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Application of Bone Morphogenetic Protein in Spinal Fusion Surgery

Siavash Beiranvand and Farshad Hasanzadeh-Kiabi

Abstract

Lumbar and cervical fusions are one of the most common types of spine surgeries performed globally with approximated 450,000 spinal fusion surgeries performed annually. Bone Morphogenetic Proteins (BMPs) are secreted cytokines with several functions, within the TGF-ß superfamily. BMP act as a disulfide-linked homo- or heterodimers and have been recognized as strong and effective regulators of important biological processes like formation and repair of osteocytes and chondrocytes, cell proliferation during embryonic development. Recombinant human bone morphogenetic protein 2 (rhBMP-2) is a very effective osteogenic growth factor that has been demonstrated to be effective in different types of spinal fusions and reduces the reliance on the use autologous iliac crest bone graft. In recent years there have been limitations regarding the use of rhBMP-2 because of issues like high costs, benefits, and safety issues about rhBMP-2. In this review, a comprehensive overview about the application of rhBMP-2 in spinal fusion surgery is given.
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1. Introduction

The use of osteobiologics to improve the outcome of spinal fusion has contributed to an increase in spinal fusion surgical procedures worldwide [1]. There are many different types of bone graft fusion materials currently on the market, however there is still a need for a cost effective biological material to achieve a successful permanent arthrodesis [2]. Presently iliac crest autograft, used for spinal fusion surgeries, is desirable as it possess osteo-biological properties with reduced risk of diseases transmission and graft rejection [3]. However, according to some studies, autograft has been linked to longer surgery time, few donor site availability [4], and chronic donor site pain [5, 6]. These limitations and disadvantages have led to novel therapeutic bone graft options for spinal fusion surgery [5, 7], like BMPs.

Marshall Urist was the first to describe BMP in 1965. It belongs to the transforming growth factor-ß family. There are various types of BMP molecules that exist, however few of them have been associated with osteoblast differentiation and bone development [7]. Recombinant rhBMP-2 is the market available form of
BMP-2 FDA approved for anterior lumbar interbody fusion (ALIF) [8]. There have been several clinical studies on the anterior lumbar interbody fusions and all have reported effective fusion rates, reduced operative time, reduced blood loss, and reduced hospital duration with the administration of rhBMP-2 when compared to iliac crest bone graft (ICBG) [9]. However, there have been conflicting reports as to whether rhBMP-2 is efficient in spinal fusion. A well-done study was performed by Papakostidis et al., who investigated the benefits of rhBMP-2 in promoting posterolateral fusion. They concluded in their report that rhBMP-2 significantly increases rates of fusion, reduced hospital stay with the administration of BMP-2, compared to autologous iliac crest bone graft [10]. Lee et al. also confirmed the efficacy of the administration of rhBMP-2 in elderly patients undergoing posterolateral lumbar fusion at a single operative level [11]. Similarly, researchers like Meisel and colleagues also reported a 95–100% successful arthrodesis with use of BMP-2 when performing posterior lumbar interbody fusion [12]. However, recent systematic reviews question the efficacy and use of BMP-2 over iliac crest bone graft as noted in the Yale University Open Data base (YODA) Project and FDA reports. Including 13 randomized-controlled and 31 cohort studies, the study reported that for spinal fusion, rhBMP and iliac crest bone graft have similar efficacy. However, incidence of adverse event might be greater in anterior lumbar-body fusion and anterior cervical spine fusion. Furthermore, rhBMP can increase 24-month cancer risk [8]. These reports concluded that there were no substantial clear benefits of the administration of BMP-2 in spine fusion over autologous bone graft, and in fact there were more complications linked with BMP-2 use [13] (Figure 1).

Figure 1. Lateral radiograph of the cervical spine demonstrating massive soft-tissue swelling (arrows) following anterior cervical diskectomy and fusion surgery using rhBMP-2. Image was culled from.

2. Types of BMPs

There are about 20 different BMPs, however only BMP-2 is presently FDA approved for human spinal surgery [14, 15]. In addition, BMP-7 has been investigated for human use but is not FDA approved.
2.1 Different applications of rhBMP-2 in spinal fusion

2.1.1 Anterior lumbar interbody fusion

Burkus and colleagues demonstrated that patients administered with recombinant rhBMP-2 inside a Lumbar Tapered Fusion Device (LT-CAGE) had statistically significant lower length of surgery, lower duration of hospitalization and higher fusion rates at 6 months, 1 year and 2 years, compared to patients administered with the conventional ICBG [16]. In another clinical study, Burkus and co-worker compared the administration effect of rhBMP-2 in ALIF with structural cortical allografts and the INTER FIX Threaded Fusion Device to ICBG [17]. They concluded that patients administered with rhBMP-2 exhibited better clinical and radiographic results, compared to ICBG patients [18]. Furthermore Burkus and colleagues reported that they recorded a superior and higher rates of radiographic fusion compared to the control group, in addition they demonstrated that rhBMP-2 resulted into an improved ODI outcomes, enhanced radiographic fusion rate, compared to the ICBG control group. Table 1 shows a summary of different available clinical studies demonstrating the potency of rhBMP-2 in increasing fusion rates of various spine surgeries.

2.2 Posterolateral lumbar fusion

The efficacies of rhBMP-2 have been studied and reported over the past few years. Boden et al. in their prospective randomized multicenter clinical trials demonstrated that the administration of rhBMP-2 in posterolateral lumbar fusion (PLF) [19]. They compared the effect of rhBMP-2 in patients with suffering from degenerative disc disease following PLF [20]. The patients were divided into three groups: autograft with pedicle screw fixation, rhBMP-2 with pedicle screw fixation, and rhBMP-2 without pedicle screw fixation [21]. They concluded that they

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anatomical location of rhBMP-2</th>
<th>Adverse events</th>
<th>% Of fusion</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Posterolateral lumbar</td>
<td>None reported</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>[18]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior lumbar</td>
<td>None reported</td>
<td>94.5%</td>
<td>[13]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterolateral lumbar</td>
<td>None reported</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>[19]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterolateral lumbar</td>
<td>None reported</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>[20]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterolateral lumbar</td>
<td>None reported</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>[21]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior lumbar</td>
<td>There were reports of retrograde ejaculation</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>[22]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior cervical</td>
<td>There were evidences of large seroma with recurrence after surgery</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>[23]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior lumbar interbody</td>
<td>Osteolysis</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>[24]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior lumbar interbody</td>
<td>There were reports of increased incidence of radiculitis</td>
<td>96.5%</td>
<td>[25]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior lumbar interbody</td>
<td>There were reports malignancy at 5 years</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>[26]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Showing the rates of fusion and adverse events associated with the application of rhBMP-2.
recorded a 100% fusion rate in the rhBMP-2 groups compared to the 40% fusion rate in the autograft group was 40. Carreon and colleagues in their study, compared the application of autograft and higher dose rhBMP-2 in single-level of PLF case was carried out [22]. They concluded in their study that they recorded an 89% and 96% fusion rate in the autograft group and rhBMP-2/CRM group respectively at 2 years follow-up. However they also recorded no similar clinical outcome measures between the two compared groups [23]. There have also been few smaller studies that reported related results of high fusion rates with the use of rhBMP-2 in PLF compared to ICBG [24].

2.3 Posterior lumbar interbody fusion

Haid and co-worker reported the efficiency of rhBMP-2 in posterior lumbar interbody fusion (PLIF), however there is a possibility for heterotopic bone formation. Haid and co-workers reported that they recorded 92.3% and 77.8% fusion rate with rhBMP-2 group and control group respectively, however there was an insignificant difference in clinical progress between the two compared groups [25]. They also reported via CT imaging that there was formation of ectopic bone around the PLIF [26].

2.4 Anterior cervical fusion

Baskin and colleague reported that there was a 100% fusion rate with the administration rhBMP-2 when compared with autograft [28]. Furthermore, they reported that the efficiency of rhBMP-2 was further improved when collagen sponges, PEEK cages, bioabsorbable spacers, and allograft rings were added to it [29]. However, the positive results have been marred by reports of the incidence of soft-tissue related complications including potentially life-threatening airway compromise from tissue swelling. Cole, Veeravagu [30] conducted a MarketScan database-based retrospective study regarding the use of rhBMP in anterior cervical discectomy and fusion procedure. The outcomes of the study indicated that the use of drug is associated with increased incidence of hematoma, seroma, dysphagia, and pulmonary complications. Low dose rhBMP is also not associated with reduced incidence of the postoperative complications [31]. The FDA has placed a black box warning on the use of rhBMP-2 in the anterior cervical spine indicating that the risk of use may outweigh the benefit and therefore, its use is not recommended in anterior cervical fusion.

2.5 Transforaminal lumbar interbody fusion

There have been studies to investigate the efficiency of rhBMP-2 on transforaminal lumbar interbody fusion (TLIF, Figure 2). Villavicencio et al., in their clinical study on 74 patients, underwent single and multiple-level TLIF administered with rhBMP-2 and combined with autograft [33]. They recorded that there was radiographic evidence of fusion in all 74 patients after 10 months [34]. Furthermore, they recorded few adverse events in the rhBMP-2 group noting two patients developed postoperative radiculitis. In another similar study by Rihn et al., 48 patients underwent single-level TLIF administered with rhBMP-2 [35]. They concluded radiographic fusion, improved clinical outcomes and satisfaction with surgical results in 95.8%, 83% and 84% of the patients, respectively. However, 27.1% of their patients had complications like transient postoperative radiculitis and symptomatic ectopic bone formation (Table 2).
3. Conclusion

The use of rhBMP-2 offers an alternative therapeutic option when iliac crest autograft is either unavailable or may result in severe side effects. There are various clinical studies investigating how the use of rhBMP-2 can be effective in achieving spinal fusion. However, though rhBMP-2 is effective at achieving spinal fusion patients need to be informed of the possible formation ectopic bone requiring additional surgery and seroma formation when preforming transfarcal lumbar interbody fusion. There is a need for further study to minimize or lower the rates of complication linked with the application of rhBMP-2.
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