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Wavelet-based techniques in MRS

A. Suvichakorn, H. Ratiney, S. Cavassila, and J.-P Antoine

1. Introduction: magnetic resonance spectroscopic (MRS) signals

A magnetic resonance spectroscopic (MRS) signal is made of several frequencies typical of the active nuclei and their chemical environments. The amplitude of these contributions in the time domain depends on the amount of those nuclei, which is then related to the concentration of the substance (Hornak, 1997). This property is exploited in many applications of MRS, in particular in the clinical one. The MRS spectra contain a wealth of biochemical information characterizing the molecular content of living tissues (Govindaraju et al., 2000). Therefore, MRS is a unique non-invasive tool for monitoring human brain tumours, etc. (Devos et al., 2004), if it is well quantified.

When an MRS proton signal is acquired at short echo-time (TE), the distortion of spectral multiplets due to J-evolution can be minimized and the signals are minimally affected by transverse relaxation. Such signals exhibit many more metabolite contributions, such as glutamate and myo-inositol, compared to long TE spectra. Therefore, an MRS signal acquired at short TE presents rich in vivo metabolic information through complicated, overlapping spectral signatures. However, it is usually contaminated by water residue and a baseline which mainly originates from large molecules, known as macromolecules. As the shape and intensity of the baseline are not known a priori, this contribution becomes one of the major obstructions to accurately quantify the overlapping signals from the metabolites, especially by peak integration, which is commonly used in frequency-based quantification techniques. Also, by seeing only the frequency aspect, one loses all information about time localization.

A number of quantification techniques have been proposed, which work either in the time domain (see Vanhamme et al. (2001) for a review) or in the frequency domain (see Mierisová & Ala-Korpela (2001) for a review). The time-domain based methods are divided into two main classes: on one side, non-interactive methods such as SVD-based methods (Pijnappel et al., 1992) and, on the other side, methods based on iterative model function fitting using strong prior knowledge such as QUEST (Ratiney et al., 2004; 2005), LCModel (Provencher, 1993), AQSES (Poullet et al., 2007), or AMARES (Vanhamme et al., 1997).
However, there also exist techniques that analyse a signal in the two domains simultaneously and are therefore more efficient than, say, the Fourier transform, which gives only spectral information. The result is a time-scale and or a time-frequency representation, such as provided by the wavelet transform (WT) and the Short-Time Fourier transform (STFT). In addition, both transforms are local, in the sense that a small perturbation of a signal which may occur during the data acquisition will result only in a small, local modification of the transform.

A number of wavelet-based techniques have been proposed for spectral line estimation in MRS, including the continuous wavelet transform (Delprat et al., 1992; Guillemain et al., 1992; Serrai et al., 1997) and the wavelet packet decomposition (Mainardi et al., 2002). Among the various possibilities, we will concentrate our discussion on the continuous wavelet transform (CWT) with the Morlet wavelet (MWT). All wavelet calculations have been performed by our own wavelet toolbox, called YAWTb (Jacques et al., 2007). Some of the experimental aspects have been reported in Suvichakorn et al. (2009). For the convenience of the reader we have collected in the Appendix the basic features and properties of the CWT.

In the following sections, we will study the performance of the Morlet WT to retrieve parameters of interest such as resonances frequencies, amplitude and damping factors, for nuisances or impairments generally encountered in in vivo MRS signals: noise, baseline, solvent, and non-Lorentzian lineshapes.

2. The Morlet wavelet transform

The wavelet transform (WT) of a signal \( s(t) \) with respect to a basic wavelet \( g(t) \) is

\[
S(\tau, a) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a}} \int s(t) g(\frac{t - \tau}{a}) dt
= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{a} \int G(\omega) S(\omega) e^{i\omega\tau} d\omega,
\]

where \( S(\omega) \) is the Fourier transform of the signal, \( a > 0 \) is a dilation parameter that characterizes the frequency of the signal (since \( 1/\alpha \) is essentially a frequency), \( \tau \in \mathbb{R} \) is a translation parameter that indicates the localization in time and \( G(\alpha\omega) \) is the complex conjugate of the (scaled) Fourier transform of \( g(t) \). We can think of the basic wavelet as a window which slides through the signal, giving the information at instantaneous time \( \tau \). The window is also dilated by \( a \), so that a small \( a \) corresponds to a high frequency of the signal, and vice versa. As a result, the WT becomes a function of both time and frequency (scale). For more details, see the Appendix.

A technique based on the continuous wavelet transform (CWT) was proposed by Guillemain et al. (1992). By exploiting the ability of the CWT to see the information in the two domains simultaneously, it can extract the information from MRS signals directly without any decomposition or pre-processing, in order to quantify an MRS signal. The technique proceeds in two steps: (i) detection of the frequency of the peaks in MRS signals and (ii) characterization at each detected frequency. It can be described as follows.

At a particular value of \( a \), the WT \( S_a(\tau) \equiv S(\tau, a) \) can be represented in terms of its modulus \( |S_a(\tau)| \) and phase \( \Phi_a(\tau) \), namely,

\[
S_a(\tau) = |S_a(\tau)| e^{i\Phi_a(\tau)},
\]
with an instantaneous frequency
\[
\Omega_a(\tau) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \Phi_a(\tau) \\
= \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \text{Im}[\ln S_a(\tau)] \\
= \text{Im} \left[ \frac{1}{S_a(\tau)} \frac{d}{d\tau} S_a(\tau) \right],
\]
(3)

Next, let us consider an MRS signal with a Lorentzian damping function, namely,
\[
s_L(t) = Ae^{-Dt}e^{i(\omega_s t + \varphi)} \Leftrightarrow S_L(\omega) = 2\pi Ae^{i\varphi}\delta(\omega - (\omega_s + iD)),
\]
(4)
where $D$ and $\varphi$ denote the damping factor and the phase of the signal. Its WT is accordingly
\[
S_L(\tau, a) = \sqrt{a} Ae^{i\varphi} e^{-D\tau} e^{i\omega_s \tau} G(a(\omega_s + iD)) = \sqrt{as(\tau)} G(a(\omega_s + iD)).
\]
(5)

For a Morlet function scaled by a dilation parameter $a$ (we omit the negligible correction term, see Eq.(A.9)), namely,
\[
G_M(a\omega) = \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 (a\omega - \omega_0)^2 \right),
\]
(6)
it can be seen that the modulus of $S(\tau, a)$ is maximum, i.e., $\frac{\partial}{\partial a} S(\tau, a) \to 0$, when $\frac{\partial}{\partial a} G \to 0$. Given that $a > 0$ and the assumption that $\omega_s \gg D$, the maximum can be found along the scale $a_r = \omega_0 / \omega_s$ (this is called a horizontal ridge), which then gives
\[
G_M(a_r(\omega_s + iD)) = \exp \left( \sigma a_r D \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right),
\]
(7)
and consequently
\[
S_{a_r}(\tau) = \sqrt{a_r} \exp \left( \sigma a_r D \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right) s(\tau),
\]
(8)
which is identical to the signal $s(t)$ multiplied by a coefficient depending on the still unknown $D$. Consider the modulus of the Morlet wavelet transform (MWT) along $a_r$,
\[
|S_{a_r}(\tau)| = \sqrt{a_r} \exp \left( \sigma a_r D \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right) |s(\tau)|
\]
\[
\ln |S_{a_r}(\tau)| = \frac{1}{2} \ln a + \left( \sigma a_r D \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right)^2 + \ln A - D\tau.
\]
(9)

That is,
\[
D = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \ln |S_{a_r}(\tau)|.
\]
(10)

Knowing $D$ can now lead to the estimation of the amplitude resonance $A$ of the signal by
\[
A = |s(t)|e^{D\tau}.
\]
(11)
Fig. 1. (a) Phase of the Morlet wavelet transform of a signal $s(t)$ containing two frequencies $\omega_s = 32$ and $64 \text{ rad/s}$ and (b) its instantaneous frequency. Here $\sigma = 1, \omega_0 = 5 \text{ rad/s}$, sampling frequency $F_s = 256 \text{ s}^{-1}$, data length $l = 1024$ points.

Since $S_{ar}(\tau)$ is a function of time, the derived $D$ is also a function of time. This is beneficial for analysing signals that do not have a steady damping function. In addition, considering the phase of the MWT along $a_r$, namely,

$$\arg S_{ar}(\tau) = \omega_s \tau + \varphi,$$

we also have

$$\omega_s = \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \arg S_{ar}(\tau) = \Omega_{ar}(\tau),$$

as in Eq.(3). Strictly speaking, the instantaneous frequency at the scale $a_r$ of the Morlet transform is $\omega_s$. This can be observed in Figure 1, which shows that the instantaneous frequency intersects the line $\omega_0 / a$ at $a = \omega_0 / \omega_s$, where $\omega_s = 32$ and $64 \text{ rad/s}$ are the frequencies of the signal. The phase of the signal $\varphi \in (-\pi, \pi)$ can also be derived from the phase of the WT, if needed. The property given in Eq.(12) is useful for analysing an $n$-frequency signal; it indicates the actual frequencies of the signal and the scale $a$ that we should consider. In addition, if its frequencies are sufficiently far away from each other, so that $\overline{G(\omega_0)}$ treats each spectral line independently (Barache et al., 1997), the amplitude at each frequency can thus be derived. When two frequencies are very close to each other (this also depends on the sampling frequency), increasing the frequency of the Morlet function $\omega_0$ can better localize and distinguish the overlapping frequencies. On the other hand, $\omega_s$ can be obtained iteratively by

1. Initializing $a = a_i$ at some values.
2. Calculating the instantaneous frequency, namely $\Omega_{ar}$.
3. Assigning the new value to $a_{i+1} = \omega_0 / \Omega_{ar}$.
4. Repeating the process until $a$ converges to $\omega_s$.

Figure 2 illustrates an overlap of two frequencies and the derived instantaneous frequencies using the iteration method. The derived frequencies converge to the true frequencies within a few steps.
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3. Continuous Wavelet Transform and the in vivo MRS challenges

3.1 Gaussian White Noise

An in vivo MRS signal is always impaired by additive noise, which is usually assumed to be white gaussian. This noise causes oscillations in the instantaneous frequency derived with the CWT representation, as illustrated in Figure 3 which shows the instantaneous frequency derived from a signal with a peak at a frequency of 32 rad/s with an additive Gaussian noise corresponding to a signal to noise ratio (SNR) of 10.1 In order to reduce this effect, Guillemain

---

1 The Signal to Noise ratio SNR is defined as the ratio of the time domain first point amplitude of the resonance to the time domain noise standard deviation
et al. (1992) suggested averaging in time the derived parameters, for instance $\Omega_a(\tau)$, i.e.,

$$\bar{\Omega}_a = \frac{1}{T} \int_{T_0}^{T_0+T} \Omega_a(\tau) d\tau. \quad (13)$$

As can be seen in Figure 3, averaging in time reduces the noise effect on the derivation of the instantaneous frequency. One can see that averaging creates many steady points. At the scale $a = \omega_0/32$, the instantaneous frequency is about, but not exactly, 32 rad/s. Here, the

---

2 This property might be used for denoising, but this has not been exploited.
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The baseline corresponds to contributions from large molecules, with a broad frequency pattern in the MRS spectrum. Thus, it becomes a major obstruction in the quantification of metabolite contribution from the MRS signals. First, we simulate the baseline by cubic splines in order to study the performance of the MWT when a baseline is present. In the case of Figure 5, the simulated baseline has no effect on the instantaneous frequency derived from the WT. Then, we used a baseline modelled with 50 randomly distributed Lorentzian profiles with a large damping factor, compared to the signal-of-interest at 3447 rad/s, e.g. $s_1(t) = \exp(-10t) \exp(i3447t) + B(t)$ where $B(t) = \exp(-50t)[0.2 \exp(i3447t) + 0.3 \exp(i2000t) + . . .]$ is the baseline (see Figure 6). The first component of $B(t)$ has the same frequency as the signal, in order to imitate the overlap between the baseline and the signal. It is found that the modelled baseline does not prevent an accurate estimation of both the damping factor and the amplitude derived from the Morlet WT, provided one waits until both the effect of the baseline and the edge effect (discussed in Section 4.1 below) have died out. In the example shown here, the waiting time is approximately 0.2 s.

The MWT in Figure 6(b) tells us that the baseline affects only the beginning of the transform in the time ($t$) axis, comparing to the long, clear peak of our 3447-rad/s signal. This means that the baseline can be assumed to decay faster than the pure signal, and the method described should still be effective without removing the baseline beforehand. Such an assumption has been widely used in spectroscopic signal processing, where several authors have proposed truncation of the initial data points in the time domain, which are believed to contain a major
Fig. 6. (a) The Fourier transform of a 3447-rad/s Lorentzian signal with baseline. The latter is modelled by large Lorentzian damping factors; (b) Its Morlet WT and the derived parameters: (c) damping factor and (d) amplitude. The actual parameters are 10 s$^{-1}$ and 1 a.u. for the damping factor and amplitude, respectively. ($\omega_0 = 100$ rad/s, $\sigma = 1$). From Suvichakorn et al. (2009).

Next, in order to study the characteristics of the real baseline by the Morlet wavelet, an in vivo macromolecule MRS signal was acquired on a horizontal 4.7T Biospec system (BRUKER BioSpin MRI, Germany). The data acquisition was done using the differences in spin-lattice relaxation times (T1) between low molecular weight metabolites and macromolecules (Behar et al., 1994; Cudalbu et al., 2009; 2007). As seen in Figure 7, the metabolite-nullified signal from a volume-of-interest (VOI) central-
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Fig. 7. (a) The signal of baseline + residual water (a) in time domain; and (b) in frequency domain.

Fig. 8. (a) Frequency response of creatine at 4.7 Tesla and (b) its Morlet WT ($\omega_0 = 10$ rad/s, $\sigma = 1$, $F_s = 4006.41$ s$^{-1}$). The parameters derived from the Morlet transform are $D = 10$ s$^{-1}$, $\omega_1 = 1056$ rad/s, $A_1 = 1330$ a.u. and $\omega_2 = 2168$ rad/s, $A_1 = 1965$ a.u.

An Inversion-Recovery module was included prior to the PRESS sequence (echo-time = 20ms, repetition time = 3.5s, bandwidth of 4kHz, 4096 data-points) in order to measure the metabolite-nullified signal. The water signal was suppressed by variable power RF pulses with optimized relaxation delays (VAPOR). All first- and second-order shimming terms were adjusted using the Fast, Automatic Shimming technique by Mapping Along Projections (FASTMAP) for each VOI ($3 \times 3 \times 3$ mm$^3$). Inversion time = 700 ms.
creatine is derived with the Morlet WT. Next, we multiply the simulated, normalised creatine by 0.5, 1, 1.5,... For each of these values, we derive the amplitude and plot the result in Figure 9. The recovery of the (simulated) creatine at different amplitudes, after adding it to the baseline signal, reveals that the amplitude of the metabolite can be correctly derived using $t = 0.4$ s, whereas at earlier time ($t < 0.2$ s) the derived amplitude still suffers from the boundary effect (we will discuss this effect in Section 4.1). However, the metabolite signal is covered later by noise ($t = 0.77$ s), giving an inaccurate amplitude estimate. Therefore, the time to monitor the amplitude of the metabolite should be properly selected. Another data set of the baseline\textsuperscript{4} acquired at 9.4T, with a better signal to noise ratio and a better water suppression, shows similar characteristics (see Figure 10).

Fig. 9. Derived amplitude at $\omega = 1056$ rad/s, using $\omega_0 = 100$ rad/s and $\sigma = 1$ from a signal containing a simulated creatine signal and an \textit{in vivo} acquired macromolecule signal.

3.3 Solvent
In MRS quantification, a large resonance from the solvent needs to be suppressed to unveil the metabolites without altering their magnitudes. The intensity of the solvent is usually several orders of magnitude larger than those of the metabolites.

\textsuperscript{4} received from Cristina Cubaldu, Laboratory for Functional and Metabolic Imaging (LIFMET), Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL).
The Morlet WT sees the signal at each frequency individually, therefore it can work well even if the amplitudes at various frequencies are hugely different, which normally occurs when there is a solvent peak in the signal. In order to illustrate this, the Morlet WT has been applied.
to the following signal

\[ s(t) = 100e^{-8.5t}e^{i32t} + e^{-1.5t}e^{i60t} + e^{-0.5t}e^{i90t} + e^{-t}e^{i120t} + e^{-2t}e^{i150t}, \]  

(14)
as seen in Figure 11 (a). This signal has an amplitude of 100 at 32 rad/s and 1 elsewhere. The high amplitude can affect other frequencies if they are close to each other. This is illustrated in Figure 11 (b) when a Hanning window is applied to the signal in order to separate each frequency. Using the aforementioned method, the amplitude of 1 is derived as 0.980, 0.911, 0.988 and 0.974 respectively. The error ranges within 1.2-8.9 %, without any preprocessing.

![Fig. 11. (a) The Fourier transform of a signal with different amplitudes and the spectrum extracted by the Morlet wavelet and (b) by a Hanning window.](image)

### 3.4 Non-Lorentzian lineshape

The ideal Lorentzian lineshape assumes that the homogeneous broadening is equally contributed from each individual molecule. However, imperfect shimming and susceptibility effects from internal heterogeneity within tissues lead to non-Lorentzian lineshapes in real experiments (Cudalbu et al., 2008). These effects are typically modelled by a Gaussian lineshape (Franzen, 2002; Hornak, 1997). Since the inhomogeneous broadening is often significantly larger than the lifetime broadening, the Gaussian lineshape is often dominant. If the lineshape is intermediate between a Gaussian and a Lorentzian form, the spectrum can be fitted to a convolution of the two functions (Marshall et al., 2000; Ratiney et al., 2008). Such lineshape is known as a Voigt profile.

Next we will explore how the Morlet WT can deal with the Gaussian and Voigt lineshapes. Consider a pure Gaussian function modulated at the frequency \( \omega_s \), namely,

\[ s_G(t) = Ae^{-\gamma t^2}e^{i\omega_s t}. \]  

(15)

Its Morlet WT is

\[ S_G(\tau, a) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a}} \int s_M \left( \frac{t - \tau}{a} \right) s_G(t) \, dt \]

\[ = \frac{A}{2\pi\sqrt{ac}} \int e^{-\gamma t^2}e^{i\omega_s t}e^{-\left(\frac{\tau}{2a}\right)^2}e^{-i\omega_0 \left(\frac{\tau}{a}\right)} \, dt \]

\[ = \frac{A}{2\pi\sqrt{ac}} \int e^{-\left(k_1t^2 + k_2t + k_3\right)} \, dt, \]  

(16)
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where

\[ k_1 = \gamma + \frac{1}{2\sigma^2 a^2} \]
\[ k_2 = -i\left(\omega_s - \frac{\omega_0}{a}\right) - \frac{\tau}{\sigma^2 a^2} \]
\[ k_3 = -i\frac{\omega_0 \tau}{a} + \frac{\tau^2}{2\sigma^2 a^2} \]

Eq.(16) is known as a Gaussian integral and can be computed explicitly:

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-(k_1 t^2 + k_2 t + k_3)} dt = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{k_1}} e^{\frac{k_3}{4k_1}}. \tag{17}
\]

As a result, the Morlet WT at the scale \(a_r = \omega_0 / \omega_s\) is

\[
S_{G,a_r}(\tau) = k_4 A e^{-k_5 \tau^2} e^{i\omega_s \tau}, \tag{18}
\]

where

\[
k_4 = \sqrt{\frac{a_r}{2\pi(2\gamma^2 a_r^2 + 1)}} \]
\[ k_5 = \frac{\gamma}{2\gamma^2 a_r^2 + 1} \]

which is also a Gaussian function at the frequency \(\omega_s\). The width and amplitude of this new Gaussian function are functions of \(\omega_s\) and of the width of the original Gaussian signal \(s_G(t)\). Therefore, similarly to the process of the Lorentzian lineshape, the amplitude \((A)\) and the width of the Gaussian function (inversely proportional to \(\gamma\)) can be obtained as follows:

1. Find \(\omega_s = \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \arg S_{G,a_r}(\tau)\).
2. Find \(\gamma\) from the second derivative of \(\ln |S_{G,a_r}(\tau)|\), which yields

\[
\gamma = -\frac{1}{\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tau^2} \ln |S_{G,a_r}(\tau)|} + \sigma^2 a_r^2. \tag{19}
\]
3. Find \(A\) from the calculated \(\omega_s\) and \(\gamma\).

On the other hand, the Morlet WT at the scale \(a_r = \omega_0 / \omega_s\) of a Voigt lineshape,

\[
s_V(t) = Ae^{-\gamma t^2} e^{-Dt} e^{i\omega_s t}, \tag{20}
\]

is given by

\[
S_{V,a_r}(\tau) = k_6 A e^{-k_7 (\tau - k_7)^2} e^{i\omega_s \tau}, \tag{21}
\]

where

\[
k_6 = k_4 e^{-\frac{\gamma}{4\tau}}
\]
\[ k_7 = \frac{D}{2\gamma} \]
Fig. 12. (a) The modulus of the Morlet WT ($\omega_0 = 15$ rad/s) of a signal of a frequency 60 rad/s with (a) undamped $s(t) = e^{i60t}$, (b) Lorentzian $s(t) = e^{-t}e^{i60t}$, (c) Gaussian $s(t) = e^{-t^2}e^{i60t}$, and (d) Voigt $s(t) = e^{-t}e^{-t^2}e^{i60t}$ lineshape.

That is, at the scale $a_r$, the Morlet WT of the Voigt lineshape is also a Gaussian function with the same width, but shifted in time, with the amplitude smaller than that of the Gaussian lineshape, and its instantaneous frequency is also equal to $\omega_s$.

Note that the scale $a_r = \omega_0/\omega_s$ does not give exactly the maximum modulus of the WT. However, as seen in Figure 12, the modulus of the Morlet WT of a signal with a Lorentzian lineshape or a Gaussian lineshape (and also a Voigt lineshape) are maximal at the same scale $a_r$, provided that $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\omega_s \gg D$.

Figure 13 shows that the second derivative of the modulus of the Morlet WT can be used to describe the second-order broadening of the lineshape, no matter whether it is Gaussian or Voigt. In the case of a Voigt lineshape, $\gamma$ actually gives back a Lorentzian whose damping factor is obtained by Eq. (10).
The interaction between the Lorentzian and Gaussian broadening of lineshape depends on the time scale. For example, if the relaxation time ($T_2$) is much longer than any effect modulating the energy of a molecule, the lineshape will approach the Lorentzian lineshape. On the contrary, if $T_2$ is short, the lineshape is likely to be Gaussian. In order to account for this time 
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scale, Kubo (1969) uses a so-called Gaussian-Markovian modulation, namely

\[ s(t) = A \exp \left( -\frac{\zeta^2}{\gamma^2} (e^{-\gamma t} - 1 + \gamma t) \right). \]  \hspace{1cm} (22)

The parameter \( \gamma \) is inversely proportional to \( T_2 \) and \( \zeta \) is the amplitude of the solvent-induced fluctuations in the frequency. If \( a = \gamma / \zeta \ll 1 \), the lineshape becomes Gaussian, whereas \( a \gg 1 \) leads to Lorentzian. The width of the lineshape is \( \zeta^2 \gamma \).

Solving Eq.(22) seems to be complicated, though may be possible. However, it turns out that the maximum modulus of the Morlet WT of a Kubo lineshape at \( \omega_s = 60 \text{ rad/s} \) occurs also at the scale \( a_r = \omega_0 / \omega_s \), like those of the Gaussian and Lorentzian lineshapes. In addition, the instantaneous frequency is still able to derive the \( \omega_s \), even better than the Gaussian lineshape, as shown in Figure 14(a), although the amplitude is broader than those of the Lorentzian, Gaussian or Voigt profiles, as shown in Figure 14(b). The damping parameters can also be derived by the linear relation between \( \frac{3}{2\pi} \ln |S_{G,a_r}(\tau)| \) and \( \gamma \), as seen in Figure 15, whereas \( a \) is related directly to \( \frac{3}{2\pi} \ln |S_{G,a_r}(\tau)| \).

4. Limitations of the Morlet wavelet transform

In the previous section, the Morlet WT shows its potential for analysing an MRS signal by means of its amplitude and phase, in addition to its time-frequency representation. However, these techniques can be applied to well-defined lineshapes only. Another limitation is the requirement of a proper \( \omega_0 \) that should distinguish the signal from the solvent, but should not introduce noise in the result. In this section, we will look further on some more limitations that prevent the use of the Morlet WT to quantify MRS signals directly.
4.1 Edge effects
Errors in the wavelet analysis can occur at both ends of the spectrum due to the limited time series. The region of the wavelet spectrum in which effects become important\textsuperscript{5} increases linearly with the scale \(a\), thus it has a conic shape at both ends, as already seen in Figure 1(a) (see also the Appendix). The size of the forbidden region, which is affected by the boundary effect, varies with the frequency \(\omega_0\) of the Morlet wavelet function and the ratio between the frequency of the signal \(\omega_s\) and the sampling frequency \(F_s\). Figure 16 shows that the size becomes larger for a large \(\omega_0\) and low \(\omega_s/F_s\). In practice, the working region is chosen so that the edge effects are negligible outside and the characterization of the MRS signals should be made inside this region, disregarding the presence of the macromolecular contamination.

4.2 Interacting/overlapping frequencies
If two frequencies of the signal are close to each other, the wavelet can interact with both of them at the same time. This was already observed in Figure 2(a). Barache et al. (1997) suggested the use of a linear equation system to solve the problem. In the sequel, the simulated N-Acetyl Aspartate (NAA) is used to illustrate how the problem could be solved. The spectrum of the NAA, shown in Figure 17(a), is composed of two different regions, the high, single peak (NAA–acetyl part) and a group of overlapping frequencies (NAA–aspartate part). By using a high \(\omega_0\) to separate the overlapping frequencies, the Morlet WT reveals that there are eight frequency peaks in the group as seen in Figure 17(b). The damping factors of the two parts of NAA are shown in Figure 18(a). Applying Eq.(10) directly to each peak causes an oscillation in the derived damping factor, compared to the smooth and stationary damping defined as the \(e\)-folding time for the autocorrelation of wavelet power at each scale.

---

\textsuperscript{5} defined as the \(e\)-folding time for the autocorrelation of wavelet power at each scale.
factor of the single peak. The size and frequency of the oscillation depends on the numbers of neighbours of each peak and the spectral distance to these neighbours. A proper damping factor can be achieved by averaging these oscillations in time.

Next, we will try to derive the amplitude of each peak. Let us consider an MRS signal composed of $n$ Lorentzian lines $s(t) = e^{-D\tau} \sum_{n} s_n(t)$, where $s_n(t) = A_n e^{i\omega_n t + \phi_n}$ and $n = 1, 2, \ldots$ is an indexing number. Its Morlet WT gives local maxima close to the scales $a_1 = \omega_0 / \omega_1$, $a_2 = \omega_0 / \omega_2$, and so on. Therefore, we can establish a systematic relation between $S_a$ and $s_n(t)$ at each scale as follows:

$$\begin{bmatrix}
  \frac{s_1(\tau)}{\sqrt{a_1}} \\
  \frac{s_2(\tau)}{\sqrt{a_2}} \\
  \frac{s_3(\tau)}{\sqrt{a_3}} \\
  \vdots
\end{bmatrix} = \exp(-D\tau) C \begin{bmatrix}
  s_1(\tau) \\
  s_2(\tau) \\
  s_3(\tau) \\
  \vdots
\end{bmatrix},$$

where $C = [C_{mn}]$ is a matrix with

$$C_{nn} = \exp \left( \frac{\sigma^2 a_n^2 D^2}{2} \right)$$

$$C_{mn} = \exp \left[ -\frac{\sigma^2 \omega_0^2}{2} \left( \frac{\omega_n - \omega_m - iD}{\omega_n} \right)^2 \right], \quad m \neq n.$$  

The value of $|C_{mn}|$ decreases when the resonating peaks are well resolved (no overlapping frequencies), in fact, it goes to zero when $|\omega_m - \omega_n|$ increases, independently of $D$. Also, $|C_{mn}|$ decreases when $\omega_m$ is high. If $C_{mn}$ is not negligible (overlapping frequencies), solving the linear equations gives the information for each $s_n(t)$.
The damping parameter $D$ for the equations can be derived by Eq.(10), although the overlapping frequencies may cause oscillations in the solution, but these can be smoothened by averaging in time.

There can be a bias from the estimation, depending on the number and distribution of overlapping frequencies, e.g. the distance between neighbouring frequencies and $\omega_0$. For the NAA ($\omega = 3447 \text{ rad/s}$), the bias is approximately 1% of its amplitude (in time domain), when $\omega_0 = 200 \text{ rad/s}$ is used. Note that Lorentzian lineshapes are assumed in these linear equations, and the result is presented in Figure 18(b). In case of non-Lorentzian lineshapes, the arbitrary damping function should be determined, and taken into account to solve the equation.
Fig. 19. *In vitro* measured Creatine at 9.4 T
4.3 Arbitrary lineshape

Let us consider a signal with an arbitrary damping function $D(t)$, namely,

$$s(t) = AD(t)e^{iωs t + ϕ}. \tag{23}$$

Its Morlet WT is defined by

$$S(τ, a) = \frac{Ae^{iϕ}}{2πσ\sqrt{a}} \int D(t)e^{iωst}e^{-\frac{1}{2}t^2}e^{-iωs\frac{πt}{τ}}dt$$

$$= AC_1 \int D(x + τ)e^{iωsx}e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2}e^{-iωs\frac{πx}{τ}}dx; \quad x = t - τ,$$

$$= AC_1 \int F[D(x + τ)]F[e^{-\frac{2π^2}{σ^2} e^{-\frac{(ωs+ω)τ}{π}}}]dω \quad \text{(Parseval’s theorem)}$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{a}}S(τ, a) = AC_2 \int F[D(x)]e^{iωs}e^{-\frac{1}{2}(ωs + ωs - ωs)^2}dω,$$

where $C_1 = \frac{e^{iωs+ϕ}}{2πσ\sqrt{a}}$ and $C_2 = (\sqrt{2π})^{-1}e^{iωs+ϕ}$. When implemented (thus discretized), the equation above can be seen as the product of two matrices, namely,

$$S = C_2DG,$$

and the damping function could be solved from the following equations

$$AF[D(x)] = C_2^{-1}SG^{-1},$$

$$AD(x) = C_2^{-1}F^{-1}[SG^{-1}],$$

$$AD(t) = C_2^{-1}F^{-1}[SG^{-1}]e^{iωs},$$

where $S$ is the matrix of the scaled wavelet coefficients, $G$ is derived from the Morlet WT and the frequency-of-interest $ω_s$, and $A$ is the unknown amplitude of the signal. For a combination of frequencies with the same damping function, dividing by $|D(t)|$ should give us a possibility for comparing the amplitude at each peak relatively.

5. Working in a real life environment

By real life environment, we mean genuine acquired data, either in vitro or in vivo, rather than simulated ones. In that case, the ideal Lorentzian lineshape of individual peaks gets distorted. To give an example, we show in Figure 19 the analysis of an in vitro creatine signal. We see that intermittent noise appears, in the form of many disrupted, horizontal bands in the WT. Thus the noise occurs for a while at some particular frequencies and then disappears. Such characteristics differ from the Gaussian white noise that usually appears as vertical bands in the WT. It is also possible that the Gaussian white noise at that duration has the same intensity, however. The analysis of this in vitro creatine signal shows that the frequency distribution at each peak is broad and the almost stationary Gaussian damping factor indicates that the acquired signal has a lineshape close to that of the Gaussian function. Nevertheless, deriving the amplitude using the Gaussian assumption may lead to an inaccurate estimation.

---

6 We don’t know the origin of that noise, which in fact represents the part of the signal that we cannot identify in terms of specific, known contributions.
When the acquisition is made in an in vivo environment, the exponential decay of an MRS signal is severely distorted. This is due to the inhomogeneity of the static magnetic field and to eddy currents induced in the magnet walls by switching magnetic gradient fields on and off. Apart from the problem of overlapping frequencies in each metabolite, an in vivo MRS signal is composed of several metabolite signatures. Therefore, the challenge is to find a good combination of the amplitudes that the Morlet WT derives at each frequency. Determining complete spectra of each metabolite is preferred to individual resonance. This is yet to be solved.

Appendix: The mathematics of the CWT

A.1. General definitions and properties

The continuous WT is a mathematical tool which permits to decompose a signal in terms of elementary contributions called wavelets. A large body of literature exists for wavelet analysis. We might refer the interested reader to the textbooks of Daubechies (1992), Torrésani (1995), Ali et al. (2000), Antoine et al. (2004), or the elementary introductions (Antoine, 1994) and (Antoine, 2000). These wavelets are obtained from a single function \( g \) by translations and dilations,

\[
g(\tau, a) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a}} g\left(\frac{t - \tau}{a}\right),
\]

where the parameters of translation, \( \tau \in \mathbb{R} \), and dilation, \( a > 0 \), may be continuous or discrete. The CWT of a signal \( s \) with the analyzing wavelet \( g \) is the convolution of \( s \) with a scaled and conjugated wavelet \( \overline{g_a(t)} = \frac{g(-t/a)}{a} \), where the overbar denotes complex conjugation:

\[
S(\tau, a) = g_a * s(\tau) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a}} \int g\left(\frac{t - \tau}{a}\right) s(t) \, dt.
\]

It should be remarked that one uses often the so-called \( L^1 \)-normalisation, with a factor \( 1/a \) in (A.1) and (A.2), instead of \( 1/\sqrt{a} \), in order to enhance small scales, where the finer details lie. In the Fourier domain, the expression (A.2) takes the following form:

\[
S(\tau, a) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \overline{G(\omega a)} S(\omega) e^{i\omega \tau} \, d\omega,
\]

where \( S \) and \( G \) are the Fourier transforms of the signal \( s \) and of the wavelet \( g \), respectively. The equations (A.2) and (A.3) show clearly that the wavelet analysis is a time-frequency analysis, or more properly, a time-scale analysis (the scale parameter \( a \) behaves as the inverse of a frequency). In particular, the relation (A.3) shows that the CWT of a signal \( s \) is a filter with a constant relative bandwidth \( \Delta \omega / \omega = \text{const} \).

Then a straightforward calculation shows that this transform conserves energy (in the sense of signal processing), that is,

\[
\int |S(\tau, a)|^2 \, d\tau d\omega = c_g \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |s(t)|^2 \, dt.
\]

Clearly we must require the wavelet \( g \) to satisfy the so-called admissibility condition, namely,

\[
c_g \equiv 2\pi \int |G(\omega)|^2 \frac{d\omega}{|\omega|} < \infty.
\]
Eq. (A.4) means that the CWT is an isometry from the space of signals onto a closed subspace $H_\psi$ of $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2, da d\tau/a^2)$, where $\mathbb{R}_+^2$ denotes the scale-position half-plane $\mathbb{R}_+^2 = \{ (\tau, a), \tau \in \mathbb{R}, a > 0 \}$. Therefore, the CWT may be inverted on its range $H_\psi$ by the adjoint map, and this gives an exact reconstruction formula:

$$s(t) = c_s^{-1} \int \int \hat{g}_{(\tau,a)}(t) \hat{s} \left( \frac{da d\tau}{a} \right).$$

(A.6)

This formula may also be interpreted as an expansion of the signal into the wavelets $g_{(\tau,a)}$ with (wavelet) coefficients $\hat{S}(\tau,a)$.

A necessary (and almost sufficient) condition for admissibility is that the wavelet have no DC component:

$$G(0) = 0 \iff \int g(t) dt = 0.$$ (A.7)

This is in fact the admissibility condition that is used in practice. This transform is very general in the sense that there is one CWT for each choice of the analysing wavelet $g$. For each application, one should select an analysing wavelet adapted to the type of signal at hand. For instance, in order to detect and to characterize the singularities of a signal or a curve, it is advantageous to use as analysing wavelet a derivative of the Gaussian, for instance, the familiar Mexican hat (Figure 20, left),

$$g_H(x) = (1 - x^2)e^{-x^2/2} \iff G_H(\omega) = \omega^2 e^{-\omega^2/2}.$$ (A.8)

In our case, MRS signals are relatively well defined in frequency, so it is more interesting to use analysing wavelets which are well localized in frequency space. This is the case of the Morlet wavelet, defined by

$$g_M(t) = e^{i\omega_0 t} e^{-t^2/(2\sigma_0^2)} + h(t) \iff G_M(\omega) = \sqrt{2\pi} \sigma_0 e^{-(\omega-\omega_0)^2\sigma_0^2/2} + H(\omega),$$ (A.9)

where the correction term $h$ is necessary to enforce the admissibility condition (in the sequel we shall use the value $\sigma_0 = 1$). If $\omega_0\sigma_0$ is sufficiently large (typically $\omega_0\sigma_0 > 5.5$), then $h$ is numerically negligible, and will indeed be omitted. The Morlet wavelet can be interpreted as a bandpass linear filter centered around $\omega = \omega_0/a$ and of weight $1/(\sigma_0 a)$ (Figure 20, right).
All the results presented here have been obtained with the Morlet wavelet, but they can easily be generalized to any analyzing wavelet whose Fourier transform has a single maximum at $\omega = \omega_0$, or even to the Short Time Fourier Transform (STFT)\(^7\) (Delprat et al., 1992).

An important fact is the so-called reproduction property. Indeed it may be shown that the orthogonal projection $P_g$ from $L^2(\mathbb{R}_2^+, da \, d\tau / a^2)$ onto the closed subspace $\mathcal{H}_g$ (the space of wavelet transforms) is an integral operator, with kernel

$$K(\tau', a'; \tau, a) = \frac{c^{-1}}{g} \langle g(\tau', a') \big| g(\tau, a) \rangle. \quad (A.10)$$

In other words, a function $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_2^+, da \, d\tau / a^2)$ is the WT of some signal if and only if it satisfies the reproduction identity

$$f(\tau', a') = \iint K(\tau', a'; \tau, a) f(\tau, a) \, \frac{da \, d\tau}{a^2}. \quad (A.11)$$

For this reason, $K$ is called the reproducing kernel of $g$. It is also the autocorrelation function $g$ and as such it plays an essential role in calibrating the CWT (Antoine, 1994).

Now the relation (A.11) shows that the CWT is enormously redundant (the signal has been unfolded from one variable $t$ to two variables $(\tau, a)$). Thus it is not surprising that the whole information is already contained in a small subset of the values of $S(\tau, a)$. An example of such a subset is the so-called skeleton, that is, the set of ridges, which are essentially the lines of maxima of the modulus of the WT (in the case of a monochromatic signal, the ridges become horizontal lines $a = a_r$, as we have seen in Section 2). Another example is obtained by taking an appropriate discrete subset $\Gamma = \{a_j, \tau_k\}$ of the half-plane $\mathbb{R}_2^+$, as it is necessary in any case

---

\(^7\) The STFT is obtained by replacing scaling by modulation in the definition of the wavelets, that is, replacing Eq.(A.1) by $\tilde{g}_{(\tau, a)}(t) = e^{it/a} g(t - \tau)$.
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A.2. Localization properties and interpretation

The main virtues of the CWT follow from the support properties of $g$. Assume $g$ and $G$ to be as well localized as possible (compatible with the Fourier uncertainty principle). More specifically, assume that $g$ has an ‘essential’ support of width $L$, centered around 0, while $G$ has an essential support of width $\Omega$, centered around $\omega_0$. Then the transformed wavelets $g(\tau,a)$ and $G(\tau,a)$ have, respectively, an essential support of width $aL$ around $\tau$ and an essential support of width $\Omega/a$ around $\omega_0/a$. This behavior is illustrated in Figure 21, which shows the Morlet wavelet in the time and frequency domains, for three successive scales $a = 0.5, 1$ and 2, from left to right. Notice that the product of the two widths is constant (we know it has to be bounded below by a fixed constant, by the (Fourier) uncertainty principle). Remember that $1/a$ behaves like a frequency. Therefore:

- if $a \gg 1$, $g(\tau,a)$ is a wide window, whereas $G(\tau,a)$ is very peaked around a small frequency $\omega_0/a$: this transform is most sensitive to low frequencies.
- if $a \ll 1$, $g(\tau,a)$ is a narrow window and $G(\tau,a)$ is wide and centered around a high frequency $\omega_0/a$: this wavelet has a good localization capability in the space domain and is mostly sensitive to high frequencies.

Combining now these localization properties with the zero mean condition and the fact that $g(\tau,a)$ acts like a filter (convolution), we see that the CWT performs a local filtering, both in time and in scale. The WT $S(\tau,a)$ is nonnegligible only when the wavelet $g(\tau,a)$ matches the signal $s(t)$, that is, it filters the part of the signal, if any, that lives around the time $\tau$ and the scale $a$. Taking all these properties together, one is naturally led to the interpretation of the CWT as a mathematical microscope, with optics $g$, position $\tau$ and global magnification $1/a$. In addition, the analysis works at constant relative bandwidth ($\Delta \omega/\omega = $ constant), so that it has a better resolution at high frequency, i.e., small scales. This property makes it an ideal tool for detecting singularities (for instance, discontinuities in the signal or one of its derivatives), and also scale dependent features, in particular, for analysing fractals.

A.3. Implementation questions

Faced with this new tool, one must begin by learning the rules of the trade, that is, one must learn how to read and understand a CWT (Grossmann et al., 1990). The simplest way is to get some practice on very simple academic signals, such as a simple discontinuity in time or a monochromatic signal (pure sinusoid). We note that it is natural to use a logarithmic scale for high frequencies (small $a$) is supposed to be complex, so that we may treat separately the modulus and the phase of the transform. The scale axis, in units of $\ln a$, points downward, so that high frequencies (small $a$) correspond to the top of the plots, and low frequencies (large $a$) to the bottom. The results are presented by coding the height of the function by density of points (12 levels of gray, from white to black). The phase is $2\pi$-periodic. When it reaches $2\pi$, it is wrapped around to the value 0. Thus the lines of constant phase with value $2k\pi$ are lines of discontinuity, where the density of points drops abruptly from 1 (black) to 0 (white). In
addition, the functions plotted are thresholded at 1% of the maximum value of the modulus of \( S(\tau, a) \). We will now analyse the two academic signals mentioned above.

(i) A simple discontinuity

The simplest signal is a simple discontinuity in time, at \( t = t_0 \), modelled by \( s(t) = \delta(t - t_0) \). The WT is obtained immediately and reads

\[
S(\tau, a) = a^{-1/2} g \left( a^{-1}(t_0 - \tau) \right). \tag{A.12}
\]

The following features may be read off Eq.(A.12):

- The phase of \( S(\tau, a) \) is constant on the lines \( \tau / a = \) constant, originating from the point \( \tau = t_0 \) on the horizon. These lines point towards the position of the singularity, like a finger.
- On the same lines of constant phase, the modulus of \( S(\tau, a) \) increases as \( a^{-1/2} \) when \( a \to 0 \), so that the singularity is enhanced. The effect is even more pronounced if one uses the \( L^1 \) normalisation.

This is illustrated on Figure 22, which presents the modulus and phase of the WT of a \( \delta \) function, using a standard Morlet wavelet (but the result is independent of the choice of \( g \)).

The interesting point is that this behavior is extremely robust. For instance, the ‘finger’ pointing to a \( \delta \)-singularity remains clearly visible when the latter is superposed on a continuous signal (even if the amplitude of the \( \delta \) function is too small to be invisible on the signal itself), or even in the presence of substantial background noise. Similarly, the discontinuity corresponding to the abrupt onset of a signal is readily identified with the CWT. We refer to (Grossmann et al., 1990) for several spectacular examples.

(ii) A single monochromatic wave
Fig. 23. Morlet WT of a single sinusoid: (left) modulus; (right) phase.

Equally simple is a single harmonic signal (monochromatic wave):

\[ s(t) = e^{i\omega_s t} \Leftrightarrow S(\omega) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \delta(\omega - \omega_s) , \]  

(A.13)

which gives

\[ S(\tau, a) = \sqrt{a} \frac{G(\omega_0)}{2\pi} e^{i\omega_0 \tau} = S(a, 0) e^{i\omega_0 \tau} . \]  

(A.14)

The same relations remain true for a real monochromatic signal, \( s(t) = \sin \omega_s t \) or \( s(t) = \cos \omega_s t \), if the wavelet \( g \) is progressive (that is, \( G(\omega) = 0 \) for \( \omega \leq 0 \)).

Again two important properties may be read off immediately from Eq.(A.14):

- The modulus of \( S(\tau, a) \) is independent of \( \tau \). Hence, the graph of \( |S(\tau, a)| \) consists of horizontal bands and the profile for a fixed time \( \tau \) essentially reproduces the profile of \( G \).

- The phase of \( S(\tau, a) \) is linear in \( \tau \). Since the phase is \( 2\pi \)-periodic, the graph of \( \Phi(\tau, a) := \arg S(\tau, a) \) is a linear sawtooth function:

\[ \Phi(\tau, a) = \omega_s \tau \pmod{2\pi} . \]  

(A.15)

These properties are illustrated on Figure 23 for a single sine function analysed with a Morlet wavelet. This pattern of equidistant vertical black-to-white bands is the signature of a pure frequency signal. This can be seen already in Figure 1.

Both the modulus and the phase allow to determine the frequency \( \omega_s \) of the signal. If the modulus of the wavelet \( G(\omega) \) has a single maximum for \( \omega = \omega_0 \), Eq.(A.14) gives immediately \( \omega_s = \omega_0 / a_r \), where \( a_r \) is the scale corresponding to the maximum in the profile of \( |S(\tau, a)| \) for fixed \( \tau \). For instance, the (truncated) Morlet wavelet \( g(t) = \exp(i\omega_0 t) \exp(-t^2/2) \) yields:

\[ S(\tau, a) = \sqrt{a} e^{-\frac{1}{4}(a\omega_0 - \omega_0) \tau^2} e^{i\omega_0 \tau} , \]  

(A.16)

and the result is obvious. As for the phase, Eq.(A.15) gives, at least locally:

\[ \frac{\partial \Phi(\tau, a)}{\partial \tau} = \omega_s = \frac{\omega_0}{a_r} . \]  

(A.17)
A.4. The discrete wavelet transform

Notice that the discretized CWT which is used in practice, including in the present text, is totally different from the so-called discrete WT (DWT). Indeed, orthogonal bases of wavelets may be constructed, but from a completely different approach based on the notion of multiresolution analysis.

We emphasize that the DWT is totally different in spirit from the CWT, either truly continuous or discretized, and they have complementary ranges of applications:

- In the CWT, there is a lot of freedom in choosing the wavelet $g$, but one does not get an orthonormal basis, at best a frame. This is a tool for analysis and feature determination, as in MRS or other problems where the scaling properties of the signal are unknown a priori, for instance in fractal analysis.

- In the DWT, one insists on having an orthonormal basis, but the wavelet is derived from the multiresolution analysis. This is the preferred tool for data compression and signal synthesis, and the most popular in the signal processing community.

More radically, one may even say that the kind of problems treated here can be solved only with the CWT, the DWT is simply not adapted to the underlying physics, although it has been proposed for MRS (Neue, 1996). For instance, the algorithm for detecting spectral lines, as well as the ridge concept, rest upon a stationary phase argument. Similarly, the determination of fractal exponents exploits the scaling behaviour of homogeneous functions or distributions and the covariance properties of the CWT. All these notions are foreign to the DWT, which is more a signal processing tool.

6. References


This book intends to provide highlights of the current research in signal processing area and to offer a snapshot of the recent advances in this field. This work is mainly destined to researchers in the signal processing related areas but it is also accessible to anyone with a scientific background desiring to have an up-to-date overview of this domain. The twenty-five chapters present methodological advances and recent applications of signal processing algorithms in various domains as telecommunications, array processing, biology, cryptography, image and speech processing. The methodologies illustrated in this book, such as sparse signal recovery, are hot topics in the signal processing community at this moment. The editor would like to thank all the authors for their excellent contributions in different areas of signal processing and hopes that this book will be of valuable help to the readers.

How to reference
In order to correctly reference this scholarly work, feel free to copy and paste the following:
