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Chapter

Phosphate-Mineralization Microbe Repairs Heavy Metal Ions That Formed Nanomaterials in Soil and Water

Xiaoniu Yu and Qiwei Zhan

Abstract

This chapter presents a new method for treatment of heavy metal ions in soil or water. Heavy metal pollution in soil and water has become one of the serious environmental problems. Heavy metal pollution can degrade soil quality and ecosystems, contaminate crops, and threaten human health. At present, there are three ways to repair heavy metals in soil or water, including physical, chemical, and biological technologies. The microbial mineralization technology can be applied to remove heavy metal pollutants which contaminated soil and water and has been paid with more attention in recent years. Heavy metal ions can be mineralized by phosphate-mineralization microbe to form stable phosphate nanomaterials compared to mineralization of carbonate-mineralization microbe in the environments. Therefore, heavy metal pollution can well be removed from soil or water by microbial mineralization method.
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1. Introduction

The density of the heavy metal is bigger than 4.5 g/cm$^3$ or more, and atomic numbers are from 23 (V) to 92 (U) of heavy metal elements, such as lead, nickel, zinc, copper, iron, cadmium, chromium, etc. [1]. They are widely used in industrial production and discharged into the environment due to the failure to process heavy metals in mining and industrial production. Soil and water are the ultimate destination of these heavy metals due to their particularity. Compared with air pollution, water pollution, and industrial solid waste pollution, heavy metals in soil and water are invisible and concealed. The pollution of heavy metals in soil and water can lead to the degradation of soil fertility, the reduction of crop yields, and the decline in quality, which seriously affects the environmental quality and sustainable economic development and threatens people's food safety [2]. Heavy metal pollution has become a global concern [1, 3–9]. Whether in the soil or water, different heavy metals enter the bottom of the human food chain and finally enter the human body [2]. Heavy metals are very difficult to be biodegraded and can easily be biomagnified in the human body. Heavy metals can interact strongly with proteins and enzymes in the human body, making them inactive, or they can accumulate in certain organs of the human body, causing chronic poisoning, which is a serious threat to people's lives, health, and safety [2, 5].
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The content, migration, and damage of heavy metal ions are high in the electroplating wastewater irrigation area, the tailings accumulation area, and the surrounding cultivated soil. Since heavy metal pollution has already caused a major threat to human survival and health, countries around the world have formulated corresponding laws and regulations to strictly limit the emission of heavy metals. At the same time, areas of heavy metal pollution can well be repaired through physical, chemical, and biological technologies to reduce the harm [9]. Physical and chemical methods to repair heavy metal pollution have been widely reported, including chemical curing, soil leaching, electrokinetic repair, etc. The physicochemical methods may cause secondary pollution because of its damage to soil structure and indigenous microorganisms. Bioremediation technology is a more effective method for controlling polluted soil and water and has become a new hotspot in current environmental engineering science and technology research, including phytoremediation, microbial adsorption, and microbial mineralization technologies. The use of microorganisms (bacteria, algae, yeast, etc.) to reduce or eliminate heavy metal pollution has been reported widely at home and abroad. The mechanism of bioremediation heavy metals includes: (1) cell metabolism—a specific metabolic pathway can make heavy metal ions precipitation or into low-toxic; (2) biosorption—using living cells, inanimate organisms metal-binding proteins and polypeptides or biopolymers as biosorbents, vacuolar swallowing, precipitation and redox reactions; and (3) biomineralization—heavy metal ions are precipitated into bio minerals by microbial hydrolysate.

At present, the problem of heavy metal pollution in soil and water is becoming more and more serious, and the use of carbonate-mineralization microbe to repair heavy metals pollution has attracted people's attention because of its excellent characteristics [10, 11]. Although many studies have been carried out, the stability of carbonate in the environment is lower than that of phosphate. Therefore, this chapter proposes that phosphate-mineralization microbe mineralizes heavy metal ions to form stable phosphates. Based on the principle of biomineralization, organic phosphate monoester is hydrolyzed by phosphatase produced by phosphate-mineralization microbe of growth and metabolism and then obtains phosphate ions. Heavy metal ions can combine with phosphate ions to form phosphate minerals in soil or water, thereby reducing the risk of heavy metals being absorbed by plants and the harm to the natural environment.

2. Methodology

*Bacillus subtilis* was purchased by China Center of Industrial Culture Collection. Pure water was made by small ultrapure water machine. All raw materials were of analytically pure grade and used without further purification.

*Bacillus subtilis* of cultivation [12, 13]: 5 g of tryptone and 3 g of beef extract were completely dissolved in 1 L of pure water, and its pH was adjusted to 7.0 using diluted NaOH solution. 1 L of above solution was added to two beaker flasks (1000 mL), and each bottle was added 500 mL of mixture solution and wrapped by paper and gauze. Two beaker flasks were placed in autoclave under 125°C and 0.1 Mpa for 30 min. Then they were cooled to room temperature under natural conditions. Next, 3 mL of *Bacillus subtilis* strains were added to each beaker flask and cultivated in the oscillation incubator (170r·min⁻¹) at 28°C for 22–24 hours. As a result, the harvested microorganisms were kept in a refrigerator at 4°C before use. Optical density (OD) of *Bacillus subtilis* liquid was measured by UV spectrophotometer at 600 nm of wavelength with OD range of 1.23–1.36.
Syntheses of barium phosphates [14]: organic phosphate monoester (pH = 8.8–9.3) was added to 100 mL of *Bacillus subtilis* under static conditions for 24 hours at room temperature. The mixture solution of *Bacillus subtilis* and organic phosphate monoester was centrifuged, and then the centrifugal solution was obtained. The pH of solution was adjusted to 7, 8, 9, 10, and 11 with diluted HCl and NaOH solution. BaCl$_2$·2H$_2$O powder was added to the above solution at different pH. Precipitated solution was stilled for 24 hours at room temperature after stirring for 1 min. All products were dried at 60°C for 24 hours. After that, all powder precipitates were collected.

Microbiological reduction-precipitation of cerium phosphates [15]: Organic phosphate monoester (pH = 8.8–9.3) was added to 100 mL of *Bacillus subtilis* under static conditions for 24 hours. Next, 0.01 and 0.02 mol of Ce(SO$_4$)$_2$ were added to above solution. The precipitated solution was stood under static conditions for 24 hours. As a result, two powder precipitates were dried at 60°C for 24 hours. After that, two powder specimens were collected and characterized.

Syntheses of iron phosphates [16]: Organic phosphate monoester (pH = 8.8–9.3) was injected into 200 mL of *Bacillus subtilis* and was allowed to stand under static conditions for 24 hours at room temperature. After 24 hours, FeSO$_4$·7H$_2$O (12 mM) was added to the above mixture solution with 2 min of stirring. The precipitated solution was allowed to stand under static conditions for 72 hours at room temperature. The products were then dried at 60°C for 24 hours. After that, powder precipitates were obtained and characterized.

Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) spectra of the samples were recorded with a Nicolet 5700 spectrometer using the KBr pellet technique in the range of 399–4000 cm$^{-1}$. The phase purity of products was examined by powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) with a Bruker D8-Discover diffractometer with Cu K$_\alpha$ radiation ($\lambda = 1.5406$ Å). Scanning electron microscopy (SEM, FEI Company, the Netherlands, operating voltage 20 kV) with an energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) was used to conduct morphological studies and to measure elemental compositions of the samples. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images were obtained on a FEI, G2 20 equipment. The samples were dispersed in anhydrous ethanol before being tested. Thermogravimetric-differential scanning calorimetry (DSC-TG) was carried out on STA449 F3 thermogravimetric analyzer (NETZSCH, Germany). The analyses were carried out simultaneously in a nitrogen atmosphere at a heating rate of 10°C/minute between room temperature and 700–800°C under an N$_2$ flow of 20 mL·min$^{-1}$. The average crystallite size of biophosphate nanomaterials was estimated by the Scherrer formula [13]:

$$D = \frac{k\lambda}{\beta \cos \theta}$$

where $D$ is the average crystallite size of samples (nm), $k$ is the shape factor (0.9), $\lambda$ is the wavelength of Cu K$_\alpha$ radiation equal to 1.5406 Å, $\beta$ is defined as the full width of the peak at half of the maximum intensity (FWHM), and $\theta$ is the diffraction angle of the selected reflection.

3. Barium phosphate nanoparticles prepared by microbial mineralization method

The FTIR spectra of barium phosphates obtained at pH = 8 and 11 were displayed in Figure 1. The peak at 3415.62 cm$^{-1}$ was KBr mull absorption, which appears in the spectrum of any compounds. A shoulder peak at 2973.47 cm$^{-1}$
corresponded to hinder rotation. The peak at 1650.24 cm$^{-1}$ reveals thermal vibrations of H$^+$ in an intermolecular phonon vibration associated with H$^+$-PO$_4^{3-}$. The peak at 1258.78 cm$^{-1}$ was P=O stretching vibration. The peaks at 1391.75, 1076.58, and 982.25 cm$^{-1}$ corresponded to stretching vibration of P-O (H). The P=O of deformation vibration was found at 892.04 cm$^{-1}$ and to be nondegenerate. The P-O(H) wagging and rocking vibration was found at 537.45 cm$^{-1}$. The above results are similar with literatures reported, as shown in Figure 1a [17–20]. The peaks are at 3411.74, 1645.18, 1403.90, 1017.95, 870.66, and 566.45 cm$^{-1}$ in the FTIR spectrum with respect to the OH and PO$_4^{3-}$ (Figure 1b), which were in accordance with the data reported in the literature [21].
Chemical compositions of barium phosphates were analyzed by XRD technique, as shown in Figure 2. Barium phosphates of patterns could be readily indexed using the reported structures of BaHPO$_4$ (JCPDS Card No. 72–1370) at pH < 10 (Figure 2a–c). When pH was 10, the mixture of BaNaPO$_4$, BaHPO$_4$, and Ba$_5$(PO$_4$)$_3$OH (JCPDS No. 70–1787, 09–0113 and 36–0272) was found, as shown in Figure 2d. The mixture of BaNaPO$_4$ (JCPDS No. 70–1787) and Ba$_5$(PO$_4$)$_3$OH (JCPDS No. 78–1441) was precipitated at pH = 11 (Figure 2e). Above results...
indicated that HPO$_4^{2-}$ and PO$_4^{3-}$ ions were obtained by Bacillus subtilis hydrolyzing organic phosphate monoester. HPO$_4^{2-}$ ions were formed at pH = 7, 8, and 9. HPO$_4^{2-}$ and PO$_4^{3-}$ ions were formed at pH = 10. PO$_4^{3-}$ ions were formed at pH = 11. Therefore, the barium phosphates were mainly BaHPO$_4$ at pH < 10 [22]. The barium phosphates were mainly the mixture of BaNaPO$_4$, BaHPO$_4$, and Ba$_5$(PO$_4$)$_3$OH at pH = 10 [22]. The barium phosphates were mainly the mixture of BaNaPO$_4$ and Ba$_5$(PO$_4$)$_3$OH at pH = 11 [22]. Organic phosphate monoesters can well be hydrolyzed through Bacillus subtilis.

SEM and TEM images showed shape of barium phosphates were nanoparticles, as shown in Figure 3. SEM images showed that barium phosphates were nano-agglomerates, (Figure 3a, c, e, g, and i). The size of the nano-agglomerates was less than 3 μm. TEM images indicated that morphology of barium phosphate particles was mainly irregular flakes with diameter in the range of 20–100 nm, as shown in Figure 3b, d, f, h, and j. The average size of barium phosphates was calculated by the Scherrer formula (1), and the average size was 48.56, 36.60, 31.89, 33.27, and 34.79 nm when pH were 7, 8, 9, 10, and 11, respectively [13]. Above results showed that barium phosphate nanomaterials could be easily prepared by the centrifuged solution of Bacillus subtilis and organic phosphate monoester reacting with BaCl$_2$·2H$_2$O, which were different from chemical and biomineralization methods [17, 22].

4. Microbiological reduction-precipitation of nanostructured cerium phosphates

Elemental compositions of cerium phosphates were analyzed by EDS spectra, as shown in Figure 4. The elements C, O, Na, P, S, and Ce were found in cerium phosphates when P/Ce molar ratio was 1:1 and 2:1 (Figure 4a and b). The raw files and cerium phosphates could be indexed by MDI jade 5.0 procedure. The indexed results indicated CePO$_4$@NaCe(SO$_4$)$_2$(H$_2$O) and CePO$_4$ were found when P/Ce molar ratio was 1:1 and 2:1, respectively, as shown in Figure 5a and b. The standard cards of CePO$_4$@NaCe(SO$_4$)$_2$(H$_2$O) used were JCPDS Card No. 74–1889 and 86–0526. CePO$_4$ was JCPDS Card No. 75–1880.

The average crystallite size of cerium phosphates was calculated via the Scherrer formula (1), as shown in Table 1 [13]. The results showed that the average size of CePO$_4$@NaCe(SO$_4$)$_2$(H$_2$O) nanoparticles was bigger than CePO$_4$. 

Figure 4.
EDS spectra: (a) CePO$_4$@NaCe(SO$_4$)$_2$(H$_2$O) and (b) CePO$_4$ [55].
Cerium phosphates and *Bacillus subtilis* were determined by FTIR spectra, as shown in Figure 6. According to literature reported, the peaks at 3438.03, 2968.49 and 1348.28, 1636.09, 1233.71, 1092.30, 1405.87, and 537.82 cm\(^{-1}\) corresponded to, respectively, OH, C-H, C=O or N-H, C-O, etc. (Figure 3a) [23]. Above results showed that these functional groups play important roles in the process of adsorption and reduction of Ce(IV) [23]. Figure 6b indicated the peak at 1013.15 cm\(^{-1}\) was attributed to the asymmetry stretching vibration of the PO\(_4^{3-}\) groups. The peaks
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The peaks at 1109.67 and 654.77 cm\(^{-1}\) corresponded to the stretching vibration of SO\(_4^{2-}\) group and the bending vibration of O-S-O, respectively. Weak transmission bands of PO\(_4^{3-}\) were at 1057.28 cm\(^{-1}\) and bending at 539.12 and 615.75 cm\(^{-1}\), as shown in Figure 6c, in good agreement with the investigations on CePO\(_4\) by Yang [24]. Other peaks in Figure 6b and c may be ascribed to the absorption vibration of N-H, C=O, COO–, etc., according to the FTIR of Bacillus subtilis.

The morphology and crystalline nature of cerium phosphates were observed by SEM, TEM, and electron diffraction (ED) images, as shown in Figure 7. SEM images showed shape of CePO\(_4\)@NaCe(SO\(_4\))\(_3\)(H\(_2\)O) and CePO\(_4\) was nano-clusters and sphere-like with a narrow diameter distribution (Figure 4a, b, c, and d).

TEM images further confirmed that a large number of nanoparticles were stacked...
together and formed the big agglomerates. The size of CePO$_4$@NaCe(SO$_4$)$_2$(H$_2$O) and CePO$_4$ was in the range of 20–50 nm and 5–25 nm, respectively. Nanosheets and nanorods in CePO$_4$@NaCe(SO$_4$)$_2$(H$_2$O) and CePO$_4$ were observed, as shown in Figure 7g and h. ED patterns showed that CePO$_4$@NaCe(SO$_4$)$_2$(H$_2$O) and CePO$_4$ nanomaterials were well crystallized, as shown Figure 7i and j.

5. Microbiological reduction-precipitation of nanostructured iron phosphates

FTIR spectrum of iron phosphates was observed in Figure 8. The broad peaks at 3000–3500 cm$^{-1}$ and the strong peak at 1644.90 cm$^{-1}$ were attributed to the O-H stretching vibration in water. The iron phosphates showed the peaks at 1056.82 and 553.89 cm$^{-1}$ were stretching vibration of PO$_4^{3-}$ groups [17–20, 21, 24–26]. O, P, and Fe elements were found in EDS spectrum, as shown in Figure 9a. Therefore, iron phosphates were composed by O, P, and Fe elements. XRD further confirmed iron phosphates could be readily indexed to the reported structures of Fe$_3$(PO$_4$)$_2·8$H$_2$O (JCPDS Card No.03–0070), and no peaks attributed to impurities (Figure 9b).

---

Figure 8. FTIR spectrum of iron phosphates [16].

Figure 9. EDS spectrum and XRD patterns of iron phosphates [16].
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Figure 10.
TG-DSC curves of Fe$_3$(PO$_4$)$_2$·8H$_2$O [16].

Figure 11.
SEM images (a, b), TEM images (c, d, e, f), and ED patterns (g) of Fe$_3$(PO$_4$)$_2$·8H$_2$O [16].
TG-DSC curves of iron phosphates were analyzed from room temperature to 800°C, as shown in Figure 10. The TG curve showed total weight loss was about 28.38%, which consisted with the theoretical value (28.69%). TG also confirmed chemical composition of iron phosphates was Fe$_3$(PO$_4$)$_2$·8H$_2$O. Crystal water in Fe$_3$(PO$_4$)$_2$·8H$_2$O could be removed at temperature range of 61–250°C. DSC curve showed endothermic peaks at 142.1°C and 536.1°C were decomposition points of Fe$_3$(PO$_4$)$_2$·8H$_2$O and crystallization of Fe$_3$(PO$_4$)$_2$, respectively.

SEM, TEM, and ED images of Fe$_3$(PO$_4$)$_2$·8H$_2$O were observed in Figure 11. SEM images showed that the shape of Fe$_3$(PO$_4$)$_2$·8H$_2$O was honeycomb-like, as shown in Figure 11a and b. TEM images indicated that the morphology of Fe$_3$(PO$_4$)$_2$·8H$_2$O was quadrilateral, hexagonal, and irregular structure with size in the range of 20–200 nm (Figure 11c, d, e, and f). The average crystallite size was calculated through the Scherrer formula (1), which was 31.89 nm [13]. The ED patterns indicated Fe$_3$(PO$_4$)$_2$·8H$_2$O was polycrystalline in nature (Figure 11g).

6. Conclusions

1. *Bacillus subtilis* could hydrolyze organic phosphate monoester to form phosphate ions. Barium phosphate nanoparticles could well be prepared by the centrifuged solution of *Bacillus subtilis* and organic phosphate monoester reacting with barium ions under different pH. TEM images indicated that shape of barium phosphate particles was mainly irregular flakes. The average size of barium phosphates were 48.56, 36.60, 31.89, 33.27, and 34.79 nm when pH were 7, 8, 9, 10, and 11, respectively.

2. Nanostructures of CePO$_4$ and CePO$_4$@NaCe(SO$_4$)$_2$(H$_2$O) have been successfully synthesized through the mixture solution of *Bacillus subtilis* and organic phosphate monoester reacting with Ce(IV). The average crystalline size of CePO$_4$ and CePO$_4$@NaCe(SO$_4$)$_2$(H$_2$O) was 15.61 and 32.34 nm, respectively. TEM images indicated that shape of CePO$_4$@NaCe(SO$_4$)$_2$(H$_2$O) and CePO$_4$ was nanosheets and nanorods with nonuniform size, respectively.

3. FTIR spectrum, EDS, XRD, and TG-DSC confirmed the chemical composition of iron phosphates was Fe$_3$(PO$_4$)$_2$·8H$_2$O. TEM images showed that the morphology of Fe$_3$(PO$_4$)$_2$·8H$_2$O powder was quadrilateral, hexagonal, and irregular in structure with the size of 31.89 nm.

4. The content of phosphate ions can be increased by adjusting the amount of substrate and pH in the mixture solution of *Bacillus subtilis* and organic phosphate monoester. At same time, the removal efficiency of heavy metal ions can well be increased in soil and water. The different phosphate nanomaterials can be prepared using centrifugation liquid or bacterial liquid.
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