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1. Introduction

In the realization of machine intelligence, image processing and recognition technologies are gaining in importance. However, it is difficult to construct image processing in each problem. In this case, a general-purpose method that constructs image processing without depending on problems is necessary.

On the other hand, Evolutionary Computation studies are widely applied to image processing. Evolutionary Computation is an optimizing algorithm inspired by evolutionary processes of living things. We have previously proposed a system that automatically constructs an image-processing filter: Automatic Construction of Tree-structural Image Transformation (ACTIT). In this system, ACTIT approximates target image processing by combining tree-structurally several image-processing filters prepared in advance with genetic programming (GP), which is a type of Evolutionary Computation. We have proven that ACTIT is an effective method for many problems.

However, such complex image processing requires a great deal of computing time to optimize tree-structural image processing if ACTIT is applied to a problem that uses large and numerous images. Therefore, it is important to obtain fast evolutionary image processing. Some methods allow us to obtain fast processing, improve the algorithm, and implement fast hardware and parallel processing.

In this chapter, we employ a Graphics Processing Unit (GPU) as fast hardware to ACTIT for realization of fast image processing optimization. Moreover, the system calculates in parallel using multiple GPUs and increases in speed. We experimentally show that the optimization speed of the proposed method is faster than that of ordinary ACTIT.

This chapter is composed of the following. Section 2 discusses related works, ACTIT, General Purpose GPU (GPGPU), and parallel processing in Evolutionary Computation. Section 3 describes Multi-GPUs-ACTIT, which is the proposed system in this chapter. Section 4 experimentally shows that the proposed system is effective. Finally, section 5 describes our conclusions and future work.

2. Related works

2.1 ACTIT

ACTIT is a study of image processing using GP. It automatically constructs a tree-structural image transformation by combining several image-processing filters prepared in advance.
with GP by referring to training image sets. The individual in GP is a tree-structural image transformation. A tree-structural image transformation is composed of input images as terminal nodes, non-terminal nodes in the form of several types of image-processing filters, and a root in the form of an output image.

![Diagram of ACTIT System](image)

**Fig. 1.** The processing flow of the ACTIT system.

Figure 1 shows the processing flow of the ACTIT system. Training image sets are prepared, including several original images, their target images and weight images that indicate the important degree of pixel. We set the parameters that GP uses to optimize the tree structure and feed the training image sets to ACTIT. Then, ACTIT optimizes the tree-structural image transformation by means of GP. As a result, we can obtain an optimized tree-structural image transformation that has maximum fitness. The tree-structural image transformation applies a certain processing mechanism to images that have the same characteristics. If the constructed tree-structural image transformation is appropriate, we can expect similar effects to the images that have the same characteristics as those learned. We prove that ACTIT is an effective method for a number of problems, such as 2D image processing for the detection of defects and 3D medical image processing.

### 2.2 GPGPU

The computational power of GPU on general graphics boards has been improving rapidly. Simple computational power per unit time of GPU has previously been superior to that of
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CPU. Past GPUs performed only fast fixed CG processing. However, the latest GPUs have graphics pipelines that can be freely programmed and replaced to perform complex CG processing. Thus, presently, in research that puts GPU to practical use for the general purpose of calculating, GPGPU is a popular technique.

![Graph showing the computational power of CPU and GPU over the past several years.](image)

**Fig. 2.** The computational power of CPU and GPU in recent years.

**Table 1.** The history of GPGPU.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>NVIDIA released DirectX 8 which supports programmable shader architecture for the first time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>NVIDIA GeForce 3 series GPU, which actually supports programmable shader architecture, appeared.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>NVIDIA released the 3D graphics language, &quot;Cg (C for graphics)&quot;.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>Research report on GPGPU, &quot;GP2&quot; is held in Los Angeles for the first time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>GPGPU session is newly established at CG festival SIGGRAPH sponsored by the Association for Computing Machinery (ACM).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2 shows the progress of the computational power of CPU and GPU over the past several years. Simple computational power per unit time of GPU has previously been superior to that of CPU during this time. The growth rate per year of GPU has also been superior to that of CPU. Table 1 shows the history of GPGPU. Studies relating to GPGPU have only recently begun. NVIDIA GeForce 3 series GPU, which in practice supports programmable shader architecture, appeared in 2001. In 2002, NVIDIA released a high-level shader language Cg (C for graphics) and a toolkit that includes its compiler. Cg is a 3D graphics language similar to C language, and NVIDIA co-developed Cg with Microsoft. Formerly, it was necessary to code by hand with the assembly language to program using GPU. However, presently it is possible to generate an optimized code; GPU made by NVIDIA is the best technique for use...
with Cg. In 2005, GPGPU session was established at the CG festival SIGGRAPH, sponsored by the Association for Computing Machinery. GPU programming is without doubt different from CPU programming. For instance, GPU does not have random access memory space that can be freely read and written to when it calculates. GPU has an architecture specializing in parallel processing. This means that GPU is a stream processor. Therefore, GPGPU is effective for applications that satisfy the following three demands:

- Processed data are of a huge size.
- There is little dependency between each data.
- The processing of data can be highly parallel.

Therefore, GPGPU is effective for calculating matrices, image processing, physical simulations, and so on. Recently, programming languages specializing in GPGPU, Sh, Scout and Brook have been released. In addition, in 2006, NVIDIA released CUDA (Compute Unified Device Architecture), which performs general-purpose applications on GPU. Thus it is now relatively easy to program with GPU.

2.3 Parallel processing in evolutionary computation

Many studies have proven the performance of genetic algorithm (GA) and GP in parallel. The following show the main parallel models in GA and GP.

1. Island model: In an island model (Fig. 3), the population in GA and GP is divided into sections of population (Islands). Each section of population is assigned to multiple processors and applied to normal genetic operators in parallel. Exchange of individuals between sections of population (Migration) is performed. Each section is independently evaluated. Therefore, we expect that each section retains the variety of the entire population.

![Island model](image)

Fig. 3. Island model.

2. Master–slave model: In the master–slave model (Fig. 4), the fitness of individuals in GA and GP is calculated quickly in parallel. A master–slave model is generally composed of one control node (Master) and multiple calculation nodes (Slave). In this model, one control node performs genetic operators composed of selection, crossover, and mutation. Multiple calculation nodes share the task of calculating the fitness of individuals that consume computing time.
3. Parallel-MGG model: The Parallel-MGG model (Fig. 5) is based on the master–slave model for fast processing. In the Parallel-MGG model, a control node sends two individuals as parents to calculation nodes. Each calculation node updates two individuals using Minimal Generation Gap (MGG) in parallel. A control node then receives two individuals of the next generation as children from each calculation node. In Parallel-MGG, the transport time between nodes is reduced because processing is asynchronous.

3. Fast evolutionary image processing

3.1 GPU-ACTIT

ACTIT requires a large amount of computing time to optimize tree-structural image processing when applied to a problem that uses large and numerous training image sets, because it needs to repeatedly create tree-structural image transformations and calculate their fitness. The computing time of the image transformation part of ACTIT accounts for 99% of the entire computing time. We therefore implement image-processing filters on programmable graphics pipelines of GPU for the purpose of reducing optimization time.
The parts of CPU and GPU: Figure 6 shows the processing flow of CPU and GPU for the proposed system. First, the system loads training image sets and image-processing filters, which are written in Cg and compiled to GPU during initialization. The system executes the alteration of generations part, composed of selection, crossover, and mutation operators, of GP on CPU. It then performs image transformation and calculates fitness on GPU.

CPU indicates the image-processing filter and its target image that GPU executes from the image filters of tree-structural image transformation to GPU one by one during image transformation. GPU performs tree-structural image transformation according to CPU. GPU calculates the fitness of each individual, i.e., tree-structural image transformation from the difference between the target image and the output image that is a result of image transformation in calculating fitness part. These processes are repeated until the fitness of all updated individuals per iteration are calculated. CPU reads back the fitness from GPU immediately.

The system repeats these processes until the fitness of the best individual becomes 1.0 or the iteration number becomes max. Finally, we obtain an optimized tree-structural image transformation that has maximum fitness. We can obtain faster ACTIT by reducing the number of transporting data between CPU and GPU by loading training image sets firstly and returning fitness at once. We almost allow GPU to perform processing which costs computing time.

2. Implement on GPU: Programs written for CPU cannot be applied to GPU directly, because GPU has some limitations over CPU. Therefore, we are currently implementing only simple image-processing filters on GPU. The following describes several image-processing filters implemented on GPU:

- Calculation of current and neighboring pixels (Mean Filter, Sobel Filter, and so on).
- Calculation of two images (Difference Filter and so on).
- Calculation of mean, maximum, minimum value in the whole image (Binarization with Mean Value, Linear Transformation of Histogram, and so on).
Figure 7 shows a Binarization filter (mean value). We calculate fast mean value in the whole image with parallel reductions.

```c
float4 cg_calc_mean(
    float2 coords : WPOS,
    uniform samplerRECT src
) : COLOR0 {
    float4 sum = 0.0;
    for (int i = 0; i <= 1; i++)
        for (int j = 0; j <= 1; j++)
            sum += texRECT(src, coords * 2 + half2(i, j));
    return sum / 4;
}
```

```c
float4 cg_binarization(
    float2 coords : WPOS,
    uniform samplerRECT src,
    uniform samplerRECT src2
) : COLOR0 {
    float4 t = texRECT(src, float2(0.0, 0.0));
    float4 data = texRECT(src2, coords);
    return data <= t ? 0.0 : 1.0;
}
```

**Fig. 7. Binarization filter (mean value).**

### 3.2 Proposed parallel model

GPU-ACTIT is performed in parallel using multiple GPUs for fast processing. Parallel processing is effective for ACTIT, because the computing time of the parallelable part of ACTIT accounts for most of the entire computing time.

Figure 8 shows Multi-GPUs-ACTIT. The proposed system is composed of multiple PCs that have one GPU. The factors that prevent the system from achieving fast processing are synchronous time and transport time. There is no synchronous time, because processing is asynchronous in Parallel-MGG. Moreover, we can improve Parallel-MGG for the purpose of reducing transport time. In this new Parallel-MGG, the waiting buffer is located in each calculation node. The individual is sent to the waiting buffer in advance. Subsequent processing then starts as soon as the previous processing is finished, since the waiting buffer is utilized.

### 4. Experiments

#### 4.1 Experimental setting

Here, we compare the optimization speed of the proposed system with ordinary ACTIT. The proposed system is composed of five PCs (one server and four clients) connected by a LAN network. Figure 9 shows the outside of the system.
Fig. 8. Multi-GPUs-ACTIT.

Fig. 9. The outside of the system.

Table 2 shows the specifications of the PC used. Intel Core 2 Duo E6400 CPU and NVIDIA GeForce 7900 GS GPU are utilized in these experiments. We program with GPU using OpenGL and Cg.

We implement 37 types of one or two input and one output simple image-processing filters. GPU can calculate four planes (red, green, blue, and alpha) at the same time. Therefore, we prepare four training image sets. The dimensions of each image are $64 \times 64$, $128 \times 128$, $256 \times 256$, $512 \times 512$, and $1024 \times 1024$, respectively. GP parameters employ common values. The alternation model used by GP is MGG.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PC</th>
<th>DELL Dimension 9200</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CPU</td>
<td>Intel Core 2 Duo E6400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAM</td>
<td>2GB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graphics Board</td>
<td>NVIDIA GeForce 7900 GS (G71)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Network</td>
<td>100Mbps Ethernet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OS</td>
<td>Microsoft Windows XP Professional SP2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graphics API</td>
<td>OpenGL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shader Language</td>
<td>Cg (C for graphics)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Specifications of the PC used.

4.2 Experimental results

1. Comparison of ordinary CPU-ACTIT and one GPU-ACTIT: First, we compared the optimization speed of one GPU-ACTIT with ordinary CPU-ACTIT. Figure 10 and Table 3 show the experimental results. The horizontal axis denotes image size, and the vertical axis denotes optimization speed. In Fig. 10 and Table 3, values are based on the optimization speed of 1.0 for CPU-ACTIT using images of 64 × 64.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Image Size</th>
<th>CPU-ACTIT</th>
<th>GPU-ACTIT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>64 × 64</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128 × 128</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>21.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>256 × 256</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>73.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>512 × 512</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>102.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1024 × 1024</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>104.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Details of experimental results of a comparison of CPU-ACTIT and GPU-ACTIT.

Fig. 10. Experimental results of a comparison of CPU-ACTIT and GPU-ACTIT. The optimization of GPU-ACTIT was about 10 times faster than that of CPU-ACTIT with a small image, while it was about 100 times faster with a large image. It is well known that
GPU is effective when it uses large data. Therefore, the proposed method is very effective, because large and numerous training image sets tend to be used in real problems.

Next, we experimented to explain the influence of transporting data and synchronous time between CPU and GPU. Figure 11 shows details of the processing time. “GPU-ACTIT (inefficiently)” loads and reads images whenever it calculates the fitness of an individual. Loading and reading images influence the performance. As a result, the proposed method essentially performed the process that costs large computing time on GPU.

![Fig. 11. Details of processing time.](image)

2. Parallel of GPU-ACTIT: We compared the optimization speed of Multi-GPUs-ACTIT with one GPU-ACTIT. Parallel models used were master–slave, Parallel-MGG, and Parallel-MGG with waiting buffer. The number of GPUs was 1–4. Image size was only $512 \times 512$.

![Fig. 12. Experimental results of Multi-GPUs-ACTIT.](image)
Table 4. Details of experimental results of Multi-GPUs-ACTIT.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of GPUs</th>
<th>CPU-ACTIT</th>
<th>Master-slave</th>
<th>Parallel-MGG</th>
<th>Parallel-MGG with Waiting Buffer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>94.5 (1.0)</td>
<td>94.5 (1.0)</td>
<td>94.5 (1.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>107.7 (1.1)</td>
<td>120.6 (1.3)</td>
<td>189.7 (2.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>177.9 (1.9)</td>
<td>274.9 (2.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>125.0 (1.3)</td>
<td>244.4 (2.6)</td>
<td>362.2 (3.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 12 and Table 4 show experimental results. The horizontal axis denotes the number of GPUs, and the vertical axis denotes optimization speed. In Fig. 12 and Table 4, values are based on the optimization speed of 1.0 for CPU-ACTIT using 512 × 512 images. Parenthetic values are based on the optimization speed of 1.0 for one GPU-ACTIT using 512 × 512 images.

The optimization of four GPUs-ACTIT was about 3.8 times faster than that of one GPU-ACTIT in the proposed parallel model. The optimization of four GPUs-ACTIT was about 360 times faster than that of CPU-ACTIT in the proposed parallel model. We experimentally showed that the proposed parallel method is efficient.

5. Conclusions

We employed GPU to ACTIT for the purpose of reducing optimization time. The proposed method essentially performed the process that costs large computing time on GPU. Moreover, we proposed an efficient parallel model and instructed GPU-ACTIT to perform in parallel using multiple GPUs for fast processing. The optimization of the proposed method was several hundred times faster than that of the ordinary ACTIT. We experimentally showed that the proposed method is effective.

In future work, we plan to implement complex filters for ACTIT using only CPU that can be implemented on GPU. We propose image-processing algorithms that are effective for GPGPU. We also aim to construct a fast evolutionary image-processing system.

6. References


There are six sections in this book. The first section presents basic image processing techniques, such as image acquisition, storage, retrieval, transformation, filtering, and parallel computing. Then, some applications, such as road sign recognition, air quality monitoring, remote sensed image analysis, and diagnosis of industrial parts are considered. Subsequently, the application of image processing for the special eye examination and a newly three-dimensional digital camera are introduced. On the other hand, the section of medical imaging will show the applications of nuclear imaging, ultrasound imaging, and biology. The section of neural fuzzy presents the topics of image recognition, self-learning, image restoration, as well as evolutionary. The final section will show how to implement the hardware design based on the SoC or FPGA to accelerate image processing.
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