

We are IntechOpen, the world's leading publisher of Open Access books Built by scientists, for scientists

5,600

Open access books available

138,000

International authors and editors

170M

Downloads

Our authors are among the

154

Countries delivered to

TOP 1%

most cited scientists

12.2%

Contributors from top 500 universities



WEB OF SCIENCE™

Selection of our books indexed in the Book Citation Index
in Web of Science™ Core Collection (BKCI)

Interested in publishing with us?
Contact book.department@intechopen.com

Numbers displayed above are based on latest data collected.
For more information visit www.intechopen.com



Applications of CRISPR/Cas Technology to Research the Synthetic Genomics of Yeast

Huafeng Lin, Haizhen Wang, Aimin Deng, Minjing Rong, Lei Ye, Lei Shi, Tuanmei Wang and Xiangwen Peng

Abstract

The whole genome projects open the prelude to the diversity and complexity of biological genome by generating immense data. For the sake of exploring the riddle of the genome, scientists around the world have dedicated themselves in annotating for these massive data. However, searching for the exact and valuable information is like looking for a needle in a haystack. Advances in gene editing technology have allowed researchers to precisely manipulate the targeted functional genes in the genome by the state-of-the-art gene-editing tools, so as to facilitate the studies involving the fields of biology, agriculture, food industry, medicine, environment and healthcare in a more convenient way. As a sort of pioneer editing devices, the CRISPR/Cas systems having various versatile homologs and variants, now are rapidly giving impetus to the development of synthetic genomics and synthetic biology. Firstly, in the chapter, we will present the classification, structural and functional diversity of CRISPR/Cas systems. Then we will emphasize the applications in synthetic genome of yeast (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae*) using CRISPR/Cas technology based on year order. Finally, the summary and prospection of synthetic genomics as well as synthetic biotechnology based on CRISPR/Cas systems and their further utilizations in yeast are narrated.

Keywords: applications, CRISPR/Cas, gene editing, *S. cerevisiae*, synthetic genomics, yeast

1. Introduction

Synthetic biology is a fundamentally interdisciplinary. It has become an important methodology in biotechnology owing to its novel functions and regulation mechanisms. The scientific concept of synthetic biology can be traced back to the book “The Mechanism of Life” written by a French physical chemist Stéphane Leduc in 1911 [1]. It currently refers to the practical application discipline that integrating modern science and engineering technology to promote and accelerate the design, alteration and creation of bio-genetic materials in living organisms [2]. Briefly, synthetic biology can roughly be considered as the reverse process of analytical biology. Sustained advances in synthetic biology will depend on coordinated and paralleled developments within many different discipline areas, and cooperation of scientists from most countries. In China, scientists have made landmark contributions in this field with the success

of artificial synthesis of bovine insulin and yeast (*S. cerevisiae*) alanine transfer RNA. In USA, artemisinic acid, a precursor of antimalarial drug artemisinin, was synthesized in *S. cerevisiae* with a yield of 25 g/L, and has been industrialized in 2013 [3]. Nowadays, synthetic biology is rapidly penetrating into various fields including bio-science, gene engineering, agriculture, food industry and medicine, in which synthetic genomics plays a profound role in providing theoretical basis and technological support. Synthetic genomics is viewed as an important area of synthetic biology, which being engineered under a general genome scope, mainly refers to design and assembly of nucleotide fragments to generate functional living genomes [4], including recreated and recoded genomes as well as minimal genomes. Genetic manipulation is known as one of the central strategies to investigate the molecular basis of living things as well as their evolution and diversity, which advancing the understanding of biological systems at a micro level. Compared to conventional approaches in genetic manipulation, synthetic genomics has the characteristics of introducing large numbers and diversity of genetic modifications [5]. Additionally, synthetic genomics can theoretically create a synthetic genome to practically and feasibly build a simpler and more amenable genome-scale platform for biological system construction [6].

In a certain sense, the first synthetic gene synthesized in 1970s [7] marked the beginning of synthetic genomics. Then, viral chromosomes were the first to be synthesized in the early 2000's because of their comparatively small size [8]. Nowadays, as a first designer synthetic eukaryotic genome, Sc2.0 (*S. cerevisiae* 2.0) project has achieved significant progresses including real-world applications for industrial microbiology, and may create a big economic value in the future. Driven by rapid advances in gene assembly, genome editing and mathematical modeling techniques, synthetic genomics is developing quickly. As a young discipline, synthetic genomics has helped to promote our new understanding of genome structure and function. Recently, an important direction of synthetic genomics is to transform the natural biological systems through gene editing techniques. With emerging novel classes of programmable genetic tools, in particular, the establishment and optimization of CRISPR and associated technology platforms, synthetic biology and its vital field—synthetic genomics is entering a new era of more possibilities. Actually, early in 2014, the European Commission's synthetic biology summit has typically categorized synthetic biology tools as design, construction and diagnostic tools whereas synthetic biology methodology serves the study of DNA synthesis and synthetic genomics, engineering biology, xenobiology as well as protocell biology [9]. CRISPR/Cas nucleases have been extensively applied to manipulate the genomes of cultured and primary cells, animals and plants, vastly accelerating the pace of basic research and enabling breakthroughs in the field of synthetic biology and synthetic genomics [10]. It can be expect that, CRISPR toolkits are of particular importance to the future of synthetic genomics due to its great potential to open new pathways for manipulation and expression of genetic information, which will certainly transform synthetic genomics and synthetic biology greatly.

Here in this chapter, we review the developments of CRISPR/Cas technology, the main types of CRISPR/Cas system, as well as the applicational research of synthetic genomics in yeast using CRISPR/Cas toolboxes. Finally, we also provide perspectives on future directions and applications of CRISPR/Cas-based methodology in the research of synthetic yeast genome.

2. CRISPR/Cas: a powerful and versatile toolkits for synthetic genomics

The survival battle between microbes and bacteriophage is the driving force behind the evolution and diversification of microbial adaptive immune system.

As part of the immune response in bacteria, CRISPR/Cas systems are responsible for tackling the invading phages or plasmids. These systems are of particular importance to the future of synthetic genomics owing to their great potential to open new doors for manipulation and expression of genetic sequences. Since the discovery of CRISPR in the *Escherichia coli* genome in 1987 [11], CRISPR/Cas systems now have been classified into two main classes (Class 1 and Class 2) and six types (type I, II, III, IV, V and VI) covering over 30 different subtypes [12]. The diversities of architecture and classification render CRISPR/Cas systems with broad functional versatilities. Of note, the diversity of protospacer adjacent motif (PAM) is also an important feature for CRISPR/Cas systems. Briefly, PAM refers to a short sequence resides in the exogenous nucleic acid elements (commonly at the 3' end of the target DNA) but not the CRISPR array and its guide RNAs that support to discriminate self versus non-self of microbes' nucleic acid ingredients. The nucleotide sequences that can be edited by CRISPR/Cas systems have been limited by the PAM and gRNA sequences. Commonly, *Streptococcus pyogenes* Cas9 (SpCas9) recognizes 5'-NGG-3', and *Staphylococcus aureus* Cas9 (SaCas9) recognizes 5'-NNGRRT-3' as their respective functional PAM [13]. However, type V CRISPR/Cas system uses a T-rich PAM sequence such as "TTT", "TTA", "TTN" or "TTC" for target recognition. For example, Cas12a (Cpf1) uses the "TTN" PAM sequence to target dsDNA [14]. Cas proteins and their variants require different PAM sequences for efficiently and precisely manipulate and cleavage biological genomes, while type VI system relies on a PAM analogue termed protospacer flanking site (PFS) for RNA targeting [15]. Moreover, taking Cas9 protein as an example, a previous study has reported the important function of PAM recognition in the field of inducing target DNA unwinding, which underscored by the sequential variability of PAM recognition presented in engineered Cas variants [16].

In addition to the basic properties for antiviral roles, CRISPR/Cas systems have numerous potential applications for gene editing, transcriptional activation/inhibition, epigenetic modification, chromatin imaging, single base substitution, point-of-care diagnostics [17] and synthetic biology, among others [18]. However, taking account of off-target concerns, much more interrogations are needed to make these systems valuable and reliable toolboxes for utility research area.

As CRISPR systems continue to be discovered, a variety of programmable nucleases have joined the ranks of genome editing. Currently, three types of candidate nuclease systems including Cas9 and its homologs, DNA-targeting Cas12, as well as RNA-targeting Cas13 have together become the focus of gene-editing technology. Cas9 nuclease induces double strand breaks (DSB), and Cas9 nickase triggers single strand breaks (SSB); whereas dCas9 (dead Cas9) derived from Cas9 nuclease via mutating the HNH nuclease domain and RuvC-like domain, can still bind to the target region for transcriptional interventions, but without introducing a DSB. Based on similar mechanisms, dCas12a and dCas13 are capable to mediate CRISPR interference (CRISPRi) and CRISPR activation (CRISPRa) at the transcriptional levels. CRISPRi/a is a tremendously useful tool for transcriptional regulations which achieving by covalent modification of histones of Cas proteins as it allows to balance and optimize gene expression without genome editing. Besides, Cas9 and Cas12a (previously named as Cpf1) are RNA-guided endonucleases that can induce genome editing by triggering DSB repair at a specific site [19]. Cas9 and Cas13a can be used for targeted RNA interference. Cas13a fused to base editors can be used to modify nucleotides in RNA. Furthermore, the dCas nucleases have termed "discovery-based synthetic biology", constitute one part of toolbox to study synthetic biology and engineer biology, especially have functions in the field of characterizing the function of noncoding genes and regulatory elements of the genome, and strategies to design synthetic gene circuits [20]. Theoretically, CRISPR toolkits can be applied

to integrate synthetic sequences into the genome, to form genomic libraries, and to target on multiple functional loci conveniently for gene modifications with high efficiency in strains or cell lines. The increased knowledges of CRISPR classifications and their action mechanisms open up new scopes of applications in synthetic genome of an organism via these systems.

3. Synthetic genomics and the use of CRISPR technology in synthetic genomics of *S. cerevisiae*

Technical advances in chemical synthesis of poliovirus cDNA in the absence of a natural template have gained global attentions. The successful synthesis of the 7,740 bp poliovirus cDNA artificially not only indicated the feasibility of producing infectious virus using chemically synthesized oligonucleotides as initial materials but also showed the great potential of modifying and creating more complex genomes under laboratory conditions [21]. Then in 2003, the artificial synthesis of Φ X174 bacteriophage genome (5,386 bp) using synthetic oligonucleotides has paved the way for synthesizing bacterial genomes [22]. Since then, several other viral genomes and transposons have also been synthesized in their entirety. Bacterial genomes comprising millions of base pairs are very complex, which often regarded as advanced factories to synthesise biologically active chemical substances. Synthetic biologist Craig Venter and his research team have successively synthesized the 582,970 bp *Mycobacterium genitalium* genome and *Mycoplasma mycoides* genome JCVI-1.0, and the latter represented a milestone in the history of synthetic genomics [23, 24].

From historical perspective of human domestication, potentially the next best thing to fire is yeast [25]. In practical terms, yeast represents one of the simplest eukaryotic microorganisms, and as an attractive model organism has been widely used in the food industry [26]. The Synthetic Yeast Genome (Sc2.0) Project is ongoing and aims to explore yeast chromosome structure, minimal eukaryotic genome length and gene content, as well as to rewrite all 16 yeast chromosomes. As we known, DNA synthesis is an essential tool for synthetic genomics. Currently, with the aid of CRISPR/Cas technology, for instance, programmable Cas9 proteins can execute sequence-specific DSB depending on the target sites of the gRNA, and also genes in the genome of an organism can be programmed and are rewritable [27]. Generally, CRISPR/Cas systems have vastly simplified genome editing in yeasts via performing gene over-expression, knockin, knockout, mutations and deletions, and enabled easy-operation genetically engineering of products of fuel molecules, chemical components, food ingredients, and active pharmaceutical ingredients.

Early in 2013, DiCarlo and colleagues firstly piloted CRISPR/Cas9 system to engineer for site-specific mutagenesis and allelic replacement in the genome of *S. cerevisiae* using dsOligo (double-stranded 90-bp oligonucleotide) as a template with efficiency rates close to 100% [28]. A few months later, Farzadfard's research team reported a CRISPR/Cas-based eukaryotic transcriptional regulation system implemented in *S. cerevisiae*, which will open up new paths for drawing natural genetic circuits and their regulations regarding on cellular phenotypic mechanisms [29]. In the years following these initial works, several related research reports have been emerging.

In 2014, Ryan and others improved the utilization of fiber disaccharide in diploid yeast by multiplex CRISPR system, which made the cellobiose fermentation rates increased by more than 10 times [30]. Similarly as DiCarlo's experimental methodology, Zhang et al. engineered the industrial polyploid strain ATCC4124, where URA3, TRP1, LEU2 and HIS3 were knocked out one-by-one with efficiencies varying from 15–60% to create an auxotrophic strain. And this method is likely to

be very valuable for yeast genome engineering due to having no need of selectable markers that labeled in the integrated DNA [31].

In 2015, Bao et al. and Mans et al. respectively, used a HI-CRISPR (homology-integrated CRISPR) or CRISPR/Cas9 strategy to successfully generate multiple gene modifications in yeast *S. cerevisiae* simultaneously [32, 33]. In the studies related to metabolic pathway engineering, Ronda et al. applied a new system called CrEdit (CRISPR/Cas9 mediated genome Editing) to enable simultaneous and highly efficient integration of three pathway genes (BTS1, crtYB and crtI) involved in the production of β -carotene at three different integration sites (X-3, XI-2, and XII-5 gene locus) in the genome of *S. cerevisiae* [34]. Jakočiūnas and collaborators applied CRISPR/Cas9 for multiplex gene knock-out to search for strains with improved production of mevalonate (a key intermediate for isoprenoid and sterol production) in yeast [35], followed by the utilization of CRISPR/Cas9 system to integrate crtYB, CrtI and crtE genes in three gene sites (ADE2, HIS3 and URA3) of *S. cerevisiae* for successfully constructing carotenoid biosynthesis pathway [36].

In 2016, more studies in relation to the use of CRISPR/Cas9 system for engineering in the genome of yeast have been reported [37–44]. Most notably, Tsarmopoulos et al. reported the CRISPR/Cas9 adaptation for the engineering of bacterial genomes cloned in yeast. The result showed that applying 90 nt paired oligonucleotides as templates to promote recombination which achieved a seamless deletion of the mycoplasma glpO (glycerol-3-phosphate oxidase-encoding) gene without selection in one step. This work paves the way to high-throughput manipulation of natural or synthetic genomes in yeast *S. cerevisiae* [37].

In the year of 2017, Vanegas and her partners used a combination tool of Cas9 genome editing and dCas9 transcriptional regulation to engineer *S. cerevisiae* for production of flavonoid precursor naringenin and simultaneously restraining formation of by-product phloretic acid [45]. Reider Apel et al. constructed a clone-free toolkit based on CRISPR/Cas9, which solved the problems of chromosome integration locus and promoter selection, protein localization and solubility in yeast metabolic engineering, and optimized the expression of taxadiene synthase by using the tool, which increased the yield of taxadiene by 25 times [46]. Contrary to the result of protein overexpression, Vigentini et al. employed the CRISPR/Cas9 system to successfully reduce urea production in *S. cerevisiae* wine yeasts via eliminating the CAN1 arginine permease pathway [47]. Interestingly, Mans et al. used CRISPR/Cas9 technology to explore the elusive mechanism for lactate export in *S. cerevisiae*. The 25-deletion strain in this experiment has taken the first step in building a yeast's 'minimal transportome' platform, which can be applicable to functional explanation of heterologous transport proteins and the assessment of metabolic engineering strategies [48]. The summary of CRISPR-based studies in yeast in the year of 2017 have showed in **Table 1** [45–59].

In the beginning of the year 2018, Verwaal et al. employed three gene-editing systems, Cpf1 orthologues (*Acidaminococcus spp.* BV3L6 (AsCpf1), *Lachnospiraceae bacterium* ND2006 (LbCpf1) and *Francisella novicida* U112 (FnCpf1)) for genome modification of *S. cerevisiae*. The result of this work demonstrated that Cpf1 can broaden application sphere of the genome-editing toolbox available for research of *S. cerevisiae* [69]. Li et al. firstly used the CRISPR/Cpf1 to delete large DNA fragment (the deletion of DNA fragment of ~ 38 kb between the two genes of TRM10 and REX4) in *S. cerevisiae*, which demonstrating that the CRISPR/Cpf1 system can be used for genome simplification of *S. cerevisiae*, and to facilitate the laboratory evolution of the genome of *S. cerevisiae* [70]. Later in the year, Dank et al. used CRISPR/Cas9 technology to construct *S. cerevisiae* mutants with lacking esterase IAH1 and/or TIP1. Very interestingly, not affecting by the double gene knockout of yeast mutant Δ IAH1 Δ TIP1, a complex regulatory mechanism to compensate

| Gene Action Modes | CRISPR System | Expression Products | Gene Sites (or gene number) | Authors and Year | References |
|---|--------------------------|--|--|----------------------------------|------------|
| Downregulation | Cas9/dCas9 based system | Naringenin | TSC13 | Vanegas <i>et al.</i> (2017) | [45] |
| Gene integration | CRISPR/Cas9 | Taxadiene | 23 genomic loci | Reider Apel <i>et al.</i> (2017) | [46] |
| Genetic modification | CRISPR/Cas9 | Urea | CAN1 | Vigentini <i>et al.</i> (2017) | [47] |
| Gene deletion | CRISPR/Cas9 | Lactate | 25 genomic loci | Mans <i>et al.</i> (2017) | [48] |
| Gene regulation | dCas9-VPR | Naringenin | NDE2, CYC1, GPD1, TDH1 | Vanegas <i>et al.</i> (2017) | [49] |
| Gen regulation and integration | CRISPR/Cas9 | Cellulase; isobutanol; glycerol | δ -regions | Si <i>et al.</i> (2017) | [50] |
| Graded expression | CRISPR/dCas9 | Pathway enzymes | Multi-genes (e.g., ZWF1, TAL1, TKL1) | Deaner <i>et al.</i> (2017) | [51] |
| Gene modulation | dCas9-VPR | - | Up to 4 native genes | Deaner <i>et al.</i> (2017) | [52] |
| Transcriptional reprogramming | dCas9 systems | Isoprenoid; TAG | - | Jensen <i>et al.</i> (2017) | [53] |
| Logic circuits | dCas9-Mxi1 | - | - | Gander <i>et al.</i> (2017) | [54] |
| Gene regulation | CRISPR-AID | Beta-carotene | HMG1; ERG9; ROX1 | Lian <i>et al.</i> (2017) | [55] |
| Gene editing | CRISPR/Cas9 | Glutathione | ADE2, URA3, LEU2, TRP1, HIS3 | Zhou <i>et al.</i> (2017) | [56] |
| Construction of mutants | “CRISPR Nickase system” | - | CAN1, CDC25 | Satomura <i>et al.</i> (2017) | [57] |
| Strain Generation; Gene drive | CRISPR/Cas9 | Strain mutants | - | Roggenkamp <i>et al.</i> (2017) | [58] |
| Genome editing; point mutation | FnCpf1 | - | ADE2, HIS4, PDR12, CAN1 | Swiat <i>et al.</i> (2017) | [59] |
| Multiplexed engineering | GTR-CRISPR | - | 8 genes (e.g., CAN1, ADE2, LYP1, etc.) | Ferreira <i>et al.</i> (2018) | [60] |
| Gene activation, interference, and deletion | dLbCpf1, dSpCas9, SaCas9 | Recombinant <i>Trichoderma reesei</i> endoglucanase II | Unspecified target genes | Schultz <i>et al.</i> (2018) | [61] |
| Chromosome fusion | CRISPR/Cas9 | - | Multiple-chromosome | Shao <i>et al.</i> (2018) | [62] |
| Genomic integration | CRISPR/Cas9 | Natural genetic variants | SEC14 gene | Roy <i>et al.</i> (2018) | [63] |
| Gene disruption | CRISPR/Cas9 | Bioethanol | ADH2 | Xue <i>et al.</i> (2018) | [64] |

| Gene Action Modes | CRISPR System | Expression Products | Gene Sites (or gene number) | Authors and Year | References |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------|------------|
| Cocktail integration | CRISPR/Cas9 combination | Target products: beta-carotene | <i>ADE2</i> , <i>URA3</i> , <i>CAN1</i> | Hou <i>et al.</i> (2018) | [65] |
| Genetic manipulations | CRISPR/Cas9 | Mating-types, diploids and polyploids | <i>MAT</i> locus | Xie <i>et al.</i> (2018) | [66] |
| Single-nucleotide genome-editing | CRISPR/Cas9 combination | Genetic variants | <i>CAN1</i> , <i>ADE2</i> , <i>LYP1</i> , etc. | Bao <i>et al.</i> (2018) | [67] |
| Genomic integration | FnCpf1 | Beta-carotene | <i>Gal1-7</i> locus, <i>Gal80</i> locus, <i>HO</i> locus, etc. | Li <i>et al.</i> (2018) | [68] |

Table 1.
 The summary table of CRISPR-based studies in yeast in the year of 2017 and 2018.

multiple genomic defects in aroma metabolism is generated and activated to show an aroma composition comparable to wild type levels [71]. Using CRISPR technology, the related studies in yeasts for creating genome mutations and integrations have also been respectively carried out by Guo *et al.* [72] and Jakociunas *et al.* [73]. And much more related works have listed in **Table 1** [60–68, 74].

As CRISPR systems continue to be extensively used, dozens of articles (more than 60 scientific papers in PubMed) in relation to CRISPR-based yeast have been published in 2019. Based on PubMed database, 2019 is the year of the most articles published in recent years in the field of CRISPR-edited yeasts. Among them, Zhang *et al.* deleted 6 genes in the yeast genomes in 3 days through developing a multiplexed gene-editing platform termed GTR-CRISPR (a gRNA-tRNA array for CRISPR/Cas9) with 60% efficiency using reported gRNAs and 23% using unimproved gRNAs. They further concluded that GTR-CRISPR may be suggested to the most valuable complement for the toolkit of synthetic biology and auto-operation [75]. Notably, Laughery *et al.* found that the cause of dCas9 targeting boosted mutagenesis in yeast is likely affected mutationally by dCas9-mediated R-loop formation. These findings not only showed important implications for the applications of additional mutagenesis in dCas9 (and Cas9), but also offered a novel method for interrogating the mechanism of targeted R-loop formation induces eukaryotic genome variability and/or mutagenesis [76]. As excellent as above two work, another two investigations respectively described the CRISPR/Cas9 based functional chromosome fusions [77] and CRISPR/dCas9 based AND gate in yeast [78]. Additionally, as typical research examples, this review only list 5 valuable articles published in 2019 (**Table 2**) [79–83].

Good works are also seen in 2020. Wu and his team found that specific cleavage via CRISPR/Cas9 near the centromere of a *S. cerevisiae* chromosome can lead to elimination of the whole chromosome and initiate chromosome drive [89]. van Wyk *et al.* utilized CRISPR/Cas9 technology to generate a self-cloned wine yeast strain that over-expresses two genes of oenological relevance, GPD1 (glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase 1) and ATF1 (alcohol acetyltransferase 1), which directly implicated in glycerol and acetate ester production respectively. The result provided an alternative strategy to obtain increased glycerol and lower acetic acid levels, without disrupting the aldehyde dehydrogenase activity [90]. In addition to the above two, here enumerate 5 representative literatures on CRISPR-based yeasts in this year used for references (**Table 2**) [84–88].

| References | Authors/year | Gene regulation | Cas modes | Target loci | Descriptions |
|------------|----------------------|------------------------------|--------------|-----------------------------------|---|
| [79] | Ai et al./2019 | Deletion | Cas9 | gal80 | Engineered yeasts that can produce artemisinic acid without galactose induction. |
| [80] | Chen et al./2019 | down-regulation or knock-out | Cas9 | erg9; rox1; ypl062w; yjl064w | Achieved high production of valencene through CRISPR/Cas9-mediated metabolic engineering. |
| [81] | Laughery et al./2019 | Genome editing | Cas9 | RNR1 gene | Describe a simple protocol for constructing Cas9-expressing plasmids and protocols for genome editing in yeast. |
| [82] | Ciurkot et al./2019 | Genome editing | Cas12a | INT1, INT2 and INT3 genomic sites | Demonstrated Cas12a-mediated multiplex genome editing in yeasts, and created yeast pixel art with an acoustic liquid handler using yeast strains that producing differently colored carotenoid. |
| [83] | Yan & Finnigan/2019 | Gene drive | Cas9 | HIS3 locus | Developed a gene drive in yeasts that allowing for the examination of alternative drive designs and control mechanisms. |
| [84] | Cámara et al./2020 | Gene expression | CRISPR/Cas | PDR12 locus | Constructed a toolkit for CRISPRa/i for a polyploid industrial yeast strain. |
| [85] | Levi & Arava/2020 | Gene modification | Cas9 | HTS1; OM14; FRS1 | Provided a facile alternative to manipulate the yeast genome. |
| [86] | Li et al./2020 | Genome engineering | Cas9 | UPC2 gene; Gal1-7, Gal80 sites | Cas-3P allowed single-, double- and triple-loci gene targeting in yeasts. |
| [87] | Mitsui et al./2020 | Genome evolution | GMES /CRISPR | 13 genes: HXT7, HXK2, PGI1, etc. | Aimed to construct a lactic acid-tolerant yeast to reduce the neutralization cost in LA production. |
| [88] | Yang et al./2020 | Gene targeted mutation | Cas9 | ERG20 | Facilitate construction of genomic mutations of essential genes for functional genomic analysis and metabolic flux regulation in yeasts. |

Note: CRISPRa/i, CRISPR activation and interference; Cas-3P, Cas9 and three marked plasmid backbones; GMES/CRISPR, global metabolic engineering strategy/CRISPR.

Table 2.
CRISPR-based applications in yeast in the year of 2019.

Stepping into 2021, innovations remain advancing. Gong et al. reported a gRNA-tRNA array and SpCas9-NG (GTR 2.0) for the applications of highly efficient genome damage and base editing. In this study, they achieved gene cleavages with almost 100 efficiencies in the cells of *S. cerevisiae*. During the process, gene editing includes all 16 possible NGN PAMs and all 12 possible single-nucleotide mutations (N to N). Further, they employed GTR 2.0 system for multiplexed single-nucleotide mutations to simultaneously produce 4 single-nucleotide conversions in single gene with 66.67% mutation efficiency, and to create simultaneously 2 single-nucleotide mutations in two different genes with 100% mutation efficiency [91].

McGlinchy et al. showed a comprehensive yeast CRISPRi library, based on empirical design rules, containing 10 distinct guides for most genes, which providing a strategy for genome-wide CRISPR interference screening in budding yeast [92]. Furthermore, a short communication introduced a GD_i-CRISPR system (gene drive delta site integration system by the CRISPR system) for multi-copy integration in *S. cerevisiae*, which holds great promising for advancing the development of *S. cerevisiae* multi-copy integration tools [93].

4. Future challenges and prospections in the applications

As an emerging field, synthetic biology has high potential applications in drug-discovery, development of medical therapeutics, diagnostic tools and improvement of bioproducts. And its emerging applications include vaccine development, cancer treatment, prevention and treatment of infection, microbiome engineering, cell therapy and regenerative medicine, biofuels as well as genome engineering [94]. New technologies, such as CRISPR/Cas-mediated genome editing, will enable synthetic biologists to take a more holistic engineering approach, modifying synthetic circuits and the host genome with relative ease [95]. Nowadays, the CRISPR/Cas system is only 8 years old. With great progress in gene editing technology, CRISPR/Cas systems surely will greatly boost the development of gene therapy, basic biological research, and synthetic biology, let alone in the research field of *S. cerevisiae*. However, the applications of CRISPR/Cas systems have still encountered several major challenges including off-target effects, delivery modalities, Cas9 cleavage activities and immune responses.

Off-target effects of CRISPR/Cas systems usually result from mismatches between the guide RNAs and their target gene sequences [96], and may result in targeting to unexpected sequences of nucleic acids. Many efforts have been done to lower unwanted off-target effects of CRISPR/Cas. Previous studies reported that the systems could effectively maximize on-target activity and minimize off-target effects for genome engineering either by modifying guide RNA or Cas9 nuclease [97, 98].

5. Conclusion

Thanks to the eximious predominance of yeast genetics, the organism *S. cerevisiae* has enjoyed gene regulation technology for decades. In spite of some limitations needed to be conquered, the advent of the CRISPR techniques have undoubtedly created a new era for genomic engineering in yeasts. CRISPR/Cas system as one of the most cutting-edge biotechnology will continue to not only improve our insight into the complexity of cells but also help us make better utilization of living systems. Taking together, the use of CRISPR/Cas systems for various synthetic biology applications, specially in the synthetic genome of yeast *S. cerevisiae*, has greatly accelerate food industry, biomedical study and agricultural research.

Acknowledgements

This work was collectively supported by grants from National key research and development plan (2016YFD0500600), Guangdong provincial science and technology plan project (2017B020207004), Innovative province construction project--special topic on fighting against novel coronavirus pneumonia epidemic (2020SK3044), Youth fund project of Hunan natural science foundation (2019JJ50681) and Changsha biological resources sample bank establishment project (20200365).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Author details

Huafeng Lin^{1,2,3†}, Haizhen Wang^{4†}, Aimin Deng¹, Minjing Rong², Lei Ye², Lei Shi², Tuanmei Wang^{1*} and Xiangwen Peng^{1*}

1 Changsha Hospital for Maternal and Child Health Care of Hunan Normal University, Jinan University, Changsha, China

2 Institute of Food Safety and Nutrition, Jinan University, Guangzhou, China

3 Department of Biotechnology, College of Life Science and Technology, Jinan University, Guangzhou, China

4 Department of Life Science, Lu Liang University, Luliang, China

*Address all correspondence to: 1396308863@qq.com and pxw1237@163.com

† These authors contributed equally to this work.

IntechOpen

© 2021 The Author(s). Licensee IntechOpen. This chapter is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. 

References

- [1] Tirard S. (2009). Stéphane Leduc (1853-1939), de la médecine à la biologie synthétique [Stephane Leduc (1853-1939), from medicine to synthetic biology]. *Histoire des sciences médicales*, 43(1), 67-72.
- [2] Heidari, R., Shaw, D.M., & Elger, B.S. (2017). CRISPR and the Rebirth of Synthetic Biology. *Science and engineering ethics*, 23(2), 351-363. doi: 10.1007/s11948-016-9768-z
- [3] Paddon, C.J., Westfall, P.J., Pitera, D.J., Benjamin, K., Fisher, K., McPhee, D., et al. (2013). High-level semi-synthetic production of the potent antimalarial artemisinin. *Nature*, 496(7446), 528-532. doi: 10.1038/nature12051
- [4] Kumar, J., Narnoliya, L. K., & Alok, A. (2019). A CRISPR Technology and Biomolecule Production by Synthetic Biology Approach (pp. 143-161). doi: 10.1016/B978-0-444-64085-7.00006-X
- [5] Coradini, A., Hull, C.B., & Ehrenreich, I.M. (2020). Building genomes to understand biology. *Nature communications*, 11(1), 6177. doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-19753-2
- [6] Chen, B., Lee, H. L., Heng, Y. C., Chua, N., Teo, W. S., Choi, W. J., Leong, S., Foo, J.L., & Chang, M.W. (2018). Synthetic biology toolkits and applications in *S. cerevisiae*. *Biotechnology advances*, 36(7), 1870-1881. doi: 10.1016/j.biotechadv.2018.07.005
- [7] Schindler, D., Dai, J., & Cai, Y. (2018). Synthetic genomics: a new venture to dissect genome fundamentals and engineer new functions. *Current opinion in chemical biology*, 46, 56-62. doi: 10.1016/j.cbpa.2018.04.002
- [8] Wimmer, E., Mueller, S., Tumpey, T.M., & Taubenberger, J.K. (2009). Synthetic viruses: a new opportunity to understand and prevent viral disease. *Nature biotechnology*, 27(12): 1163-1172. doi: 10.1038/nbt.1593
- [9] European Commission. (2014). Opinion on synthetic biology I definition. http://ec.europa.eu/health/scientific_committees/emerging/docs/scenih_r_o_044.pdf. Accessed 07 Dec 2015.
- [10] Doudna, J.A. (2020). The promise and challenge of therapeutic genome editing. *Nature*, 578: 229-236. doi: 10.1038/s41586-020-1978-5
- [11] Ishino, Y., Shinagawa, H., Makino, K., Amemura, M. and Nakata, A. (1987). Nucleotide sequence of the IAP gene, responsible for alkaline phosphatase isozyme conversion in *E. coli*, and identification of the gene product. *Journal of bacteriology*, 169(12): 5429-5433. doi: 10.1128/jb.169.12.5429-5433.1987
- [12] Terns M.P. (2018). CRISPR-Based Targeting Systems. *Molecular cell*, 72(3), 404-412. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2018.09.018
- [13] Tang, L., Yang, F., He, X., Xie, H., Liu, X., Fu, J., et al. (2019). Efficient cleavage resolves PAM preferences of CRISPR-Cas in human cells. *Cell regeneration (London, England)*, 8(2), 44-50. doi: 10.1016/j.cr.2019.08.002
- [14] Munawar, N., & Ahmad, A. (2021). CRISPR/Cas System: An Introduction. *CRISPR Crops: The Future of Food Security*, 1-35. doi: 10.1007/978-981-15-7142-8_1
- [15] Komor, A.C., Badran, A.H., & Liu, D.R. (2017). CRISPR-Based Technologies for the Manipulation of Eukaryotic Genomes. *Cell*, 168(1-2), 20-36. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2016.10.044

- [16] Sternberg, S.H., Redding, S., Jinek, M., Greene, E.C., & Doudna, J.A. (2014). DNA interrogation by the CRISPR RNA-guided endonuclease Cas9. *Nature*, 507(7490), 62-67. doi: 10.1038/nature13011
- [17] Knott, G.J., & Doudna, J.A. (2018). CRISPR-Cas guides the future of genetic engineering. *Science (New York, N.Y.)*, 361(6405), 866-869. doi: 10.1126/science.aat5011
- [18] Hille, F., & Charpentier, E. (2016). CRISPR-Cas: biology, mechanisms and relevance. *Philosophical transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological sciences*, 371(1707), 20150496. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2015.0496
- [19] Zetsche, B., Gootenberg, J.S., Abudayyeh, O.O., Slaymaker, I.M., Makarova, K.S., Essletzbichler, P., et al. (2015). Cpf1 is a single RNA-guided endonuclease of a class 2 CRISPR-Cas system. *Cell*, 163(3), 759-771. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2015.09.038
- [20] Xu, X., & Qi, L.S. (2019). A CRISPR-dCas Toolbox for Genetic Engineering and Synthetic Biology. *Journal of molecular biology*, 431(1), 34-47. doi: 10.1016/j.jmb.2018.06.037
- [21] Cello, J., Paul, A.V., & Wimmer, E. (2002). Chemical synthesis of poliovirus cDNA: generation of infectious virus in the absence of natural template. *Science (New York, N.Y.)*, 297(5583), 1016-1018. doi:10.1126/science.1072266
- [22] Smith, H.O., Hutchison, C.A., 3rd, Pfannkoch, C., & Venter, J.C. (2003). Generating a synthetic genome by whole genome assembly: Φ X174 bacteriophage from synthetic oligonucleotides. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 100(26), 15440-15445. doi: 10.1073/pnas.2237126100
- [23] Gibson, D.G., Benders, G.A., Andrews-Pfannkoch, C., Denisova, E.A., Baden-Tillson, H., Zaveri, J., et al. (2008). Complete chemical synthesis, assembly, and cloning of a *Mycoplasma genitalium* genome. *Science (New York, N.Y.)*, 319(5867), 1215-1220. doi: 10.1126/science.1151721
- [24] Gibson, D.G., Glass, J.I., Lartigue, C., Noskov, V.N., Chuang, R.Y., Algire, M. A., et al. (2010). Creation of a bacterial cell controlled by a chemically synthesized genome. *Science (New York, N.Y.)*, 329(5987), 52-56. doi: 10.1126/science.1190719
- [25] Schindler D. (2020). Genetic Engineering and Synthetic Genomics in Yeast to Understand Life and Boost Biotechnology. *Bioengineering (Basel, Switzerland)*, 7(4), 137. doi: 10.3390/bioengineering7040137
- [26] Feyder, S., De Craene, J. O., Bär, S., Bertazzi, D. L., & Friant, S. (2015). Membrane trafficking in the yeast *S. cerevisiae* model. *International journal of molecular sciences*, 16(1), 1509-1525. doi: 10.3390/ijms16011509
- [27] Pretorius, I.S., & Boeke, J.D. (2018). Yeast 2.0-connecting the dots in the construction of the world's first functional synthetic eukaryotic genome. *FEMS yeast research*, 18(4), foy032. doi: 10.1093/femsyr/foy032
- [28] DiCarlo, J.E., Norville, J.E., Mali, P., Rios, X., Aach, J., & Church, G.M. (2013). Genome engineering in *S. cerevisiae* using CRISPR-Cas systems. *Nucleic acids research*, 41(7), 4336-4343. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkt135
- [29] Farzadfard, F., Perli, S.D., & Lu, T.K. (2013). Tunable and multifunctional eukaryotic transcription factors based on CRISPR/Cas. *ACS synthetic biology*, 2(10), 604-613. doi: 10.1021/sb400081r
- [30] Ryan, O.W., Skerker, J.M., Maurer, M.J., Li, X., Tsai, J.C., Poddar, S., et al. (2014). Selection of chromosomal DNA

libraries using a multiplex CRISPR system. *eLife*, 3, e03703. doi: 10.7554/eLife.03703

[31] Zhang, G.C., Kong, I.I., Kim, H., Liu, J.J., Cate, J.H., & Jin, Y.S. (2014). Construction of a quadruple auxotrophic mutant of an industrial polyploid strain by using RNA-guided Cas9 nuclease. *Applied and environmental microbiology*, 80(24), 7694-7701. doi:10.1128/AEM.02310-14

[32] Bao, Z., Xiao, H., Liang, J., Zhang, L., Xiong, X., Sun, N., Si, T., & Zhao, H. (2015). Homology-integrated CRISPR-Cas (HI-CRISPR) system for one-step multigene disruption in *S. cerevisiae*. *ACS synthetic biology*, 4(5), 585-594. doi: 10.1021/sb500255k

[33] Mans, R., van Rossum, H.M., Wijsman, M., Backx, A., Kuijpers, N.G., van den Broek, M., et al. (2015). CRISPR/Cas9: a molecular Swiss army knife for simultaneous introduction of multiple genetic modifications in *S. cerevisiae*. *FEMS yeast research*, 15(2), fov004. doi:10.1093/femsyr/fov004

[34] Ronda, C., Maury, J., Jakočiunas, T., Jacobsen, S.A., Germann, S.M., Harrison, S.J., et al. (2015). CrEdit: CRISPR mediated multi-loci gene integration in *S. cerevisiae*. *Microbial cell factories*, 14, 97. doi:10.1186/s12934-015-0288-3

[35] Jakočiūnas, T., Bonde, I., Herrgård, M., Harrison, S.J., Kristensen, M., Pedersen, L.E., et al. (2015). Multiplex metabolic pathway engineering using CRISPR/Cas9 in *S. cerevisiae*. *Metabolic engineering*, 28, 213-222. doi: 10.1016/j.ymben.2015.01.008

[36] Jakočiūnas, T., Rajkumar, A.S., Zhang, J., Arsovska, D., Rodriguez, A., Jendresen, C.B., et al. (2015). CasEMBLR: Cas9-Facilitated Multiloci Genomic Integration of in Vivo Assembled DNA Parts in *S. cerevisiae*. *ACS synthetic biology*, 4(11), 1226-1234. doi: 10.1021/acssynbio.5b00007

[37] Tsarmopoulou, I., Gourgues, G., Blanchard, A., Vashee, S., Jores, J., Lartigue, C., et al. (2016). In-Yeast Engineering of a Bacterial Genome Using CRISPR/Cas9. *ACS synthetic biology*, 5(1), 104-109. doi:10.1021/acssynbio.5b00196

[38] Hao, H., Wang, X., Jia, H., Yu, M., Zhang, X., Tang, H., & Zhang, L. (2016). Large fragment deletion using a CRISPR/Cas9 system in *S. cerevisiae*. *Analytical biochemistry*, 509, 118-123. doi: 10.1016/j.ab.2016.07.008

[39] Chin, Y. W., Kang, W. K., Jang, H. W., Turner, T. L., & Kim, H. J. (2016). CAR1 deletion by CRISPR/Cas9 reduces formation of ethyl carbamate from ethanol fermentation by *S. cerevisiae*. *Journal of industrial microbiology & biotechnology*, 43(11), 1517-1525. doi: 10.1007/s10295-016-1831-x

[40] Biot-Pelletier, D., & Martin, V. J. (2016). Seamless site-directed mutagenesis of the *S. cerevisiae* genome using CRISPR-Cas9. *Journal of biological engineering*, 10, 6. doi: 10.1186/s13036-016-0028-1

[41] Shi, S., Liang, Y., Zhang, M. M., Ang, E. L., & Zhao, H. (2016). A highly efficient single-step, markerless strategy for multi-copy chromosomal integration of large biochemical pathways in *S. cerevisiae*. *Metabolic engineering*, 33, 19-27. doi: 10.1016/j.ymben.2015.10.011

[42] Sasano, Y., Nagasawa, K., Kaboli, S., Sugiyama, M., & Harashima, S. (2016). CRISPR-PCS: a powerful new approach to inducing multiple chromosome splitting in *S. cerevisiae*. *Scientific reports*, 6, 30278. doi: 10.1038/srep30278

[43] Jessop-Fabre, M. M., Jakočiūnas, T., Stovicek, V., Dai, Z., Jensen, M. K., Keasling, J. D., & Borodina, I. (2016). EasyClone-MarkerFree: A vector toolkit for marker-less integration of genes into *S. cerevisiae* via CRISPR-Cas9. *Biotechnology journal*, 11(8), 1110-1117. doi: 10.1002/biot.201600147

- [44] Finnigan, G.C., & Thorner, J. (2016). mCAL: A New Approach for Versatile Multiplex Action of Cas9 Using One sgRNA and Loci Flanked by a Programmed Target Sequence. *G3-Genes Genomes Genetics*, 6(7), 2147-2156. doi: 10.1534/g3.116.029801
- [45] Vanegas, K.G., Lehka, B.J., & Mortensen, U.H. (2017). SWITCH: a dynamic CRISPR tool for genome engineering and metabolic pathway control for cell factory construction in *S. cerevisiae*. *Microbial cell factories*, 16(1), 25. doi: 10.1186/s12934-017-0632-x
- [46] Reider Apel, A., d'Espaux, L., Wehrs, M., Sachs, D., Li, R.A., Tong, G.J., et al. (2017). A Cas9-based toolkit to program gene expression in *S. cerevisiae*. *Nucleic acids research*, 45(1), 496-508. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkw1023
- [47] Vigentini, I., Gebbia, M., Belotti, A., Foschino, R., & Roth, F.P. (2017). CRISPR/Cas9 System as a Valuable Genome Editing Tool for Wine Yeasts with Application to Decrease Urea Production. *Frontiers in microbiology*, 8, 2194. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2017.02194
- [48] Mans, R., Hassing, E.J., Wijsman, M., Giezekamp, A., Pronk, J.T., Daran, J.M., et al. (2017). A CRISPR/Cas9-based exploration into the elusive mechanism for lactate export in *S. cerevisiae*. *FEMS yeast research*, 17(8): 1-12. doi: 10.1093/femsyr/fox085
- [49] Vanegas, K.G., Lehka, B.J., & Mortensen, U.H. (2017). SWITCH: a dynamic CRISPR tool for genome engineering and metabolic pathway control for cell factory construction in *S. cerevisiae*. *Microbial cell factories*, 16(1), 25. doi: 10.1186/s12934-017-0632-x
- [50] Si, T., Chao, R., Min, Y., Wu, Y., Ren, W., & Zhao, H. (2017). Automated multiplex genome-scale engineering in yeast. *Nature communications*, 8, 15187. doi: 10.1038/ncomms15187
- [51] Deaner, M., & Alper, H.S. (2017). Systematic testing of enzyme perturbation sensitivities via graded dCas9 modulation in *S. cerevisiae*. *Metabolic engineering*, 40, 14-22. doi: 10.1016/j.ymben.2017.01.012
- [52] Deaner, M., Mejia, J., & Alper, H.S. (2017). Enabling Graded and Large-Scale Multiplex of Desired Genes Using a Dual-Mode dCas9 Activator in *S. cerevisiae*. *ACS synthetic biology*, 6(10), 1931-1943. doi: 10.1021/acssynbio.7b00163
- [53] Jensen, E.D., Ferreira, R., Jakočiūnas, T., Arsovska, D., Zhang, J., Ding, L., et al. (2017). Transcriptional reprogramming in yeast using dCas9 and combinatorial gRNA strategies. *Microbial cell factories*, 16(1), 46. doi: 10.1186/s12934-017-0664-2
- [54] Gander, M.W., Vrana, J.D., Voje, W.E., Carothers, J.M., & Klavins, E. (2017). Digital logic circuits in yeast with CRISPR-dCas9 NOR gates. *Nature communications*, 8, 15459. doi: 10.1038/ncomms15459
- [55] Lian, J., Hamedirad, M., Hu, S., & Zhao, H. (2017). Combinatorial metabolic engineering using an orthogonal tri-functional CRISPR system. *Nature communications*, 8(1), 1688. doi: 10.1038/s41467-017-01695-x
- [56] Zhou, W., Tang, L., Cheng, K., Liu, M., Yang, Y., & Wang, W. (2017). [Construction of prototrophic glutathione-high-producing yeast strain mediated by CRISPR/Cas9]. *Sheng wu gong cheng xue bao = Chinese journal of biotechnology*, 33(12), 1999-2008. doi: 10.13345/j.cjb.170064
- [57] Satomura, A., Nishioka, R., Mori, H., Sato, K., Kuroda, K., & Ueda, M. (2017). Precise genome-wide base editing by the CRISPR Nickase system in yeast. *Scientific reports*, 7(1), 2095. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-02013-7

- [58] Roggenkamp, E., Giersch, R.M., Wedeman, E., Eaton, M., Turnquist, E., Schrock, M.N., et al. (2017). CRISPR-UnLOCK: Multipurpose Cas9-Based Strategies for Conversion of Yeast Libraries and Strains. *Frontiers in microbiology*, 8, 1773. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2017.01773
- [59] Swiat, M.A., Dashko, S., den Ridder, M., Wijsman, M., van der Oost, J., Daran, J.M., et al. (2017). FnCpf1: a novel and efficient genome editing tool for *S. cerevisiae*. *Nucleic acids research*, 45(21), 12585-12598. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkx1007
- [60] Ferreira, R., Skrekas, C., Nielsen, J., & David, F. (2018). Multiplexed CRISPR/Cas9 Genome Editing and Gene Regulation Using Csy4 in *S. cerevisiae*. *ACS synthetic biology*, 7(1), 10-15. doi:10.1021/acssynbio.7b00259
- [61] Schultz, C., Lian, J., & Zhao, H. (2018). Metabolic Engineering of *S. cerevisiae* Using a Trifunctional CRISPR/Cas System for Simultaneous Gene Activation, Interference, and Deletion. *Methods in enzymology*, 608, 265-276. doi: 10.1016/bs.mie.2018.04.010
- [62] Shao, Y., Lu, N., Qin, Z., & Xue, X. (2018). CRISPR-Cas9 Facilitated Multiple-Chromosome Fusion in *S. cerevisiae*. *ACS synthetic biology*, 7(11), 2706-2708. doi: 10.1021/acssynbio.8b00397
- [63] Roy, K.R., Smith, J.D., Vonesch, S.C., Lin, G., Tu, C.S., Lederer, A.R., et al. (2018). Multiplexed precision genome editing with trackable genomic barcodes in yeast. *Nature biotechnology*, 36(6), 512-520. doi: 10.1038/nbt.4137
- [64] Xue, T., Liu, K., Chen, D., Yuan, X., Fang, J., Yan, H., et al. (2018). Improved bioethanol production using CRISPR/Cas9 to disrupt the ADH2 gene in *S. cerevisiae*. *World journal of microbiology & biotechnology*, 34(10), 154. doi: 10.1007/s11274-018-2518-4
- [65] Hou, S., Qin, Q., & Dai, J. (2018). Wicket: A Versatile Tool for the Integration and Optimization of Exogenous Pathways in *S. cerevisiae*. *ACS synthetic biology*, 7(3), 782-788. doi: 10.1021/acssynbio.7b00391
- [66] Xie, Z.X., Mitchell, L.A., Liu, H.M., Li, B.Z., Liu, D., Agmon, N., et al. (2018). Rapid and Efficient CRISPR/Cas9-Based Mating-Type Switching of *S. cerevisiae*. *G3 (Bethesda, Md.)*, 8(1), 173-183. doi: 10.1534/g3.117.300347
- [67] Bao, Z., Hamedirad, M., Xue, P., Xiao, H., Tasan, I., Chao, R., et al. (2018). Genome-scale engineering of *S. cerevisiae* with single-nucleotide precision. *Nature biotechnology*, 36(6), 505-508. doi:10.1038/nbt.4132
- [68] Li, Z.H., Liu, M., Wang, F.Q., & Wei, D.Z. (2018). Cpf1-assisted efficient genomic integration of in vivo assembled DNA parts in *S. cerevisiae*. *Biotechnology letters*, 40(8), 1253-1261. doi:10.1007/s10529-018-2574-8
- [69] Verwaal, R., Buiting-Wiessenhaan, N., Dalhuijsen, S., & Roubos, J. A. (2018). CRISPR/Cpf1 enables fast and simple genome editing of *S. cerevisiae*. *Yeast (Chichester, England)*, 35(2), 201-211. doi: 10.1002/yea.3278
- [70] Li, Z.H., Liu, M., Lyu, X.M., Wang, F.Q., & Wei, D.Z. (2018). CRISPR/Cpf1 facilitated large fragment deletion in *S. cerevisiae*. *Journal of basic microbiology*, 58(12), 1100-1104. doi: 10.1002/jobm.201800195
- [71] Dank, A., Smid, E.J., & Notebaart, R.A. (2018). CRISPR-Cas genome engineering of esterase activity in *S. cerevisiae* steers aroma formation. *BMC research notes*, 11(1), 682. doi: 10.1186/s13104-018-3788-5
- [72] Guo, X., Chavez, A., Tung, A., Chan, Y., Kaas, C., Yin, Y., et al. (2018). High-throughput creation and

- functional profiling of DNA sequence variant libraries using CRISPR-Cas9 in yeast. *Nature biotechnology*, 36(6), 540-546. doi: 10.1038/nbt.4147
- [73] Jakočiūnas, T., Pedersen, L.E., Lis, A.V., Jensen, M.K., & Keasling, J.D. (2018). CasPER, a method for directed evolution in genomic contexts using mutagenesis and CRISPR/Cas9. *Metabolic engineering*, 48, 288-296. doi: 10.1016/j.mben.2018.07.001
- [74] Soreanu, I., Hendler, A., Dahan, D., Dovrat, D., & Aharoni, A. (2018). Marker-free genetic manipulations in yeast using CRISPR/CAS9 system. *Current genetics*, 64(5), 1129-1139. doi: 10.1007/s00294-018-0831-y
- [75] Zhang, Y., Wang, J., Wang, Z., Zhang, Y., Shi, S., Nielsen, J., et al. (2019). A gRNA-tRNA array for CRISPR-Cas9 based rapid multiplexed genome editing in *S. cerevisiae*. *Nature communications*, 10(1), 1053. doi: 10.1038/s41467-019-09005-3
- [76] Laughery, M.F., Mayes, H.C., Pedroza, I.K., & Wyrick, J.J. (2019). R-loop formation by dCas9 is mutagenic in *S. cerevisiae*. *Nucleic acids research*, 47(5), 2389-2401. doi: 10.1093/nar/gky1278
- [77] Shao, Y., Lu, N., Xue, X., & Qin, Z. (2019). Creating functional chromosome fusions in yeast with CRISPR-Cas9. *Nature protocols*, 14(8), 2521-2545. doi: 10.1038/s41596-019-0192-0
- [78] Hofmann, A., Falk, J., Prangemeier, T., Happel, D., Köber, A., Christmann, A., et al. (2019). A tightly regulated and adjustable CRISPR-dCas9 based AND gate in yeast. *Nucleic acids research*, 47(1), 509-520. doi: 10.1093/nar/gky1191
- [79] Ai, L., Guo, W., Chen, W., Teng, Y., & Bai, L. (2019). The gal80 Deletion by CRISPR-Cas9 in Engineered *S. cerevisiae* Produces Artemisinic Acid Without Galactose Induction. *Current microbiology*, 76(11), 1313-1319. doi: 10.1007/s00284-019-01752-2
- [80] Chen, H., Zhu, C., Zhu, M., Xiong, J., Ma, H., Zhuo, M., et al. (2019). High production of valencene in *S. cerevisiae* through metabolic engineering. *Microbial cell factories*, 18(1), 195. doi: 10.1186/s12934-019-1246-2
- [81] Laughery, M.F., & Wyrick, J.J. (2019). Simple CRISPR-Cas9 Genome Editing in *S. cerevisiae*. *Current protocols in molecular biology*, 129(1), e110. doi: 10.1002/cpmb.110
- [82] Ciurkot, K., Vonk, B., Gorochoowski, T.E., Roubos, J.A., & Verwaal, R. (2019). CRISPR/Cas12a Multiplex Genome Editing of *S. cerevisiae* and the Creation of Yeast Pixel Art. *Journal of visualized experiments: JoVE*, (147), 10.3791/59350. doi: 10.3791/59350
- [83] Yan, Y., & Finnigan, G.C. (2019). Analysis of CRISPR gene drive design in budding yeast. *Access microbiology*, 1(9), e000059. doi: 10.1099/acmi.0.000059
- [84] Cámara, E., Lenitz, I., & Nygård, Y. (2020). A CRISPR activation and interference toolkit for industrial *S. cerevisiae* strain KE6-12. *Scientific reports*, 10(1), 14605. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-71648-w
- [85] Levi, O., & Arava, Y. (2020). Expanding the CRISPR/Cas9 Toolbox for Gene Engineering in *S. cerevisiae*. *Current microbiology*, 77(3), 468-478. doi: 10.1007/s00284-019-01851-0
- [86] Li, Z.H., Meng, H., Ma, B., Tao, X., Liu, M., Wang, F.Q., et al. (2020). Immediate, multiplexed and sequential genome engineering facilitated by CRISPR/Cas9 in *S. cerevisiae*. *Journal of industrial microbiology & biotechnology*, 47(1), 83-96. doi: 10.1007/s10295-019-02251-w

- [87] Mitsui, R., Yamada, R., Matsumoto, T., Yoshihara, S., Tokumoto, H., & Ogino, H. (2020). Construction of lactic acid-tolerant *S. cerevisiae* by using CRISPR-Cas-mediated genome evolution for efficient D-lactic acid production. *Applied microbiology and biotechnology*, 104(21), 9147-9158. doi: 10.1007/s00253-020-10906-3
- [88] Yang, S., Cao, X., Yu, W., Li, S., & Zhou, Y.J. (2020). Efficient targeted mutation of genomic essential genes in yeast *S. cerevisiae*. *Applied microbiology and biotechnology*, 104(7), 3037-3047. doi:10.1007/s00253-020-10405-5
- [89] Xu, H., Han, M., Zhou, S., Li, B.Z., Wu, Y., et al. (2020). Chromosome drives via CRISPR-Cas9 in yeast. *Nature communications*, 11(1), 4344. doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-18222-0
- [90] van Wyk, N., Kroukamp, H., Espinosa, M.I., von Wallbrunn, C., Wendland, J., & Pretorius, I.S. (2020). Blending wine yeast phenotypes with the aid of CRISPR DNA editing technologies. *International journal of food microbiology*, 324, 108615. doi: 10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2020.108615
- [91] Gong, G., Zhang, Y., Wang, Z., Liu, L., Shi, S., Siewers, V., et al. (2021). GTR 2.0: gRNA-tRNA Array and Cas9-NG Based Genome Disruption and Single-Nucleotide Conversion in *S. cerevisiae*. *ACS synthetic biology*, 10(6), 1328-1337. doi: 10.1021/acssynbio.0c00560
- [92] McGlincy, N.J., Meacham, Z.A., Reynaud, K.K., Muller, R., Baum, R., & Ingolia, N.T. (2021). A genome-scale CRISPR interference guide library enables comprehensive phenotypic profiling in yeast. *BMC genomics*, 22(1), 205. doi: 10.1186/s12864-021-07518-0
- [93] Zhang, Z.X., Wang, Y.Z., Xu, Y.S., Sun, X.M., & Huang, H. (2021). Developing GDi-CRISPR System for Multi-copy Integration in *S. cerevisiae*. *Applied biochemistry and biotechnology*, 193(7), 2379-2388. doi: 10.1007/s12010-021-03532-w
- [94] Heidari, R., Shaw, D.M., & Elger, B.S. (2017). CRISPR and the Rebirth of Synthetic Biology. *Science and engineering ethics*, 23(2), 351-363. doi: 10.1007/s11948-016-9768-z
- [95] Cameron, D.E., Bashor, C.J., & Collins, J.J. (2014). A brief history of synthetic biology. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, 12(5), 381-390.
- [96] Sun, L., Lutz, B.M., & Tao, Y.X. (2016). The CRISPR/Cas9 system for gene editing and its potential application in pain research. *Translational perioperative and pain medicine*, 1(3), 22-33.
- [97] Cho, S.W., Kim, S., Kim, Y., Kweon, J., Kim, H.S., Bae, S., et al. (2014). Analysis of off-target effects of CRISPR/Cas-derived RNA-guided endonucleases and nickases. *Genome research*, 24(1), 132-141. doi: 10.1101/gr.162339.113
- [98] Doench, J.G., Fusi, N., Sullender, M., Hegde, M., Vaimberg, E.W., Donovan, K.F., et al. (2016). Optimized sgRNA design to maximize activity and minimize off-target effects of CRISPR/Cas9. *Nature biotechnology*, 34(2), 184-191. doi: 10.1038/nbt.3437