the synthesis of PGF\textsubscript{2\alpha}. Linoleic acid can be desaturated and elongated to arachidonic acid (C20:4, n-6), which is a precursor for PGF\textsubscript{2\alpha}. Regulatory enzymes for this conversion include delta 6 desaturase and cyclooxygenase. Linoleic acid can inhibit prostaglandin synthesis by competitive inhibition with these key enzymes. Arachidonic, and two fatty acids found in fishmeal, eicosapentaenoic (C20:5) and docosahexaenoic (C22:6), have been shown to inhibit cyclooxygenase activity as well. It is important to note that linolenic acid (C18:3) was also present in the endometrial prostaglandin synthesis inhibitor isolated by Thatcher et al. (1994), and that linolenic acid has been shown to be a strong inhibitor of prostaglandin synthesis (Mattos et al., 2000). The amount and probably type of particular fatty acids reaching the target tissues likely influence if prostaglandin synthesis is going to be stimulated or inhibited (Thatcher & Staples, 2000).

Figure 1 shows the schematic metabolic pathway of dietary n-6 and n-3 PUFAs and potential mechanisms for regulation of PGF\textsubscript{2\alpha} secretion. Absorbed PUFAs are desaturated and elongated in organs such as the mammary gland, adipose tissue, testis, brain, placenta and the liver (of non-ruminants). Dietary PUFAs and their desaturation and elongation products are incorporated into phospholipids of the plasma membrane. The amount of each fatty acid incorporated depends on the amount of precursor present in the diet. External stimuli such as the binding of oxytocin (OT) to the oxytocin receptor (OTr) stimulates the activity of phospholipase A\textsubscript{2} (PLA\textsubscript{2}) and phospholipase C (PLC), which cleave phospholipids from the plasma membrane and ultimately increase availability of diacylglycerol (DAG) and fatty acids for processing by prostaglandin H synthetase (PGHS). Eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA; C20:5, n-3) is processed by PGHS to generate prostaglandins of the 3 series. Arachidonic acid (AA; C20:4 n-6) can be processed by PGHS, epoxygenase and lipoxygenase to generate prostaglandins of the 2 series, epoxyeicosatrienoic acids (EETs), leukotrienes and hydroxyeicosatetraenoic acids (di-HETEs), respectively (Mattos et al., 2000).

In vitro studies have demonstrated that some n-3 (eicosapentaenoic and docosahexaenoic) fatty acids reduce biosynthesis of prostaglandins of the series 2 in cells and tissues (Mattos et al., 2003). Similarly, some isomers from conjugated linoleic acid inhibited synthesis of PGF\textsubscript{2\alpha} and the effect was independent of the concentration of linolenic acid and the ratio n-6:n-3 (Harris et al., 2001). The above results are interesting due to the fact that most
Embryonic losses in cattle occur during days 8-16 after artificial insemination (Sreenan et al., 2001), which leads to believe that some embryos may not reach the appropriate size at that moment to inhibit synthesis of PGF$_{2\alpha}$ for luteolysis to occur (Thatcher et al., 1994), showing the inability to inhibit luteolytic action by PGF$_{2\alpha}$ during the critical period of maternal recognition of pregnancy (Childs et al., 2008a). In this context, inhibition of the synthesis of PGF$_{2\alpha}$ could increase the rates of embryo survival and pregnancy (Binelli et al., 2001). PUFAs ($n$-3) such as eicosapentaenoic acid may inhibit uterine synthesis of PGF$_{2\alpha}$ by competing with arachidonic acid by means of COX, or in the case of docosahexaenoic acid, by competence with arachidonic acid by phospholipase A2 enzymes (Mattos et al., 2000). Fish meal has relatively high concentrations of eicosapentaenoic and docosahexaenoic acids, in such a way that their incorporation in the ration of cattle may reduce the synthesis of PGF$_{2\alpha}$ and delay regression of the corpus luteum, improving embryo survival and herd fertility (Staples et al., 1998).

Previous studies showed that the infusion of a fat source rich in linoleic acid (17%) into the abomasum of lactating dairy cows resulted in a significant reduction in the release of PGFM, as measured in peripheral plasma, in response to an injection of oxytocin on day 15 of a synchronized oestrous cycle (Oldick et al., 1997). These results indicate that high concentrations of PUFAs in the diet can decrease endometrial secretion of prostaglandins. In this respect, in cows, fed with $n$-3 fatty acids derived from fish meal, a reduction was observed in endometrial secretion of arachidonic acid, increasing in this same tissue the levels of eicosapentaenoic acid and total $n$-3 fatty acids (Burns et al., 2003; Bilby et al., 2006b). Similar effects were observed in dairy cows which consumed increasing amounts of
fish meal or Ca-LCFA from fish oil (Bilby et al. 2006a). Due to the addition of n-3 and n-6 in the phospholipid component of endometrium, it is possible that changes in the content of fatty acids in the endometrial tissue may modulate secretion of PGF₂α in cows (Santos et al., 2008). In further studies, Burns et al. (2003) reported an increase in eicosapentaenoic acid at day 18 postpartum, as well as a reduction in arachidonic acid in the caruncules of beef cows fed fish meal. In another study, supplementing the diet with fish oil during the periparturient period reduced uterine secretion of PGF₂α in lactating dairy cows. In this sense, beef heifers fed n-3 PUFAs reduced production of arachidonic acid in endometrial tissue, apparently due to the fact that linoleic acid must elongate and desaturate to form arachidonic acid using the same enzymes than for the synthesis of eicosapentaenoic acid, starting from α-linolenic acid (Coyne et al., 2008).

There is evidence that during the prepartum period, lipid supplementation with 30% fatty acids as linoleic acid (n-6) increased uterine secretion of PGF₂α (Cullens, 2005). Increase in the synthesis of PGF₂α when cows are fed n-6 fatty acids during the transition period before calving or during the early postpartum (puerperium), can increase the potential of the uterus and of the immune system to secrete eicosanoids, which have influence in postpartum uterine health and in the immunocompetence of the cow (Santos et al., 2008).

Childs et al. (2008b) fed heifers with a diet rich in n-6 fatty acids (entire soyabeans) or n-3 fatty acids (fish oil) and observed that on day 15 of the oestrous cycle, serum concentration of PGFM metabolite was higher than in the first group. These results are interesting if it is considered that by stimulating secretion of PGF₂α during early postpartum uterine involution can be favored (Mattos et al., 2004) and reproductive efficiency is improved, since when cows were supplemented before calving with calcium salts rich in n-6 fatty acids the occurrence of postpartum diseases such as membrane retention, metritis and mastitis, was reduced (Cullens, 2005). Thus, both arachidonic acid, but as well precursors of this fatty acid, such as linoleic acid increase the production of series 2 prostaglandin, while n-3 fatty acids compete with arachidonic acid and therefore reduce synthesis of such prostaglandin. In studies carried out in vitro, addition of eicosapentaenoic acid to the culture media of endometrial cells of cows, reduced production of endometrial PGF₂α from 88 to 40%, but this was reverted as the ratio of n-6:n-3 in the culture media was increased from 0 to 19% (Caldari-Torres et al., 2006). This observation is consistent with previous reports and suggest that the net inhibition of uterine PGF₂α synthesis by n-3 fatty acids may depend on the amount of n-6 fatty acids that reach the secretory tissue (Achard et al., 1997).

However, recent studies (Meier et al., 2009) showed that the bovine endometrial and trophoblastic tissues during short-term culture, incubated in a media supplemented with fatty acids: eicosapentaenoic (20:5-3; EPA), docosahexaenoic acids (22:6-3; DHA) or linoleic acids (C18:2-6; LIN), the release of PGE₂ from ‘pregnant’ endometrium was higher (P=0.094) than from ‘non-pregnant’ endometrium, while PGF₂α concentrations were similar. Treatment with fatty acids had no effect on PGF₂α or PGE₂ release from either pregnant or non-pregnant endometrium. The individual fatty acid treatments had no effect on the ratio of PGF₂α to PGE₂ from trophoblast tissues, but when the data from the three fatty acid treatments were combined (EPA, DHA and LIN treatment groups) the ratio of PGF₂α to PGE₂ was reduced (P=0.026) when compared to the medium only. This result indicated that the ability of exogenous fatty acids to modify embryonic prostaglandin release needs to be examined in the context of supplementing dairy cows with different sources of fats. On other hand, the dynamics of bovine corpus luteum regression in response to exogenous PGF₂α can also be altered by dietary fish meal. In this respect, Burke et al., (1997) fed cows
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(n=56) with fish meal at either 0 or 2.8% of ration dry matter from 24 to 109 days post-partum. On day 58 postpartum, all cows were injected with a luteolytic dose of PGF$_{2\alpha}$. Two days after injection of PGF$_{2\alpha}$, the proportion of cows with plasma concentrations of progesterone that were $>1$ ng ml$^{-1}$ was greater when fish meal was included in the ration than when a control ration was fed (29.0 vs 4%). Thus, it is possible that fatty acids present in fish oil reduce the sensitivity of the corpus luteum to PGF$_{2\alpha}$.

5. Lipids their effect on embryo development

Establishment of pregnancy in the ruminant requires the ovulation of a competent oocyte, of insemination at the appropriate time and of a correct pattern of secretion of oestradiol and progesterone during the follicular and luteal phase of oestrus. The embryo must develop in an appropriate way and avoid luteolysis producing enough interferon $\tau$ which stimulates the expression of genes in the endometrium to inhibit the synthesis of oxytocin receptors and consequently final production of PGF$_{2\alpha}$, allowing the establishment of a corpus luteum (Bott et al., 2010). In dairy cows there is a significant loss of embryos during this period, it is considered that only 40% of cows remain pregnant at day 28 after artificial insemination (Santos et al., 2008). There is evidence that such events can be influenced by PUFAs consumed in the ration (Wathes et al., 2007). Fatty acids play an important role in the modification of the biophysical properties and in the activity of biological membranes, including fluidity and cell proliferation (Bilby et al., 2006d). The competence and quality of the ovocyte and of the embryo are related to the type of fatty acid, specifically, with the content of particular fatty acids en the phospholipids of cell membrane which play a role in development and during and after fertilization (Santos et al., 2008).

The amount of lipids in the ovocyte of ruminants is about 76 ng approximately and has around 58% triglycerides, 20% phospholipids, 20% cholesterol and 10% free fatty acids (McEvoy et al., 2000). Fatty acids found in greater amounts in the phospholipid fraction of the membrane of cattle ovocyte are palmitic (16:0) and oleic (18:1) acids. PUFAs represent less than 20% of the total, being linoleic acid the most abundant of them (Santos et al., 2008). Marei et al. (2010) pointed out that linoleic acid ($n$-6) is the most abundant fatty acid in follicular fluid of cattle and has an important role in the regulation of the process of maturation of the ovocyte, since when the cell complex of the cumulus and ovocyte were treated with linoleic acid there was a delay in the growth of the latter. Some studies have shown the fatty acid profiles in follicular fluid are affected by the estrogenic activity of the follicle (Renaville et al., 2010). Table 4 shows saturated and unsaturated fatty acids in plasma and in some reproductive tissues.

Ratio of saturated fatty acids to PUFA in granulose cells (Adamiak et al., 2005) and in the ovocyte (Wonnacott et al., 2010) is greater than in plasma. This suggests the presence of a mechanism of selective uptake in the ovarian follicles or de novo synthesis of saturated fatty acids from acetate (Wonnacott et al., 2010). Fatty acids can be oxidized as an energy source during maturation of the ovocyte and during early embryo development before implantation, in such a way that cattle ovocytes exposed to metal palmoxirante to block oxidation of fatty acids, showed a lower ability to form blastocysts after in vitro fertilization (Ferguson & Leese, 2006). Cetica et al. (2002) reported a significant increment in the activity of the enzyme lipase during maturation of cattle ovocyte, which releases fatty acids from triglycerides for later oxidation. Apart from the role of fatty acids, particularly those saturated, as sources of energy, the content of PUFAs in the ovocyte may affect maturation,
Table 4. Saturated and unsaturated fatty acids in plasma and some reproductive tissues. Adapted from Wonnacott et al. (2010) and Palmquist (2010).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fatty acid group</th>
<th>Plasma (µg/ml)</th>
<th>Granulosa cell (µg/pellet)</th>
<th>Oocytes (ng/oocyte)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Saturated</td>
<td>n-3 30.9</td>
<td>n-3 39.1</td>
<td>n-3 75.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n-6 42.1</td>
<td>n-6 39.7</td>
<td>n-6 71.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unsaturated</td>
<td>n-3 59.3</td>
<td>n-3 49.7</td>
<td>n-3 20.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n-6 49.4</td>
<td>n-6 52.1</td>
<td>n-6 25.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monounsaturated</td>
<td>fatty acids</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>acids</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyunsaturated</td>
<td>fatty acids</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>acids</td>
<td>37.8</td>
<td>28.9</td>
<td>13.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-6 PUFA</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>28.9</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-3 PUFA</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio n6:n3</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Adapted from Wonnacott et al. (2010) and Palmquist (2010). a 6.4% oil in the diet supplemented from linseed oil, b 5.7% oil in the diet supplemented from sunflower oil. c Important effects due dietary fatty acids are in bold.

cryopreservation and its capacity for further development (Wathes et al., 2007). From the n-3 fatty acids, linolenic acid has been implicated in the growth and differentiation of the ovocyte, regulation of meiotic arrest during the germinal vesicle stage and in avoiding the breaking of this structure (Kim et al., 2001).

In sheep, Zeron et al. (2002) showed that supplementation with Ca-LCFA from fish oil during 13 weeks, resulted in better quality ovocytes and better integrity of their membrane, compared to that of sheep which were not fed lipid supplements (74.3% and 57.0%, respectively), which increased the ratio of long chain fatty acids in plasma of cells from the cumulus, although these changes were not observed in the ovocytes, suggesting selective uptake by the ovocyte or a highly regulated uptake, which could limit potential impact of cow nutrition on the proportion of fatty acids in their gametes. While in beef cattle, Fouladi-Nashtha et al. (2007) fed cows with 200 or 800 g per day of Ca-LCFA from palm oil, which resulted in a greater percentage of ovum which developed up to the blastocyst stage and had a greater amount of cells due to an increment in the number of cells of the trophoderm. By influencing the molecular mechanisms which control nucleus maturation of the ovocyte, in vitro treatment of the cumulus-ovocyte complex with linolenic acid (n-3), increased the percentage of gametes which reached the meiotic second division, increased the division of the embryo as well as the rate of blastocyst (Marei et al., 2009).

When a group of lactating superovulated cows were fed with rich sources of saturated fatty acids (n-6 or n-3), rate of fertilization and the number of transferable embryos was not different; however, embryo development was increased in cows which consumed unsaturated fatty acids, compared to the cows which consumed saturated fatty acids (Thangavelu et al., 2007). Cerri et al. (2009) supplemented dairy cows with Ca-LCFA from palm oil or linoleic + octadecaenoic acids between days 25 prepartum and 80 days postpartum observing in the second group a greater proportion of excellent and good quality embryos, apart from a higher number of blastomere.

In hair ewes, Herrera et al. (2008) showed that PUFAs in the ration increased superovulatory response, registering increased (P<0.05) numbers of corpus luteum (14.73±1.87 vs 10.73±1.42); total cells collected, including ova plus embryos (9.18±2.16 vs 4.18±1.36) and
embryos (6.72±1.78 vs 3.09±1.36) in the PUFAs treatment than in the control treatment, respectively.

On the contrary, Childs et al. (2008b) fed cows with a ration enriched with n-3 PUFAs and did not observe any effect on the number of normal embryos nor in the amount of good quality embryos (grade 1 and 2); however, these cows showed a lower number of degenerated embryos. Similarly, Bilby et al. (2006d) did not find an effect of fatty acids in the ration of dairy cows on the quality of embryos after maturation and in vitro fertilization. In a similar way, Thangavelu et al. (2007) did not establish a difference in the total number of transferable embryos of dairy cows supplemented with either PUFAs or saturated fat. Marques et al. (2007) did not observe any effect of the addition of arachidonic or eicosapentaenoic acids to the culture media for the in vitro maturation of oocytes on the subsequent embryo development. This agrees with observations by Lawson et al. (2007) who added increasing amounts of eicosapentaenoic acid to the culture media for the maturation of oocytes in vitro. In a recent study, Wakefield et al. (2007) suggested that contrary to the possible beneficial effects, supplementing a ration with n-3 PUFAs during the period just before or immediately after conception, may reduce normal development of the embryo, since this seems to disturb mitochondrial metabolism. Leroy et al. (2010) cultivated bovine zygotes in media supplemented with serum from heifers fed rations with a high content of lipids protected from rumen biohydrogenation and observed a lower production of blastocysts compared to a control treatment, noting that in the first there was a greater expression of genes related to apoptosis.

Even when in vivo and in vitro studies have shown a better embryo development with rations supplemented with lipids, results are not consistent and it is important to ascertain in particular, which fatty acids are the most beneficial for embryo survival (Santos et al., 2008). Oocytes of all mammals contain an endogenous lipid reserve. This feature reflects their common ancestral origin, the yolk-rich amniote egg. However, lipids are species-specific in terms of their apparent abundance and utilization. Despite the significant role of the lipid reserves in cell structure and function, very few studies have provided detailed descriptions of its nature and composition in mammalian oocytes. Table 5 gives the fatty acid composition of total lipid extracted from zone-intact oocytes of cattle and sheep.

6. Conclusion

Data reviewed shows that supplementation with different sources of lipids and fatty acids improve reproductive performance of the female ruminant. However, it is important to consider that the optimum response will be achieved when undernutrition status of the female is not extremely sever. A nutrient balance (proteincenergy) in the ration consumed by the animal is fundamental to obtain maximum benefit from supplementation with fat, since fatty acids do not supply nitrogen for amino acid synthesis and consequently for the correct functioning of the hypothalamus-hypophysis axis. Improvements in reproductive performance may be a result of increased energy density of the ration or of the direct effects of specific fatty acids on reproductive processes. As is the case for any technology or management strategy that improves specific aspects of ovarian physiology and cyclic activity, actual improvements in pregnancy rate or total weight of calf weaned are dependent on a variety of management practices and environmental conditions. Until these interrelationships are better understood, livestock producers are recommended to attempt to formulate low cost/balanced rations. If a source of supplemental fat is available locally
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Formula</th>
<th>Cattle (n = 3)</th>
<th>Sheep (n = 2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lauric</td>
<td>12:0</td>
<td>0.23 ± 0.15</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myristic</td>
<td>14:0</td>
<td>2.48 ± 1.02</td>
<td>0.39±0.032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palmitic</td>
<td>16:0</td>
<td>32.0 ± 1.64</td>
<td>24.7±0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palmitoleic</td>
<td>16:1 n-7</td>
<td>2.24 ± 0.45</td>
<td>4.38±0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heptadecanoic</td>
<td>17:0</td>
<td>0.76 ± 0.14</td>
<td>0.41±0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stearic</td>
<td>18:0</td>
<td>14.2±2.47</td>
<td>16.2±0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oleic</td>
<td>18:1 n-9</td>
<td>25. ±1.75</td>
<td>26.2±0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaccenic</td>
<td>18:1 n-7</td>
<td>3.71± 0.12</td>
<td>3.64±0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linoleic</td>
<td>18:2 n-6</td>
<td>5.17± 0.12</td>
<td>6.98±0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ-Linolenic</td>
<td>18:3 n-6</td>
<td>0.75 ± 0.16</td>
<td>1.01±0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-Linolenic</td>
<td>18:3 n-3</td>
<td>0.49±0.09</td>
<td>2.01±0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stearidonic</td>
<td>18:4 n-3</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>1.68±0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arachidonic</td>
<td>20:0</td>
<td>1.35±0.70</td>
<td>3.11±0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eicosenoic</td>
<td>20:1 n-9</td>
<td>0.27±0.13</td>
<td>0.19±0.187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eicosadienoic</td>
<td>20:2 n-6</td>
<td>0.54±0.20</td>
<td>0.91±0.476</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eicosatrienoic</td>
<td>20:3 n-6</td>
<td>0.27±0.15</td>
<td>0.52±0.070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arachidonic</td>
<td>20:4 n-6</td>
<td>1.13±0.57</td>
<td>1.50±0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eicosapentenoic</td>
<td>20:5 n-3</td>
<td>1.15±1.15</td>
<td>3.03±1.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Behenic</td>
<td>22:0</td>
<td>1.23±0.63</td>
<td>3.03±1.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erucic</td>
<td>22:1n-9</td>
<td>0.20±0.10</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Docosatetraenoic</td>
<td>22:4 n-6</td>
<td>0.27±0.15</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Docosapentenoic</td>
<td>22:5 n-3</td>
<td>0.88±0.28</td>
<td>1.41±0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Docosahexaenoic</td>
<td>22:6 n-3</td>
<td>0.50±0.25</td>
<td>1.74±0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lignoceric</td>
<td>24:0</td>
<td>2.30±1.21</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Fatty acid composition of total lipid extracted from zone-intact oocytes of cattle and sheep (adapted from McEvoy et al., 2000). Each sample (n = 2–4) represents 1000 oocytes. 

and can be incorporated with little or no change in the cost of the ration, it would be wise for farmers to do so. Research studying the role of fat supplementation on reproductive responses has not been that consistent, therefore, adding fat to the ration would be advised when the risk of low reproductive performance (young, growing animals and limiting nutrients [protein, energy] in the basal ration) is the greatest.
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Artificial insemination is used instead of natural mating for reproduction purposes and its chief priority is that the desirable characteristics of a bull or other male livestock animal can be passed on more quickly and to more progeny than if that animal is mated with females in a natural fashion. This book contains under one cover 16 chapters of concise, up-to-date information on artificial insemination in buffalos, ewes, pigs, swine, sheep, goats, pigs and dogs. Cryopreservation effect on sperm quality and fertility, new method and diagnostic test in semen analysis, management factors affecting fertility after cervical insemination, factors of non-infectious nature affecting the fertility, fatty acids effects on reproductive performance of ruminants, particularities of bovine artificial insemination, sperm preparation techniques and reproductive endocrinology diseases are described. This book will explain the advantages and disadvantages of using AI, the various methodologies used in different species, and how AI can be used to improve reproductive efficiency in farm animals.
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