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TLR4-Induced Inflammation Is a Key Promoter of Tumor Growth, Vascularization, and Metastasis

Sophia Ran, Nihit Bhattarai, Radhika Patel and Lisa Volk-Draper

Abstract

Toll-like receptor-4 (TLR4) is a powerful pathway best known for inducing inflammation in response to bacteria-produced lipopolysaccharide. TLR4 is also activated by endogenous ligands produced by host-damaged cells and a chemo-drug paclitaxel. Under normal conditions, TLR4 is expressed mainly in macrophages and, at a lower level, in epithelial, endothelial, and stromal cells. Activated TLR4 significantly increases inflammatory cytokines and enhances cell proliferation, migration, invasion, and survival. While these functions in normal cells are essential for host defense and tissue repair, TLR4 overexpression in malignant cells promotes tumor growth and metastasis. This is because pro-oncogenic effects of activated TLR4 in tumor cells are amplified by similar event in TLR4-positive tumor-associated cells including endothelial cells and their mobilized progenitors. The collective activation of multiple cell types within the tumor promotes chemoresistance and metastasis. Here, we summarize the current knowledge of the TLR4 pathway and its functional outcomes in normal and tumor cells. We also discuss its underappreciated role in supporting tumor progression through vascular activation and recruitment of endothelial progenitors. The review considers several open questions regarding the impact of TLR4-mediated pro- and antitumor effects, structural requirements for recognition of the TLR4 complex, and a potential contribution of chemotherapy to tumor spread.
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1. Introduction

Human Toll-like receptor-4 (TLR4) was discovered in 1997 through a bioinformatics approach based on the homology of similar Toll protein found in Drosophila [1, 2]. Drosophila Toll protein-mediated protection against fungus infection was the first clue suggesting the role of mammalian TLR4 in activation of the immune system. The complex of TLR4 and its co-receptors is the primary sensor and responder to lipopolysaccharides (LPS), the major constituents of membranes of Gram-negative bacteria [3]. Based on exquisite sensitivity to LPS and high level of TLR4 expression in macrophages, it was initially thought that the main function of this protein is restricted to an inflammatory response aimed at eradication of microbial pathogens.
Subsequent studies, however, discovered intricate interactions of TLR4 with multitude of other molecules besides LPS suggesting a much broader role in homeostasis, tissue repair, and longevity in addition to the immune defense. These functions of TLR4 directly relate to cancer initiation, progression, and response to therapy.

2. Basic structure of TLR4 gene and protein

Human TLR4 gene contains four exons, and it is located in the long arm of chromosome 9 [4]. TLR4 is a Type-1 transmembrane protein belonging to the family of Toll-like receptors consisting of 10 members in humans and 12 proteins in mice [5]. TLR4 protein is composed of an extracellular domain, a C-terminal leucine-rich repeat (LRR) domain, and a single transmembrane sequence connected to an intracellular Toll/IL-1 receptor (TIR) region that conveys signaling [5]. LRR and TIR domains are responsible for ligand recognition and signal transduction, respectively [6].

3. TLR4 expression in normal organs

The main cell types that express TLR4 under normal conditions are cells of innate immunity including monocytes [7], macrophages [8], neutrophils [9], and dendritic cells [10]. In addition, TLR4 is also found in T cells [11] and B lymphocytes [12] albeit at lower level. Osteoclasts, macrophage-like cells in the bone marrow, also express TLR4 [13]. Embryonic stem cells [14] as well as adult hematopoietic-myeloid [15], lymphoid [15], mesenchymal [16], blood vascular endothelial [17], and lymphatic endothelial [18] progenitors all express TLR4 and signaling components of this pathway. Among non-hematopoietic cells, TLR4 is expressed in blood vascular [19] and lymphatic [20] endothelia as well as at low concentration in fibroblasts [21], keratinocytes [22], and epithelial cells of most normal organs including the colon [23], intestine [24], ovary [25], kidney [26], and lungs [27]. Professional phagocytes and their myeloid precursors express the greatest amount of TLR4 and exhibit the most pronounced response to its ligands [28]. The level and composition of TLR4 signal-transducing molecules vary among different cell types, particularly between myeloid and epithelial cells [29]. However, all positive cells share the basic traits of this pathway such as responsiveness to LPS reflected by activation of transcription factors of the NF-κB family and production of inflammatory cytokines. During inflammation, TLR4-expressing normal cells significantly increase secretion of inflammatory mediators [25, 30] due to co-upregulated TLR4 [31], its intracellular adapters [32], and co-receptors [10] which enhances cooperation among the pathway’s components. Likewise, malignant TLR4-positive cells express much higher levels of inflammatory proteins as compared with their normal counterparts [33, 34].

4. Physiological functions of TLR4 in normal organs

In order to understand the full impact of TLR4 on cancer progression, it is useful to be familiar with its physiological role in normal organs. The main function of TLR4 in normal cells is restoration of tissue homeostasis perturbed by either pathogens or sterile injury. Response to pathogens is dictated by its ability to recognize and respond to LPS [3] and other microbial [35] and non-bacterial [36] lipids. The bacterial lipid-containing products are collectively called pattern-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs). Recognition of PAMPs triggers upregulation of inflammatory proteins that mediate proliferation [37], chemotactic migration [38], and survival
of innate immunity cells, all of which is necessary for their division, mobilization to infected tissue, and cytoprotection against bacterial toxins and stresses of inflamed environment. LPS also induces differentiation of myeloid progenitors resulting in rapid maturation of dendritic cells and their superior antigen presentation to T lymphocytes necessary for eradication of invading pathogens [40].

Another broad panel of TLR4-activating molecules are called damage-associated molecular patterns or DAMPs. These molecules are produced by severely damaged or dying cells [41, 42]. The TLR4 responsiveness to this class of activators creates regenerative environment that helps to repair the injured tissue by replacing its lost or damaged components. This function of TLR4 is equally critical for long-term survival of the entire organism as the ability to generate pathogen-destroying cells. Therefore, in this situation TLR4 activates local and bone marrow (BM)–derived myeloid (BMDM) progenitors wired to differentiate the required cell types that replenish the damaged tissue. Not surprisingly, TLR4–expressing macrophages derived from BMDM progenitors dominate the late stages of wound healing acting to restore post-injury homeostasis, including rebuilding of functional vasculature [43]. In parallel to systemic effect, local epithelial and stromal cells activated by TLR4 produce copious amounts of chemotactic factors to recruit these progenitors while also being induced to divide, migrate, and re-populate the wounded area.

Given the TLR4 role in homeostasis, it is not surprising that it activates and induces differentiation of BM-derived progenitors from myeloid and lymphoid lineages [15], mesenchymal stem cells [16], blood vascular endothelial progenitors [17], lymphatic endothelial progenitors [18], and local tissue epithelial stem cells [14]. Chemokines recruiting these progenitors are produced by local stroma and epithelium as demonstrated by TLR4–dependent upregulation of a variety of cytokines in inflamed fibroblasts [42], hepatic stellate cells [44], as well as intestinal [45], alveolar [27], ovarian [25], and renal [26] epithelial cells. In addition to production of chemokines, TLR4 activation of epithelial cells increases their division [46] and survival [47] similarly to its effects on immune and hematopoietic cells described above. Tissue infiltration by immune cells and BM progenitors is facilitated by increased vascular permeability [48, 49] and upregulation of cell adhesion proteins that facilitate leukocyte–endothelial interactions [50]. These two major events permitting recruitment of BM-regenerating cells are induced by TLR4 activation of blood vascular endothelium [51] and vessel-supporting pericytes [52]. Lastly, TLR4 activation of lymphatic endothelial cells [20, 53] is essential for collection of tissue-mobilized macrophages from the inflamed tissue followed by their transportation to locoregional lymph nodes. Lymphatic-mediated removal of macrophages and dendritic cells from the affected site helps in resolving the inflammation and mounting the adaptive immune response through antigen presentation to T cells in the local nodes. Simultaneous activation of TLR4 in epithelium, stroma, endothelium, BM, and immune organs ensures tissue recovery in a coordinated and timely manner. TLR4 plays a central role in this process by coordinating epithelial cytokine release and activation, production of new cells in the BM, their recruitment to injured tissue, and resolution of residual inflammation that collectively promote tissue repair [54, 47]. While all these processes are essential for homeostasis, similar TLR4 activities in the context of cancer result in devastating outcomes including protection of tumor cells against anticancer drugs and their improved ability to generate and invade newly formed vessels.

5. TLR4 expression in malignant tumors

Although TLR4 expression was initially thought to be restricted to hematopoietic cells, subsequent studies discovered functional TLR4 in a variety of normal epithelial
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tumor type</th>
<th>No. patients</th>
<th>Detection method</th>
<th>Comments</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>Ref</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Various solid tumors</td>
<td>1,294</td>
<td>Meta analysis</td>
<td>Data from 15 independent studies show high correlation between TLR4 expression and poor disease-free and overall survival</td>
<td>0.001 and 0.05</td>
<td>[81]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast</td>
<td>665</td>
<td>RT-PCR</td>
<td>Significantly shorter survival of patients with TLR4 polymorphisms</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>[82]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>IHC</td>
<td>High TLR4 expression in tumor cells significantly correlated with lymph node metastasis</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>[76]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>IHC</td>
<td>High TLR4 expression in infiltrating mononuclear cells highly correlated with lymphatic metastasis and recurrence</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>[79]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>IHC</td>
<td>Elevated TLR4 expression was strongly associated with tumor size and lymphatic metastasis</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>[83]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prostate</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>High TLR4 expression correlated with elevated PSA after ablation, an event defined as biochemical recurrence</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>[84]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ovarian</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>RT-PCR and IHC</td>
<td>High expression of TLR4 significantly correlated with worse of overall and disease-free survival</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>[85]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-small cell lung cancer</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>IHC</td>
<td>Elevated TLR4 expression was strongly associated with TNM stage and lymphatic metastasis</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>[86]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ovarian</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>IHC</td>
<td>TLR4 was detected in 46.3% of cases. Co-expression of TLR4 and its adaptor MyD88 was highly associated with advanced tumor stage</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>[87]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorectal</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>IHC</td>
<td>High expression of TLR4 strongly correlated with shorter overall survival</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>[77]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colon</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>IHC</td>
<td>High expression of TLR4 strongly correlated with disease progression</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>[73]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatic</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>IHC</td>
<td>TLR4 was expressed in 86% of tumor specimens and significantly correlated with tumor size</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>[64]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pancreatic</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>RT-PCR and IHC</td>
<td>TLR4 was expressed in 69.2% of tumor specimens and significantly correlated with tumor size, vascular invasion and lymphatic metastasis</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>[72]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Esophageal</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>RT-PCR and IHC</td>
<td>TLR4 expression in mononuclear cells was detected in 48.3% of specimens and significantly correlated with lymphatic metastasis</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>[88]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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cells [25, 26]. Malignant transformation amplifies TLR4 expression while typically preserving its functionality [55]. In fact, constitutive expression of epithelial TLR4 might contribute to development of cancer if persistently activated by pathogenic and environmental ligands which leads to vicious cycles of self-propagating inflammation. For instance, TLR4 interaction with H. pylori products in normal gastric epithelium might lead to chronic gastritis and progression into gastric intestinal metaplasia [56]. Analysis of cell lines from other organs also consistently showed substantial upregulation of TLR4 in tumor cells compared with their normal counterparts. Protein, mRNA, and functional activity of the TLR4 pathway have been demonstrated in tumor lines derived from many human epithelial lines including breast [33, 57], ovarian [58, 59], lung [60], prostate [61, 62], head and neck [63], hepatic [64], gastric [65], and pancreatic [66] cancers. It is also expressed in melanoma [67], glioblastoma [68], and various lines derived from hematopoietic malignancies [69]. Mouse tumor cell lines from a variety of tissues replicate these findings [70, 71].

TLR4 expression is not only limited to cultured tumor cell lines but is also detected in malignant cells in clinical human cancers. Moreover, the majority of clinical studies showed that TLR4 expression strongly correlates with poor prognosis due to increased tumor size [72], stage [73], loss of differentiation [74], chemoresistance [73, 75], venous invasion [72], lymph node metastasis [72, 75–78], recurrence [79], and shorter patient survival [77, 80] (Table 1). A recently published meta-analysis examining data from 15 independent encompassing analyses of different tumors from 1294 patients found strong associations (P-values 0.001–0.05) between TLR4 expression and reduced overall and disease-free survival [81]. Collectively, these studies demonstrate high expression of TLR4 in a variety of human solid tumors suggesting it plays a prominent role in inflammation-fueled cancer progression and metastasis.

Table 1.
Correlation of TLR4 expression with tumor growth, metastasis and poor patient survival in clinical human cancers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tumor type</th>
<th>No. patients</th>
<th>Detection method</th>
<th>Comments</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>Ref</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Melanoma</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>IHC</td>
<td>TLR4 expression in mononuclear cells significantly correlated with shorter relapse-free survival</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>[80]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. Signal transduction and intracellular pathways induced by TLR4

Given the emerging evidence for the TLR4 pro-tumorigenic role (Table 1), it is important to understand the basic steps in this pathway, its positive and negative molecular regulators, as well as biochemical requirements for intracellular signal transduction in both normal myeloid and malignant epithelial cells. The best understanding of this pathway is derived from the studies of macrophages activated by LPS. Initiation of a signal cascade is mediated through recruitment of adapters interacting with the TIR domain of TLR4. Upon ligand-induced oligomerization of TLR4, the TIR domain recruits myeloid differentiation factor-88 (MyD88) that forms heterodimers with Mal (MyD88 adapter-like) partner, a protein specific for TLR4 pathway [89]. Upon binding to TIR, MyD88-Mal complex recruits members of the IL-1R associated kinase ( IRAK) family, IRAK1 and IRAK4 [90]. Once phosphorylated, IRAK1/4 dissociates from the complex and recruits TNF receptor associate factor-6 (TRAF6), an E3 ubiquitin ligase and a critical mediator of
TLR2 and TLR4 signaling [91]. Polyubiquitination mediated by TRAF6 activates MAP3 kinases and TGF-beta associated kinase (TAK1) [92]. MAP3K activation leads to stimulation of p38/JNK pathways, whereas TAK1 together with TAB1/2 kinases phosphorylates the inhibitor of cytosolic NF-κB complex leading to release and nuclear translocation of NF-κB p65/p50 heterodimers [92]. This initiates the canonical NF-κB cascade resulting in transcription of a broad panel of inflammatory and pro-survival genes. This is amplified by genes transcribed by AP-1, CREB, and Sp1, factors activated through the parallel p38/JNK cascade.

Independently of MyD88 pathway, viruses and some TLR4 ligands also activate TIR domain-containing adapter inducing interferon-beta protein (TRIF) that is associated with TRIF-related adapter molecule (TRAM). TRIF also activates NF-κB; however, the expression level and the composition of the downstream transcribed genes are distinct from the MyD88-dependent activation. For instance, TRIF specifically activates IRF3 factor that induces robust transcription of antiviral interferons necessary to fight viral infections as opposed to classic MyD88-NF-κB targets such as TNFα and IL-6 that are predominantly upregulated after exposure to bacterial LPS.

7. Role of co-receptors in activation of TLR4

One persistent misconception in the cancer research literature is that TLR4 directly binds LPS and other activating ligands. However, it has been firmly established that TLR4, as a single protein, has very low affinity to LPS. Triggering the TLR4 pathway by LPS in monocytes and macrophages requires at least four co-receptors: myeloid differentiation protein-2 (MD2), CD14, and CD11b bound to CD18 (a complex of integrins known as Mac-1). Secreted MD2 binds to and stabilizes extracellular portion of TLR4 which creates a highly specific site for LPS recognition [93]. Intracellular MD2 also aids cytosolic trafficking of TLR4 from Golgi to the plasma membrane [94]. Physical interaction among MD2, LPS, and TLR4 has been shown in multiple elegant studies employing a combination of high-resolution structural analyses, phenotype comparison in genetically modified mice, and other rigorous approaches [95] that show a critical role of MD2 in LPS recognition by TLR4 in either mouse or human cells.

Likewise, the essential role of CD14 has been shown by physical binding of CD14 to lipid A portion of LPS using crystal structure analyses [35] and resonance energy transfer [96] as well as by hypo-responsiveness to LPS in mice lacking CD14 [97] or in the presence of CD14-blocking antibodies [7]. The function of CD14 is to accept a monomer of LPS from a blood-circulating LPS-binding protein and transfer it to a pre-made pocket in the MD2/TLR4 complex [98]. The absence of CD14 from TLR4-MD2-positive cells results in a 1000-fold reduced sensitivity to LPS compared with cells expressing this protein [99]. The involvement of CD11b/CD18 in reactivity to LPS is somewhat less certain although some studies using a point mutation identified a specific LPS-binding site in CD18 integrin [100]. Additional studies showed lack of cytokine production in Mac-1-deficient mice [101] and other deficiencies in TLR4 signaling upon treatment with anti-CD11b or anti-CD18 antibodies in vivo [9]. While some studies suggested that optimal signaling requires LPS engagement with TLR4/MD2 complex and Mac-1, others found lesser requirements for CD11b/CD18 [102]. This issue, therefore, remains unresolved as well as the mechanisms of TLR4 signal transduction induced by various endogenous ligands dissimilar from LPS. Importantly, very few structural studies were performed in TLR4-expressing normal or tumor epithelial cells that might have entirely different requirements for TLR4 co-receptors and signal transduction than macrophages. Tumor epithelial cells are unlikely
to express myeloid-specific CD14 and CD11b and might not uniformly express MyD88, MD2, and other TLR4 pathway essential proteins. How exactly TLR4 transmits the multitude of functional effects in the epithelial cell context is largely unknown.

8. LPS is the most rigorously confirmed TLR4 agonist

The best-studied ligands of TLR4 are various LPS molecules expressed in Gram-negative bacteria. The basic structure of LPS includes a lipid A component with 4–6 fatty acyl chains, an oligosaccharide core, and covalently attached O-antigen polysaccharide side chain [103]. The presence of phosphates in the lipid A and oligosaccharides confers the highly negative charge to LPS enabling its reactivity with cationic bactericidal host molecules such as beta-defensins [104]. CD14 binds the lipid A portion of LPS leaving acyl chains exposed to an LPS-binding site on the TLR4/MD2 complex. The presence of six acyl chains (as opposed to five or four) confers the best potency in activating TLR4 [105], presumably because the four acyl chains perfectly fit the hydrophobic cavity in MD2 [106] and the other two chains support the complex and promote its oligomerization [107]. This structural requirement illustrates the exquisite specificity of the binding site in the TLR4/MD2 complex to LPS raising reservations about the potential suitability of this complex for binding alternative ligands [95]. A functional and some structural evidence exists demonstrating TLR4-dependent cell activation triggered by lipid-like molecules (e.g., lipoteichoic acid [108], minimally oxidized LDL [109], and free saturated fatty acid palmitate [110]) whose binding might be supported by a hydrophobic site on CD14. Among other proposed TLR4-activating ligands, some structural evidence exists for a chemotherapeutic drug paclitaxel (PXL) [95, 101] and endogenous ligands HMGB1 [111] and S100A8 [112]. At the present, mechanisms by which other functional TLR4 ligands induce signal transduction are largely unknown.

9. Chemotherapeutic drug paclitaxel as a TLR4 ligand and a promoter of tumor growth and metastasis

For cancer researchers, a TLR4 stimulating effect of PXL is of special significance. This is because PXL and the entire class of its derivatives known as taxanes are widely used as anticancer cytotoxic drugs against a variety of human malignancies [113]. Resistance, however, occurs frequently [114], and the underlying mechanisms are poorly understood. PXL, the active component of all taxanes, exerts its cytotoxic action via binding to microtubules leading to their over-stabilization which results in apoptotic death of dividing cells [115]. The prosurvival effects of activated TLR4 pathway can explain many attributes associated with PXL chemoresistance including activation of NF-κB [116], phosphorylation of Bcl-2 and Bcl-XL [117], and resultant evasion of apoptosis [33, 58] in spite of continuous therapy.

Despite somewhat limited direct evidence confirming physical interactions of PXL with the TLR4 complex, there is overwhelming functional evidence for PXL activation of the TLR4 pathway in malignant human and mouse cells (Table 2). The early studies demonstrated that wild-type (WT) mice with functional TLR4 injected with PXL upregulated nearly an identical panel of inflammatory cytokines as those injected with LPS [3]. That was in sharp contrast to myeloid cells from TLR4-deficient mice that failed to respond to either molecule [118]. Mice lacking MyD88, the major intracellular adapter of TLR4, also did not respond to LPS.
or PXL [119]. Treatment with PXL faithfully reproduced all known events in the LPS-inducing cascade including dual activation of MyD88 and TRIF pathways [58, 119–121]; phosphorylation of IRAK1 and IRAK4 [58, 122]; NF-κB activation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tumor type</th>
<th>Specie</th>
<th>Study type</th>
<th>Taxane-mediated effects</th>
<th>Ref</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Breast and ovarian</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>In vitro</td>
<td>PXL induced degradation of IkappaB alpha followed by activation of NF-κB and MAPK</td>
<td>[126]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renal</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>In vitro</td>
<td>PXL activated ERK1/2 and induced Bcl-2 and EGFR</td>
<td>[127]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>In vitro</td>
<td>Only PXL-responsive tumor lines showed marked increase in AP-1 and NF-κB binding to IL-8 promoter</td>
<td>[128]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>In vitro &amp; In vivo</td>
<td>Curcumin, an inhibitor of NF-κB, blocked PXL-dependent upregulation of pro-survival, proliferative and angiogenic proteins including MMP9 &amp; VEGF-A</td>
<td>[129]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prostate</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>In vitro</td>
<td>Docetaxel increased tumor cell survival by activating PI3K/AKT pathway and TLR4 silencing reduced this effect</td>
<td>[61]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>Tumor xenografts in mice</td>
<td>PXL therapy induced NF-κB, Bcl-2, and VEGF-A, and anti-VEGF-A antibody blocked this effect</td>
<td>[130]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Various</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>In vitro</td>
<td>In a cell-based screening of 1,280 compounds, PXL was identified as one of the most potent inducers of invasion</td>
<td>[131]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-small cell lung carcinoma</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>Clinical study</td>
<td>Pre-operative taxane therapy increased COX2, PGE2 and microvessel density in patients</td>
<td>[132]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>In vitro &amp; models in vivo</td>
<td>PXL upregulated cytokines mainly in TLR4-positive tumor lines; an anti-TLR4 antibody blocked this effect</td>
<td>[33]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>Clinical study</td>
<td>Taxane chemotherapy increased circulating angiogenic factors and endothelial cell progenitors in patients</td>
<td>[133]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast</td>
<td>Human &amp; Mouse</td>
<td>Tumor models in mice</td>
<td>Taxane chemotherapy increased circulating CSF1 and tumor homing of BM pro-vascular myeloid cells</td>
<td>[134]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>Clinical study</td>
<td>Neoadjuvant taxane therapy increased angiogenesis in patients, possibly through VEGF-A and Notch pathways</td>
<td>[135]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>Tumor xenografts in mice</td>
<td>TLR4-positive tumors responded to PXL by increasing cytokines, homing of myeloid pro-vascular cells, lymphangiogenesis, and metastasis to lymph nodes</td>
<td>[136]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast</td>
<td>Human &amp; Mouse</td>
<td>Tumor models in vivo</td>
<td>Docetaxel induced differentiation of lymphatic endothelial progenitors in TLR4-positive BM myeloid precursors</td>
<td>[18]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast</td>
<td>Human &amp; Mouse</td>
<td>Tumor models in vivo</td>
<td>Docetaxel increased tumor lymphatic formation and metastasis to lymph nodes</td>
<td>[137]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.
Pro-oncogenic Effects of Paclitaxel and Docetaxel (selected list)
[33, 118, 122]; signaling through PI3K/pAKT [61, 121, 123] and activation of MAP kinases [119], p38 [124], JNK [123], ERK1/2 [33, 125], AP-1, and STAT3 [116]; and transcription of inflammatory genes and pro-survival factors [33, 118].

PXL-induced upregulation of inflammatory, promitotic, and pro-survival genes is evidenced by transcriptional analyses of drug-treated human cancer lines from breast [33, 122, 136], ovarian [58, 121], prostate [61], lung [116, 138], and melanoma [139] origins. Upregulation of these genes is evidenced as early as 6 hours posttreatment [138] which eliminates the possibility that this is a secondary effect resulting from cell death. Inhibition of IRAK1, an intracellular kinase whose phosphorylation is required for TLR4-dependent activation of NF-κB [90], in breast cancer lines suppressed an inflammatory response to PXL concomitant with decreased chemoresistance in vivo [122]. Correlation with chemoresistance was also recorded in an independent study that employed two different models of isogenic TLR4-negative and TLR4-positive lines [33, 136]. Mediation of PXL signaling through TLR4 is also suggested by studies with TAK-242 (also known as CLI-095), a TLR4-specific inhibitor that blocks interactions between the TIR domain and intracellular adapters [140]. Breast carcinoma cells pre-treated with TAK-242 reduced PXL-induced expression of inflammatory cytokines by nearly 90% [33]. Multiple studies showed that PXL effects on tumor epithelial or immune cells are largely TLR4-dependent since silencing TLR4 by siRNAs or blocking its actions by specific antibodies or sequence-based peptide inhibitors significantly reduced both NF-κB activation and subsequent molecular (e.g., cytokine upregulation) and cellular (e.g., division and migration) activities [33, 61, 121].

While the notion of PXL sharing the TLR4 pathway with LPS is generally accepted, the molecular basis for physical TLR4 recognition by PXL is still a matter of debate, particularly in the context of nonmyeloid cells. CD14 was reported to play a minimal role in transmission of PXL signals [141], and it is typically absent in normal and tumor epithelial cells. PXL was reported to bind to CD18 [101], but the partner for the Mac-1 complex, CD11b, is typically absent from non-hematopoietic cells such as tumor cells from epithelial malignancies. The requirement for MD2 turned out to be particularly contentious as several studies reported an exclusive specificity of mouse but not human MD2 in supporting PXL-induced signaling [142, 143]. The implication would be that PXL affects only cells from the mouse specie, but this contradicts extensive evidence demonstrating functional LPS-mimetic effects of PXL on human tumor cells (Table 2) and normal monocytes [18]. One group found that PXL does bind to human TLR4/MD2 complex but acts as an antagonist [143]. However, this is inconsistent with increased migration, invasion, and other activities of human tumor cells [62, 144] as well as with direct stimulating effects on multiple signaling pathways [122]. It should be noted that two studies that identified PXL as an inhibitor of TLR4 were performed in HEK-293 cells, a human embryonic kidney line immortalized by adenovirus A5 which caused substantial deviations from the epithelial phenotype including expression of neuron-specific genes [145]. HEK-293 cell line was very useful for identification of structural components of the TLR4 complex but might not necessarily reflect the biology of human tumor cells due to differences in organ origin (many responders are derived from tissues other than kidney), stemness status (embryonic vs. adult), and unique genetic changes caused by virus. The latter could lead to significant differences in expression of TLR4 co-receptors and other regulatory proteins. In general, the majority of structural studies with PXL have been performed with either HEK-293 or macrophages. It therefore remains to be established whether the same mechanisms control PXL interactions with the TLR4 complex in human epithelial neoplastic cells.
10. Endogenous ligands of TLR4 released from damaged and necrotic cells

The class of endogenous ligands comprises more than 30 molecules that share no structural resemblance within the members of the group and either LPS or PXL. The list of proposed endogenous TLR4 ligands includes a variety of structurally dissimilar molecules ranging from high-mobility group box-1 (HMBG1) [146] to S100A8 [27, 147] and fibronectin [148]. Alternative names for endogenous ligands are DAMPs mentioned above [41], danger factors [42], and alarmins [149]. The current concept suggests that DAMPs normally segregated into cytosol or intracellular compartments are secreted upon exposure to inflammatory cytokines [146] or passively released from damaged or necrotic cells [71]. Soluble DAMPs then activate receptors of innate immunity such as TLR2, TLR4, and RAGE that are charged not only with protection against pathogens but also with restoration of homeostasis [41]. This is an appealing concept that fits well with well-established activation of TLR4 during sterile injury [150] and remodeling of wounded tissue or tumor [151]. It might also explain activation of TLR4 in cancers developed at sterile anatomic sites and, particularly, during cytotoxic therapy that produces massive cell death.

DAMP-mediated activation of the TLR4 pathway in tumor cells has been shown by numerous independent studies using genetically modified mice with absent or mutated TLR4 [152], blocking anti-TLR4 antibodies [153], specific inhibitors [152], and gain-of-function or loss-of-function approaches [153]. For instance, anti-TLR4 antibody reduced HMGB1-induced proliferation of mouse lung carcinoma cells [154]. HMGB1-dependent recruitment of c-Kit+ progenitors [155], angiogenesis [156], and lymphangiogenesis [157] was significantly reduced in TLR4−/− non-tumor-bearing mice suggesting similar outcomes in the presence of tumor. HMGB1-induced angiogenesis was also shown in study with UV-damaged keratinocytes in which the released factor increased inflammation, cell proliferation, and migration of melanoma cell toward the endothelial monolayer [144]. All effects were reduced in TLR4- and MyD88-deficient mice as well as in the presence of TAK-242, a specific peptide inhibitor of TLR4 signal transduction [144]. Consistent with this finding, HMGB1 released from necrotic skin cells enhanced inflammation and recruitment of BM myeloid cells and promoted tumor formation, all of which were reduced in TLR4 null mice [158]. Overexpression of HMGB1 in hepatic carcinoma correlated with tumor invasion, and knockdown of this protein suppressed metastasis [159]. Chemotherapy that produces massive necrosis and hence release of HMGB1 and other pro-inflammatory intracellular factors was shown to enhance tumor relapse and metastasis in a model of colon cancer [71]. A variety of tumor- and metastasis-promoting effects have also been reported for other endogenous TLR4 ligands including S100A8/A9 [152], SAA3 [147], hyaluronic acid [153], heat-shock proteins [68, 160], and peroxiredoxin-1 [161].

While the existing functional evidence strongly supports DAMP activation of the TLR4 pathway, the question remains whether these factors are truly ligands for TLR4 or ancillary molecules that stabilize its membrane complex or potentiate intracellular signaling. This uncertainty stems from structural dissimilarity between LPS and many TLR4-activating molecules; a potential risk by LPS contamination of recombinant factors produced in Gram-negative bacteria [162]; and lack of clear structural evidence for physical interaction with TLR4 or MD2 proteins for some ligands [95]. That said, binding of mammalian cell-produced, endotoxin-free SAA3 and S100A8 to TLR4/MD2 complex was shown by surface plasmon resonance [163], and binding of HMGB1 to the same complex was demonstrated by a point mutation in Cys106 that severely reduced the HMGB1 capacity to activate TLR4 [111]. Determination of other ligands as binding partners, amplifiers [164], or assistants might need to be resolved in the future studies.
11. Cellular and molecular consequences of TLR4 activation in the context of cancer

TLR4 is a powerful pathway that simultaneously enhances several key cell functions including differentiation, proliferation, migration, invasion, and survival. On molecular level, the hallmark of TLR4 stimulation is strong upregulation of inflammatory cytokines that act as autocrine and paracrine activators of the first cell responders to TLR4 ligands and nearby stroma, respectively, as well as systemic alert signals for immune organs. In tumors, parallel TLR4 activation of neoplastic and immune cells often results in “double-edge sword” effects [165] due to conflicting functional implications for each cell type. An antitumor effect of TLR4 activation of dendritic cells (DC) is evident by enhanced maturation [166], migration [167], improved antigen presentation [168], better activation of cytotoxic T cells [168], and increased tumor cell death [169]. However, induction of similar pro-mitotic, survival, and migratory functions in malignant and tumor-associated cells has a pro-tumorigenic effect. TLR4 agonists are often proposed to be used clinically for enhancing antitumor therapy [170]; however, it is worth considering both local and systemic consequences of TLR4 activation. The effects on host immune and hematopoietic cells are not straightforward as improved DC functions are counterbalanced by increased generation of myeloid-derived suppressor cells [171] that inhibit antitumor responses. TLR4 activation of BM immature myeloid cells leads to generation of provascular progenitors [17, 18] that increase tumor vessel formation and metastasis [136, 172]. Lung recruitment of the host BM-derived myeloid cells by TLR4 ligands SAA3 in combination with S100A8/A9 has been shown to create a pulmonary pre-metastatic niche, thus ensuring successful establishment of tumor lesions in distant organs [147]. Likewise, TLR4 activation of T cells may enhance their immunosuppressive effects [173] rather than anticancer activities. In our studies performed in tumor-bearing immunocompetent and immunodeficient mice, we often observe a transiently reduced growth upon injection of TLR4-differentiated myeloid progenitors. However, tumor growth resumes at later stages along with substantial increase in lymph node metastasis which correlates with injection of myeloid-derived progenitors [18]. These studies suggest that development of either TLR4-suppressing or TLR4-activating therapeutic strategies should take into account the impact of modulators on all TLR4-positive cells at both tumor and systemic organs.

12. Direct functional effects of TLR4 on tumor cells

Most solid tumors that originated from epithelial, neuronal, and skin cells do not exhibit the same level of responsiveness to LPS as myeloid cells. Nevertheless, functionally, TLR4 activation of tumor cells largely reproduces the known effects in cells of innate immunity. On molecular level, this includes MyD88-dependent activation of NF-κB [33, 71, 174], MAPK [75], PI3K/AKT [61, 175], ERK1/2 [176], c-Jun [69], p38 [75, 176, 177], and other pathways that collectively upregulate inflammatory cytokines [174, 178], metalloproteases [179], and pro-survival factors [59, 180]. Among multiple LPS-induced cytokines in cancer cells are particularly important angiogenic factor VEGF-A [55, 60, 181], immunosuppressive TGF-beta [60, 182], and a variety of chemokines recruiting BM-derived myeloid cells [34, 178] that promote tumor progression through their own mechanisms. On a cellular level, TLR4 activation increases tumor cell proliferation [69, 177, 183], migration [64, 175], invasion [159], and survival [58, 176, 184] that collectively results in resistance to therapy [58, 61, 69, 136, 183]. Some studies also reported...
increased stemness due to expansion of cancer stem cells [153, 183]. Additional effects include induction of epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) [66, 180] and evasion of immunosurveillance [183], both of which might reflect TLR4-activated macrophage properties enabling tissue repair and resilience against pathogen-produced toxins. Undoubtedly, combination of these effects profoundly impacts tumor growth, chemoresistance, and metastasis.

While it is not possible to discuss all relevant reports due to very extensive literature on the subject, it is worthwhile to highlight several general points. First, the majority of reports showed a significant increase in oncogenic and metastatic potential of tumor cells treated with TLR4 ligands, whereas a minority described an opposite effect [185]. This suggests that in most situations, although both pro- and antitumor effects are induced by TLR4 signaling, the former might be prevailing over the latter. Second, the pro-oncogenic effects have been observed across the entire tumor source spectrum including breast [136, 174, 175], ovarian [58, 121], prostate [61, 62, 184], lung [55, 70], colon [176, 180], colorectal [75, 78], and hepatocellular [159, 177] carcinoma lines as well as glioma [183], myeloma [69], and melanoma [144] cells. This indicates a widespread role of TLR4 signaling in human solid cancers which should be considered by clinical therapeutic strategies. Third, TLR4 pathway activated by PXL [33, 58, 62], LPS [175, 184], or other ligands [154] equally affects mouse [178] and human [33, 58] cancer cells. This point is important not only because of the widespread use of mice for modeling human cancers but also because of a lingering debate whether PXL-dependent activation is restricted to mouse cells [142, 187]. Evidence from multiple studies demonstrating PXL-dependent activation of human tumor cells (Table 2; reviewed in [188]) indicates that this is not a case. Lastly, mediation through the TLR4 protein by PXL and endogenous ligands has been shown by many approaches including RNA interference [61, 62], anti-TLR4 blocking antibodies [33, 189], TLR4-specific inhibitors [33, 144], as well as use of TLR4−/− mice [30, 144, 158] and isogenic tumor lines with differential TLR4 expression [33]. Although the studies of alternative TLR4 ligands would still benefit from stronger structural evidence for direct recognition of the TLR4 complex, the combined functional evidence derived from multiple independent studies cannot be dismissed. The currently available data show mainly a pro-tumorigenic impact of TLR4 expressed in human and mouse cancer cells resulting from exposure to LPS or TLR4 alternative ligands.

13. Importance of TLR4-induced autocrine loops in normal myeloid cell physiology and tumor pathology

One important function of the TLR4 pathway in macrophages during pathogenic invasion is to amplify the signaling to hasten proliferation and survival of resident macrophages as well as recruitment of BM-derived myeloid cells to the septic site. Macrophages effectively achieve this goal by establishing autocrine loops through coincided upregulation of secreted cytokines and corresponding membrane-inserted receptors. This positive reinforcement ensures sustained expression of downstream targets necessary for prolonged survival, resilience, and heightened activities of immune cells. For instance, LPS-upregulated IL-1 and IL-18 are co-expressed with their receptors that signal through the MyD88 pathway in addition to TLR4 [190] which doubles the outcomes of the combined signaling [191]. LPS-induced co-expression of IL-8, TNF alpha, and other cytokines with their receptors was reported to amplify macrophage functions by enhancing activation of NF-κB [39] and STAT1 [192]. This pattern is mimicked by TLR4-positive tumor cells
activated by either LPS [178] or PXL [33]. In our study with TLR4-expressing breast carcinoma lines, we observed that PXL-induced transcription of multiple cytokines was coordinated with upregulation of matching receptors evident by parallel elevation of CXCL2/CXCR2, CCL20/CCR6, and CSF1/CSF1R pairs [33]. Similarly to positive reinforcement in activated macrophages, signaling through these additional pathways in tumor cells significantly increased expression of pro-survival proteins such as pAKT and ERK1/2 [33]. These findings highlight a cytoprotective program naturally induced by TLR4 in macrophages and reproduced by tumor cells that might represent a key mechanism underlying tumor evasion of apoptosis and resistance to therapy.

14. Indirect pro-oncogenic effects of TLR4 mediated by cells in the tumor microenvironment (TME)

TME has profound effects on tumor progression [193, 194]. Some of the pro-oncogenic and pro-metastatic effects of TLR4 are mediated by TME cells such as macrophages, fibroblasts, smooth muscle cells, and pericytes as well as endothelium lining blood and lymphatic vessels. Macrophages are natural responders to TLR4 ligands having the highest expression of this receptor. Under inflammatory conditions, TLR4 is further upregulated by LPS [31] and cytokines [195] through positive feedback loops. Tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs) are well-known promoters of metastasis through secretion of growth-promoting cytokines, proteases [196], and immunosuppressive factors [197]. TAMs are also significant source for lymphangiogenic factors that increase lymphatic density and metastasis [198]. Importantly, TAMs from TLR4-deficient mice have a significantly reduced capacity to activate NF-κB leading to deficient production of angiogenic and inflammatory factors that promote tumor growth [55].

Cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs) are another cell type found in most solid tumors but particularly pronounced in pancreatic [199], colorectal [200], and breast [201] cancers. Inflamed human and mouse fibroblasts express TLR4/MD2 complex and respond to TLR4 ligands [202]. Deletion of TLR4 prevents fibrosis in vivo [202] suggesting that CAF-expressed TLR4 might play a non-redundant role in tumor pathology. This is, indeed, supported by several studies. TLR4 expression in CAFs in human colorectal cancer was associated with high recurrence rate and poor patient survival [200]. CAFs associated with breast cancer were identified as a main source of HMGB1 that activated neighboring TLR4-positive tumor cells [203]. Functional TLR4 was also found to be expressed in another TME component, tumor-recruited mesenchymal stem cells (MSC), which was evidenced by LPS-activated NF-κB, PI3K and IRF1, and upregulation of downstream cytokines [204]. High level of TLR4 was also detected in human pericytes that are ontogenically related to MSC [52]. When activated by either LPS or HMGB1, pericytes upregulated classic NF-κB genes including cytokines and cell adhesion molecules (CAMs) such as VCAM-1 and ICAM-1. The latter greatly increase leukocyte adhesion to pericyte monolayer [52] which might play a key role in transmigration of blood-circulating immune cells and hematopoietic progenitors through the vascular barrier and infiltration of the tumor interstitium. Tumor-recruited cells harbor BM-derived myeloid-suppressive cells that promote immuno-evasion [205] as well as provascular progenitors that expand tumor vasculature [206, 207]. Both of these TME populations are known to advance tumor progression. Collectively, these studies illustrate a prominent role of TLR4 signaling in cross-talk of various TME compartments that propagate circuits supporting tumor-associated and malignant cells.
15. Role of TLR4 in tumor angiogenesis and lymphangiogenesis

Although majority of studies have been focused on myeloid cells, it is difficult to overstate the functional impact of the TLR4 pathway on vasculature. Blood vessels are the first responders to circulating septic molecules, and lymphatic vessels, among other functions, help mounting the adaptive immune response by collecting pathogenic antigens from the infected tissue and delivering them to regional lymph nodes. Blood vascular endothelial cells (BEC) and lymphatic endothelial cells (LEC) from human [19, 208] and mouse [50] origins express TLR4 and are naturally equipped to sense and respond to TLR4 ligands [49, 209]. Endothelial cells (EC) from both large [208] and microvessels [19] express TLR4 and accessory molecules for ligand recognition [32] and intracellular signaling [49, 209, 210]. The TLR4 specificity of endothelial response to LPS and other ligands has been documented using mice with deleted receptor [50], ectopic overexpression of nonfunctional protein [19], siRNA [211], and anti-TLR4 monoclonal antibodies [19].

Similarly to myeloid cells, activation of endothelial TLR4 results in NF-κB-mediated upregulation of inflammatory cytokines [19] that includes prominent expression of angiogenic factors VEGF-A and PDGF-BB [212]. Along with this shared pattern, EC also display a distinct response to TLR4 activation such as high upregulation of transcription factor FOXC2 and induction of DLL4-Notch signaling [210] regulating vascular sprouting [213]. Likewise, CAM upregulation, which is detected in all TLR4-activated cells, is particularly pronounced in endothelium [212]. TRAF6, the key regulator of the TLR4 pathway, also mediates endothelial-specific responses, e.g., disruption of the vascular barrier [48, 49]. The resultant increase in vascular permeability combined with CAM upregulation strongly promotes recruitment of blood-circulating cells [50, 155] many of which (e.g., BM-derived immature myeloid cells) have potent independent effects on generation of tumor vessels [206]. Indeed, microvessel density in clinical human pancreatic cancers was found to be strongly associated with TLR4 expression [211]. A putative endogenous ligand of TLR4, peroxiredoxin-1, was shown to increase tumor growth by promoting tumor vasculature [161]. These studies support the concept that TLR4 activation of tumor endothelium promotes vascular formation through direct induction of angiogenic factors and sprouting and, indirectly, by facilitating transmigration of blood-circulating tumor-promoting immune cells.

Whereas tumor angiogenesis is indispensable for expansion of tumor mass, it might be less relevant to metastasis than lymphatic vessels that have invasion-prone discontinuous basement membrane [214] and are naturally equipped to transport cells to regional lymph nodes [215]. Hematogenous metastasis typically occurs later from the blood vessels in the lymphatic lesions as has recently been shown in several mouse models [216, 217]. Cell trafficking to lymph nodes is mediated exclusively by tumor-associated lymphatic vessels, and increase in density of these vessels is directly associated with metastasis in breast [218] and many other human cancers [188]. Functional TLR4 is highly expressed in LEC as evidenced by substantial upregulation of NF-κB-dependent chemokines that recruit macrophages from inflamed tissue to the lymphatic vessels leading to the draining nodes [20]. The absence of TLR4 significantly reduces lymphatic vessel formation resulting in increased edema and decreased transport through the lymphatic channels [53]. Paclitaxel, a potent mimetic of LPS, promotes lymphangiogenesis in breast cancer models concomitant with highly elevated lymphatic metastasis and, subsequently, increased recurrence [136]. Collectively, these studies present strong evidence for TLR4-dependent promotion of metastasis through activation of both blood and lymphatic endothelia in the tumor.
16. Indirect provascular effects of TLR4 through differentiation of BM-derived endothelial progenitors and their recruitment to tumors

One often overlooked aspect of systemic TLR4 signaling is its tremendous impact on differentiation and recruitment of BM-derived provascular progenitors. Angiogenesis and lymphangiogenesis are tightly regulated in adult organism and, with few exceptions (e.g., wound healing and a female reproductive cycle), are induced only during sustained unresolved inflammation or cancer. The latter are one and the same because all solid tumors have a persistent inflammatory component. Physiologically, any increase in tissue mass or remodeling is accompanied by expansion of blood and lymphatic vessels necessary to serve the new vascular bed. TLR4 responds to this host default program not only by activating local endothelium but also by inducing differentiation of provascular progenitors from early BM precursors.

In all vertebrates, embryonic stem cells derived from hemogenic endothelium express TLR4-NF-κB pathway that is necessary and sufficient to create hematopoietic stem/progenitor cells [15]. The latter give rise to both immune and vascular endothelial cells [219, 220]. Adult mesenchymal [16, 204] and hematopoietic stem cells express functional TLR4 as evidenced by LPS-induced self-renewal and their differentiation into appropriate lineages. Both blood vascular [17, 221] and lymphatic endothelial [18] progenitors vigorously respond to LPS [17] and other TLR4 ligands [221] by increasing proliferation, migration, and expression of transcription factors that control their differentiation into endothelial-like cells. When ligands are present systemically (e.g., released from necrotic tumors and during bolus PXL chemotherapy), TLR4-induced differentiation of BM progenitors occurs in parallel with upregulation of TLR4-dependent CCL2, CCL20, and other chemokines that recruit these progenitors to tumor [136, 174, 178, 222]. In experimental models, mobilization of genetically traceable BM-derived provascular progenitors increased tumor angiogenesis [172], whereas recruitment of myeloid-derived lymphatic endothelial progenitors (M-LECP) substantially increased tumor lymphangiogenesis [136] and lymphatic metastasis [18]. Taken together, these studies demonstrate how TLR4-induced differentiation of BM endothelial precursors and upregulation of tumor chemokines act in concert to increase blood and lymphatic networks that ultimately promote metastasis.

While both LPS and PXL can expand provascular progenitors, the latter is more pertinent to oncology due to widespread use of taxanes in clinical practice. PXL is an active component of all taxanes including widely clinically used docetaxel and nab-paclitaxel. Although counterintuitive, the new concept of chemotherapy-driven metastasis mediated by the TLR4-PXL axis [188, 223, 224] is substantiated by ample evidence from clinical studies. A single dose of PXL to breast cancer (BC) patients doubles the blood level of inflammatory cytokines [225]. An independent study of BC patients before and after PXL therapy confirmed these data and further demonstrated in mice that PXL significantly increases tumor recruitment of BM-derived progenitors [226]. An additional study in BC patients found that PXL increased mobilization of myeloid-derived progenitors by >300% [227]. A separate analysis conducted before and after taxane therapy in BC patients showed a > five-fold increase in tumor-infiltrating myeloid cells and subsequent metastasis [228]. Similar extent of tumor enrichment by CD11b+/CD14+ myeloid cells concomitant with enhanced metastasis was shown in a large study of patients (N = 699) treated by taxanes [134]. Tumor recruitment of these cells was strongly associated with lymphatic metastasis that doubled in taxane-treated compared with untreated patients [134]. In experimental models, PXL treatment increased angiogenesis and metastatic properties in tumor cells [229]. We recently showed that PXL
chemotherapy increased differentiation of BM hematopoietic-myeloid precursors into lymphatic progenitors [18], tumor mobilization of these progenitors, intratumoral lymphangiogenesis, and enhanced metastasis to lymph nodes [18, 136]. Broader recognition of this emerging concept should help in developing novel approaches to combat metastasis such as targeting TLR4-dependent BM differentiation and tumor recruitment of provascular myeloid cells.

Figure 1.
Activation of TLR4 in tumor cells and tumor microenvironment. TLR4 is overexpressed in malignant cells and in a variety of host cells within the tumor mass including endothelial cells, fibroblasts, pericytes, immune cells, and various progenitors recruited by TLR4-dependent chemokines to tumor inflammatory environment. TLR4 is activated mainly by endogenous ligands released from all expressing cells due to necrotic death induced by pathological surroundings as well as by taxane chemotherapy due to direct activation of the receptor and, indirectly, through increase of endogenous ligands from dead and damaged cells. Following, TLR4 signaling leads to upregulation of inflammatory cytokines, pro-survival and migratory factors, and proteases that disrupt extracellular matrix. This collectively increases not only tumor growth but also its metastatic potential due to enhanced vascular invasion and resistance to cytotoxic therapies. Metastasis is also enhanced because of direct activating effects on tumor endothelium as well as recruitment of provascular progenitors that aid in generation of new vessels.
17. Summary of TLR4-dependent mechanisms that specifically promote metastasis

Among multitude of pro-oncogenic effects of TLR4, those that specifically affect metastasis relate first and foremost to acquisition of traits normally restricted to inflamed macrophages, that is, enhanced migration, invasion, proliferation, and survival (Figure 1). However, this is strongly supplemented by effects on TLR4-expressing cells in the tumor environment from which most relevant are BEC and LEC. Undoubtedly, expansion of angiogenesis and lymphangiogenesis confers direct enhancement of tumor spread through these channels which may occur independently of TLR4 expression in tumor cells. Additionally, the ability to expand BM provascular and stromal progenitors and recruit them to tumor by chemokines—a specific property of inflamed macrophages—substantially supports new vessel and stroma formation. Importantly, the newly acquired properties enabled by the TLR4 signaling are further enhanced by endogenous factors released due to spontaneous necrotic death and chemotherapeutic treatments. Under these conditions, PXL, as an activator of TLR4, takes a special place because of its pro-inflammatory effects through release of endogenous ligands due to tumor cell kill as well through direct action on the TLR4 complex. Ultimately, these processes occur in parallel at tumor and systemic, mainly immune organs, sites. TLR4-positive tumor cells have a pro-metastatic advantage by coordinating generation of new transporting channels and enhanced migratory/invasive potential as well as the enhanced capacity to survive in the circulation and at new sites (Figure 1). TLR4-negative tumor cells might have a reduced metastatic potential due to the absence of direct stimulating effects, but they are still benefited from the regenerative tumor environment that is strongly supported by inflammation orchestrated by TLR4. Taking into account a comprehensive systemic view on tumor and chemotherapy effects on TLR4-mediated activities should facilitate the rational development of new anti-metastatic strategies.
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