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Chapter

Behcet’s Disease

Karina Julian and Bahram Bodaghi

Abstract

Defined as a systemic vasculitis developing in a particular genetic background, uveitis is one of the hallmarks to diagnose Behcet’s disease and also one of the important clinical criteria to start systemic treatment. Isolated anterior non granulomatous uveitis with hypopyon, even though a classic clinical picture, actually develops in a minority of cases. In most patients, uveitis is posterior, associated to small vessel occlusive retinal vasculitis, carrying a high risk of permanent retinal damage and subsequent severe visual loss. The guarded natural prognosis of the disease has positively changed in the last decennials with the introduction of biologic immunosuppressant agents in the field of uveitis. Vision can be preserved in most cases provided a prompt early diagnosis and adequate therapy. The potential role of oral bacteria as a triggering factor for autoinflammation in predisposed hosts is interesting, opening the door to prevention in this still not well-understood severe uveitis.
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1. Introduction

Named after the Turkish ophthalmologist, Hulusi Behcet (who described in 1937 the classic triad of oral aphthosis, genital ulcers, and hypopyon uveitis) [1], Behcet’s disease (BD) is a systemic relapsing obliterative vasculitis, affecting arteries, veins, and mainly capillaries. Even though almost all organs can eventually be involved, the compromise of the central nervous system (CNS) and eye makes the disease’s prognosis guarded and usually urges to start proper treatment.

There is no specific test to diagnose Behcet’s disease: by definition, its diagnosis is a clinical one. In 1990, the International Study Group for Behcet’s disease established a set of diagnostic criteria in an attempt to unify the five different ones used by that time [2]. They required the presence of oral ulcerations plus any two of genital ulcerations, typical defined eye lesions, typical defined skin lesions or a positive pathergy test.

Far from being solved, the debate on the diagnostic criteria is still active, and many other sets have been proposed. Among them, the Behcet’s Disease Research Committee of Japan defines the diagnosis as complete or incomplete upon the presence of major and minor symptoms (Table 1) [3]. The Dilsen criteria (revised in 2000) seems more suitable to the European patients suffering from Behcet’s disease (Table 2) [4, 5].
2. Clinical picture

2.1 Non-ocular disease

Almost every organ and system can eventually be affected by this severe vasculitis. Painful, recurrent oral and genital ulcers are so frequent that their presence is part of the diagnostic criteria [6]. Other skin manifestations are papulopustules,
acneiform dermatitis, and erythema nodosum [7]. Arthritis is also a common manifestation of the disease [8]. Gastrointestinal involvement affects around 3–30% of cases with symptoms overlapping inflammatory bowel disease [9]. Central nervous system (CNS) involvement can touch almost 31% of patients and makes the prognosis guarded [10]. Venous thrombosis and arterial aneurysms are present in around 25% of cases [11].

2.2 Ocular disease

The classic clinical picture is the one of recurrent, bilateral, non-granulomatous posterior or panuveitis with retinal vasculitis. This is the case for almost 80% of patients, while in around 10% disease manifests as anterior, non-granulomatous uveitis with hypopyon and eventually synechiae (Figure 1) [12].

Disease seems to be more severe in males, and ocular pain, redness, photophobia, and blurred vision are almost always present.

Retinitis is also a classic and sight-threatening manifestation of posterior segment involvement, leading most of the time to retinal atrophy. Indeed, Behcet’s disease is one of the differential diagnoses of macular atrophy related to uveitis (Figure 2) [13].

Retinal vasculitis is the hallmark of the disease, it is obliterative in nature, it affects both arteries and veins, and, most importantly, it involves the capillaries [14].

Behcet’s disease is mainly a capillaropathy, being fluorescein angiography (FA) essential to its proper diagnosis and management. FA will better delineate areas of non-perfusion (Figure 3), capillary leakage (Figure 4), and vascular remodeling. The “fern-leaf”-shaped leakage pattern from capillaries, even though not pathognomonic, is highly evocative of BD (Figure 5).

Given the highly vascularized nature of choroidal tissue, it is not surprising to see choroidal involvement during active disease. Indocyanine green angiography (ICGA) shows irregular filling of the choriocapillaris, choroidal filling defects, and dye leakage from choroidal vessels [15]. Enhanced depth imaging optical coherence tomography (EDI-OCT) shows increased subfoveal choroidal thickness even in eyes without evident uveitis activity, making this finding a possible indicator of subclinical ocular inflammation in patients with BD [16].

Optic neuropathy (ON), although considered a rare manifestation of Behcet’s disease, might actually be overshadowed by uveitis’ complications. It can appear during the course of already known BD (and should be considered as part of the neuro-BD disease spectrum), or it can even be the first manifestation of the disease (BD should then be kept in mind as a differential diagnosis of optic neuropathy

Figure 1.
Hypopyon and nasal synechiae in the left eye of a young patient suffering from acute reactivation of anterior uveitis related to Behcet’s disease.
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Figure 2.
Horizontal OCT scan from the right eye of a patient with advanced Behcet’s disease posterior uveitis. Generalized retinal atrophy and retinal pigment hypertrophy are seen.

Figure 3.
Late-frame fluorescein angiography showing extensive peripheral areas of retinal non-perfusion affecting the inferior temporal area of the right eye.

Figure 4.
Early frame fluorescein angiography of the right eye of a patient suffering from Behcet’s disease retinal vasculitis. Areas of capillary leakage are present as well as peripheral ischemia and optic disc hyperfluorescence.
in regions where its prevalence is high) [17]. The prognosis of BD-associated ON seems not to be as poor as the one of BD uveitis, with excellent response to the combination of corticosteroids and immunosuppressants and recovery as the rule [18, 19]. However, the use of cyclosporine should be avoided in these cases since it could promote the development of neurologic involvement [20].

3. Etiology and pathogenesis

Despite years of research, BD remains idiopathic. Even though there are sporadic cases all around the world, disease is more prevalent along the ancient silk route and in countries located between 30 and 45 north latitude through the Mediterranean Basin, the Middle East, and Far East regions such as China and Japan [21]. This particular geographic distribution points toward a genetic predisposing factor. The high frequency of HLA-B51 among a wide range of affected ethnic populations highlights the importance of a special genetic background: even though not considered as part of the diagnostic criteria, the positivity of HLA-B51 increases the risk of BD in around six times [22].

Besides the classic and well-known predisposition to BD associated with HLA-B51 positivity, new insights on disease's pathogenesis came out from genome-wide association studies (GWAS). The disruption of different biological pathways might determine the intrinsic biological process in multifactorial diseases, as BD. Six biologic pathways have been recently identified as possible mechanisms in the pathogenesis of BD: focal adhesion pathway, MAPK (mitogen-activated protein kinase) signaling, TGF (transforming growth factor) beta signaling, ECM-receptor interaction, complement and coagulation cascades and proteasome pathways [23].

Then, on this special genetic background, environmental factors might play a role as triggers for disease development. Infectious agents have been postulated as these triggering factors. Recently, a relationship between periodontal disease and specific polymorphisms of interleukin (IL)-1alpha and (IL)-1beta in Turkish patients with BD was reported, making periodontitis-induced autoinflammatory response a candidate for the development or severity of BD via IL-1 gene alteration [24]. Improvement of oral health among this high-risk population might affect BD course, leading to a better prognosis [25].
Neutrophils' activation plays a predominant role in BD; this is evidenced through the positivity of pathergy test, one of the diagnostic criteria for the disease [2, 26]. The activation of the innate immune system against environmental and/or autoantigens in this particular genetic background is then perpetuated by the adaptive immune system [27].

4. Diagnosis and differential diagnosis

As it was already stated, diagnosis is clinical and based on the presence of different combinations of symptoms and signs. In the acute attack, patients usually show raised inflammatory acute reactants (sedimentation rate and C-reactive protein) and high levels of white blood cells, mainly neutrophils [28, 29].

HLA-B51 is positive in around 50–70% of cases even though not necessary for the diagnosis [22, 30].

Differential diagnosis of hypopyon uveitis encompasses HLA-B27 associated, endogenous/exogenous endophthalmitis, toxic anterior segment syndrome (TASS) after cataract surgery, and masquerade syndromes [31–35]. BD-associated retinal vasculitis is unique in its predilection for capillaries but a similar picture can eventually be found in cases of HLA-B27 posterior uveitis with retinal vasculitis [36–39].

5. Treatment

Topical treatment is reserved for the minority of cases in which anterior uveitis is the only ocular disease manifestation. Prednisolone acetate with or without cyclopentolate is usually enough to stop episodes of anterior non-granulomatous uveitis. However, if these attacks are frequent or inflammatory quiescence requires more than three drops per day of prednisolone acetate for long periods, systemic treatment should be initiated.

The majority of cases presenting with posterior uveitis will require systemic treatment to control the sight-threatening manifestations of the disease.

High-dose systemic corticosteroids (1 g intravenous of Solu-Medrol or 1 mg/kg/day of oral prednisone) are useful in severe acute inflammatory attacks. However, they should not be administered alone given the high risk of flare up while tapering and the side effect profile of high doses [40].

Azathioprine and cyclosporine have both shown to be effective in BD’s uveitis in two different randomized clinical trials (RCT) [41–43]. In many cases, a single agent is not enough to control uveitis, and a combination of them is administered. Drugs are usually well tolerated in long term, providing the proper check of their own side effects’ profile is performed (liver toxicity for azathioprine, renal toxicity for cyclosporine). The likelihood of patients on cyclosporine to develop CNS complications should be kept in mind, and the drug is not recommended in the management of BD’s associated optic neuropathy [44].

High levels of tumor necrosis factor (TNF) alpha are present in BD’s uveitis [45]. The blockade of this inflammatory pathway is therefore a very effective approach to disease control. Infliximab (a chimeric monoclonal antibody against TNF alpha) and adalimumab (a fully humanized monoclonal antibody) are both widely used in the treatment of BD-associated posterior uveitis with high rates of success [46]. Adalimumab has the advantage of subcutaneous administration, theoretically improving patients’ quality of life [47].

Other anti-TNF alpha molecules, such as certolizumab pegol and golimumab, have also shown positive results in small case series of BD’s uveitis [48, 49].
Interferon alpha-2a is a very effective biologic treatment for BD’s associated posterior uveitis [50]. Subcutaneously administered, it has rapid positive effect and also long relapse-free period making prophylactic maintenance treatment unnecessary [51, 52]. Drug is administered as a monotherapy after discontinuation of all previous immunosuppressive drugs (including corticosteroids). However, the associated flu-like syndrome limits the use of this important agent in the management of BD’s uveitis.

Cytotoxic agents (chlorambucil and cyclophosphamide) were in the past the drug of choice for this severe form of uveitis [53, 54]. Nowadays, however, given the more specific and less toxic agents available, they are only used in those settings.

Intravitreal steroids (either triamcinolone acetonide, fluocinolone, or dexamethasone implant) are adjuvant rescue treatment in recalcitrant cases not responding to systemic medication or whenever systemic medication is contraindicated [55]. Their effect is always transitory and associated with the risk of local complications (mainly cataract and glaucoma).

6. Prognosis

Visual prognosis is directly related to anatomical location of inflammation and rapid introduction of proper treatment. The minority of cases manifesting only by anterior uveitis usually shows excellent visual prognosis. Posterior uveitis, however, might be sight-threatening even if only one acute attack involves the macula. The development of modern biologic agents has positively changed the natural guarded prognosis of this disease even though there is still a low proportion of cases that will not respond to different combinations of treatment.
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