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Chapter

Keloids and Hypertrophic Scars Can Now Be Treated Completely by Multimodal Therapy, Including Surgery, Followed by Radiation and Corticosteroid Tape/Plaster

Rei Ogawa

Abstract

Keloids and hypertrophic scars are fibroproliferative disorders of the skin. Research over the last decade has markedly improved our understanding of the pathogenesis of these scars, in particular, the fact that both disorders are caused by prolonged inflammation that prevents the orderly healing of injured or irritated skin. This protracted inflammatory response is due to genetic, systemic, and local risk factors. Genetic factors include single nucleotide polymorphisms, while systemic factors include hypertension, pregnancy-related and other hormones, and aberrant cytokine levels. An important local factor is the mechanical force (tension) on the scar. These observations have greatly aided the development of therapies for these once-intractable scars. As a result, these scars are now regarded as being completely treatable. At present, we believe that the following combination of three therapies most reliably achieves a complete cure: surgery followed by radiation and the prolonged daily use of corticosteroid tape/plaster.
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1. Introduction

While keloids and hypertrophic scars have some tumor-like properties, they are actually inflammatory conditions that drive the excessive proliferation of dermal fibroblasts and the aberrant accumulation of dermal matrix [1]. These fibroproliferative disorders of the skin are caused by abnormal healing of injured or irritated skin. Common causes of injury and irritation are trauma, burn, surgery, vaccination, skin piercing, acne, and herpes zoster. The risk of developing keloids and hypertrophic scars is particularly high if the wound is deep enough to damage the reticular layer of the dermis and if various genetic, systemic, and/or local risk factors that prolong the inflammatory stage of wound healing are present. The protracted inflammation accelerates angiogenesis and induces the excessive accumulation of collagen. As a result, red and elevated scars that have an unappealing appearance arise. These scars also associate with intermittent pain, persistent itching, and a sensation of contraction. Moreover, if the wounds are located on
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the joints or mobile regions, including the neck, the resulting scars can develop into scar contractures. Thus, the primary end-points of treatments for keloids and hypertrophic scars should be functional improvement and relief from pain and itch. Another important goal is the esthetic improvement.

2. Causes of keloids and hypertrophic scars

A number of genetic, systemic, and local factors that influence the characteristics and quantity of keloids and hypertrophic scars have been identified [2]. The genetic causes of pathological scar development include single nucleotide polymorphisms [3, 4]. Moreover, our study showed that one of these polymorphisms associates significantly with clinically severe keloids [4]. It has been suggested that keloids are more influenced by genetic background than hypertrophic scars. This notion remains to be tested. To test it, it will be necessary to have a critical biomarker that reliably distinguishes keloids from hypertrophic scars. One possibility is keloidal collagen: it seems that this histological feature is only present in lesions that bear other classical hallmarks of keloids, including growth over the edges of the original wound. However, identification of other, nonpathology, biomarkers would be highly useful for addressing questions about the differences between keloids and hypertrophic scars in terms of their etiology, growth characteristics, and treatment responses.

In terms of systemic factors, adolescence and pregnancy appear to associate with a higher risk of developing pathological scars [5, 6]. Our recent study also showed that hypertension associates with the development of severe keloids [7, 8]. I believe that while these factors are not primary causes of keloid and hypertrophic scars, they do worsen the inflammation in the scar tissue, thereby accelerating and increasing angiogenesis and matrix production.

Of the many factors that contribute to pathological scar development is local mechanical forces, I believe that they play a particularly important role [9–11]. Keloids commonly adopt distinct site-specific shapes, namely, the typical butterfly, crab's claw, and dumbbell shapes on the shoulder, anterior chest, and upper arm, respectively. These shapes reflect the region-specific distribution of skin tension that then tugs repetitively or constantly on the wounds/scars. Moreover, keloids are rare on the upper eyelid. This reflects the fact that eyelid skin is always relaxed regardless of whether the eyes are open or closed. An exception may be earlobe keloids: the contribution of mechanical factors to the development of these keloids may be minor. Instead, the most likely local cause of these keloids is the repeated attaching and detaching of the piercing, which repeatedly injures the skin and heightens the risk of infection. Both the skin tension and repeated injury/infection trigger inflammation and the downstream fibroproliferative events. In summary, while skin tension itself may not be a primary cause of keloids and hypertrophic scars, it is likely to be an important local risk factor that worsens and prolongs the inflammation that drives the formation and/or progression of these fibroproliferative scars.

3. Standard treatment of keloids and hypertrophic scars

These findings have markedly improved our understanding of the pathogenesis of keloids and hypertrophic scars, which in turn has promoted the development of highly effective treatments for these once-intractable scars. At present, I believe that the most reliable approach is a combination of three therapies, namely, surgery, followed by radiation, and prolonged daily use of steroid tape/plaster. The addition
of radiation and steroid tape/plaster to surgery reflects the point made above, namely, that keloids and hypertrophic scars are inflammatory disorders, and not tumors. Consequently, anti-inflammatory treatments are most effective for these lesions. Indeed, as will be described below, steroid tapes/plasters/injections on their own work well to reduce the volume of accumulated collagen in keloids and hypertrophic scars, thereby causing their mass to shrink. However, steroid treatments take a long time to achieve mass reduction. Consequently, with large lesions, they are best performed after surgery that rapidly removes the lesion mass. Radiation on its own also has mass-reducing effects because it appears to suppress angiogenesis, and therefore dampens the influx of inflammatory cells and factors into the scar. These anti-inflammatory properties of steroid and radiation mean that their application after mass-reducing surgery (which by itself provokes inflammatory responses) will prevent the recurrence of excised keloids and hypertrophic scars.

Below, we will describe each of the three modalities separately. Thereafter, we will describe the three modalities when used in our combination therapeutic protocol.

3.1 Surgery

Since surgical treatment itself induces inflammation, surgery alone associates with high rates of keloid and hypertrophic scar recurrence. Worse, the recurrent scars are often much bigger than the original lesions. Thus, unless the scar is a minor hypertrophic scar, the decision to surgically remove a pathological scar should be made very carefully and postoperative radiation therapy should always be performed. However, if keloids and hypertrophic scars have infected areas, such as inclusion cysts, these should be removed surgically. Another key indication for surgery is keloids and hypertrophic scars that result in scar contracture of the joints or mobile areas such as the neck. In this case, the contractures should be released by a combination of subcutaneous/fascial tensile reduction sutures, z-plasties, and regional/local flap transfer.

The main objective of surgery for keloids and hypertrophic scars is not only mass reduction, but it is also to reduce the mechanical tension on the scar or the wound that is left after surgical removal of the scar. This is due to the important role of mechanical tension in the development and progression of keloids and hypertrophic scars. This is reflected by the fact that the most effective surgical method for releasing scar contractures is to use a regional or local flap, especially skin-pedicated local flaps: these flaps are particularly useful because they expand naturally after surgery and are therefore not prone to postsurgical contractures [12]. In contrast, skin grafts do not expand, which means that skin grafting tends to generate secondary contractures that result in circular pathological scars around the grafted skin.

3.2 Radiation

Interestingly, keloids respond very well to primary radiation therapy (i.e., radiation monotherapy). This reflects the fact that radiotherapy has a strong anti-inflammatory effect. Primary radiation therapy is suitable for older patients or patients with severe (huge) keloids (Figure 1). Since the total radiation dose in these cases is relatively high (e.g., 5 Gy administered once a week for 5 weeks by superficial brachytherapy), it is necessary to apply the radiation carefully to prevent secondary radiation carcinogenesis. However, the risks of primary radiation therapy should be weighed against its tremendous benefits; in particular, the fact that it immediately alleviates the subjective symptoms of keloids such as pain and itching. Moreover, over the following year, it causes the color and thickness of the scars to progressively normalize.
Radiation is also useful in the treatment of keloids and hypertrophic scars as a postsurgical modality [13–21]. As mentioned above, the main problem of surgery for pathological scars is recurrence. However, postsurgical radiation therapy can dramatically reduce these rates of recurrence. Use of the linear-quadratic model to calculate the biologically effective doses (BEDs) for various therapeutic radiation regimens after surgical excision of keloids showed that when the BED exceeds 30 Gy, the recurrence rate is less than 10%. Indeed, our review of the literature showed that to ensure maximum efficacy and safety, postoperative radiation for keloids in adults should involve the application of 10–20 Gy via daily fractions of 5 Gy. Currently, we propose that the maximum dose of postoperative radiation therapy for surgically excised keloids is a BED of 30 Gy. A BED of 30 Gy can be obtained in several ways: a single fraction dose of 13 Gy, two fractions of 8 Gy, three fractions of 6 Gy, or four fractions of 5 Gy. In addition, recommended site-dependent dose protocols for the treatment of keloids are as follows: 18 Gy in three fractions over 3 days for the anterior chest wall, shoulder-scapular region, and suprapubic region; 8 Gy in a fraction over a day for the ear lobe; and 15 Gy in two fractions over 2 days for other sites.

It should be noted that the calculated BED of 30 Gy assumes that the $\alpha/\beta$ ratio for keloids is 10 (the $\alpha/\beta$ ratio is a measure of the radiosensitivity of a specific tissue). However, when Flickinger [21] investigated the $\alpha/\beta$ ratio of keloids, they found that it was as low as 2, which suggests that high doses with limited numbers of fractions is the best strategy to achieve low recurrence rates. At present, there is no widely accepted radiation regimen for keloid treatment. Further research on regimens that effectively prevent recurrence without elevating the risk of secondary carcinogenesis is welcome.

### 3.3 Corticosteroid tapes/plasters

Corticosteroid injections rapidly reduce the volume of a scar [22]. However, the downsides of corticosteroid injections include pain (caused by the injection itself) and difficulties associated with contraindications such as pregnancy, glaucoma, or Cushing's disease. This problem can be overcome by using steroid tapes/plasters. Most pediatric and older patients can be treated by steroid tapes/plaster alone because they have much thinner skin, which means that the steroids are easily absorbed (Figure 2). Corticosteroid tape/plasters on their own or in combination
with other therapies such as corticosteroid injection are also suitable for adults with minor keloids. Notably, postoperative application of corticosteroid tape/plasters significantly prevents the development of keloids and hypertrophic scars after surgery.

Steroid tape/plasters should be changed every day. Important tips regarding the treatment of keloids and hypertrophic scars with steroid tapes/plasters are as follows. First, the patient should continue to use the tapes/plasters until the elevated mass becomes flat and soft. Second, once the mass has become flat and soft, steroid tape/plaster use should be stopped, even if the scar is still red. This reflects the fact that if the patient continues to use the tape just because the scar is still red, capillarectasia will occur. This is because the steroid treatment thins the supporting structure of the blood vessels.

Steroid tape is available in the following three countries in slightly different preparations [22]. In the UK, the commercially available formulation comprises a fludroxycortide-impregnated tape (4 μg/cm²). In the USA, a preparation containing 4 μg/cm² flurandrenolide (a medium-strength steroid) is available. In Japan, two steroid tape formulations are available, namely, a 4 μg/cm² fludroxycortide tape (medium-strength) and a 20 μg/cm² deprodone propionate tape (higher potency steroid). In our experience, deprodone propionate tape is the most effective tape for the treatment and prevention of keloids.

4. Combination treatment for severe keloids and hypertrophic scars

If a patient has severe keloids with infected areas or scar contractures, surgery should be performed (Figure 3). If the keloids are too large to be removed in their entirety, the surgeon can resect the region of contracture or infection. The resulting defects can then be covered by a regional/local flap. Surgery should also be performed if the keloid growth causes significant deformity and the keloid does not respond to nonsurgical therapies. An example of severely deforming earlobe keloids is shown in Figure 4. Such keloids can be treated by the core excision method, where the fibrous reticular layer of the keloid (i.e., the core of the earlobe keloid) is extirpated and the epidermis and papillary layer of the dermis is preserved as a thin flap.
While these surgical approaches on their own associate with a relatively high risk of recurrence, this risk can be significantly reduced by combining surgery with postoperative radiotherapy and prolonged corticosteroid tape/plaster application. Thus, after the operation, both the donor and recipient sites of the flap should be irradiated to prevent the new formation of keloids. Notably, when partial resection or core extirpation is followed by postoperative radiotherapy, any remaining keloids around the flap (which do not undergo radiotherapy) also improve (Figure 3). This
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...reflects the fact that the flap releases tension, which in turn decreases the inflammation in the remnant keloids. The high risk of recurrence in these severe cases can be further reduced by the routine application of corticosteroid tape/plasters on the operated area that are changed daily. In general, we recommend patients to use tape/plasters for at least 6 months after the surgery and radiotherapy, or until the scar becomes soft. Long-term follow-up is necessary because if the scars start to stiffen again, corticosteroid tape/plasters should be re-applied. In general, it will
Algorithm for selecting keloid and hypertrophic scar treatment modalities. Particular care should be taken when selecting the treatment for growing children and pregnant women with keloids and hypertrophic scars. In our facility, pediatric patients (<20 years of age) and pregnant women are not treated with radiation. Invasive surgery in pregnant women is also avoided. In these cases, the primary treatment choice should be steroid tape/plaster together with stabilization/compression therapy that reduces the tension on the scar.
take at least 2 years before combination therapy-treated keloids and hypertrophic scars mature. It is important to make clear to the patient before this therapy starts that the protocol has a long duration. Nevertheless, close monitoring and assiduous re-application of steroid tape/plasters have an excellent chance of converting postoperative keloid sites into mature scars.

It should be noted that our combination therapy is not suitable for growing children and pregnant women. In our facility, we do not treat pediatric patients (<20 years of age) or pregnant women with radiation. Moreover, invasive treatments such as surgery are not performed during pregnancy. The primary choice of treatment for children and pregnant women with keloids and hypertrophic scars should be steroid tape/plaster on its own (Figure 5).
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