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1. Introduction

The shielding effect of buildings to electromagnetic waves is investigated by many researchers (Dalke et al., 2000), where the analysis of reinforced concrete walls has attracted special interests. In most cases, a reinforced concrete wall is treated as an infinitely extended periodic structure and periodic boundary conditions are used to get its transmission and reflection characteristics, from which the shielding effect of the wall can be evaluated. However, when analyzing indoor electro-magnetic environment, the surrounding reinforced concrete walls are not infinitely extended. Therefore, the infinitely extending plane structure model cannot provide an accurate enough prediction to the indoor electromagnetic environment, especially the fields at corners or ends of walls. Some numerical methods, such as method of moment (MoM) seem to be applicable for analyzing these kinds of problems. However, the computational cost may be too high since these kinds of electromagnetic systems usually not only have electrical sizes of tens or hundreds wavelengths, but also have very fine internal structures.

In this chapter, we present a method to circumvent the heavy burden on computing sources while adequate accuracy can still be achieved. A reinforced concrete wall with fine structures is first divided into small blocks. Each block is treated like a multi-layered scatterer and is analyzed independently by using cascaded network techniques. Then, the electromagnetic characteristics of that block is expressed by a generalized transition matrix (generalized T-matrix, GTM) that is defined on a specified reference surface containing the block, which is different from the T-matrix introduced by Waterman (Waterman, 2007). The mutual coupling effects among blocks are evaluated by using the generalized transition matrices of all blocks directly. The scattered fields of the whole system are calculated using a generalized surface integral equation method (GSIE). Furthermore, we will show that characteristic basis functions (CBF) and synthetic basis functions (SBF) can be used to accelerate the evaluation process effectively.

The following contents are included in this chapter: (1) description of the basic concept of generalized transition matrix and the generalized surface integral equation method, (2) the cascading network technique in analyzing multi-layered media, (3) the implementation of...
GSIE in conjunction with CBF/SBF, and (4) as an application example, the evaluation of the shielding effect of a 2D reinforced wall with the proposed method.

2. Generalized Surface Integral Equation

The electromagnetic problem under consideration is shown in Fig. 1. A 2-D cavity is surrounded by a 10$\lambda$ × 10$\lambda$ concrete wall. The wall is 1.0$\lambda$ thick and is uniformly reinforced by 36 circular steel cylinders with radius of 0.1$\lambda$ and conductivity of $\sigma = 1.1 \times 10^6$ Sm$^{-1}$. The relative permittivity of the concrete is $\varepsilon_r = 10 - j2.8$. The excitation is assumed to be a TM type plane wave with incident angle of $\phi$.

In order to calculate the electromagnetic fields in the cavity, the wall is divided into 36 cells. Each cell consists of a steel cylinder and a concentric concrete cylinder with square cross section of 1.0$\lambda$ × 1.0$\lambda$, as is sketched in Fig. 1. A cell is a multilayered scatterer and its scattering characteristics can be fully described by a generalized transition matrix, which relates the scattered tangential fields to the incident tangential fields directly, as is shown in Fig. 2.

![Fig. 1. A 2-D cavity surrounded by a concrete wall. The wall is uniformly reinforced by 36 circular steel cylinders with radius of 0.1$\lambda$ and conductivity of 1.1 × 10$^6$Sm$^{-1}$.](image1)

![Fig. 2. A block is modelled with its associated generalized transition matrix $[T_{ji}]$. $\vec{J}_s$ and $\vec{M}_{si}$ are equivalent surface electric current and magnetic current, respectively.](image2)
A scatterer with two layers of homogeneous media is illustrated in Fig. 3(a), where \( V_1 \) denotes the medium between interface \( S_i \) and \( S_{1i} \), with permittivity \( \varepsilon_i \) and permeability \( \mu_i \). For a block of the concrete wall, \( S_i \) is the air-concrete interface and \( S_{2i} \) the concrete-steel interface. The normal unit vectors of all interfaces are chosen to point outwardly. The outermost medium, denoted by \( V_0 \), is assumed to be free space.

![Fig. 2. A block is modelled with its associated generalized transition matrix](image)

Fig. 2. A block is modelled with its associated generalized transition matrix.

![Fig. 1. A 2-D cavity surrounded by a concrete wall. The wall is uniformly reinforced by 36 circular steel cylinders with radius of](image)

Fig. 1. A 2-D cavity surrounded by a concrete wall. The wall is uniformly reinforced by 36 circular steel cylinders with radius of

We examine the field scattering problem on interface \( S_i \) at first. The incident fields may come from both sides of the interface, and being scattered to both sides of it, as is shown in Fig. 4(a). The interface may be modelled as a generalized two-port device, with its two reference surfaces being chosen as \( S_{i+} \) and \( S_{i-} \). The notation \( S_{i+} \) means approaching \( S_i \) from outside while \( S_{i-} \) means approaching \( S_i \) from interior area.

![Fig. 3. (a) A scatterer with two layers. (b) One-port device model.](image)

Fig. 3. (a) A scatterer with two layers. (b) One-port device model.

![Fig. 4. (a) Scattering on interface \( S_i \), with incident fields from both sides of it. (b) Generalized two-port device model, with its two reference surfaces approaching \( S_i \) from outer or interior medium.](image)

Fig. 4. (a) Scattering on interface \( S_i \), with incident fields from both sides of it. (b) Generalized two-port device model, with its two reference surfaces approaching \( S_i \) from outer or interior medium.

Define the rotated tangential components of incident fields and rotated tangential components of scattered fields of a block as follows (Xiao et al., 2008):
Based on Huygens’ equivalence source principle, the scattered fields from interface $S_i$ can be expressed in terms of their tangential fields on the interface using the dyadic Green’s function,

$$
\begin{align*}
\vec{E}_i^1(\vec{r}) &= \int_{S_i} \left[ -j\omega \mu_0 \vec{G}_i \cdot \vec{H}_i^1 - \nabla \times \vec{G}_i \cdot \vec{E}_i^1 \right] dS', \\
\vec{H}_i^1(\vec{r}) &= \int_{S_i} \left[ -j\omega \varepsilon_0 \vec{G}_i \cdot \vec{E}_i^1 + \nabla \times \vec{G}_i \cdot \vec{H}_i^1 \right] dS', \\
\vec{E}_i^2(\vec{r}) &= \int_{S_i} \left[ -j\omega \mu_0 \vec{G}_i \cdot \vec{H}_i^2 - \nabla \times \vec{G}_i \cdot \vec{E}_i^2 \right] dS', \\
\vec{H}_i^2(\vec{r}) &= \int_{S_i} \left[ -j\omega \varepsilon_0 \vec{G}_i \cdot \vec{E}_i^2 + \nabla \times \vec{G}_i \cdot \vec{H}_i^2 \right] dS',
\end{align*}
$$

where $\vec{G}_i = \left( I + \frac{\nabla \nabla}{k_i^2} \right) g_i$ is the dyadic Green’s function, and $g_i$ is the scalar Green’s function in medium $V_i$, $k_i = \omega \sqrt{\mu_i \varepsilon_i}$, and $I = 0, 1$. The fields have to satisfy the following boundary conditions on interface $S_i$,

$$
\vec{E}_i^1 + \vec{E}_i^2 = -\vec{E}_{i-1}^2, \quad \vec{H}_i^1 + \vec{H}_i^2 = -\vec{H}_{i-1}^2 - \vec{H}_{i-1}^1.
$$

Take the scattered electric field expressed by (5) as an example. If we move the observation point to surface $S'_i$ from the outside area, and take into account the singularities of the dyadic Green’s functions when source and observation points overlap, the rotated tangential scattered electric field on surface $S'_i$ can be written as
\[ \vec{E}_i^\perp = -\hat{a}_{s,i} \times \vec{E}_i^\parallel |_{\vec{r}_l} = -\hat{a}_{s,i} \times \int_{S_l} (\text{curl} \vec{G}_0) \cdot \vec{H}_i^\perp dS' - \hat{a}_{s,i} \times \int_{S_l} (\text{curl} \vec{G}_0) \cdot \vec{H}_i^\perp dS'. \]  

(10)

For smooth surface, the solid angle is \( \Omega = 2\pi \), equation (10) becomes

\[ -\frac{1}{2} \vec{E}_i^\perp = \hat{a}_{s,i} \times \int_{S_l} \nabla g_0 \times \vec{E}_i^\perp dS' + \hat{a}_{s,i} \times \int_{S_l} (j\omega \mu_0 \vec{G}_0) \cdot \vec{H}_i^\perp dS'. \]  

(11)

If we examine the scattered electric field \( \vec{E}_i^\perp (\vec{r}) \) and move the observation point to surface \( S_i \) from its interior area, we can show that the rotated tangential scattered electric field on \( S_i \) satisfies

\[ -\frac{1}{2} \vec{E}_i^\perp = \hat{a}_{s,i} \times \int_{S_l} \nabla g_0 \times \vec{E}_i^\perp dS' + \hat{a}_{s,i} \times \int_{S_l} (j\omega \mu_0 \vec{G}_0) \cdot \vec{H}_i^\perp dS'. \]  

(12)

Integral equations for rotated tangential magnetic fields can be expressed in similar way,

\[ \frac{1}{2} \vec{H}_i^\perp = \hat{a}_{s,i} \times \int_{S_l} \nabla g_0 \times \vec{H}_i^\perp dS' + \hat{a}_{s,i} \times \int_{S_l} (j\omega \mu_0 \vec{G}_0) \cdot \vec{E}_i^\perp dS'. \]  

(13)

\[ \frac{1}{2} \vec{H}_i^\perp = -\hat{a}_{s,i} \times \int_{S_l} (j\omega \mu_0 \vec{G}_0) \cdot \vec{E}_i^\perp dS' + \hat{a}_{s,i} \times \int_{S_l} \nabla g_0 \times \vec{H}_i^\perp dS'. \]  

(14)

The same process can also be applied to the incident fields. For example, according to the Huygens’ principle and the distinction theorem, we have

\[ -\frac{1}{2} \vec{E}_i^\perp = \hat{a}_{s,i} \times \int_{S_l} \nabla g_0 \times \vec{E}_i^\perp dS' + \hat{a}_{s,i} \times \int_{S_l} (j\omega \mu_0 \vec{G}_0) \cdot \vec{H}_i^\perp dS'. \]  

(15)

\[ -\frac{1}{2} \vec{H}_i^\perp = -\hat{a}_{s,i} \times \int_{S_l} (j\omega \mu_0 \vec{G}_0) \cdot \vec{E}_i^\perp dS' + \hat{a}_{s,i} \times \int_{S_l} \nabla g_0 \times \vec{H}_i^\perp dS'. \]  

(16)

With these integral equations, we are able to establish a set of coupled surface integral equations on interface \( S_i \). Firstly, we consider the case that an incident field illuminates on \( S_i \) from the outer side only, i.e., \( \vec{X}_i^\perp \neq 0 \), \( \vec{X}_i^\parallel = 0 \). Combining (11)-(16) and eliminating \( \vec{E}_i^\perp \), \( \vec{H}_i^\parallel \) gives

\[ \hat{a}_{s,i} \times \int_{S_l} \nabla (g_0 + g_i) \times \vec{E}_i^\perp dS' + \hat{a}_{s,i} \times \int_{S_l} (j\omega \mu_0 \vec{G}_0 + j\omega \mu_0 \vec{G}_i) \cdot \vec{H}_i^\perp dS' = \vec{E}_i^\perp, \]  

(17)

\[ -\hat{a}_{s,i} \times \int_{S_l} (j\omega \mu_0 \vec{G}_0 + j\omega \mu_0 \vec{G}_i) \cdot \vec{E}_i^\perp dS' + \hat{a}_{s,i} \times \int_{S_l} \nabla (g_0 + g_i) \times \vec{H}_i^\perp dS' = \vec{H}_i^\parallel. \]  

(18)
It is not difficult to check that (17) and (18) are equivalent to PMCHW formulation (Rao et al., 1982) by denoting \( \mathbf{J}_i = \mathbf{H}^i \), \( \mathbf{J}_m = \mathbf{E}^m \). Symbolically, the rotated tangential scattered fields on interface \( S_i^- \) can be expressed in terms of the incident fields as
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\mathbf{X}^i_2 \\
\mathbf{X}^i_1
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
\tau_i(\cdot)
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
\mathbf{X}^i_2 \\
\mathbf{X}^i_1
\end{bmatrix}.
\]
(19)

Therefore, the rotated tangential scattered fields on interface \( S_i^- \) is obtained by
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\mathbf{X}^i_1 \\
\mathbf{X}^i_2
\end{bmatrix} = -\begin{bmatrix}
\mathbf{I} + [\tau_i(\cdot)]
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
\mathbf{X}^i_2 \\
\mathbf{X}^i_1
\end{bmatrix}.
\]
(20)

\( \mathbf{I} \) is the identity tensor. It is natural to interpret \( [\tau_i(\cdot)] \) as a transmission operator and \( \begin{bmatrix}
\mathbf{I} + [\tau_i(\cdot)]
\end{bmatrix} \) a reflection operator.

Secondly, we consider the case that incident field illuminates on \( S_i \) from interior side only, i.e., \( \mathbf{X}^i_1 = 0, \mathbf{X}^i_2 \neq 0 \). It can be proven that the rotated tangential scattered fields are subject to the following coupled surface integral equations,
\[
\hat{\mathbf{a}}_{a_1} \times \int_{S_i} \mathbf{E}^i_1 \mathbf{E}^i_2 dS + \hat{\mathbf{a}}_{a_1} \times \int_{S_i} \left( j \omega \mu \mathbf{G}_b - j \omega \mu \mathbf{G}_a \right) \mathbf{H}^i_1 dS = -\mathbf{E}^i_2,
\]
(21)
\[
\hat{\mathbf{a}}_{a_1} \times \int_{S_i} \left( j \omega \mathbf{G}_b + j \omega \mathbf{G}_a \right) \mathbf{E}^i_2 dS + \hat{\mathbf{a}}_{a_1} \times \int_{S_i} \mathbf{E}^i_1 \mathbf{E}^i_2 dS = -\mathbf{H}^i_1.
\]
(22)

Comparing (21) (22) with (17) (18), we can write that
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\mathbf{X}^i_1 \\
\mathbf{X}^i_2
\end{bmatrix} = -\begin{bmatrix}
\mathbf{I} + [\tau_i(\cdot)]
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
\mathbf{X}^i_2 \\
\mathbf{X}^i_1
\end{bmatrix},
\]
(23)
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\mathbf{X}^i_1 \\
\mathbf{X}^i_2
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
\mathbf{I} + [\tau_i(\cdot)]
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
\mathbf{X}^i_2 \\
\mathbf{X}^i_1
\end{bmatrix}.
\]
(24)

In general situations, incident fields come from both sides of an interface, the total scattered fields are obtained by field superposition, which can be written in matrix form as
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\mathbf{X}^i_1 \\
\mathbf{X}^i_2
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
\tau_i(\cdot) & -\mathbf{I} + [\tau_i(\cdot)] \\
-\mathbf{I} + [\tau_i(\cdot)] & \tau_i(\cdot)
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
\mathbf{X}^i_1 \\
\mathbf{X}^i_2
\end{bmatrix}.
\]
(25)

It can be re-arranged in field transfer equation,
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\mathbf{X}^i_1 \\
\mathbf{X}^i_2
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
\mathbf{I} + [\mathbf{J}_i(\cdot)]
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
\mathbf{X}^i_1 \\
\mathbf{X}^i_2
\end{bmatrix},
\]
(26)
where \( \begin{bmatrix}
\mathbf{J}_i(\cdot)
\end{bmatrix} \) may be interpreted as a transfer operator.
The scattered fields $\vec{E}_i^{s\pm}, \vec{H}_i^{s\pm}$ will transfer to interface $S_i$ and serve as the incident fields on $S_i$, i.e., $\vec{E}_i^{s\pm}, \vec{H}_i^{s\pm}$, as is illustrated in Fig. 5. Similarly, the scattered fields $\vec{E}_1^{s\pm}, \vec{H}_1^{s\pm}$ from interface $S_i$ will transmit through the medium layer and illuminate on $S_2$ as the incident fields of $\vec{E}_2^{s\mp}, \vec{H}_2^{s\mp}$. From Huygens’ principle, the scattered fields associated with $\vec{E}_1^{s\pm}, \vec{H}_1^{s\pm}$ are

$$\vec{E}_i^{s\pm} (\vec{r}) = -\hat{a}_{s\pm} \times \vec{E}_i^{1\mp} (\hat{r}) = \hat{a}_{s\pm} \times \int_{S_i} (\nabla g_i \times \vec{E}_i^{1\mp}) dS' + \int_{V_1} \left( j \omega \mu_i \overrightarrow{G}_i \right) \vec{H}_i^{1\mp} dS', \quad (27)$$

$$\vec{H}_i^{s\pm} (\vec{r}) = -\hat{a}_{s\pm} \times \vec{H}_i^{1\mp} (\hat{r}) = -\hat{a}_{s\pm} \times \int_{S_i} (j \omega \mu_i \overrightarrow{G}_i) \vec{E}_i^{1\mp} dS' + \hat{a}_{s\pm} \times \int_{S_i} \nabla g_i \times \vec{H}_i^{1\mp} dS'. \quad (28)$$

Equations (29) and (30) describe the field transmission from $\vec{E}_1^{s\pm}, \vec{H}_1^{s\pm}$ to $\vec{E}_2^{1\pm}, \vec{H}_2^{1\pm}$. Similarly, the relationship between the incident fields on the inner side of $S_1$ and the scattered fields from $S_2$ can be derived as

$$\vec{E}_i^{s\mp} = -\hat{a}_{s\mp} \times \vec{E}_i^{2\pm} (\hat{r}) \hat{a}_{s\mp} \times \int_{S_2} (\nabla g_2 \times \vec{E}_i^{2\pm}) dS' - \hat{a}_{s\pm} \times \int_{S_2} \left( j \omega \mu_2 \overrightarrow{G}_2 \right) \vec{H}_i^{2\pm} dS', \quad (31)$$

$$\vec{H}_i^{s\mp} = \hat{a}_{s\pm} \times \vec{H}_i^{2\pm} (\hat{r}) \hat{a}_{s\mp} \times \int_{S_2} \left( j \omega \mu_2 \overrightarrow{G}_2 \right) \vec{E}_i^{2\pm} dS' - \hat{a}_{s\mp} \times \int_{S_2} \nabla g_2 \times \vec{H}_i^{2\pm} dS'. \quad (32)$$

Symbolically we denote

$$\left[ \vec{X}_i^{s\pm} \right] = [\mathcal{D}_i] \left[ \vec{X}_i^{2\pm} \right], \quad (33)$$

![Fig. 5. Field transmission between interface $S_1$ and $S_2$.](image-url)
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
X_1^{+} \\
X_2^{+}
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} D_1(\cdot) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} X_1^{-} \\
X_2^{-}\end{bmatrix}.
\]  \hspace{1cm} (34)

(33) and (34) depict the relationship between the fields of two consecutive interfaces, with \([D_1(\cdot)]\) being the transmission operator. The first subscript of \([D_1(\cdot)]\) indicates the destination interface, while the second subscript indicates the source interface. This convention is used throughout this chapter. Obviously, the homogeneous medium layer can be considered as a section of generalized transmission line, with \([D_1(\cdot)]\) and \([D_2(\cdot)]\) being its forward and backward transmission operators, respectively. Since the innermost layer \(V_2\) is homogeneous, the scattering problem on \(S_2\) can be considered as a special case of the above scattering problem with \(\hat{X}_2^{2+} = 0\). The scattered fields are only related to the incident fields from the outer side of the interface by equation (20), which is rewritten as follows

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
X_1^{+} \\
X_2^{+}
\end{bmatrix} = -(I + [S_2(\cdot)])\begin{bmatrix} X_1^{-} \\
X_2^{-}\end{bmatrix} = [T_4(\cdot)] \begin{bmatrix} X_1^{-} \\
X_2^{-}\end{bmatrix}.
\]  \hspace{1cm} (35)

Therefore, the two-layered scatterer is modeled as a cascaded network of two generalized devices connected by a transmission line, as is shown in Fig. 6.

Fig. 6 A cascaded network model for a two-layered scatterer.

Assume that all field components are expanded and tested with a kind of vector basis functions on the reference surface \(S\). The generalized transition matrix \([T_n]\) of block \(i\) is defined according to

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
X_i^{-} \\
Y_i^{-}
\end{bmatrix} = [T_n] \begin{bmatrix} X_i^{+} \\
Y_i^{+}\end{bmatrix}.
\]  \hspace{1cm} (36)

\([T_n]\) can be used to describe the scattering characteristics of a scatterer with arbitrary shapes and materials. At a given frequency, \([T_n]\) is calculated independently only once for each block and can be re-used if necessary. For homogeneous media, the entries of \([T_n]\) can be found from (17), (18) by applying Galerkin’s scheme directly. For scatterers with complex
structures, \([T_s]\) may be obtained using properly chosen method (Creticos & Schaubert, 2006; Lean, 2004; Polewski et al., 2004; Taskinen & Ylä-Oijala, 2006; Umashankar et al., 1986). In this chapter, the generalized transition matrix of a two-layered block is obtained using the above described cascaded network technique.

Assume that all rotated tangential fields on the interface \(S_i\) are expanded with a set of vector basis functions \(\tilde{f}_{i,j}(r)\) as follows:

\[
E^{ss}_{i} (r) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_i} \tilde{e}^{ss}_{i,j} \cdot \tilde{f}_{i,j}(r), \quad H^{ss}_{i} (r) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_i} \tilde{h}^{ss}_{i,j} \cdot \tilde{f}_{i,j}(r),
\]

where \(N_i\) is number of vector functions used on interface \(S_i\) and \(u = 1, 2\). Functions \(\tilde{f}_{i,j}(r)\) are also used as test functions. For the sake of convenience, we denote

\[
\tilde{e}^{ss}_{i,j} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_i} \tilde{E}^{ss}_{i} (r) \cdot \tilde{f}_{i,j}(r) dS, \quad \tilde{h}^{ss}_{i,j} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_i} \tilde{H}^{ss}_{i} (r) \cdot \tilde{f}_{i,j}(r) dS,
\]

where \(t_i\) is the support of vector basis function \(\tilde{f}_{i,j}(r)\). It can be derived that

\[
[\tilde{e}^{ss}] = [P_i] [\tilde{e}^{ss}], \quad [\tilde{h}^{ss}] = [P_i] [\tilde{h}^{ss}].
\]

Here \([P_i]\) is a Gramm matrix formed by the inner products of the basis functions and test functions on the surface \(S_i\). The entries of the Gramm matrix are defined as

\[
P_i(i, j) = \int_{S_i} \tilde{f}_{i,j}(r) \cdot \tilde{f}_{i,j}(r) dS.
\]

Applying Galerkin’s method to (17) (18) yields

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
[A^s] & [A^a]
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
[\tilde{e}^{ss}]
[\tilde{h}^{ss}]
\end{bmatrix}
= [P] [\tilde{e}^{ss}],
\]

where all elements of the coefficient matrices have their conventional form of double surface integrations on the corresponding meshes. For example, on \(S_i\) we have

\[
A^s(i, j) = \int_{S_i} \tilde{f}_{i,j}(r) \cdot \hat{n} \times \nabla (g_0 + g_1) \cdot \tilde{f}_{i,j}(r) dS dS.
\]

A generalized transmission matrix \([\tau_i]\) can be defined as
Although \([\tau_i]\) is frequency-dependent, it does not depend on incident fields. The generalized transfer matrix of interface \(S_i\) can then be defined accordingly,

\[
[F] = \begin{bmatrix}
[F_{11}^{(i)}] & [F_{12}^{(i)}] \\
[F_{21}^{(i)}] & [F_{22}^{(i)}]
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
[\tau_i]^{-1} & -[\tau_i]^{-1} \\
-[\tau_i]^{-1} & -[\tau_i]^{-1}
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
[P_i]^{-1} [A_i] - I & [P_i]^{-1} [A_i] \\
-[P_i]^{-1} [A_i] & -[P_i]^{-1} [A_i] - I
\end{bmatrix}.
\]  
(44)

The same mesh structure and vector basis functions are applied when evaluate field transmission between consecutive interfaces. We still consider the two-layered scatterer shown in Fig. 5. Applying Galerkin’s method to integral equations (29)-(32) yields

\[
[P_i][\tilde{X}_i^{\pm}] = [D_{ij}][\tilde{X}_j^{\pm}],
\]  
(45)

\[
[P_j][\tilde{X}_j^{\pm}] = [D_{j1}][\tilde{X}_1^{\pm}],
\]  
(46)

where \([D_{j1}]\) is the field transmission matrix from interface \(S_j\) to \(S_1\).

Tracing the field transmission route illustrated in Fig. 5 leads to,

\[
[P_i][\tilde{X}_i^{\pm}] = [P_i][D_{ij}][\tilde{X}_j^{\pm}] = [P_i][D_{ij}][\Gamma_j][\tilde{X}_j^{\pm}] = [P_i][D_{ij}][\Gamma_j][P_j][D_{j1}][\tilde{X}_1^{\pm}].
\]  
(47)

Denote

\[
[\Gamma_j] = [D_{ij}][\Gamma_j][P_{ij}^{-1}][D_{j1}][\tilde{X}_1^{\pm}].
\]  
(48)

The generalized T-matrix for a bock is found to be

\[
[T_i] = \left([F_{i1}^{(i)}][\Gamma_i] + [F_{i2}^{(i)}][\Gamma_i] + [F_{21}^{(i)}][\Gamma_i] + [F_{22}^{(i)}][\Gamma_i]ight)^{-1}.
\]  
(49)

Generally speaking, a multilayered scatterer can also be handled in this recursive way. A multilayered scatterer interacts with the surrounding environment all through the outermost interface. It is natural to use the generalized transition matrix \([F]\) defined on the outermost interface to describe the whole scattering characteristics of the scatterer. For arbitrary incident fields, the rotated tangential scattered fields can be found from the rotated tangential incident fields directly by multiplying the generalized T-matrix.

This technique is used to analyse the block of the reinforced concrete wall. The scattering problem at the interface between concrete and air is solved by using surface integral equations (17)-(22), with its transfer matrix obtained by (44). The scattering problem at the surface of the steel bar is treated with the same approach. Coordinate transform may be
applied to overcome the numerical problem caused by high conductivity of the steel (Li & Chew, 2007). The generalized transition matrix \([T_m]\) of the steel bar is determined according to equation (20). The field transmission flow chart is illustrated in Fig. 7.

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{X}_i \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_i^{1-} \\
\text{\(S_i\)} & \quad \hat{a}_{i,1} \\
\epsilon_{0,\mu_0} & \\
\text{\(D_{i,2}\)} & \quad S_2 \\
\epsilon_{\mu,\mu} & \\
\text{\(T_2\)} & \quad D_{i,3} \\
\mathcal{X}_i^{2+} & \rightarrow X_2 \\
\end{align*}
\]

Fig. 7. Field transmission between interfaces \(S_i\) and the surface of the steel core \(S_2\).

After the scattering characteristics of all blocks are represented by their generalized T-matrices, the scattered fields of the whole wall can be analysed by taking into account of the mutual couplings between all blocks, as is shown in Fig. 8, where \(M\) scatterers are considered. The generalized transition matrix of scatterer \(m\) is denoted by \([T_m]\). It is defined on the reference surface \(S_m\), and has been calculated independently in advance.

\[
\begin{align*}
X_m^{m+} & \rightarrow T_m \\
S_m & \\
D_{m1} & \quad X_m^{m+} \\
D_{m2} & \quad X_m^{m+} \\
T_m & \quad S_m \\
\end{align*}
\]

Fig. 8 Total incident fields on scatterer- \(m\), including the original incident fields and all scattered fields from other scatterers.

The total incident fields for scatterer- \(m\) include the original incident fields on its reference surface \(S_m\) and all scattered fields from other scatterers, i.e.,

\[
[X_m] = \sum_{s=1,\ldots,M} [P_{ms}^{-1} [D_{ms}] [X_s] + [X_m^{m+}]],
\]

where \([D_{ms}]\) are field transmission matrix from scatterer- \(s\) to scatterer- \(m\). They are defined like (29) (30), except that the integral areas are replaced by the corresponding reference surfaces of \(S_m\) and \(S_s\). Denote

\[
[D_{ms}] = \begin{bmatrix}
\left[D_{ms}^{ee}\right] & \left[D_{ms}^{eh}\right] \\
\left[D_{ms}^{he}\right] & \left[D_{ms}^{hh}\right]
\end{bmatrix}.
\]
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All entries are evaluated with double surface integrals. For example, we have

\[ D_{mn}(p,q) = \int_{S_n} \left( \hat{a}_{n,m} \times \nabla \times G_{ij} \right) dS_i \cdot \hat{f}_p(r) dS_m, \tag{52} \]

where \( \hat{f}_p(r') \) and \( \hat{f}_p(r) \) are basis functions on the reference surface of scatterer-\( n \) (source) and scatterer-\( m \) (destination), respectively, and \( \hat{a}_{n,m} \) is the normal unit vector of \( S_m \). \( [P_{mn}] \) is the Gramm matrix on the surface of scatterer-\( m \). The total scattered fields of scatterer-\( m \) on the specified reference surface is then obtained by \( [X_m^n] = [T_m] [X_m^w] \). By virtue of equation (36) and the surface integral equations (17) (18), we can derive the discretized form of the surface integral equation defined on surface \( S_n \) as follows

\[ [X_n^w] = \sum_{n=1}^{N_n} [T_n] [D_{mn}] [X_s^n] = [T_m] [X_m^w]. \tag{53} \]

\([X_m^w]\) is the original incident field on \( S_m \). It can be proved that the equivalent sources satisfy a similar equation,

\[ [I_{mn}] = \sum_{n=1}^{N_n} [R_n] [D_{mn}] [I_s^n] = [R_m] [X_m^w]. \tag{54} \]

Here \( N_n \) is the number of blocks, \( I_{mn} \) are the expanding coefficient of equivalence surface sources, where \( [R_n] = [I] + [T_n] \cdot [I] \) is the identity tensor. Equation (53) or (54) is the matrix form of the generalized surface integral equation formulation of a complex multi-scale system. For perfect conducting surfaces, equation (54) is more convenient to use because \( \hat{M}_{mn} = 0 \) in these cases. A complex multi-scale electromagnetic system may be divided into sub-blocks with proper sizes and reference surfaces. Small objects are usually grouped together and contained in one reference surface. Large bulk of inhomogeneous/homogeneous media or conducting media can be divided into smaller blocks. The generalized transition matrix of each block is then found using relevant method according to its structure; each block is assumed to be placed alone in the infinite homogeneous background media with parameters of \( \varepsilon_0 \) and \( \mu_0 \). The total electromagnetic characteristics of the system can be evaluated using the generalized surface integral equations, i.e., equation (53) or (54). With this method, the unknowns involved in the final linear system are significantly reduced.

3. Field Transmission Matrices between Adjacent Blocks

Assume that block-\( m \) and block-\( n \) share a common interface \( S_{mn} \), as is shown in Fig. 9, where \( \hat{a}_{n,m} \) and \( \hat{a}_{m,n} \) are normal unit vectors of surface \( S_n \) and \( S_m \), respectively. The
scattered fields from block-\(m\) are expressed in terms of the rotated tangential fields on surface \(S_{m}\) using equations (5)-(6). Taking into account the singularity of the dyadic Green’s function when the source point and observatory point overlap on surface \(S_{mn}\), we can write that

\[
\begin{align*}
\vec{E}^+_{mn} &= -\hat{a}_{m,n} \times \vec{E}^+_{m}(\vec{r}) = \hat{a}_{m,n} \times \int_{S_m} \left( j \omega \mu \vec{G}_{m} \cdot \vec{H}^+_{m} \right) dS^+ - \hat{a}_{m,n} \times \int_{S_m} \left( \nabla \vec{g}_m \times \vec{E}^+_{m} \right) dS^+ - \frac{\gamma}{2} \vec{E}^-_{m}, \\
\vec{H}^+_{mn} &= \hat{a}_{m,n} \times \vec{H}^+_{m}(\vec{r}) = -\hat{a}_{m,n} \times \int_{S_m} j \omega \epsilon \vec{E}^-_{m} dS + \hat{a}_{m,n} \times \int_{S_m} \left( \nabla \vec{g}_m \times \vec{H}^-_{m} \right) dS^+ - \frac{\gamma}{2} \vec{H}^-_{m},
\end{align*}
\]

where \(\vec{E}^+_{mn}\) and \(\vec{H}^+_{mn}\) are the rotated tangential fields on \(S_{m}\) created by \(\vec{E}^-_{m}\) and \(\vec{H}^-_{m}\) on surface \(S_{mn}\). \(\gamma = 1\) if \(\vec{r} \in S_{mn}\) and \(\gamma = 0\) elsewhere. The fact that \(\hat{a}_{m,n} = -\hat{a}_{n,m}\) on surface \(S_{mn}\) has been used to get equations (55) (56). Note that equations (55) and (56) also hold true if we replace \(\vec{E}^-_{m}\) by \(\vec{M}_{mn}\) and \(\vec{H}^-_{m}\) by \(\vec{J}_{mn}\) simultaneously.

**Fig. 9** Block-\(m\) and block-\(n\) share a common interface \(S_{mn}\).

Assume that the rotated tangential fields are expanded and tested with vector basis functions \(\vec{f}_{m,j}\) and \(\vec{f}_{n,i}\) on surface \(S_{m}\) and \(S_{n}\), respectively, then (55) (56) can be cast into

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
X^+_{mn}
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
D_{mn}
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
X^-_{m}
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
D_{mn}
\end{bmatrix} - \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix}
P_{mn}\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
X^-_{m}
\end{bmatrix},
\]

here, \([D_{mn}]\) corresponds to the Cauchy principal value of the field transmission matrix from block-\(m\) to block-\(n\), which can be calculated with numerical integrations. \([P_{mn}]\) is a Gramm matrix with entries defined by

\[
P_{mn}(i,j) = \int_{S_{mn}} \vec{f}_{m,j} \cdot \vec{f}_{n,i} dS.
\]

Apparently, \(\frac{1}{2}[P_{mn}]\) corresponds to the residual term caused by the singularity of the dyadic Green’s function. \(P_{mn}(i,j) = 0\) for non-adjacent blocks.
4. Characteristic Basis Functions and Synthetic Basis Functions

Characteristic basis functions (CBFs) (Prakash & Mittra, 2003) are used to further reduce the unknowns in the final linear system. In this example, the CBFs are created in the following way: determine a neighbour domain for each block at first, which consists of $N_{\text{adj}}$ nearest blocks. Since the generalized transition matrix of each block is readily available, the scattered fields of the small system consisting of an individual block and its neighbour domain can be calculated easily by solving a small linear equation system as follows,

$$\left[ X_n^e \right] = \sum_{x=1, x\neq m}^{N_b} \left[ T_{mx} \right] \left[ D_{mn} \right] \left[ X_m^e \right] = \left[ T_{mn} \right] \left[ X_m^e \right].$$  \hspace{1cm} (59)

The rotated tangential scattered fields (or equivalent surface sources if equation (54) is used.) are then selected as the characteristic basis function of that block, denoted by $\left[ X_m^c \right]$, and the total rotated tangential fields are expanded with

$$\left[ X^c \right] = \sum_s \alpha_s \left[ X_m^c \right].$$  \hspace{1cm} (60)

Therefore, equation (53) is cast into a linear system with only $N_b$ unknowns,

$$[A][\alpha] = [X_m^c].$$  \hspace{1cm} (61)

where the interaction factor between block- $m$ and block- $n$ is defined by

$$A_{mn} = \begin{cases} \left[ X_m^c \right] \left\{ \left[ T_{mn} \right] \left[ D_{mn} \right] \left[ X_m^c \right] \right\}^*, & \text{for } m \neq n, \\ \left[ X_m^c \right] \left\{ X_m^c \right\}, & \text{for } m = n, \end{cases}$$ \hspace{1cm} (62)

and $X_m^c(m) = \left[ X_m^c \right] \left\{ X_m^c \right\}$. The upper script $t$ stands for transpose operation and $\ast$ for conjugate.

Synthetic basis functions (Matekovits et al., 2007) can also be applied to reduce the number of unknowns involved in the generalized surface integral equation (53). In order to implement SBFs with GSIE, we have to generate a response space for a block using the method described in (Matekovits et al., 2007), where it is pointed out that the degree of freedom of the SBFs for a block is less than the total unknown number $N_u$ for the block expressed with low-order basis functions. Therefore, based on equivalence principle, an auxiliary source space may be used to handle the effect from all other scatterers in the system except the one under consideration, and it is possible to use SBFs fewer than $N_u$ for that block. This may be considered as an information compression process. The characteristics of the practical structure should be fully made use of in order to achieve a large compression ratio. In radiation problems, the fact that near fields evanesce rapidly from a scatterer is a key factor to use to compress the response space. Hence, the auxiliary
sources should be put as far as possible away from the scatterer under consideration. On the other hand, the input condition and the connecting circuits are all key factors of the problem that may have significant effect on the response space and should be included in the compression procedure. However, the method is hard to implement in cases when sub-blocks are tightly connected, because the auxiliary sources should be put exactly on the interface of a sub-block in these cases. As a result, the compression ratio is usually found to be very low. We have supposed a modified scheme to implement SBFM, which is in somewhat similar to the method described in (Tiberi et al., 2006). Instead of using point sources, \( N_s \) plane waves with incident angles of \( \theta_i = 2\pi s/N_s \), \( s = 1, \cdots, N_s \), are chosen as the auxiliary sources, as is illustrated in Fig. 10. In cases we have checked, we found that if same number of SBFs are used, this arrangement provides better accuracy than putting auxiliary sources directly on the boundary of the sub-block.

Fig. 10 Auxiliary plane waves are used to generate the SBFs of block- \( m \).

The scattered fields of each incident plane wave are collected together to form a response space of block- \( m \), which is a \( N_{sn} \times N_s \) matrix and denoted by \( \left[ X_{sp} \right] \). Applying singular value decomposition (SVD) operation gives

\[
\left[ X_{sp} \right] = [U] [S] [V]^T . \tag{63}
\]

The singular values of \( \left[ X_{sp} \right] \) is stored in the diagonal entries of \( [S] \) in descending order, while their corresponding eigen functions are stored in the related columns of \( [U] \). We use the following criterion given in (Matekovits et al., 2007) to generate the SBFs,

\[
S(p, p)/S(1,1) \leq \varepsilon, \quad p = 1, \cdots, N_{sd} . \tag{64}
\]

The first \( N_{sd} \) eigen functions corresponding to the first \( N_{sd} \) singular values are selected as the SBFs of block- \( m \), i.e.,

\[
\left[ X_{sp} \right]_{ep} = [U]_p , \quad p = 1, \cdots, N_{sd} . \tag{65}
\]

\([U]_p \) denotes the \( p \)th column of \([U]\).
The rotated tangential fields on the reference surface of block-\( m \) are then expanded with SBFs as follows,

\[
[X^m_n] = \sum_{p=1}^{N_m} \alpha_{mp} [X^{mp}] .
\]  

Substituting (66) into (53) and testing it with SBFs (Galerkin’s scheme) result in a size-reduced linear system, the unknown number of which equals to the total number of SBFs on all blocks. The interactions between block-\( m \) and block-\( n \) are also defined by equation (62), except that SBFs are used to replace CBFs.

In SBFM, because the most significant SBFs for the system are selected once for all, and the mutual coupling is treated rigorously, the numerical accuracy of SBFM is well controlled compared to CBFM.

5. Numerical Results

The field in the cavity illustrated in Fig. 1 is calculated using the above-discussed generalized surface integral equation method. The wall is divided into 36 blocks and the surface of each block is segmented to 40 segments, roughly 10 segments per wavelength. The \( z \)-axis polarized TM plane wave with unit magnitude is assumed to excite at \( \Phi = 45^\circ \). Triangle-shaped vector basis functions \( \hat{f}_{n,i} \) are used to expand all tangential electric field components, while \( \hat{a}_{n,j} \times \hat{f}_{n,i} \) are used to expand all tangential magnetic field components.

The generalized transition matrix of each block is calculated using cascaded network techniques. The total electric fields in the cavity are first calculated using equation (53) directly, with totally 2880 unknowns involved. The calculated electric field is plotted in Fig. 11, from which it can be seen that the fields in the cavity are attenuated from -20dB to -55dB due to the shielding effect of the walls.

![Image](fig11.jpg)

Fig.11. Calculated magnitude (Units: dB) of the total electric field in the internal area of the cavity (8\( \lambda \times 8 \lambda \)).

Characteristic basis functions are used to re-calculate the field in the cavity. The neighbour domain of each block contains the nearest 3 blocks at one side. Therefore, a small system consisting of 7 blocks has to be solved to create a characteristic basis function. The final
linear system resulting from equation (61) has only 36 unknowns. For large complex multiscale system, this reduction rate of unknown number is really substantial. For comparison, the discrepancy of the scattered fields in the cavity calculated by using the two methods is plotted in Fig. 12 (in decibels).

Fig. 12. The discrepancy of the electric fields calculated with the two methods. (Units: dB)

6. Conclusions

A generalized surface integral formulation for analyzing large 2-D cavity with reinforced concrete walls is presented. The wall is divided into small blocks and each block is described by an associated generalized transition matrix defined on its reference surface. Detail structure of each block is analyzed locally only once, and is replaced by a simpler reference surface. By applying the presented method in conjunction with characteristic basis functions and synthetic basis functions, the unknowns and the cost for evaluating the interactions among blocks are significantly reduced.
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