

We are IntechOpen, the world's leading publisher of Open Access books Built by scientists, for scientists

6,900

Open access books available

185,000

International authors and editors

200M

Downloads

Our authors are among the

154

Countries delivered to

TOP 1%

most cited scientists

12.2%

Contributors from top 500 universities



WEB OF SCIENCE™

Selection of our books indexed in the Book Citation Index
in Web of Science™ Core Collection (BKCI)

Interested in publishing with us?
Contact book.department@intechopen.com

Numbers displayed above are based on latest data collected.
For more information visit www.intechopen.com



The Microbial Cell Based Biosensors

Reshetilov A.N., Iliasov P.V. and Reshetilova T.A.
*Institute of Biochemistry & Physiology of Microorganisms,
 Russian Academy of Sciences
 Russian Federation*

1. Introduction

The typical feature of the advancement of knowledge as a whole is initiation of novel interdisciplinary trends and divisions of science. They usually appear as a result of joint creative work of specialists of different profiles, which is conditioned by widening of the scope of scientific problems, interests, objects and methods of research. One of these trends is biosensor research (biosensorics), the branch of biotechnology that originated in the second half of the 20th century at the interfaces between biology, biophysics, chemistry, physics, electronics, and informatics.

The essence of this trend may be defined as follows: biosensor studies pursue the construction of analytic systems, i.e. biosensors, the primary function of which is express analysis for sought-for substance detection. The main "character" in biosensor analyzer is biological material: it provides sensitivity of instrument to sought-for substance.

The analysis of events resulting in the development of biosensorics as a research trend shows that the author of biosensor conception and the first biosensor developer is USA biochemist L. C. Clark, Jr. In 1962, Clark and Lyons introduced the term "enzyme electrode" into practice (Turner, 1996). For manufacture of this device, a minor quantity of glucose oxidase was applied onto the surface of platinum electrode and covered with cellophane. The platinum was at positive potential to relative to silver electrode. The system did not react to dissolved oxygen but generated current in the presence of hydrogen peroxide. L. Clark showed that the electrode current quickly increased at addition of glucose to the solution and was proportional to its concentration (Clark, 1993).

The formalization and specification of terminology related to electrochemical biosensors but extendable to biosensors of other types was carried out by recommendation of the International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry (IUPAC). It gives recommendations for researchers, editorial boards of journals, and publishers on application of concepts such as biosensor and its parameters: sensitivity, general and linear concentration measurement range, detection limit, selectivity, life time, etc. (Thevenot et al., 2001).

Quite a number of publications show the stages of development and state-of-the-art of biosensor studies. So the question of efficiency of biosensor analysis of the environment is considered in papers (Rodriguez-Mozaz et al., 2006); general problems of the analytical aspects of biosensors are presented in review (Pearson et al., 2000); specific problems of electrochemical biosensor measurement are presented in review (Mehrvar & Abdi, 2004); the aspects of the functioning of biosensors based on ion-selective field transistors are

Source: Intelligent and Biosensors, Book edited by: Vernon S. Somerset,
 ISBN 978-953-7619-58-9, pp. 386, January 2010, INTECH, Croatia, downloaded from SCIYO.COM

described in paper (Yuqing et al., 2003). The analysis of application of whole cells in biosensors can be found in reviews (Bousse, 1996; Ziegler, 2000; Bentley et al., 2001). Review (Murphy, 2006) presents the analysis of advantages of biosensors (DNA-, immunosensors, enzyme sensors with direct charge transfer, etc.) constructed with application of nanomaterials. The use of microbial cells in receptor elements of biosensors is described in works (D'Souza, 2001b; Riedel et al., 1989; Racek, 1995).

The microbial cells based biosensors are considered in the present chapter.

2. Microbial biosensors design and characteristics

2.1 Biosensor design

The first microbial biosensor as indicated in the review (Turner, 1996) was described in 1975 by Divies and was based on the use of *Acetobacter xylinum* and oxygen electrode. The work became the foundation of the investigations devoted to the development of microbial biosensors for application in biotechnology and environmental monitoring.

Taking a biomaterial type in the bioreceptor as a basis it is possible to pick out the class of cell based biosensors (Thevenot et al., 2001). They can incorporate the plant or animal isolated cells or subcellular structures as well as the microbial cells. The most of the cell based biosensors developed to date fall into the subclass of microbial biosensors (D'Souza, 2001b; Bousse, 1996). This is due to the simplicity of the microorganisms' cultivation, the rich analytical prospects of the microbial cells (Racek, 1995) and their reliability when using as the base of the immobilized biocatalysts (Cassidy et al., 1996).

The appearance of the microbial sensors was the logical extension of the enzyme electrodes' development. The signal generation mechanism is analogous in general terms for both of the microbial and enzyme biosensors. In either case the biocatalytic reaction following the enzyme kinetics statements takes place. According to the model used in the work (Ikeda et al., 1996) the microbial cell is treated as the "bag of enzymes". The representation is unconditionally primitive and do not take into the account the complexity of the cell's structural organization and homeostatic system of intracellular biochemical processes but from the standpoint of the biosensorics in its elementary form the microbial cell could really be considered as an integrated biocatalyst that is analogous to the enzyme preparation in many ways.

The scheme of the intracellular metabolic processes underlying the background of microbial biosensors could be represented as follows. The analyte enters the cell and is converted using the intracellular enzymes. As a result, the co-substrates are consumed and the reaction products that could also be electrochemically active are generated. The registration of the oxygen level, medium ionic composition and other parameters in the immobilized cells' layer can be used as the indicators of the cells' metabolic state and the background for the electrochemical determination of biologically active compounds.

The selection of the microorganism for the use in a biosensor is a milestone of the development process. The key factors of the problem are the substrate specificity and the sensitivity of detection. Thus, the study of a number of *Gluconobacter* strains allowed to predict the cells' specificity to carbohydrates, alcohols, and organic acids using the potentiometric and amperometric transducers (Reshetilov et al., 1997a).

The bioreceptor of the typical microbial biosensor is represented by a membrane or gel strip containing the immobilized microorganisms fixed onto the working area of a transducer. The immobilization of cells ensures small (~10-100 mg) biomaterial consumption, high assay

rate and allows the multiple measurements without the bioreceptor replacement. The choice of the immobilization technique is determined by the criteria of the sensor stability and measurement rate (i.e. the diffusion characteristics of a support). The immobilization methods have been improved with the time (D'Souza, 2001a); the most common of them have been considered in the manuscript (D'Souza, 2001b). In the most of cases the cells' immobilization in the bioreceptor have been carried out by the sorption or inclusion into the gel or polymer matrixes.

Generally, the immobilization techniques could be divided into active and passive. The natural adhesive ability of microorganisms can be used for their passive immobilization. On the other hand, the active immobilization supposes the use of chemical and physical methods for cell fixing. The choose of the most efficient technique is determined by the type of supposed assay and cells' features. The inventory of the microorganisms immobilization includes the same approaches as for the enzyme immobilization (Thevenot et al., 2001). These approaches are described below in short form.

Inclusion into a gel or polymer matrix. This method ensures the retention of cells in the spatial network formed by a polymer. The key advantage of the method is the improved (over against the adsorbed cells) sensor stability (Racek, 1991). Furthermore, it is known that in a number of cases the polysaccharide gels reduce the toxic effect of aromatics on the microbial cells (Fedorov et al., 1999) that is the important factor during the development of the sensors for environmental needs. Agar, Ca-alginate, carrageenan, gelatin and collagen gels and PVA are widely used in microbial sensors. Although the polymerization of these materials arises in the stress conditions they ensure high cells' viability and reproducibility of analysis. The polyacrylamide gel is also every so often used in microbial sensors (Wollenberger et al., 1980) in spite of its toxicity. The PVA cryogels (Philp et al., 2003) and photo-crosslinked polymers like ENT/ENTP (a composite mixture polymerizing under near UV light) or modified PVA (Fukui & Tanaka, 1984), poly(carbamoyl sulfonate) and polyurethane (Konig et al., 1998), sol-gel matrixes based on alumina or composite polymers (Jia et al., 2003), latex-type polymers based on acrylic and methacrylic acids (D'Souza, 2001a), redox hydrogels like $[\text{Os}(\text{bpy})_2\text{Cl}]^{+/2+}$ also should be mentioned among the high-used carriers. Generally, the inclusion have been used in microbial biosensorics approximately as often as the adsorption and essential in the case of high cells' desorption from the support.

Adsorption. The common supports for adsorption include various membranes, a filter paper, carbon materials and other carriers possess the high absorbance; sometimes the cells have been adsorbed directly onto the electrode surface. The review (D'Souza, 2001b) describes the immobilization by the simultaneous adhesion of viable and unviable cells on the different materials including the glass, cotton, and polymer carriers. The specific for microorganisms technique is the flocculation - the aggregation of cells and sorption of the aggregates on the support (Cassidy et al., 1996). One of the major advantages of the adsorption is its simplicity; also, the adsorption is a "soft" method with minimal damaging action. The stability of the sensors with adsorbed cells is rather high; it have been observed that such biosensors retain the activity within several weeks and even months (Rechnitz et al., 1977; Karube et al., 1980; Matsunaga et al., 1984). These factors make the adsorption one of the most preferable approaches for microbial biosensors development.

Covalent attachment. This approach supposes the use of cross-linking agents like glutaraldehyde, carbodiimide, titanium oxide that linked to molecules exposed on the

microbial cell wall and with functional groups on the surface of the carrier or transducer. The obtained film has been fixed on the transducer. The approach is widely used with the enzyme electrodes but rarely with microbial sensors because the cross-linking in the most of cases leads to the loss of the viability or decrease of the cells' catalytic activity.

The immobilization on the membrane is not the only possible design of a bioreceptor. A number of biosensors described in works (Alkasrawi et al., 1999; Gu & Gil, 2001) used the receptors represented by reactors with displacement and continuous or pulse substrate feed. The transducer in this case have been installed at the output of the reactor that allow to control the changes of biochemical activity of the immobilized biomass under the substrate feed. The cells immobilization in a bioreactor may be carried out by means of adsorption on a granular carrier or by inclusion into a gel. In the case when the substrate conversion is accompanied by the generation of compounds that could be analyzed using a biosensor an efficient approach consists in a creation of a hybrid sensor by means of installation of a such biosensor at the output of the reactor (Damgaard et al., 2001). However, the reactor based biosensors have been utilized infrequently due to labor content of the reactor exploitation over against the membrane-based sensors as well as high consumption of the biomass for the receptor formation.

One of the reactor biosensor designs is the case when the cells are suspended in the solution of a measuring cuvette (i.e. the biosensor is represented by a transducer placed into the cuvette with the cell suspension or with the suspension of a dispersed carrier). The design is similar to a fuel cell and is known as "fuel-cell-type sensor". The essential disadvantages of the approach consist in the high consumption of a biomaterial, impossibility or difficulty of the continuous measurements. However, in spite of these reasons, the approach have been exploited from time to time in model biosensor studies (Kim et al., 2003; Chang et al., 2004; Vais et al., 1989; Guliy et al., 2003).

2.2 Comparative characteristics of microbial and enzyme biosensors.

The belonging of the electrochemical and calorimetric enzyme or microbial biosensors to the group of catalytic sensors determines their properties and, in particular, the range of detectable concentrations. For the most of models reported to date it lies within 10^{-6} - 10^{-2} M. The exception are the optical microbial sensors whose signal is not based directly on catalytic transformation of the analyte; they are characterized by lower limit of detection about 10^{-9} - 10^{-7} M. In spite of the similarity of the operating principles of the microbial and enzyme sensors the use of whole microbial cells have particular advantages and disadvantages in contrast to the enzyme utilization (D'Souza, 2001b; Racek, 1995; Riedel et al., 1987; Bousse, 1996). The advantages include:

a) the absence of need in obtaining and exploitation of pure enzymes, i.e. reduction of labor content and prime cost of analysis; b) some enzymes may inactivate during isolation or immobilization if these processes disarrange their molecular structure. The use of whole cells minimizes this obstacle; c) the microbial cells can be genetically modified that makes it possible to obtain recombinant organisms with determined biocatalytic properties; d) the enzymes within the cells are in naturally occurring, evolutionary optimized environment that ensures high stability of a number of microbial sensors. Also, the cells contain coenzymes and activators of biochemical pathways that eliminates the need in their addition in the medium; e) the utilization of microorganisms allows the realization of sequential biochemical processes that in the case of an enzyme sensor would be artificially designed; f) the receptor of microbial sensor can be regenerated by conditioning the cell growth.

The important reason for the utilization of the whole cells in analytical devices is the fact that only the use of living cell allow the obtaining of the functional information, i.e. determining how the factor affects the organism in vivo (Bousse, 1996). The examples supposing the obtaining of functional information include the questions:

a) does the compound affect the cell metabolism and how; b) is the compound agonist or antagonist of the particular receptor (the question is essential for the pharmaceuticals and drug development); c) is the sample or substance toxic. The question is directly related to objectives of biosensorics, at the same time the influence of the environment on the organisms in a general sense is related to functional information. The microbial biosensors have been applied for the such investigation over a long period of time. The studies include the evaluation of BOD, total toxicity, genotoxicity, i.e. the parameters that are inherently related to functional state of organisms.

The most common disadvantages of microbial sensors include the decreased rate of signal generation and the low selectivity. The cell based receptor carries out the analyte conversion slower in contrast to the enzyme based one due to presence the cell wall that acts as a diffusion barrier. An efficient approach for solving the problem consists in the exploitation of permeabilized cells (D'Souza, 2001b). Another method allowing to reduce the sensor's reaction time suppose the application of genetic engineering technique in order to ensure the exposing of the particular enzymes on the outer surface of cell wall; in this case the diffusion obstacles are eliminated. Thus, in (Rainina et al., 1996; Mulchandani et al., 1998) the recombinant *Escherichia coli* strain containing the surface-expressed organophosphate hydrolase had been utilized. The cells carried out the substrate degradation with higher rate over the cells with the intracellular expression of the enzyme.

2.3 Hybrid biosensors

The bioreceptor of hybrid biosensors contain two or more different biocatalysts. As applied to the microbial sensors the term "hybrid" usually supposes that the sensor contain a mixed culture of two or more strains or "cells + enzyme" type composite. Both of the biomaterials must catalyze the coupled reactions. In hybrid biosensors' design, two major schemes of the bioreceptor have been utilized - a) the biocatalysts are separated from each other by a membrane allowing the substrate diffusion or b) the biocatalysts are mixed together. The hybrid biosensors with coupling the membrane and reactor bioreceptors have also been reported.

The major advantages of hybrid biosensors are the enhanced selectivity and the possibility to analyze compounds that could not be determined by single-component sensors. On the other hand, the labor content of hybrid biosensors' development and exploitation higher in contrast to the single-component ones. Maybe this explains the small amount of hybrid microbial sensors described to date. The hybrid sensor containing *Bacillus subtilis* cells in combination with the glucoamylase for the evaluation of α -amylase activity was reported in (Renneberg et al., 1984). The hybrid biosensor analyzer using the combination of the enzymes and bacterial cells and the column-type reactor with the membrane receptor was presented in publication (Reiss et al., 1998). The authors utilized two reactors containing α -amylase and amyloglucosidase coupled with a commercial BOD biosensor (Prüfgerätewerk Medingen) based on the immobilized *Trichosporon cutaneum* cells. The results of BOD evaluation in starch-containing wastes agreed well to the data of conventional BOD assay.

Hybrid microbial biosensors based on Clark type electrode and containing *Gluconobacter oxydans* in combination with *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* or *G. oxydans* combining with the

permeabilized *Kluyveromyces marxianus* cells were used for determination of sucrose and lactose, respectively (Svitel et al., 1998). The approach based on the attachment of glucose oxidase to microbial cell surface by concanavalin A or polyethyleneimine was applied for the development of sensors for sucrose and lactose detection; the carrier cells contained induced invertase and β -galactosidase (D'Souza, 1989).

The hybrid biosensors coupling different microorganisms in the bioreceptor have been widely used for BOD index evaluation. Thus, the simultaneous immobilization of *T. cutaneum* and *Bacillus licheniformis* expanded the analyzer's substrate specificity due to differences in metabolic activity of the cultures (Suriyawattanakul et al., 2002). Simultaneous immobilization of yeast strain *T. cutaneum* and bacterial culture *B. subtilis* (Jia et al., 2003) made it possible the creation of a BOD sensor with enhanced long-term stability.

2.4 Substrate specificity of microbial sensors and its improvement

The term "selectivity" usually means the sensor's ability to generate signals in response to the analyte appearance along with the minimal sensitivity to other compounds in the sample. It can be quantitatively assessed using two ways. The first one expresses the selectivity as the ratio of the analyte-induced response to responses to the analogous concentration of the interfering substances. The second approach consists in addition of the interfering substances in the medium that already contains the analyte; the selectivity in this case is expressed as the percentage of the signal increment after the interfering compound addition. The second way is more simple but allows to evaluate the selectivity more particularly (Thevenot et al., 2001).

The broad substrate specificity (low selectivity) of microbial biosensors is due to the variety of the intracellular enzyme systems. There is rather small amount of microbial sensor models possessing the sensitivity only to analytes - as a rule, due to the good choice of the strain and/or detection principle or measurement mode optimization. Most of the microbial sensors are characterized by sensitivity to a wide number of substances that can significantly hamper the analysis of complex samples (Riedel et al., 1990b). A number of approaches directed to the improving of microbial sensors' selectivity have been reported. These approaches will be considered below.

In the review (Racek, 1995) several ways of the sensors' selectivity improving have been described. Thus, it has been noted that one of the efficient methods consists in isolating the bioreceptor or transducer from the medium using an additional membrane impermeable for the interfering compounds. For example, the use of cellulose acetate membrane in a biosensor based on the *G. oxydans* cells made it possible the 60-fold increase of the response to ethanol in contrast with the response to glucose. In addition, the authors revealed the effect of culture age on the selectivity. Bacteria collected after 10 and 16 hours of cultivation varied in the ethanol to glucose sensitivity ratio approximately by a factor of 3 (Tkac et al., 2003). In the case when the analyte is volatile it is appropriate to use a gas-permeable membrane isolating the electrode from the medium. The approach is simple and reliable; at the other hand, the covering membrane may be an additional diffusion barrier for the analyte and decrease the rate of analysis.

Another approach that could be related to this section consists in the sample pretreatment in order to eliminate the interfering compounds. The particular case of such pretreatment is the introducing of the enzyme solution into the measuring cell or addition of a membrane containing the immobilized enzyme that carries out the conversion of the interfering substances into inactive products (Park et al., 1991). The approach has been utilized in a

hybrid sensor for sucrose detection. The sensor contained *Zymomonas mobilis* bacteria and invertase. The elimination of glucose initially presented in a sample has been carried out by glucose oxidase.

One of the simplest ways to increase the microbial sensor selectivity is based on the choice of microorganism able to use only the analyte as the carbon and/or energy source. In particular, this approach was used in a works directed to the development of a methane sensor (Karube et al., 1982b). However, it should be noted that this method is not an universal tool for enhancing the selectivity. Even in the case when the organism is able to utilize only one substrate its metabolic pathway for the most part includes several steps and the pathway intermediates are also expected to induce the biosensor signal. Besides, the inability of the cells to utilize the substrate as the source of carbon does not exclude the sensitivity of sensor to this compound. Thus, *G. oxydans* bacterium can not to utilize glucose as the carbon source due to metabolic peculiarities but the sensors based on the culture possess high sensitivity to glucose due to ability of the cells to convert glucose into ketogluconic acid accompanied by oxygen consumption and acidification of the medium.

In a number of cases a choice of a transducer or measurement mode is also important for the selectivity. The time of response to interfering compounds can differ from the time of response to the analyte due to variation in the rate of their diffusion through cell wall or metabolic processes. If the difference is significant it could be used for the selectivity improving (Racek, 1995). Thus, the use of *G. suboxydans* in a mediator sensor demonstrated a steady level of signal within 30 s and 15 min for ethanol and glucose, respectively (Ikeda et al., 1992). The effect can be used for selective determination of the substances in a mixed sample.

One of the most common ways of the microbial sensor selectivity improving consists in the cultivation of the biomass at a later stage used in a bioreceptor with the expected analyte as a sole source of carbon and energy or as a co-substrate (Simonian et al., 1992; Renneberg et al., 1984). The approach does not eliminate the ability of a sensor to respond to a wide spectrum of substances. It only allows to selectively increase (Renneberg et al., 1984) the sensor sensitivity to the analyte as a result of the induction and expression of the enzymes responsible for its conversion. The popular modification of the approach is the case when the biosensor or biomass after cultivation has been incubated with the analyte (Racek & Musil, 1987a; Riedel et al., 1990b). It is obvious that the efficiency of the approach is extremely high when the enzymes of the analyte metabolism are inducible and the analyte acts as the inductor. The metabolic activation of cells were utilized in a number of studies directed to the development of sensors for determination of carbohydrates, organic acids, sterols, amino acids, phenol etc. Thus, the application of the approach to biomass cultivation allowed to change the selectivity of the sensor based on yeast cells *Pichia angusta* VKM Y-2518. By means of growing the cells on various substrate it was possible to shift the cells' selectivity to ethanol or methanol several times (Voronova et al., 2008).

The similar technique is related to metabolic inhibition of undesirable pathways or their individual steps during the biomass cultivation or after it (Riedel & Scheller, 1987). In contrast to previous, this approach do not affect on the absolute value of the sensitivity to analyte but allows the elimination or reducing the signals to interfering compounds. For the further selectivity improving, it can be combined with the metabolic induction of analyte conversion enzymes. The common drawback of these approaches in the possibility of reducing and even total disappearance of the induction or inhibition effects after long-term

downtime or operating of the sensor under the presence of interfering compounds (Racek & Musil, 1987a). The attempts to solve the problem by means of incubation of the sensor between measurements in the solution of inductor or inhibitor are known (Kobos et al., 1979; Suzuki et al., 1992) but this can cause a contamination of the culture and altering the analytical characteristics of the sensor.

A promising approach is related to genetic manipulations allowing to enhance the expression of genes responsible to an analyte conversion or eliminate or block the genes of interfering compounds metabolism (Korpan et al., 1993; Mulchandani et al., 1998). The modification ensures actually the same result as in the case of metabolic activation or inhibition but eliminates the possibility of reverting the initial activity of enzymes after inductor or inhibitor removal. Besides, the biochemical altering of the cells' catalytic activity may affect on a number of enzymes while the genetic approach modifies the particular locus, i.e. is more specific. Also, the application of genetic engineering tools makes it possible to impart the principally new abilities to the cells – for example, such modification are often used for insertion of luciferase loci in the operon encoding the enzymes metabolizing the analyte in order to develop a bioluminescent sensor (Kurittu et al., 2000; Thouand et al., 2003).

Thus, the biosensor based on recombinant *Hansenula polymorpha* strains are described (Korpan et al., 1993). This yeast utilizes methanol to CO₂ and water through formaldehyde and formate. One of the strains was formate dehydrogenase-deficient that led to formate accumulation and acidification of medium during the methanol transformation. In the second case the recombinant strain did not contain two enzymes - alcohol oxidase and formate dehydrogenase. The sensor based on this strain was insensitive to methanol and formate and made it possible for selective determination of formaldehyde.

A special case of cell's genetic modification is the transformation or elimination of a plasmid harboring reporter genes or genes encoding the enzymes of analyte metabolism. The use of plasmids allows to obtain a pair of strains for differential detection system (D'Souza, 2001b). Thus, the high selectivity of biosensor for sulphoaromatic compounds detection was ensured using the strain *Comamonas testosteroni* BS1310 harboring the arylsulphonate degradation plasmid pBS1010. The sensitivity of the eliminant strain based sensor to *p*-toluene sulphonate was reduced 10-fold, in addition this sensor possess the decreased sensitivity to catechol, benzene sulphonate, sulphobenzoate. The results obtained supposed the possibility of selectivity improving using differential measurement principle (Makarenko et al., 1999).

The hybrid biosensor creation is also related to a number of methods of selectivity improving (Renneberg et al., 1984). In this case only one of simultaneously immobilized biocatalysts carries out the reaction registered by a transducer; actually, it's selectivity determines the total selectivity of a system. The second biocatalyst serves for the conversion of an initial analyte to compound recognizable by the detecting component and so ensures the system's sensitivity to analyte.

Another way to improve selectivity is based on the development of differential analyzers and sensor arrays (Racek, 1991; Held et al., 2002). The such analyzer includes two or more sensors that are differs only by the sensitivity to analyte(s). The differential analyzer based on *H. anomala* cells was developed for analysis of glucose in urine (Racek, 1991); the reference electrode contained cells with thermally inactivated glycolysis enzymes. Another example of a differential biosensor system is represented by the sensor of genotoxicity

described in (Karube et al., 1982a) and based on the use of two *E. coli* or *Salmonella* strains one of which lacks the SOS repair system.

The result of this approach development is the case when the sensor array includes a number of low-selective sensors measuring different parameters. The application of chemometrics for processing of the array signals makes it possible to carry out the selective quantitative assay of components in a complex sample. Thus, the differences in the maximal rate of signal changes in response to various substrates allowed to analyze components of mixtures of organic acids with the measurement error less than 10% (Slama et al., 1996; Plegge et al., 2000).

The possibility of selective analysis of a substrate mixture by a system including various combinations of sensors was studied in a number of works (Reshetilov et al., 1998; Lobanov et al., 2001). The system containing an enzyme sensor for glucose determination and a microbial (*Gluconobacter* cell based) sensor sensitive to glucose and ethanol was used for selective ethanol quantification in presence of glucose (Reshetilov et al., 1998). At the next step the authors exploited a system containing microbial sensors based on *G. oxydans* and *P. methanolica* cells (Lobanov et al., 2001). The possibility of selective analysis of both ethanol and glucose in the substrate mixture have been demonstrated. In another work the possibility of identification of glucose, xylose and ethanol in their mixture was shown by means of three microbial sensors based on *G. oxydans*, *H. polymorpha* and *E. coli* cells. The data were processed using cluster analysis and artificial neural network.

None of the above approach of the selectivity improving is universal. However, their individual or combined application in the most of cases allows to reach the acceptable analysis parameters. To top it all, there are situations when high selectivity is not necessary or even undesirable. These situations, in particular, take place in the field of environmentally oriented sensors. Thus, the analysis of wastes supposes not so much that the determination of particular pollutant as the assessment of a group of compounds or total content of organics indirectly determined through the BOD index (Chee et al., 1999; Kim & Park, 2001). In these conditions the use of sensors sensitive to a wide range of substances is essentially efficient (Lehmann et al., 1999; Suriyawattanakul et al., 2002).

3. Transducers in microbial biosensors

3.1 Amperometric transducers

Among the advances of biosensorics, three generations of amperometric bioelectrodes have been developed (Albery & Craston, 1987). Each of the generations acts according the principles of transformation of the biochemical reaction into the electric current.

The background of the first generation bioelectrodes operating is the registration of consumption of a co-substrate (usually oxygen) during the analyte oxidation or generation of reaction product. The most typical design is based on the oxygen Clark-type electrode and bears a name "respiratory electrode" (Racek, 1995). As the major part of aerobes' metabolism is accompanied by the oxygen consumption the approach can be used for development of biosensors for determination of a wide number of compounds (Held et al., 2002; Svitel et al., 1998; Karube et al., 1980; Beyersdorf-Radeck et al., 1998). Biosensors of this type are also used for determination of compounds suppressing the microbial activity (Campanella et al., 2001; Okochi et al., 2004) or possessing antibacterial or antimicrobial activity. In this case the registering parameter is represented by the decreasing of background respiratory activity of biomass.

The amperometric first generation electrodes registering the products of biochemical reactions (for example, hydrogen peroxide), are widely used in enzyme biosensors but rather rarely in microbial ones (Gonchar et al., 1998). So, the first generation electrodes among the microbial sensors are almost solely represented by the Clark type electrode.

In the second generation amperometric biosensors the registration of the biochemical activity has been carried out using the electron transfer mediators. The phenolic and quinoid compounds, ferricyanide, NAD^+/NADH , tetrathiafulvalen (TTF), the derivatives of ferrocene, pyridine, imidazol, complex metal-containing polymers and other substances have been used as mediators in enzyme biosensors (Chaubey & Malhotra, 2002). Sometimes the carrier for biomass immobilization (Tkac et al., 2007; Timur et al., 2007) or the electrode material (McNeil et al., 1992) possess mediatory characteristics - such electrodes belong to third generation able to intercept the electrons from redox enzymatic reaction directly (Shleev et al., 2005).

The major advantage of the 2nd and 3rd generation electrodes is the elimination of the dependence of the sensor signal on a dissolved oxygen concentration. Also, the transducer of mediator sensors is often represented by screen-printed electrodes that allows to reduce the assay cost and facilitates the unification of the sensors' characteristics. At the same time, this approach requires additional expenses for the mediator obtaining. In relation to microbial sensors it can be realized only if the analyte conversion involves the easily accessible cell surface-localized enzymes or if the cell wall and membrane are permeable for mediator in both directions. To date, all types of charge transfer are reported for cell based biosensors including the utilization of various mediators (Katrlik et al., 2007; Tkac et al., 2002; Bhatia et al., 2003), direct charge transfer in biofuel cells (Chaudhuri & Lovley, 2003), and also the immobilization using the conducting carriers (Vostiar et al., 2004; Timur et al., 2007).

The coupling of enzyme and electrochemical reactions on the conducting materials made it possible for the development of a large number of microbial biosensors for carbohydrates and alcohols detection (Ikeda et al., 2004). The amperometric microbial sensors can also be used for determination of a wide spectrum of pollutants; the backgrounds of the method are described in the review (Paitan et al., 2003).

3.2 Potentiometric transducers

In the cases when the biochemical reaction leads to alteration of ionic composition of the medium it can be registered using potentiometric ion-selective electrodes (ISE). If ISE is placed into the medium containing the expected ion an electric potential appears on the electrode's membrane. The ISE most often used in biosensorics is the pH-electrode. Protonation/deprotonation of a bioreceptor due to biochemical reaction results in a potential generation on the electrode membrane.

The development of ion-selective field effect transistors (ISFETs) were started in 70s by P. Bergveld team (University of Twente, The Netherlands). In general, the developments were directed to the creation of pH-selective ISFETs that soon after became compete with glass pH-electrodes (Bergveld, 2003).

The detailed description of ISFETs related studies and their application in biosensorics is presented in a number of reviews (Domansky et al., 1993; Bergveld, 2003; Yuqing et al., 2003). To present, the ISFETs for evaluation of various ions as well as concentration of oxygen and carbon dioxide have been reported. At the same time, the amount of publications devoted to microbial sensors based on ISE is rather small (Mulchandani et al., 1998; Rechnitz & Ho, 1990).

Seeking for the improving the quality of biosensoric analysis is a motivation of new transducer types development. The light-addressable potentiometric sensors (LAPS) was created as a result of the development of the concept related to control of the processes in semiconductor material using applied external electrical field. One of the important characteristics of LAPS is the fact that the photo-generated current reflects the chemical processes at the irradiation point. This lays the background for development of multichannel sensors by means of successive irradiation of the points.

Two major fields of LAPS application are the development of affine biosensors and microphysiometers. The latter's purpose is the evaluation of intracellular metabolic processes in vivo. The majority of to-date reported microphysiometers were used for the studies of metabolism of homoeothermic animal cells. The first paper related to this subject was published in 1989 (Parce et al., 1989). The LAPS based device ensured the possibility of detection the receptor-ligand interaction, assessment of the sensitivity of tumor cells to drugs and evaluation of the toxic action of various factors on the cells (Bousse, 1996). The study of bacterial cells metabolism using LAPS has been presented in paper (Baxter et al., 1994) that is related to evaluation of cells' sensitivity to antibacterial agents.

Thus, it should be conclude that the most important characteristics of LAPS as a biosensor transducer are high reliability and simple design, as well as the possibility to evaluate the metabolic processes in microbial and animal cells in vivo. The LAPS-based multichannel sensors could easily be designed without complex procedures that are necessary during development a multichannel FET-based device.

3.3 Conductometric sensors

Conductometric biosensors register the bioreceptor activity by the changes of the solution conductivity caused by biochemical reactions. The typical design of a conductometric sensor includes interpenetrating electrodes on a dielectric support covered by a biomaterial film. The enzyme conductometric sensors for detection of ethanol, urea, penicillin etc. have been described. An important drawback of conductometric sensors is the susceptibility to unspecific factors associated with biochemical reactions, so the measurements are usually carried out by a pair of sensors for error minimization. The application of conductometry for development of microbial sensors is limited.

Several examples of toxic compounds determination using a conductometric sensor have been reported. Microbial biosensor based on whole *Rhodococcus ruber* cells has been created for express analysis of acrylonitrile (Roach et al., 2003). A sensor based on *Chlorella vulgaris* cells and conductometric transducer has been developed for evaluation of activity of intracellular alkaline phosphatase and cadmium and zinc ions. The cells were immobilized in bovine serum albumin by cross-linking with glutaraldehyde. (Chouteau et al., 2004).

The conductometric microbial sensors for alcohol analysis are also known. Detection of ethanol in beverages has been carried out using a conductometric yeast-based biosensor; the results of analysis correlated well to the gas chromatography data (Korpan et al., 1994).

3.4 Microbial calorimetric sensors

Microcalorimetry is one of the conventional techniques of the cell metabolism assessment. The majority of enzyme reaction have been accompanied by heat emission; their molar enthalpies are in the range of 25-100 kJ/mole (Turner et al., 1987). The heat measurement is the operating principle of the calorimetric or thermal biosensors. The first enzyme thermal

sensor also known as "enzyme thermistor" was developed in early 1970s (Mosbach & Danielsson, 1974). The typical calorimetric sensor includes a receptor designed as a reactor heat-insulated from the environment. The temperature measurements have been carried out at the input and output of the reactor. To improve the measurement precision and minimize errors a two-channel differential measurement system has been utilized.

The thermal biosensors advantages include the possibility of continuous measurements, high long-term stability, insensitivity to electrical or optical interferences, the absence of interfering action of the reaction products, high reproducibility and rapid responses. At the other hand, the restrictions are related to necessity of some samples pretreatment and the possibility of the system contamination as a result of continuous measurement of the untreated samples (Ramanathan et al., 1999).

One of the first microbial thermistors has been described in (Mattiasson et al., 1977). The authors mentioned that the sensor could be used for the analysis of a wide spectrum of compounds. However, to date only a small amount of the microbial thermal sensor related studies have been reported in spite of the fact that the heat emission during the microbial conversion of a substrate is similar to that during the enzyme oxidation. The author of review (Schugerl, 2001) notes that the microcalorimetric approach could be used for real-time monitoring of the biomass cultivation processes.

3.5 Optical microbial sensors

The important requirements specified for the environmentally oriented analyzers intended for evaluation of the pollutants in situ include their operational efficiency and specificity. The development of bioluminescent microbial sensors based on the optical transducers allows to obtain analytical devices satisfying all the wants. The detailed review of this subject can be found in reviews (D'Souza, 2001b; Hansen & Sorensen, 2001). It is possible to select two characteristic versions of this approach. The realization of the first one involved the fusion of reporter genes to the operon controlled by the analyte-inducible promoter; the common reporters include bacterial and firefly luciferase components as well as green fluorescent protein (GFP) from the jellyfish *Aequorea victoria* or sea pen *Renilla reniformis* (Daunert et al., 2000). Thus, the appearance of the analyte results in the bioluminescence enhancement that is registered by a transducer; the approach could potentially be realized for detection of almost any compound involved into the cell metabolism. The special case is the technique that is consisted in the insertion of a reporter to one of loci responsible to DNA reparation; thus, any DNA damaging factor induces the biosensor signal that makes it possible the total genotoxicity assessment (Afanassiev et al., 2000; Mitchell & Gu, 2004).

The second version of the bioluminescent sensors related approach supposes the employment of recombinant or wild-type luminescent strains for control of non-specific factors reducing the microorganisms viability. In this case the reporter genes are controlled by constitutive promoters and the sensor's operating principle is based on the registration of background luminescence decrease due to reduction of the living cells amount caused by the effect of an analyte (Philp et al., 2003; Ritchie et al., 2001). This version of the bioluminescent sensor has been realized in the total toxicity evaluation system MICROTOX™ (Ribo & Kaiser, 1987).

The bioluminescence related approach ensures high selectivity of analysis and in a number of cases allows significant (in several orders) enhancement of the detection sensitivity over the biocatalytic sensors (Billard & DuBow, 1998). Its drawbacks include the low rate of analysis and increased labor content due to the need in genetic manipulations. To present, at

least several hundreds of bioluminescent microbial sensors tailored to determination of antimicrobial drugs, pollutants, genotoxicity and total toxicity have been reported (Anko et al., 2002; Applegate et al., 1998; Gu & Chang, 2001; Min et al., 2000).

The original optical microbial sensor based on the employment of surface plasmon resonance device reported in (Kononov et al., 2007). The authors demonstrated the possibility of obtaining the signal reflecting the metabolic state of microorganisms in real time, in spite of high thickness of the cells. The results obtained indicate that the cyclic generation of gas due to metabolic processes leads to reversible alteration of bacterial cells properties in the immobilized cells monolayer.

4. Analytes and application of microbial biosensors

4.1 Determination of carbohydrates.

Carbohydrates are the most common analytes of enzyme and microbial sensors. It suffice to say that the first microbial sensor was tailored to glucose determination and in spite of a plenty of investigations carried out within several dozens of years the determination of carbohydrates and their employment as the model substrates remains a widely used direction of biosensorics. As related to microbial sensors, this circumstance is due to the high bioavailability of carbohydrates and also by practical significance of their analysis for biotechnology, food industry and medicine. The most often reported "carbohydrate" sensors are glucose and lactose analyzers; at the other hand, a large number of microbial biosensor models for detection of other mono- and disaccharides and even polymeric carbohydrates have been described. Thus, in one of characteristic studies a microbial sensor for glucose determination based on *Pseudomonas fluorescens* and oxygen electrode has been developed (Karube et al., 1979). The similar work described three models of microbial sensors for detection of glucose, sucrose and lactose based on *G. oxydans*, co-immobilized *G. oxydans* and *S. cerevisiae* and co-immobilized *G. oxydans* and *K. marxianus* cells, respectively (Svitel et al., 1998). In the publication (Held et al., 2002) a sensor array including a number of oxygen electrodes with immobilized *E. coli* strains lacking the transfer systems of various mono- and disaccharides has been created. Several models of low-selective sensors able to determine the total carbohydrate content are known; they include, for example, the mediator biosensor based on *G. oxydans* cells and tailored to determination of total carbohydrate content in lignocellulose hydrolyzate (Tkac et al., 2000).

It should be noted that among the microbial carbohydrate analyzers there is rather large amount of mediator sensors. Besides of the sensor mentioned in (Tkac et al., 2000) the examples include the sensor based on *G. oxydans* and carbon paste electrode (Takayama et al., 1993), the glucose sensor based on *E. coli* cells and carbon paste electrode modified by benzoquinone and PQQ (Ito et al., 2002) and the glucose biosensor based on *Aspergillus niger* and carbon paste electrode. The ferricyanide and ferrocene were utilized as the mediators. The sensor was exploited for glucose monitoring during biotechnological processes (Katrlik et al., 1997).

The hybrid sensors are also often used for carbohydrate detection. The approach is especially appropriate for di- and polysaccharides analysis; in this case, one of the biocatalysts carries out the glycoside bond hydrolysis while the other determines the generated monomers. Two hybride carbohydrate sensors were mentioned above (Svitel et al., 1998); besides them the hybrid sensors for sucrose determination based on invertase and *Z. mobilis* cells (Park et al., 1991) and for detection of lactose in dairy products based on

glucose oxidase and *E. coli* cells (Svorc et al., 1990) have also been reported. The same approach was used for determination of α -amylase activity using co-immobilized *B. subtilis* and glucoamylase (Renneberg et al., 1984). Another manuscript describes an automated system based on a reactor containing the immobilized cells of *Rhodococcus erythropolis* and *Isaatchenkia orientalis* and screen printed oxygen electrodes (Heim et al., 1999).

In work (Nandakumar & Mattiasson, 1999) the microbial sensor for glucose detection based on psychrophilic bacteria *Deinococcus radiodurans* and oxygen electrode. Other carbohydrates could interfere with the analysis. Sensor was able to determine the analyte under 5 °C, the receptor remained 90% from the initial activity after 45 days from the start of exploitation.

Starch detection is actual for biotechnology and food industry. In (Kitova et al., 2004) microbial and enzyme sensors for detection of the glucose generated by the amylase have been considered. The high correlation of biosensor analysis results with the polarimetric starch determination in wheat and rye flour was obtained.

4.2 Detection of alcohols and organic acids.

Biosensoric determination of alcohols and organic acids is also reported rather often by virtue of the same reason as for the carbohydrate detection. The methodical ware, and in a number of cases the microorganisms employed are also similar to ones utilized in carbohydrate sensors. It should be noted that the microbial cells possessing high selectivity are often used in biosensors for alcohol detection along with the enzymes. The methylotrophic yeast belonging to *Hansenula*, *Pichia*, *Candida* genera that are characterized by high intracellular content of alcohol oxidase and substrate specificity alterable by means of biochemical or genetic manipulations seem to be especially promising for this purpose (Voronova et al., 2008; Korpan et al., 1993). Thus, in manuscript (Gonchar et al., 1998) two microbial alcohol sensors based on recombinant *H. polymorpha* cells have been described. The first of the sensors utilized the cells with highly active alcohol oxidase immobilized on an oxygen electrode while the second one was based on catalase-deficient cells and involved a peroxide electrode as a transducer. The sensors were highly stable and insensitive to glucose and glycerol.

The original development consisted in the creation of an alcohol sensor based on *Agaricus bisporus* fungus tissue homogenate. The biomass immobilized in gelatin and cross-linked by glutaraldehyde was fixed on a Clark type electrode. The electrode current linearly depended on the ethanol concentration within the range 0.2 – 20 mM (Akyilmaz & Dinckaya, 2000).

The analysis of lactate in blood plasma and whole blood was carried out by the sensor based on *H. anomala* cells. The sensor possess high reproducibility, stability and rate of analysis. The analysis results agreed with the data obtained using conventional spectrophotometric technique and lactate dehydrogenase based enzyme sensor (Racek & Musil, 1987b). The similare work was intended to the development of a microbial sensor for fatty acids detection based on an oxygen electrode and *Arthrobacter nicotianae* cells immobilized into Ca-alginate gel (Schmidt et al., 1996). In the framework of another study a sensor for detection of tannic acid (a mixture of polygalloyl-glucose esters) from *Rhus chinensis* based on *Aspergillus ustus* cells and oxygen electrode. The analyte detection range made up about 10^{-4} – 10^{-3} M. An evaluation of temperature and pH effects on the sensor response has been carried out (Zhao et al., 1998).

As in the case of carbohydrate analysis the oxygen electrode is not the only transducer employed in the microbial sensors for alcohols and organic acids determination. A microbial ethanol biosensor based on ISFET and *Acetobacter aceti* cells was described (Kitagawa et al.,

1987). A conductometric microbial sensor for ethanol based on alginate-immobilized yeast cells has also been described (Korpan et al., 1994).

PQQ-dependent dehydrogenases of *Gluconobacter* bacteria are characterized by a wide spectrum of substrates. In this connection the enzymes as well as whole *Gluconobacter* cells represent a promising base for biosensor development. In publication (Tkac et al., 2003) a microbial sensor for ethanol detection based on *G. oxydans* cells and glassy carbon electrode modified by ferricyanide was described. The selectivity of the sensor was higher over enzyme sensors due to the application of a membrane barrier for glucose. The biosensor was employed for real-time ethanol monitoring during periodical fermentation of glucose by immobilized yeast.

An original approach was used in the study related to development of a biosensor based on *Chlorella* cells and oxygen electrode for detection of volatile compound vapors. The characteristic feature of the approach was the registration of the oxygen produced by the cells has been employed. Methanol was used as the model analyte. The sensor retained 50% of initial activity after 10 days from the start of operating (Naessens & Tran-Minh, 1998a).

4.3 Environmental application of biosensors - common state

The analysis of pollutants makes specific demands for the characteristics of developed biosensors. One of these demands is high detection sensitivity, which is determined by low MPC values of most xenobiotics. Catalytic sensors can provide the lower limit of target compound detection at a level of 10^{-7} M at best, which in most cases is insufficient. Therefore, among microbial sensors for the analysis of toxic compounds and pollutants there is a lot of bioluminescent sensors providing highly selective detection at a level of 10^{-9} – 10^{-7} M (in some cases, up to 10^{-12} M). Nevertheless, biocatalytic sensors are also used for detection of compounds with relatively low MPC values (phenol, naphthalene, SAS, some ions, etc.) and BOD. The characteristics of some biosensors potentially fit for environmental monitoring and similar nature-conservative measures will be considered in this subsection.

4.4 BOD detection

The methods and equipment for quick and sensitive assessment of the degree of water source pollution are relevant for providing high-performance measures of environmental control and decontamination. One of the most widely used indices for aquatic environment control is biological/biochemical oxygen demand (BOD). At present, the routine BOD analysis is performed mainly by the method of BOD₅ taking five days for completing. The quicker methods of BOD detection are associated with biosensor analyzers usually based on microorganisms that can metabolize the wide range of organic compounds. Although BOD values obtained by these methods are not identical to the values of BOD₅, in most cases it is possible to achieve an acceptable correlation between biosensor readings and the values of conventional methods.

The first work on construction of such sensor was published in 1977 (Karube et al., 1977); microorganisms from the active sludge of water treatment facilities were used as biomaterial. By now, quite a number of laboratory models and several commercial BOD biosensor analyzers are known. Such analyzers provide for BOD detection in the mean range of 5-300 mg/l for about a few minutes.

The sensor similar to the first BOD sensor model is described in (Liu et al., 2000). Its bioreceptor also included active sludge microorganisms. Sensor readings had satisfactory

reproducibility and correlated well with BOD₅ values. BOD sensors based on mixed cultures are also reported in (Jia et al., 2003; Li et al., 1994; Suriyawattanakul et al., 2002). The BOD sensor based on a biofuel cell (BFC) containing active sludge is described in the work (Chang et al., 2004).

Although mixed cultures broaden the spectrum of biodegradable compounds (and, consequently, provide more profound BOD detection), the wrong side of this approach in most cases is lower stability and reproducibility of results associated with the ratio dynamics of cultures in bioreceptor. Therefore, pure bacterial and fungal cultures with broad substrate specificity are used in BOD sensors in parallel with active sludge and other microbial mixtures. The examples of such sensors are described in the works (Yang et al., 1997; Kim & Park, 2001; Chee et al., 1999) etc. The list of the microorganisms most frequently used in BOD sensors includes *T. cutaneum*, *Arxula adeninovorans*, *E. coli*, *Bacillus* and *Pseudomonas* species and other microorganisms with broad specificity. Thus, different authors have published no less than ten works on construction of *T. cutaneum*-based BOD sensors; besides, it is used in some of the commercial BOD analyzers. The example of such sensor is described in the work (Yang et al., 1996).

Most of the BOD sensors are based on amperometric oxygen transducers (as a rule, Clark-type electrodes), but this is not the only possible way of constructing BOD sensors. The paper (Trosok et al., 2001) describes a mediator BOD sensor based on yeast cells and a glass-carbon electrode. The authors investigated the possibility of using 10 mediators for BOD detection; among them, ferricyanide was the most effective for detection of glucose-glutamate mixture. The sensor detected BOD in the range of 2-100 mg/l.

The new type of oxygen microsensor measures the level of oxygen using organically modified silicon and oxygen-sensitive dye tris(4,7-diphenyl-1,10-phenanthroline)ruthenium (II) chloride. The bacterial culture of *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* was used. Measurement time was 20 min. Satisfactory coincidence with the data of standard BOD₅ method was obtained (Pang et al., 2007).

The work (Chee et al., 2000) describes the BOD sensor based on an oxygen optrode and *Pseudomonas putida* cells. The sensor detected BOD in the range of 1-10 mg/l and was insensitive to chlorides and heavy metal ions. Sensor readings correlated well with the BOD₅ data. The sensor was used for the analysis of river water samples.

Bioluminescent BOD sensor was based on the recombinant *E. coli* strain bearing fragments of *V. fischeri lux*-operon (Sakaguchi et al., 2003). Sensor readings correlated well with the BOD₅ data. The sensor was used for assessment of organic pollution in different wastewater samples.

One more approach to BOD detection is based on registration of temperature changes caused by microbiological destruction of organic compounds using calorimetric transducers; the biosensor based on such transducer is described in paper (Mattiasson et al., 1977).

In most cases, the BOD index is supposed to display the total quantity of organic compounds in a sample; accordingly, BOD sensors are constructed, as has been mentioned above, on the basis of microorganisms with broad substrate specificity. However, in some cases, BOD measurement is associated with the presence of specific compounds in the medium, which compels one to correct the choice of biocatalyst. So, the work (Konig et al., 1999) describes the sensor for detection of BOD at nitrification (N-BOD) and the degree of nitrification inhibition in wastewater. The bioreceptor of this sensor included a mixed culture of nitrifying microorganisms isolated from water treatment facilities. Nitrification inhibition was assessed in the presence of allylthiourea. The sensor had a high correlation

with the standard method of N-BOD detection and was used for the analysis of wastewater samples.

One more example of biosensor detection of BOD determined by the presence of particular compounds in wastewater samples is described in paper (Reiss et al., 1998). In this case, the sensor was designed for BOD detection in starch-polluted waters. The device was based on a commercial biosensor BOD analyzer (Prüfgerätewerk Medingen) including the cells of *T. cutaneum*. The sensor was additionally equipped with two enzyme reactors containing immobilized α -amylase and amyloglucosidase for starch hydrolysis. Sensor readings were close to the standard BOD₅ data.

The functioning of BOD sensors may be influenced by various factors, including the chemical composition of analyzed samples. In particular, the presence of compounds toxic for cells may partially or completely inactivate the sensor or reduce its lifetime. The work (Qian & Tan, 1999) pursued the study of the effect of heavy metal ions on the BOD sensor based on *B. subtilis* cells killed by heating. This sensor was characterized by rather high sensitivity and stability of readings, in spite of nonviability of the biomaterial. The sensor allowed to estimate the effect of various metal ions on BOD determination.

It should be noted that the biosensor approach usually accounts only for easily utilized organic phase in measured samples and its results may not always correspond to the BOD₅ test. The correlation between biosensoric and conventional BOD measurements was assessed in the work (Liu et al., 2003). It was shown that the high correlation of biosensor and BOD₅ results was observed only for the samples that contained no organic polymers; in the presence of the latter, biosensor estimates were lower. The new approach to enhancement of the correlation between the biosensor method of measurement and traditional BOD₅ is presented in papers (Chee et al., 2005; Chee et al., 2001). The authors have proposed the method of photocatalytic pretreatment of water samples by means of their irradiation with the UV light for decomposition of large organic molecules into smaller ones. It has been shown that such scheme of analysis enhances biosensor sensitivity and increases the degree of correlation between the biosensor data and BOD₅. The analogous work on BOD measurement in river water was carried out at a stopped-flow plant using ozone treatment. After ozone treatment, the biosensor signal increased 1.6-fold (Chee et al., 2007).

One of the problems of BOD biosensors operation is the short time of their functioning because of membrane pollution. Besides, a pure culture based BOD sensor not always has sufficiently broad substrate specificity that would allow more complete estimation of BOD. The application of mediator-less biofuel cell (BFC) was a new approach to creation of analytical system for BOD assessment. In (Kim et al., 2003), the authors presented the experience of 5-year application of mediator-less BFC for BOD monitoring. The main parameters of this system, providing its high practical value, are stability and long (5 years) period of functioning without maintenance and the high correlation of results with the BOD₅ index.

The prevalence and importance of BOD biosensor related studies have naturally resulted in commercialization and industrial production of a number of the most promising models. The first commercial BOD biosensor analyzer was manufactured by Nisshin Denki (Electric) Co. Ltd. in 1983. Later on, similar analyzers were manufactured by some European companies (Riedel, 1998). At present, a number of biosensor systems for the control of biological oxygen demand are produced by European, Japanese and USA manufacturers.

Thus, biosensor BOD detection is a rather well-developed trend of analytical biotechnology. Biosensor BOD analyzers are reliable, simple and inexpensive analytical instruments, which

are successfully used for the monitoring of water ecosystems together with the conventional methods of BOD detection.

4.5 Surfactant detection

Surface-active substances (SAS) are widely used both in life and in various fields of economic activity. In spite of the relatively low toxicity of SAS to warm-blooded animals, they facilitate concentration of other toxic substances, thus intensifying their effect on living organisms. Biosensor systems are developed for express detection of SAS. Particular attention is paid to application of microorganisms with this purpose, because the cells growing on SAS-containing medium prove to be adapted to their oxidation. The studies performed in the work (Reshetilov et al., 1997b) have shown that the cells of *P. rathonis* are highly sensitive to anionic and nonionogenic SAS. The comparative data on application of bacteria from the genera *Pseudomonas* and *Achromobacter* in the amperometric biosensor for SAS detection are presented. The substrate specificity of several strains towards a wide range of compounds has been assessed (Taranova et al., 2002; Taranova et al., 2004).

The microbial biosensor based on a column reactor containing activated sludge bacteria oxidizing linear alkylbenzene sulfonates was used for SAS analysis in river water. Biosensor signals linearly depended on SAS concentration up to 6 mg/l. Response time was no more than 15 min (Nomura et al., 1994; Nomura et al., 1998).

The detailed analysis of the problem of development of electrochemical sensors for SAS detection can be found in the work (Sak-Bosnar et al., 2004a). Nonelectrochemical sensors (opto-chemical and piezoelectric) are described in the work (Sak-Bosnar et al., 2004b).

4.6 Pesticides detection

A biosensor for direct detection of organophosphorous neurotoxins has been proposed. The biosensor is based on *E. coli* recombinant bacteria expressing organophosphate hydrolase and immobilized on a pH-electrode. The developed biosensor can be used for detection of a wide range of organophosphorous pesticides and chemical warfare agents (Rainina et al., 1996). A nearly identical sensor was described two years later by a group of USA authors (Mulchandani et al., 1998).

The possibility of quantitative determination of organophosphorous nitroaromatic insecticides (metaphos, sumithion) and the product of their hydrolysis, *p*-nitrophenol, based on the respiratory activity of microbial cells of *P. putida*. The possibility of construction of a microbial sensor system for detection of metaphos, sumithion, and *p*-nitrophenol in aqueous media has been considered (Ignatov et al., 2002).

4.7 Determination of hydrocarbons and their derivatives

Considerations as concerns the specificity of requirements to the parameters of biosensors for xenobiotic detection, which are presented in the beginning of "Environmental application of biosensors" section, relate in full measure to the biosensor analysis of aromatic compounds. On the one hand, the most of monoaromatic compounds and naphthalene are relatively low-toxic and the sensitivity of biocatalytic sensors is quite sufficient for their practical detection (although there are works on their bioluminescent analysis). On the other hand, polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) and, in particular, their chlorine derivatives belong to super toxicants and can exert toxic effect even in trace concentrations, so they can be detected only by highly sensitive analyzers (i.e., in this case, bioluminescent

sensors or, as conventional techniques, chromatography, mass spectrometry and immunoassay).

Electrochemical microbial biosensor systems for detection of a wide range of xenobiotics have been described (Riedel et al., 1993; Riedel et al., 1991). For example, an amperometric biosensor for detection of monoaromatics was based on *Rhodococcus* cells and their extract (Riedel et al., 1991). The microbial biosensor for benzene detection based on an oxygen electrode and *P. putida* cells was described. The sensor is supposed to be used for analyzing industrial wastewater and groundwater (Tan et al., 1994). The microbial biosensor for naphthalene detection based on an oxygen electrode and *Sphingomonas* sp. and *Pseudomonas fluorescens* strains was presented in the work (Konig et al., 1996). The lower limit of naphthalene detection was about 0.01 mg/l. The sensor maintained its activity for 20 days.

The column-type biosensor for amperometric detection of 2,4-dinitrophenol (2,4-DNP) based on the bacterium *Rhodococcus erythropolis* HL PM-1 was developed. The effect of carriers on analysis time and stability was studied (Kitova et al., 2002). The biosensor for amperometric detection of *p*-toluene sulfonate was constructed of immobilized *Comamonas testosteroni* BS1310 (pBS1010) cells and the Clark-type oxygen electrode. The lower detection limit was 5 μ M (Makarenko et al., 1999).

Some of the microbial biosensor models based on the Clark-type electrode and strains-destroyers of different compounds belonging to the genera *Pseudomonas*, *Sphingomonas*, *Rhodococcus*, and *Ralstonia* were described in the work (Beyersdorf-Radeck et al., 1998). In contrast to most of the works on biosensor application, the objective of this study was the screening of degrading capacity of the above strains in relation to polychlorobiphenyls, dibenzofuranes and similar compounds. It has been shown that the biosensor approach may considerably expedite the solution of such problems as compared with the conventional microbiological methods.

As has been mentioned above, the development of bioluminescent sensors for assessment of the presence and concentration of pollutants of different nature is a prospective approach providing much higher sensitivity and selectivity as compared with biocatalytic sensors. It explains the considerable number of publications of this kind since the middle 90s till now. It is known about bioluminescent microbial sensors for detection of naphthalene and salicylate (Heitzer et al., 1994; Matrubutham et al., 1997), chlorophenols (Sinclair et al., 1999), and PAH (Gu & Chang, 2001; Reid et al., 1998). The paper (Willardson et al., 1998) presents characteristics of a bioluminescent sensor based on the *E. coli* strain transformed by a plasmid bearing the firefly luciferase gene under the control of a promoter cut out of the toluene degradation plasmid. The sensor was sensitive to monoaromatic compounds. The analogous biosensors are reported in (Layton et al., 1998; Applegate et al., 1998).

The paper by Sticher et al. describes the microbial bioluminescent sensor based on the recombinant strain of *E. coli* for detection of middle-chain alkanes. The sensitivity to alicyclic and aromatic hydrocarbons was absent. The sensor was used for detection of middle-chain alkanes in groundwater samples (Sticher et al., 1997).

The bioluminescent bacterial sensor for detection of halogenated organic acids was based on a transgenic *E. coli* strain, where the reporter *luxCDABE* genes from *Photobacterium luminescens* were under the control of promoter DL-2 from the strain *Pseudomonas* DL-DEX. The luminescence increased by 50% in the presence of 100 mg/l of 2-chloropropionic acid. The sensor was highly specific; measurement time was no more than 60 min (Tauber et al., 2001). Some organic pollutants with low water solubility are characterized by the high value of "air-water" distribution coefficient, so they can be measured more effectively in the gas

phase than in the water phase. The works (Werlen et al., 2004; Kononenko & Lukashev, 1995) describe application of a bioluminescent biosensor for the measurement of organic pollutants in the gas and water phases. The employed principle of measurement in combination with the developed biosensor design brought down the low limit of naphthalene detection 10 times. The presented results are further evidence of the efficiency of recombinant microorganisms as a basis of biosensors for highly sensitive detection of pollutants.

4.8 Detection of metal ions and inorganic acids

The subjects of this and the following sections are microbial biosensors that have become widespread in the recent decade and based mainly on genetically modified microorganisms and optical detection. Construction of microbial bioluminescent and catalytic sensors for detection of heavy metal and inorganic acids ions is a rather widespread approach in biosensor analysis; so, the work (Ramanathan et al., 1997) is a survey of bacterial biosensors for detection of heavy metals. The approach is based on genetic modification of bacteria by DNA fusion bearing the regulatory region of the metal-resistance operon and reporter genes. As a particular example, we can instance the bioluminescent sensor based on recombinant *E. coli* strains for the measurement of toxicity of arsenic compounds (Cai & DuBow, 1997).

The optical bacterial biosensor for zinc and copper detection in soil samples was based on the consortium containing the reporter bioluminescent *Rhizobium leguminosarum* biovar *trifolii* and *E. coli* strains. Bacterial suspension was used for registration. It was shown that EC₂₅ (concentration that lowered the sensor signal by 25%) for zinc ions in the samples under study was 0.3 mg/l (Chaudri et al., 2000).

In the recombinant strain constructed for bioavailable mercury detection in soil samples, the activity of the *luxCDABE* operon was regulated due to the promoter-operator system of the mer-operon providing cell resistance to mercury. The lower detection limit of such biosensor was around 20 ng of mercury/g of soil (Rasmussen et al., 2000).

The microbial sensor for cyanide detection was based on *S. cerevisiae* cells and an oxygen electrode. The principle of detection was registration of decrease of response to glucose in the presence of cyanide - i.e., the approach typical of toxicity sensors (Nakanishi et al., 1996). The models of microbial biosensors for nitrite detection based on the bacteria from the genera *Paracoccus* and *Nitrobacter* were described. The microbial biosensor for nitrite detection presented in the work (Karube et al., 1982c) was based on the strain of *Nitrobacter* sp. isolated from the active sludge of food plant treatment facilities. Compartment structure was complicated and included two chambers separated by a gas-permeable membrane; considerable inconvenience of this sensor was associated with the very slow growth of microorganisms. The analogous microbial sensor was constructed for the analysis of gaseous NO₂ on the basis of nitrite-oxidizing bacteria isolated from active sludge of the treatment facilities of food plant (Okada et al., 1983). One more example of potential nitrite and nitrate analyzer is a microbial mediator electrode based on *Paracoccus denitrificans* cells and a carbon electrode. Dihydroquinone was used as a mediator (Takayama et al., 1996). The electrochemical microbial biosensor system for ammonia detection was described as well (Riedel et al., 1990a).

The microbial biosensor for sulfate detection was developed on the basis of *Thiobacillus ferrooxidans* and oxygen electrode. The possibility of using this sensor for sulfate detection in rain water was shown (Sasaki et al., 1997).

The bioluminescent sensor based on recombinant cyanobacteria *Synechococcus* was used for detection of bioavailable phosphorus in water reservoirs (actually, the probability of "bloom" was assessed). The developed model was named as CyanoSensor and provided phosphate assessment in the concentration range of 0.3 – 8 μM . The results correlated with the chemical methods of total phosphorus estimation (Schreiter et al., 2001).

4.9 Assessment of general toxicity and genotoxicity

This subsection presents the most typical examples of sensors for genotoxicity and general toxicity. The approach, which is most frequently used at biosensor assessment of genotoxicity, is associated with application of SOS-promoters that are induced at massive DNA damages. Genetic constructions on their basis can be used for creation of biosensor strains for nonspecific registration of any genotoxic compounds and factors. In the work (Rettberg et al., 1999), luminescence of an *E. coli* strain carrying the plasmid with the *lux*-operon of *Photobacterium leiognathi* under the control of SOS promoter gradually depended on the dose of genotoxificant. The bioluminescent sensor for genotoxic factors estimation was based on the *E. coli* cells bearing a plasmid with the *lux*-operon built-in under the promoter of SOS-reparative locus. The biosensor sensitivity was comparable to that for the standard procedures of mutagenicity detection (Ptitsyn et al., 1997).

The general toxicity sensors also assess nonspecific toxic impacts of different nature; however, the principle of their action is associated with registration of attenuation of vital functions (bioluminescence, background respiration, etc.). The microbial sensor on the basis of luminescence bacteria was designed for detection of glucose and toxic compounds (Lee et al., 1992). Analogous models include a bioluminescent sensor based on the recombinant strain *R. leguminosarum* biovar *trifolii* for detection of general toxicity of the medium (Paton et al., 1997) and a bioluminescent sensor based on *E. coli* cells bearing the *lux*-genes of *V. fischeri* under the promoter of the operon of heat stress proteins (Rupani et al., 1996).

General toxicity can be detected using algae with chlorophyll fluorescence changing under the action of a toxic agent. The optical biosensor based on immobilized cells of the *Scenedesmus subspicatus* alga was described (Frense et al., 1998). The biosensor detected atrazine in a concentration of 1 to 1000 ppb. The paper (Vedrine et al., 2003) presents an optical biosensor based on the microalgae *Chlorella vulgaris* designed for detection of herbicides in the water phase. Similarly, biosensors based on bacterial cells can be effective for detection of antibiotics. Genetically modified luminescent *E. coli* bacteria were used for development of optical biosensors for aminoglycoside antibiotics detection (Vlasova et al., 2004).

Development of electrochemical microbial toxicity sensors is a rather widespread approach as well. As an example of such analyzers, one can mention the sensors based on an oxygen electrode and yeast cells (Campanella et al., 1995) and microscopic algae (Campanella et al., 2001), differential system for detection of genotoxic impacts described in (Karube et al., 1981), etc. In the recent decade, the a number of commercial biosensor toxicity analyzers have been constructed (Bentley et al., 2001; Ribo & Kaiser, 1987).

4.10 Volatile compounds. Miscellaneous

The analysis of concentrations of volatile organic compounds is an important analytical problem. Its relevance is associated with development of the methods of express analysis of abused drugs and explosives, foodstuff quality assessment, detection of toxic gases at

chemical plants, air quality assessment in public places, etc. Development of biosensor analytical methods suggests new pathways and methods of solution of the problems of detection of specific organic compounds in the gas phases. The concept and basic principles of application of biological material for construction of "bioelectron nose" systems are stated in the works (Gopel et al., 1998; Gopel, 2000). The described types of biosensors are based on the principles of optical and electrochemical detection. In optical biosensors, it was genetically modified bacteria with bioluminescence depending on the presence of oxidizable compound in the gas phase. The transducer in electrochemical biosensors was the Clark-type oxygen electrode.

The recombinant *E. coli* strain bearing the lux-operon was immobilized in agar and used for detection of toxic compounds present in the gas phase. Benzene was selected as a model volatile compound. The biosensor can be used as an analyzer for measurement of toxic components at workplaces of chemical plants (Gil et al., 2000). Cyanide destructor *P. fluorescens* NCIMB 11764 was used in the microbial biosensor for detection of hydrocyanic acid vapor. The bacteria consumed oxygen at cyanide degradation, and the Clark-type electrode could be used for reaction registration (Lee & Karube, 1996).

The measurement of methane profile in a 3.5-mm sludge layer by microbial sensor is described in paper (Damgaard et al., 2001). The sensor was based on methane-oxidizing bacteria. They were immobilized on the surface of oxygen microelectrode. Oxygen was shown to have an insignificant effect of methane generation. The developed biosensor provided for the study of the effect of inhibitors (nitrates and sulfates) on methanogenesis.

The biosensor based on the cells of *Chlorella* microalgae and direct registration of oxygen production by these cells was used for perchloroethylene aerosol detection in the gas phase. Introduction of perchloroethylene resulted in the increase of released oxygen amount (Naessens & Tran-Minh, 1999). The analogous sensor based on *C. vulgaris* microalgae was used for methanol vapor detection (Naessens & Tran-Minh, 1998b).

5. Conclusion.

While considering the position of microbial biosensors in the series of biosensors constructed by now it should be noted that microbial biosensors undoubtedly form their own niche. Their properties in many respects are analogous to the properties of enzyme biosensors. First of all, it concerns detection range, which in both cases is determined by the K_M value of immobilized biocatalyst and for most of the enzyme and microbial biosensors does not go beyond 10^{-5} – 10^{-2} M. There is no doubt that microbial biosensors are less selective as compared with enzyme sensors. It is due to the nature of microbial cells containing a broad set of enzymes. At the same time, this feature can be effectively used in analytical systems such as BOD assessment. Besides, this disadvantage can be overcome by applying different approaches.

While defining the prospects of analytical application of microorganisms, one can predict their wide use in biosensors for the control of biotechnological processes and monitoring of environmental objects. One can also mention the application of microorganisms in biofuel cells. It seems that in the nearest future we should anticipate considerable progress in creation of effective microbial biofuel cells. To a large extent, the success of extensive application of microbial sensors will be associated with development of high-tech and simple methods of immobilization of microorganisms on the surface of transducers providing the maximum level of vital capacity and stability of cells.

As a whole, based on the analysis of particular data describing the properties of whole-cell sensors it is possible to conclude that the listed advantages and disadvantages of microbial sensors are typical of biosensors based on any other types of cells. The prospects of using cell biocatalysts for analytical purposes are the motive force of investigations on development of novel microbial biosensors and improvement of their parameters.

6. Acknowledgment

This study was supported partially by Federal Task Program "Scientific brainpower and research and educational personnel in innovative Russia" for the period of 2009-2013 (Project NK-37(4), Agreement P258).

7. References

- Afanassiev, V.; Sefton, M.; Anantachaiyong, T.; Barker, G.; Walmsley, R. & Wolfl, S. (2000). Application of yeast cells transformed with GFP expression constructs containing the RAD54 or RNR2 promoter as a test for the genotoxic potential of chemical substances. *Mutat. Res.*, Vol. 464, No. 2, pp. 297-308
- Akyilmaz, E. & Dinckaya, E. (2000). A mushroom (*Agaricus bisporus*) tissue homogenate based alcohol oxidase electrode for alcohol determination in serum. *Talanta*, Vol. 53, pp. 505-509
- Albery, W. J. & Craston, D. H. (1987). Amperometric enzyme electrodes: theory and experiment. In: *Biosensors. Fundamentals and Applications*, Turner, A. P. F.; Karube, I & Wilson, G.S. (Eds.), pp. 180-210, Oxford University Press, New York
- Alkasrawi, M.; Nandakumar, R.; Margesin, R.; Schinner, F. & Mattiasson, B. (1999). A microbial biosensor based on *Yarrowia lipolytica* for the off-line determination of middle-chain alkanes. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, Vol. 14, No. 8-9, pp. 723-727
- Anko, M. L.; Kurittu, J. & Karp, M. (2002). An *Escherichia coli* biosensor strain for amplified and high throughput detection of antimicrobial agents. *J. Biomol. Screen.*, Vol. 7, No. 2, pp. 119-125
- Applegate, B. M.; Kehrmeyer, S. R. & Sayler, G. S. (1998). A chromosomally based *luxCDABE* whole-cell reporter for benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylene (BTEX) sensing. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, Vol. 64, No. 7, pp. 2730-2735
- Baxter, G. T.; Bousse, L. J.; Dawes, T. D.; Libby, J. M.; Modlin, D. N.; Owicki, J. C. & Parce, J. W. (1994). Microfabrication in silicon microphysiometry. *Clin. Chem.*, Vol. 40, No. 9, pp. 1800-1804
- Bentley, A.; Atkinson, A.; Jezek, J. & Rawson, D. M. (2001). Whole cell biosensors--electrochemical and optical approaches to ecotoxicity testing. *Toxicol. In Vitro*, Vol. 15, No. 4-5, pp. 469-475.
- Bergveld, P. (2003). Thirty years of ISFETOLOGY. What happened in the past 30 years and what may happen in the next 30 years. *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical*, Vol. 88, pp. 1-20
- Beyersdorf-Radeck, B.; Riedel, K.; Karlson, U.; Bachmann, T. T. & Schmid, R. D. (1998). Screening of xenobiotic compounds degrading microorganisms using biosensor techniques. *Microbiol. Res.*, Vol. 153, No. 3, pp. 239-245
- Bhatia, R.; Dilleen, J. W.; Atkinson, A. L. & Rawson, D. M. (2003). Combined physico-chemical and biological sensing in environmental monitoring. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, Vol. 18, No. 5-6, pp. 667-674

- Billard, P. & DuBow, M. S. (1998). Bioluminescence-based assays for detection and characterization of bacteria and chemicals in clinical laboratories. *Clinical Biochemistry*, Vol. 31, No. 1, pp. 1-14
- Bousse, L. (1996). Whole cell biosensors. *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical*, Vol. 34, pp. 270-275
- Cai, J. & DuBow, M. S. (1997). Use of a luminescent bacterial biosensor for biomonitoring and characterization of arsenic toxicity of chromated copper arsenate (CCA). *Biodegradation*, Vol. 8, No. 2, pp. 105-111
- Campanella, L.; Favero, G. & Tomassetti, M. (1995). Immobilised yeast cells biosensor for total toxicity testing. *Sci. Total Environ.*, Vol. 171, No. 1-3, pp. 227-234
- Campanella, L.; Cubadda, F.; Sammartino, M. P. & Saoncella, A. (2001). An algal biosensor for the monitoring of water toxicity in estuarine environments. *Water Research*, Vol. 35, No. 1, pp. 69-76.
- Cassidy, M. B.; Lee, H. & Trevors, J. T. (1996). Environmental applications of immobilized microbial cells: a review. *Journal of Industrial Microbiology*, Vol. 16, pp. 79-101
- Chang, I. S.; Jang, J. K.; Gil, G. C.; Kim, M.; Kim, H. J.; Cho, B. W. & Kim, B. H. (2004). Continuous determination of biochemical oxygen demand using microbial fuel cell type biosensor. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, Vol. 19, No. 6, pp. 607-613
- Chaubey, A. & Malhotra, B. D. (2002). Mediated biosensors. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, Vol. 17, No. 6-7, pp. 441-456
- Chaudhuri, S. K. & Lovley, D. R. (2003). Electricity generation by direct oxidation of glucose in mediator-less microbial fuel cells. *Nature Biotechnology*, Vol. 21, No. 10, pp. 1229-1232
- Chaudri, A. M.; Lawlor, K.; Preston, S.; Paton, G. I.; Killham, K. & McGrath, S. P. (2000). Response of a *Rhizobium*-based luminescence biosensor to Zn and Cu in soil solutions from sewage sludge treated soils. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, Vol. 32, pp. 383-388
- Chee, G.-J.; Nomura, Y. & Karube, I. (1999). Biosensor for the estimation of low biochemical oxygen demand. *Analytica Chimica Acta*, Vol. 379, pp. 185-191
- Chee, G. J.; Nomura, Y.; Ikebukuro, K. & Karube, I. (2000). Optical fiber biosensor for the determination of low biochemical oxygen demand. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, Vol. 15, No. 7-8, pp. 371-376.
- Chee, G.-J.; Nomura, Y.; Ikebukuro, K. & Karube, I. (2001). Biosensor for the evaluation of biochemical oxygen demand using photocatalytic pretreatment. *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical*, Vol. 80, pp. 15-20
- Chee, G. J.; Nomura, Y.; Ikebukuro, K. & Karube, I. (2005). Development of photocatalytic biosensor for the evaluation of biochemical oxygen demand. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, Vol. 21, No. 1, pp. 67-73
- Chee, G. J.; Nomura, Y.; Ikebukuro, K. & Karube, I. (2007). Stopped-flow system with ozonizer for the estimation of low biochemical oxygen demand in environmental samples. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, Vol. 22, No. 12, pp. 3092-3098, ISSN 0956-5663
- Chouteau, C.; Dzyadevych, S.; Chovelon, J. M. & Durrieu, C. (2004). Development of novel conductometric biosensors based on immobilised whole cell *Chlorella vulgaris* microalgae. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, Vol. 19, No. 9, pp. 1089-1096
- Clark, L. C., Jr. (1993). How the first enzyme electrode was invented [editorial]. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, Vol. 8, No. 1, pp. iii-vii
- D'Souza, S. F. (2001a). Immobilization and stabilization of biomaterials for biosensor applications. *Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol.*, Vol. 96, No. 1-3, pp. 225-238.

- D'Souza, S. F. (2001b). Microbial biosensors. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, Vol. 16, No. 6, pp. 337-353.
- D'Souza, S. E. (1989). Potentials of co-immobilizates in biochemical processing: the current state of the art. *J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, Vol. № 4, pp. 63-73
- Damgaard, L. R.; Nielsen, L. P. & Revsbech, N. P. (2001). Methane microprofiles in a sewage biofilm determined with a microscale biosensor. *Water Research*, Vol. 35, No. 6, pp. 1379-1386.
- Daunert, S.; Barrett, G.; Feliciano, J. S.; Shetty, R. S.; Shrestha, S. & Smith-Spencer, W. (2000). Genetically engineered whole-cell sensing systems: coupling biological recognition with reporter genes. *Chem. Rev.*, Vol. 100, pp. 2705-2738
- Domansky, K.; Janata, J.; Josowicz, M. & Petelenz, D. (1993). Present state of fabrication of chemically sensitive field effect transistors. *Analyst*, Vol. 118, No. 4, pp. 335-340
- Fedorov, A.; Volchenko, E. B.; Singirtsev, I. N.; Korzhenevich, V. I. & Shub, G. M. (1999). [Protective effects of agar during immobilization of strains capable to degrade aromatic compounds]. *Prikl. Biokhim. Mikrobiol.*, Vol. 35, No. 2, pp. 165-172, ISSN 0555-1099
- Frense, D.; Muller, A. & Beckmann, D. (1998). Detection of environmental pollutants using optical biosensor with immobilized algae cells. *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical*, Vol. 51, pp. 256-260
- Fukui, S. & Tanaka, A. (1984). Application of biocatalysts immobilized by prepolymer methods. *Adv. Biochem. Eng. Biotechnol.*, Vol. 29, pp. 2-33
- Gil, G. C.; Mitchell, R. J.; Chang, S. T. & Gu, M. B. (2000). A biosensor for the detection of gas toxicity using a recombinant bioluminescent bacterium. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, Vol. 15, No. 1-2, pp. 23-30
- Gonchar, M. V.; Maidan, M. M.; Moroz, O. M.; Woodward, J. R. & Sibirny, A. A. (1998). Microbial O₂- and H₂O₂-electrode sensors for alcohol assays based on the use of permeabilized mutant yeast cells as the sensitive bioelements. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, Vol. 13, No. 9, pp. 945-952
- Gopel, W.; Ziegler, C.; Breer, H.; Schild, D.; Apfelbach, R.; Joerges, J. & Malaka, R. (1998). Bioelectronic noses: a status report. Part I. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, Vol. 13, No. 3-4, pp. 479-493
- Gopel, W. (2000). From electronic to bioelectronic olfaction, or: from artificial "moses" to real noses. *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical*, Vol. 65, pp. 70-72
- Gu, M. B. & Chang, S. T. (2001). Soil biosensor for the detection of PAH toxicity using an immobilized recombinant bacterium and a biosurfactant. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, Vol. 16, No. 9-12, pp. 667-674.
- Gu, M. B. & Gil, G. C. (2001). A multi-channel continuous toxicity monitoring system using recombinant bioluminescent bacteria for classification of toxicity. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, Vol. 16, No. 9-12, pp. 661-666
- Guliy, O. I.; Ignatov, O. V.; Makarov, O. E. & Ignatov, V. V. (2003). Determination of organophosphorus aromatic nitro insecticides and *p*-nitrophenol by microbial-cell respiratory activity. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, Vol. 18, No. 8, pp. 1005-1013
- Hansen, L. H. & Sorensen, S. J. (2001). The use of whole-cell biosensors to detect and quantify compounds or conditions affecting biological systems. *Microbial Ecology*, Vol. 42, pp. 483-494
- Heim, S.; Schnieder, I.; Binz, D.; Vogel, A. & Bilitewski, U. (1999). Development of an automated microbial sensor system. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, Vol. 14, No. 2, pp. 187-193

- Heitzer, A.; Malachowsky, K.; Thonnard, J. E.; Bienkowski, P. R.; White, D. C. & Sayler, G. S. (1994). Optical biosensor for environmental on-line monitoring of naphthalene and salicylate bioavailability with an immobilized bioluminescent catabolic reporter bacterium. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, Vol. 60, No. 5, pp. 1487-1494
- Held, M.; Schuhmann, W.; Jahreis, K. & Schmidt, H.-L. (2002). Microbial biosensor array with transport mutants of *Escherichia coli* K12 for the simultaneous determination of mono- and disaccharides. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, Vol. 17, No. 11-12, pp. 1089-1094, ISSN 0956-5663
- Ignatov, O. V.; Gulii, O. I.; Singirtsev, I. N.; Shcherbakov, A. A.; Makarov, O. E. & Ignatov, V. V. (2002). [Effects of *p*-nitrophenol and organophosphorous nitroaromatic insecticides on the respiratory activity of free and immobilized cells of strains S-11 and BA-11 of *Pseudomonas putida*]. *Prikl. Biokhim. Mikrobiol.*, Vol. 38, No. 3, pp. 278-285
- Ikeda, T.; Matsuyama, K.; Kobayashi, D. & Matsushita, F. (1992). Whole-cell enzyme electrodes based on mediated bioelectrocatalysis. *Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem.*, Vol. 56, No. 8, pp. 1359-1360
- Ikeda, T.; Kurosaki, T.; Takayama, K.; Kano, K. & Miki, K. (1996). Measurements of oxidoreductase-like activity of intact bacterial cells by an amperometric method using a membrane-coated electrode. *Analytical Chemistry*, Vol. 68, No. 1, pp. 192-198
- Ikeda, T.; Tsujimura, S. & Kano, K. (2004). Bioelectrochemical energy conversion systems based on mediated bioelectrocatalysis, *Proceedings of Biosensors 2004*, Granada, Spain
- Ito, Y.; Yamazaki, S.; Kano, K. & Ikeda, T. (2002). *Escherichia coli* and its application in a mediated amperometric glucose sensor. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, Vol. 17, No. 11-12, pp. 993-998
- Jia, J.; Tang, M.; Chen, X.; Qi, L. & Dong, S. (2003). Co-immobilized microbial biosensor for BOD estimation based on sol-gel derived composite material. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, Vol. 18, No. 8, pp. 1023-1029
- Karube, I.; Matsunaga, T.; Mitsuda, S. & Suzuki, S. (1977). Microbial electrode BOD sensors. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.*, Vol. 19, No. 10, pp. 1535-1547
- Karube, I.; Mitsuda, S. & Suzuki, S. (1979). Glucose sensor using immobilized whole cells of *Pseudomonas fluorescens*. *Eur. J. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, Vol. 7, No. 4, pp. 343-350
- Karube, I.; Suzuki, S.; Okada, T. & Hikuma, M. (1980). Microbial sensors for volatile compounds. *Biochimie*, Vol. 62, No. 8-9, pp. 567-573
- Karube, I.; Matsunaga, T.; Nakahara, T.; Suzuki, S. & Kada, T. (1981). Preliminary screening of mutagens with a microbial sensor. *Analytical Chemistry*, Vol. 53, No. 7, pp. 1024-1026
- Karube, I.; Nakahara, T.; Matsunaga, T. & Suzuki, S. (1982a). *Salmonella* electrode for screening mutagens. *Analytical Chemistry*, Vol. 54, No. 11, pp. 1725-1727
- Karube, I.; Okada, T. & Suzuki, S. (1982b). A methane gas sensor based on oxidizing bacteria. *Analytica Chimica Acta*, Vol. 135, No. 1, pp. 61-67
- Karube, I.; Okada, T.; Suzuki, S.; Suzuki, H.; Hikuma, M. & Yasuda, T. (1982c). Amperometric determination of sodium nitrite by a microbial sensor. *European J. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, Vol. 15, pp. 127-132
- Katrlik, J.; Brandsteter, R.; Svorc, J.; Rosenberg, M. & Miertus, S. (1997). Mediator type of glucose microbial biosensor based on *Aspergillus niger*. *Analytica Chimica Acta*, Vol. 356, pp. 217-224
- Katrlik, J.; Vostiar, I.; Sefcovicova, J.; Tkac, J.; Mastihuba, V.; Valach, M.; Stefuca, V. & Gemeiner, P. (2007). A novel microbial biosensor based on cells of *Gluconobacter*

- oxydans* for the selective determination of 1,3-propanediol in the presence of glycerol and its application to bioprocess monitoring. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.*, Vol. 388, No. 1, pp. 287-295, ISSN 1618-2642
- Kim, B. H.; Chang, I. S.; Gil, G. C.; Park, H. S. & Kim, H. J. (2003). Novel BOD (biological oxygen demand) sensor using mediator-less microbial fuel cell. *Biotechnol. Lett.*, Vol. 25, No. 7, pp. 541-545
- Kim, M.-N. & Park, K.-H. (2001). *Klebsiella* BOD sensor. *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical*, Vol. 80, pp. 9-14
- Kitagawa, Y.; Tamiya, E. & Karube, I. (1987). Microbial-FET alcohol sensor. *Analytical Letters*, Vol. 20, No. 1, pp. 81-96
- Kitova, A. E.; Kuvichkina, T. N.; Il'iasov, P. V.; Arinbasarova, A. & Reshetilov, A. N. (2002). [Biosensor of the reactor type based on *Rhodococcus erythropolis* HL TM-1 cells for determining 2,4-dinitrophenol]. *Prikl. Biokhim. Mikrobiol.*, Vol. 38, No. 5, pp. 585-590
- Kitova, A. E.; Alferov, V. A.; Ponamoreva, O. N.; Ashin, V. V.; Kuzmichev, A. V.; Ezhkov, A. A.; Arsenyev, D. V. & Reshetilov, A. N. (2004). Enzyme biosensors for starch, glucose and ethanol concentrations express-analysis, In: *Biotechnology and industry*, Zaikov, G. E. (Ed.), pp. 19-29, Nova Science Publishers, Inc., New York
- Kobos, R. K.; Rice, D. J. & Flournoy, D. S. (1979). Bacterial membrane electrode for the determination of nitrate. *Analytical Chemistry*, Vol. 51, No. 8, pp. 1122-1125
- Konig, A.; Zaborosch, C.; Muscat, A.; Vorlop, K.-D. & Spener, F. (1996). Microbial sensors for naphthalene using *Sphingomonas* sp. B1 or *Pseudomonas fluorescens* WW4. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, Vol. 45, pp. 844-850
- Konig, A.; Riedel, K. & Metzger, J. W. (1998). A microbial sensor for detecting inhibitors of nitrification in wastewater. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, Vol. 13, No. 7-8, pp. 869-874
- Konig, A.; Bachmann, T. T.; Metzger, J. W. & Schmid, R. D. (1999). Disposable sensor for measuring the biochemical oxygen demand for nitrification and inhibition of nitrification in wastewater. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, Vol. 51, No. 1, pp. 112-117
- Kononenko, A. A. & Lukashev, E. P. (1995). Light biosensors based on bacteriorhodopsin and photosynthetic reaction centers, In: *Advances in Biosensors*, Turner, A. P. F. & Yevdokimov, Y. M. (Eds.), pp. 191-211, JAI Press Inc., Greenwich, London
- Kononov, M. A.; Nikitin, D. I. & Savranskii, V. V. (2007). [A study of the metabolism of bacterial cells by the method of surface plasmon spectroscopy]. *Biofizika*, Vol. 52, No. 4, pp. 681-686, ISSN 0006-3029
- Korpan, Y. I.; Gonchar, M. V.; Starodub, N. F.; Shulga, A. A.; Sibirny, A. A. & Elskaya, A. V. (1993). A Cell biosensor specific for formaldehyde based on pH-sensitive transistors coupled to methylotrophic yeast cells with genetically adjusted metabolism. *Analytical Biochemistry*, Vol. 215, No. 2, pp. 216-222, ISSN 0003-2697
- Korpan, Y. I.; Dzyadevich, S. V.; Zharova, V. P. & El'skaya, A. V. (1994). Conductometric biosensor for ethanol detection based on whole yeast cells. *Ukr. Biokhim. Zh.*, Vol. 66, No. 1, pp. 78-82
- Kurittu, J.; Karp, M. & Korpela, M. (2000). Detection of tetracyclines with luminescent bacterial strains. *Luminescence*, Vol. 15, No. 5, pp. 291-297.
- Layton, A. C.; Muccini, M.; Ghosh, M. M. & Sayler, G. S. (1998). Construction of a bioluminescent reporter strain to detect polychlorinated biphenyls. *Applied And Environmental Microbiology*, Vol. 64, No. 12, pp. 5023-5026
- Lee, J. I. & Karube, I. (1996). Development of a biosensor for gaseous cyanide in solution. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, Vol. 11, No. 11, pp. 1147-1154

- Lee, S.; Sode, K.; Nakanishi, K.; Marty, J. L.; Tamiya, E. & Karube, I. (1992). A novel microbial sensor using luminous bacteria. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, Vol. 7, No. 4, pp. 273-277
- Lehmann, M.; Chan, C.; Lo, A.; Lung, M.; Tag, K.; Kunze, G.; Riedel, K.; Gruendig, B. & Renneberg, R. (1999). Measurement of biodegradable substances using the salt-tolerant yeast *Arxula adeninivorans* for a microbial sensor immobilized with poly(carbamoyl)sulfonate (PCS). Part II: Application of the novel biosensor to real samples from coastal and island regions. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, Vol. 14, No. 3, pp. 295-302
- Li, F.; Tan, T. C. & Lee, Y. K. (1994). Effects of pre-conditioning and microbial composition on the sensing efficacy of a BOD biosensor. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, Vol. 9, No. 3, pp. 197-205
- Liu, J.; Bjornsson, L. & Mattiasson, B. (2000). Immobilised activated sludge based biosensor for biochemical oxygen demand measurement. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, Vol. 14, No. 12, pp. 883-893
- Liu, J.; Olsson, G. & Mattiasson, B. (2003). Monitoring of two-stage anaerobic biodegradation using a BOD biosensor. *Journal of Biotechnology*, Vol. 100, No. 3, pp. 261-265
- Lobanov, A. V.; Borisov, I. A.; Gordon, S. H.; Greene, R. V.; Leathers, T. D. & Reshetilov, A. N. (2001). Analysis of ethanol-glucose mixtures by two microbial sensors: application of chemometrics and artificial neural networks for data processing. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, Vol. 16, pp. 1001-1007
- Makarenko, A. A.; Balashov, S. V.; Kuvichkina, T. N.; Il'yasov, P. V. & Reshetilov, A. N. (1999). [A biosensor analyzer of sulfoaromatic compounds based on the strain *Comamonas testosteroni* BS1310 (pBS1010)]. *Prikl. Biochem. Microbiol.*, Vol. 35, No. 4, pp. 375-379
- Matrubutham, U.; Thonnard, J. E. & Sayler, G. S. (1997). Bioluminescence induction response and survival of the bioreporter bacterium *Pseudomonas fluorescens* HK44 in nutrient-deprived conditions. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, Vol. 47, pp. 604-609
- Matsunaga, T.; Toshihiko, S. & Tomoda, R. (1984). Photomicrobial sensors for selective determination of phosphate. *Enzyme Microb. Technol.*, Vol. 6, No. 8, pp. 335-358
- Mattiasson, B.; Larsson, P. O. & Mosbach, K. (1977). The microbe thermistor. *Nature*, Vol. 268, No. 5620, pp. 519-520
- McNeil, C. J.; Cooper, J. M. & Spoors, J. A. (1992). Amperometric enzyme electrode for determination of theophylline in serum. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, Vol. 7, No. 5, pp. 375-380
- Mehrvar, M. & Abdi, M. (2004). Recent developments, characteristics, and potential applications of electrochemical biosensors. *Analytical Sciences*, Vol. 20, pp. 1113-1126
- Min, J.; Lee, C. W.; Moon, S. H.; LaRossa, R. A. & Gu, M. B. (2000). Detection of radiation effects using recombinant bioluminescent *Escherichia coli* strains. *Radiat. Environ. Biophys.*, Vol. 39, No. 1, pp. 41-45
- Mitchell, R. J. & Gu, M. B. (2004). An *Escherichia coli* biosensor capable of detecting both genotoxic and oxidative damage. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, Vol. 64, No. 1, pp. 46-52
- Mosbach, K. & Danielsson, B. (1974). An enzyme thermistor. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, Vol. 364, pp. 140-145
- Mulchandani, A.; Mulchandani, P.; Kaneva, I. & Chen, W. (1998). Biosensor for direct determination of organophosphate nerve agents using recombinant *Escherichia coli*

- with surface-expressed organophosphorus hydrolase. 1. Potentiometric microbial electrode. *Analytical Chemistry*, Vol. 70, No. 19, pp. 4140-4145
- Murphy, L. (2006). Biosensors and bioelectrochemistry. *Curr. Opin. Chemistry & Biology*, Vol. 10, No. 2, pp. 177-184, ISSN 1367-5931
- Naessens, M. & Tran-Minh, C. (1998a). Whole-cell biosensor for direct determination of solvent vapours. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, Vol. 13, No. 3-4, pp. 341-346
- Naessens, M. & Tran-Minh, C. (1998b). Whole-cell biosensor for determination of volatile organic compounds in the form of aerosols. *Analytica Chimica Acta*, Vol. 364, pp. 153-158
- Naessens, M. & Tran-Minh, C. (1999). Biosensor using immobilized *Chlorella* microalgae for determination of volatile organic compounds. *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical*, Vol. 59, pp. 100-102
- Nakanishi, K.; Ikebukuro, K. & Karube, I. (1996). Determination of cyanide using a microbial sensor. *Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol.*, Vol. 60, No. 2, pp. 97-106
- Nandakumar, R. & Mattiasson, B. (1999). A low temperature microbial biosensor using immobilised psychrophilic bacteria. *Biotechnology Techniques*, Vol. 13, pp. 683-693
- Nomura, Y.; Ikebukuro, K.; Yokoyama, K.; Takeuchi, T.; Arikawa, Y.; Ohno, S. & Karube, I. (1994). A novel microbial sensor for anionic surfactant determination. *Analytical Letters*, Vol. 27, No. 15, pp. 3095-3108
- Nomura, Y.; Ikebukuro, K.; Yokoyama, K.; Takeuchi, T.; Arikawa, Y.; Ohno, S. & Karube, I. (1998). Application of a linear alkylbenzene sulfonate biosensor to river water monitoring. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, Vol. 13, No. 9, pp. 1047-1053
- Okada, T.; Karube, I. & Suzuki, S. (1983). NO₂ sensor which uses immobilized nitrite oxidizing bacteria. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, Vol. 25, pp. 1641-1651
- Okochi, M.; Mima, K.; Miyata, M.; Shinozaki, Y.; Haraguchi, S.; Fujisawa, M.; Kaneko, M.; Masukata, T. & Matsunaga, T. (2004). Development of an automated water toxicity biosensor using *Thiobacillus ferrooxidans* for monitoring cyanides in natural water for a water filtering plant. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, Vol. 87, No. 7, pp. 905-911
- Paitan, Y.; Biran, D.; Biran, I.; Shechter, N.; Babai, R.; Rishpon, J. & Ron, E. Z. (2003). On-line and in situ biosensors for monitoring environmental pollution. *Biotechnology Advances*, Vol. 22, pp. 27-33
- Pang, H. L.; Kwok, N. Y.; Chan, P. H.; Yeung, C. H.; Lo, W. & Wong, K. Y. (2007). High-throughput determination of biochemical oxygen demand (BOD) by a microplate-based biosensor. *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, Vol. 41, No. 11, pp. 4038-4044, ISSN 0013-936X
- Parce, J. W.; Owicki, J. C.; Kercso, K. M.; Sigal, G. B.; Wada, H. G.; Muir, V. C.; Bousse, L. J.; Ross, K. L.; Sikic, B. I. & McConnell, H. M. (1989). Detection of cell-affecting agents with a silicon biosensor. *Science*, Vol. 246, No. 4927, pp. 243-247
- Park, J. K.; Ro, H. S. & Kim, H. S. (1991). A new biosensor for specific determination of sucrose using an oxidoreductase of *Zymomonas mobilis* and invertase. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, Vol. 38, No. 3, pp. 217-223
- Paton, G. I.; Palmer, G.; Burton, M.; Rattray, E. A.; McGrath, S. P.; Glover, L. A. & Killham, K. (1997). Development of an acute and chronic ecotoxicity assay using *lux*-marked *Rhizobium leguminosarum* biovar *trifolii*. *Lett. Appl. Microbiol.*, Vol. 24, No. 4, pp. 296-300
- Pearson, J. E.; Gill, A. & Vadgama, P. (2000). Analytical aspects of biosensors. *Ann. Clin. Biochem.*, Vol. 37, No. Pt 2, pp. 119-145

- Philp, J. C.; Balmand, S.; Hajto, E.; Bailey, M. J.; Wiles, S.; Whiteley, A. S.; Lilley, A. K.; Hajto, J. & Dunbar, S. A. (2003). Whole cell immobilised biosensors for toxicity assessment of a wastewater treatment plant treating phenolics-containing waste. *Analytica Chimica Acta*, Vol. 487, pp. 61-74
- Plegge, V.; Slama, M.; Suselbeck, B.; Wienke, D.; Spener, F.; Knoll, M. & Zaborosch, C. (2000). Analysis of ternary mixtures with a single dynamic microbial sensor and chemometrics using a nonlinear multivariate calibration. *Analytical Chemistry*, Vol. 72, No. 13, pp. 2937-2942
- Ptitsyn, L. R.; Horneck, G.; Komova, O.; Kozubek, S.; Krasavin, E. A.; Bonev, M. & Rettberg, P. (1997). A biosensor for environmental genotoxin screening based on an SOS lux assay in recombinant *Escherichia coli* cells. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, Vol. 63, No. 11, pp. 4377-4384
- Qian, Z. & Tan, T. C. (1999). BOD measurement in the presence of heavy metal ions using a thermally-killed-*Bacillus subtilis* biosensor. *Water Research*, Vol. 33, No. 13, pp. 2923-2928
- Racek, J. & Musil, J. (1987a). Biosensor for lactate determination in biological fluids. 2. Interference studies. *Clin. Chim. Acta*, Vol. 167, No. 1, pp. 59-65
- Racek, J. & Musil, J. (1987b). Biosensor for lactate determination in biological fluids. I. Construction and properties of the biosensor. *Clin. Chim. Acta*, Vol. 162, No. 2, pp. 129-139
- Racek, J. (1991). A yeast biosensor for glucose determination. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, Vol. 34, No. 4, pp. 473-477
- Racek, J. (1995). *Cell-based biosensors*, Technomic Publishing Company, Lancaster, Basel
- Rainina, E. I.; Efremenco, E. N.; Varfolomeyev, S. D.; Simonian, A. L. & Wild, J. R. (1996). The development of a new biosensor based on recombinant *E. coli* for the direct detection of organophosphorus neurotoxins. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, Vol. 11, No. 10, pp. 991-1000
- Ramanathan, K.; Rank, M.; Svitel, J.; Dzgoev, A. & Danielsson, B. (1999). The development and applications of thermal biosensors for bioprocess monitoring. *Trends Biotechnol.*, Vol. 17, No. 12, pp. 499-505
- Ramanathan, S.; Ensor, M. & Daunert, S. (1997). Bacterial biosensors for monitoring toxic metals. *Trends Biotechnol.*, Vol. 15, No. 12, pp. 500-506
- Rasmussen, L. D.; Sorensen, S. J.; Turner, R. R. & Barkay, T. (2000). Application of a *mer-lux* biosensor for estimating bioavailable mercury in soil. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry*, Vol. 32, pp. 639-646
- Rechnitz, G. A.; Kobos, R. K.; Riechel, S. J. & Gebauer, C. R. (1977). A bio-selective membrane electrode prepared with living bacterial cells. *Analytica Chimica Acta*, Vol. 94, No. 2, pp. 357-365
- Rechnitz, G. A. & Ho, M. Y. (1990). Biosensors based on cell and tissue material. *Journal of Biotechnology*, Vol. 15, No. 3, pp. 201-218
- Reid, B. J.; Semple, K. T.; Macleod, C. J.; Weitz, H. J. & Paton, G. I. (1998). Feasibility of using prokaryote biosensors to assess acute toxicity of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. *FEMS Microbiology Letters*, Vol. 169, No. 2, pp. 227-233
- Reiss, M.; Heibges, A.; Metzger, J. & Hartmeier, W. (1998). Determination of BOD-values of starch-containing waste water by a BOD-biosensor. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, Vol. 13, No. 10, pp. 1083-1090
- Renneberg, R.; Riedel, K.; Liebs, P. & Scheller, F. (1984). Microbial and hybrid sensors for determination of α -amylase activity. *Analytical Letters*, Vol. 17, No. 85, pp. 349-358

- Reshetilov, A. N.; Iliasov, P. V.; Donova, M. V.; Dovbnya, D. V.; Boronin, A. M.; Leathers, T. D. & Greene, R. V. (1997a). Evaluation of a *Gluconobacter oxydans* whole cell biosensor for amperometric detection of xylose. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, Vol. 12, No. 3, pp. 241-247
- Reshetilov, A. N.; Semenchuk, I. N.; Iliasov, P. V. & Taranova, L. A. (1997b). The amperometric biosensor for detection of sodium dodecyl sulfate. *Analytica Chimica Acta*, Vol. 347, pp. 19-26
- Reshetilov, A. N.; Lobanov, A. V.; Morozova, N. O.; Gordon, S. H.; Greene, R. V. & Leathers, T. D. (1998). Detection of ethanol in a two-component glucose/ethanol mixture using a nonselective microbial sensor and a glucose enzyme electrode. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, Vol. 13, No. 7-8, pp. 787-793
- Rettberg, P.; Baumstark-Khan, C.; Bandel, K.; Ptitsyn, L. R. & Horneck, G. (1999). Microscale application of the SOS-LUX-TEST as biosensor for genotoxic agents. *Analytica Chimica Acta*, Vol. 387, pp. 289-296
- Ribo, J. M. & Kaiser, K. L. E. (1987). *Photobacterium phosphoreum* toxicity bioassay. I. Test procedures and applications. *Toxicity Assessment: An International Quarterly*, Vol. 2, No. 3, pp. 305-323
- Riedel, K.; Renneberg, R.; Liebs, P. & Kaiser, G. (1987). Microbial sensors. *Studia Biophysica*, Vol. 119, No. 1-3, pp. 163-166
- Riedel, K. & Scheller, F. (1987). Inhibitor-treated microbial sensor for the selective determination of glutamic acid. *Analyst*, Vol. 112, No. 3, pp. 341-342
- Riedel, K.; Renneberg, R.; Wollenberger, U.; Kaiser, G. & Scheller, F. W. (1989). Microbial sensors: fundamentals and application for process control. *J. Chem. Tech. Biotechnol.*, Vol. 44, No. 2, pp. 85-106
- Riedel, K.; Huth, J.; Kuehn, M. & Liebs, P. (1990a). Amperometric determination of ammonium ions with a microbial sensor. *J. Chem. Tech. Biotechnol.*, Vol. 47, No. 2, pp. 109-116
- Riedel, K.; Renneberg, R. & Scheller, F. (1990b). Adaptable microbial sensors. *Analytical Letters*, Vol. 23, No. 5, pp. 757-770
- Riedel, K.; Hensel, J. & Ebert, K. (1991). [Biosensors for the determination of phenol and benzoate on the basis of *Rhodococcus* cells and enzyme extracts]. *Zentralbl Mikrobiol.*, Vol. 146, No. 6, pp. 425-434
- Riedel, K.; Hensel, J.; Rothe, S.; Neumann, B. & Scheller, F. (1993). Microbial sensors for determination of aromatics and their chloroderivatives. 2. Determination of chlorinated phenols using a *Rhodococcus*-containing biosensor. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, Vol. 38, No. 4, pp. 556-559
- Riedel, K. (1998). Application of biosensors to environmental samples, In: *Commercial biosensors: applications to clinical, bioprocess, and environmental samples*, Ramsay, G. (Ed.), pp. 267-294, John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Ritchie, J. M.; Cresser, M. & Cotter-Howells, J. (2001). Toxicological response of a bioluminescent microbial assay to Zn, Pb and Cu in an artificial soil solution: relationship with total metal concentrations and free ion activities. *Environmental Pollution*, Vol. 114, pp. 129-136
- Roach, P. C. J.; Ramsden, D. K.; Hughes, J. & Williams, P. (2003). Development of a conductimetric biosensor using immobilised *Rhodococcus ruber* whole cells for the detection and quantification of acrylonitrile. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, Vol. 19, pp. 73-78

- Rodriguez-Mozaz, S.; de Alda, M. J. L. & Barceló, D. (2006). Biosensors as useful tools for environmental analysis and monitoring. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.*, Vol. 386, No. 4, pp. 1025-1041, ISSN 1618-2642
- Rupani, S. P.; Gu, M. B.; Konstantinov, K. B.; Dhurjati, P. S.; Van Dyk, T. K. & LaRossa, R. A. (1996). Characterization of the stress response of a bioluminescent biological sensor in batch and continuous cultures. *Biotechnol. Prog.*, Vol. 12, No. 3, pp. 387-392
- Sak-Bosnar, M.; Grabaric, Z. & Grabaric, B. S. (2004a). Surfactant sensors in biotechnology. Part 1 - Electrochemical sensors. *Food Technol. Biotechnol.*, Vol. 42, No. 3, pp. 197-206
- Sak-Bosnar, M.; Grabaric, Z. & Grabaric, B. S. (2004b). Surfactant sensors in biotechnology. Part 2 - Non-electrochemical sensors. *Food Technol. Biotechnol.*, Vol. 42, No. 3, pp. 207-212
- Sakaguchi, T.; Kitagawa, K.; Ando, T.; Murakami, Y.; Morita, Y.; Yamamura, A.; Yokoyama, K. & Tamiya, E. (2003). A rapid BOD sensing system using luminescent recombinants of *Escherichia coli*. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, Vol. 19, No. 2, pp. 115-121
- Sasaki, S.; Yokoyama, K.; Tamiya, E.; Karube, I.; Hayashi, C.; Arikawa, Y. & Numata, M. (1997). Sulfate sensor using *Thiobacillus ferrooxidans*. *Analytica Chimica Acta*, Vol. 347, pp. 275-280
- Schmidt, A.; Standfuss-Gabisch, C. & Bilitewski, U. (1996). Microbial biosensor for free fatty acids using an oxygen electrode based on thick film technology. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, Vol. 11, No. 11, pp. 1139-1145
- Schreiter, P. P.-Y.; Gillor, O.; Post, A.; Belkin, S.; Schmid, R. D. & Bachmann, T. T. (2001). Monitoring of phosphorus bioavailability in water by an immobilized luminescent cyanobacterial reporter strain. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, Vol. 16, No. 9-12, pp. 811-818
- Schugert, K. (2001). Progress in monitoring, modeling and control of bioprocesses during the last 20 years. *Journal of Biotechnology*, Vol. 85, pp. 149-173
- Shleev, S.; Tkac, J.; Christenson, A.; Ruzgas, T.; Yaropolov, A. I.; Whittaker, J. W. & Gorton, L. (2005). Direct electron transfer between copper-containing proteins and electrodes. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, Vol. 20, No. 12, pp. 2517-2554
- Simonian, A. L.; Rainina, E. I.; Lozinsky, V. I.; Badalian, I. E.; Khachatryan, G. E.; Tatikian, S.; Makhlis, T. A. & Varfolomeyev, S. D. (1992). A biosensor for L-proline determination by use of immobilized microbial cells. *Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol.*, Vol. 36, No. 3, pp. 199-210
- Sinclair, G. M.; Paton, G. I.; Meharg, A. A. & Killham, K. (1999). *Lux*-biosensor assessment of pH effects on microbial sorption and toxicity of chlorophenols. *FEMS Microbiology Letters*, Vol. 174, No. 2, pp. 273-278
- Slama, M.; Zaborosc, C.; Wienke, D. & Spener, F. (1996). Simultaneous mixture analysis using a dynamic microbial sensor combined with chemometrics. *Analytical Chemistry*, Vol. 68, No. 21, pp. 3845-3850
- Sticher, P.; Jaspers, M. C.; Stemmler, K.; Harms, H.; Zehnder, A. J. & van der Meer, J. R. (1997). Development and characterization of a whole-cell bioluminescent sensor for bioavailable middle-chain alkanes in contaminated groundwater samples. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, Vol. 63, No. 10, pp. 4053-4060
- Suriyawattanakul, L.; Surareungchai, W.; Sritongkam, P.; Tanticharoen, M. & Kirtikara, K. (2002). The use of co-immobilization of *Trichosporon cutaneum* and *Bacillus licheniformis* for a BOD sensor. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, Vol. 59, No. 1, pp. 40-44

- Suzuki, M.; Lee, S. F., K.; Arikawa, I.; Kubo, I.; Kanagawa, T.; Mikami, E. & Karube, I. (1992). Determination of sulfite ion by using microbial sensor. *Analytical Letters*, Vol. 25, No. 6, pp. 973-982
- Svitel, J.; Curilla, O. & Tkac, J. (1998). Microbial cell-based biosensor for sensing glucose, sucrose or lactose. *Biotechnol. Appl. Biochem.*, Vol. 27, No. Pt 2, pp. 153-158
- Svorc, J.; Miertus, S. & Barlikova, A. (1990). Hybrid biosensor for the determination of lactose. *Analytical Chemistry*, Vol. 62, No. 15, pp. 1628-1631
- Takayama, K.; Kurosaki, T. & Ikeda, T. (1993). Mediated eletrocatalysis at a biocatalyst electrode based on a bacterium, *Gluconobacter industrius*. *J. Electroanal. Chem.*, Vol. 356, pp. 295-301
- Takayama, K.; Kano, K. & Ikeda, T. (1996). Mediated electrocatalytic reduction of nitrate and nitrite based on the denitrifying activity of *Paracoccus denitrificans*. *Chemistry Letters*, Vol. 11, pp. 1009-1010
- Tan, H. M.; Cheong, S. P. & Tan, T. C. (1994). An amperometric benzene sensor using whole sell *Pseudomonas putida* ML2. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, Vol. 9, pp. 1-8
- Taranova, L.; Semenchuk, I.; Manolov, T.; Iliasov, P. & Reshetilov, A. (2002). Bacteria-degraders as the base of an amperometric biosensor for detection of anionic surfactants. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, Vol. 17, No. 8, pp. 635-640
- Taranova, L. A.; Fesai, A. P.; Ivashchenko, G. V.; Reshetilov, A. N.; Winter-Nielsen, M. & Emneus, J. (2004). [*Comamonas testosteroni* strain TI as a potential base for a microbial sensor detecting surfactants]. *Prikl. Biokhim. Mikrobiol.*, Vol. 40, No. 4, pp. 472-477
- Tauber, M.; Rosen, R. & Belkin, S. (2001). Whole-cell biodetection of halogenated organic acids. *Talanta*, Vol. 55, pp. 959-964
- Thevenot, D. R.; Toth, K.; Durst, R. A. & Wilson, G. S. (2001). Electrochemical biosensors: recommended definitions and classification. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, Vol. 16, No. 1-2, pp. 121-131.
- Thouand, G.; Horry, H.; Durand, M. J.; Picart, P.; Bendriaa, L.; Daniel, P. & DuBow, M. S. (2003). Development of a biosensor for on-line detection of tributyltin with a recombinant bioluminescent *Escherichia coli* strain. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, Vol. 62, No. 2-3, pp. 218-225
- Timur, S.; Anik, U.; Odaci, D. & Gorton, L. (2007). Development of a microbial biosensor based on carbon nanotube (CNT) modified electrodes. *Electrochemistry Communications*, Vol. 9, pp. 1810-1815
- Tkac, J.; Gemeiner, P.; Svitel, J.; Benikovskiy, T.; Sturdik, E.; Vala, V.; Petrus, L. & Hrabarova, E. (2000). Determination of total sugars in lignocellulose hydrolysate by a mediated *Gluconobacter oxydans* biosensor. *Analytica Chimica Acta*, Vol. 420, pp. 1-7
- Tkac, J.; Vostiar, I.; Gemeiner, P. & Sturdik, E. (2002). Monitoring of ethanol during fermentation using a microbial biosensor with enhanced selectivity. *Bioelectrochemistry*, Vol. 56, No. 1-2, pp. 127-129
- Tkac, J.; Vostiar, I.; Gorton, L.; Gemeiner, P. & Sturdik, E. (2003). Improved selectivity of microbial biosensor using membrane coating. Application to the analysis of ethanol during fermentation. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, Vol. 18, No. 9, pp. 1125-1134
- Tkac, J.; Whittaker, J. W. & Ruzgas, T. (2007). The use of single walled carbon nanotubes dispersed in a chitosan matrix for preparation of a galactose biosensor. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, Vol. 22, No. 8, pp. 1820-1824, ISSN 0956-5663
- Trosok, S. P.; Driscoll, B. T. & Luong, J. H. (2001). Mediated microbial biosensor using a novel yeast strain for wastewater BOD measurement. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, Vol. 56, No. 3-4, pp. 550-554.

- Turner, A. P. F.; Karube, I. & Wilson, G. S. (Eds.) (1987). *Biosensors: fundamentals and applications*, Oxford University Press, New York
- Turner, A. P. F. (1996) *Biosensors: Past, Present and Future*, <http://www.cranfield.ac.uk/health/researchareas/biosensorsdiagnostics/page18795.jsp>
- Vais, H.; Ardelean, I. & Margineanu, D. G. (1989). Bioelectrical conversion in sensors with living cells. *Physiologie*, Vol. 26, No. 4, pp. 349-353
- Vedrine, C.; Leclerc, J. C.; Durrieu, C. & Tran-Minh, C. (2003). Optical whole-cell biosensor using *Chlorella vulgaris* designed for monitoring herbicides. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, Vol. 18, No. 4, pp. 457-463
- Vlasova, II; Asrieli, T. V.; Gavrilova, E. M. & Danilov, V. S. (2004). New approach for specific determination of antibiotics by use of luminescent *Escherichia coli* and immune serum. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, Vol. 70, No. 2, pp. 1245-1248
- Voronova, E.; Iliasov, P. & Reshetilov, A. (2008). Development, investigation of parameters and estimation of possibility of adaptation of *Pichia angusta* based microbial sensor for ethanol detection. *Analytical Letters*, Vol. 41, No 3, pp. 377-391
- Vostiar, I.; Ferapontova, E. & Gorton, L. (2004). Electrical “wiring” of viable *Gluconobacter oxydans* cells with a flexible osmium-redox polyelectrolyte. *Electrochemistry Communications*, Vol. 6, pp. 621-626
- Werlen, C.; Jaspers, M. C. & Van Der Meer, J. R. (2004). Measurement of biologically available naphthalene in gas and aqueous phases by use of a *Pseudomonas putida* biosensor. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, Vol. 70, No. 1, pp. 43-51
- Willardson, B. M.; Wilkins, J. F.; Rand, T. A.; Schupp, J. M.; Hill, K. K.; Keim, P. & Jackson, P. J. (1998). Development and testing of a bacterial biosensor for toluene-based environmental contaminants. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, Vol. 64, No. 3, pp. 1006-1012
- Wollenberger, U.; Scheller, F. & Atrat, P. (1980). Microbial membrane electrode for steroid assay. *Analytical Letters*, Vol. 13, pp. 1201-1210
- Yang, Z.; Suzuki, H.; Sasaki, S. & Karube, I. (1996). Disposable sensor for biochemical oxygen demand. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, Vol. 46, No. 1, pp. 10-14
- Yang, Z.; Sasaki, S.; Karube, I. & Suzuki, H. (1997). Fabrication of oxygen electrode arrays and their incorporation into sensors for measuring biochemical oxygen demand. *Analytica Chimica Acta*, Vol. 357, pp. 41-49
- Yuqing, M.; Jianguo, G. & Jianrong, C. (2003). Ion sensitive field effect transducer-based biosensors. *Biotechnology Advances*, Vol. 21, pp. 527-534
- Zhao, Y. B.; Wen, M. L.; Liu, S. Q.; Liu, Z. H.; Zhang, W. D.; Yao, Y. & Wang, C. Y. (1998). Microbial sensor for determination of tannic acid. *Microchemical Journal*, Vol. 60, pp. 201-209
- Ziegler, C. (2000). Cell-based biosensors. *Fresenius J. Analytical Chemistry*, Vol. 366, No. 6-7, pp. 552-559



Intelligent and Biosensors

Edited by Vernon S. Somerset

ISBN 978-953-7619-58-9

Hard cover, 386 pages

Publisher InTech

Published online 01, January, 2010

Published in print edition January, 2010

The use of intelligent sensors have revolutionized the way in which we gather data from the world around us, how we extract useful information from that data, and the manner in which we use the newly obtained information for various operations and decision making. This book is an attempt to highlight the current research in the field of Intelligent and Biosensors, thereby describing state-of-the-art techniques in the field and emerging new technologies, also showcasing some examples and applications.

How to reference

In order to correctly reference this scholarly work, feel free to copy and paste the following:

Reshetilov A.N., Iliasov P.V. and Reshetilova T.A. (2010). The Microbial Cell Based Biosensors, Intelligent and Biosensors, Vernon S. Somerset (Ed.), ISBN: 978-953-7619-58-9, InTech, Available from: <http://www.intechopen.com/books/intelligent-and-biosensors/the-microbial-cell-based-biosensors>

INTECH
open science | open minds

InTech Europe

University Campus STeP Ri
Slavka Krautzeka 83/A
51000 Rijeka, Croatia
Phone: +385 (51) 770 447
Fax: +385 (51) 686 166
www.intechopen.com

InTech China

Unit 405, Office Block, Hotel Equatorial Shanghai
No.65, Yan An Road (West), Shanghai, 200040, China
中国上海市延安西路65号上海国际贵都大饭店办公楼405单元
Phone: +86-21-62489820
Fax: +86-21-62489821

© 2010 The Author(s). Licensee IntechOpen. This chapter is distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike-3.0 License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/), which permits use, distribution and reproduction for non-commercial purposes, provided the original is properly cited and derivative works building on this content are distributed under the same license.

IntechOpen

IntechOpen