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Abstract

The partial shading on a photovoltaic (PV) panel consisting of multiple substrings poses serious issues of decreased energy yield and occurrence of multiple maximum power points (MPPs). Although various kinds of differential power processing (DPP) converters have been proposed to prevent the partial shading issues, multiple switches and/or magnetic components in proportion to the number of substrings are necessary, hence increasing the circuit complexity and volume. This chapter proposes a novel single-switch DPP PWM converter to achieve simplified circuit. The proposed DPP converter is essentially the combination of a forward/flyback resonant inverter (FFRI) and voltage multiplier (VM). The fundamental operation analysis is performed, and the current sensorless control strategy suitable for the proposed DPP converter is also discussed. A 30-W prototype of the proposed DPP converter was built, and various kinds of experimental verification tests were performed emulating partial shading conditions. With the proposed DPP converter, local MPPs of a partially shaded PV panel were successfully eliminated, and energy yield was significantly enhanced, demonstrating the efficacy and performance of the proposed DPP converter.
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1. Introduction

Efficient power conversion and high energy utilization are of great importance in photovoltaic (PV) power systems. Efficient power converters and inverters with maximum power point tracking (MPPT) capability have been commercialized to extract as much energy from PV
panels as possible. However, even with such efficient converters, energy yield from PV panels are known to be significantly reduced due to partial shading. A standard PV panel comprising three substrings and its characteristics under a partially shaded condition are shown in Figure 1. A shaded substring, $PV_3$, is less capable of generating current is bypassed by a parallel-connected bypass diode, and therefore, it no longer contributes to power generation, though it can potentially generate power to some extent. In addition, a partially shaded panel exhibits multiple power point maxima including global and local MPPs, which likely confuse ordinarily MPPT tracking algorithms.

Partial shading issues originate from characteristic mismatch among series-connected substrings. With differential power processing (DPP) converters, power differences among substrings are transferred so that all substring characteristics are virtually unified, thus precluding the partial shading issues. Various kinds of DPP architectures have been proposed. The most straightforward architecture is the adjacent substring-to-substring equalization system, shown in Figure 2(a), in which adjacent substrings exchange power difference through a DPP converter, depending on shading conditions. Bidirectional converters, such as PWM

---

**Figure 1.** Characteristics of (a) substrings and (b) string under partial shading.

**Figure 2.** DPP architectures based on (a) adjacent substring-to-substring equalization, (b) substring-to-bus equalization, (c) string-to-substring equalization, and (d) integrated converter.
converters [1–3] and switched capacitor converters [4, 5], are used as DPP converters in the adjacent equalization architecture. With the substring-to-bus equalization architecture shown in Figure 2(b), respective bidirectional isolated flyback converter-based DPP converters transfer power between the bus and each substring [6–8]. The architectures in Figure 2(a) and (b) require multiple DPP converters in proportion to the number of substrings, likely increasing the system complexity and cost. The string-to-substring equalization architecture, on the other hand, can reduce the DPP converter count, as shown in Figure 2(c). Single-input multi-output converters, such as a multi-winding flyback converter [9], multi-stacked buck-boost converters [10, 11], and LLC resonant voltage multiplier [12], can be employed as a DPP converter in this architecture. Integrated converters having a DPP converter function have also been proposed [13, 14]. The simplified system and reduced cost of the integrated converters are appealing features, but the performance as a DPP converter cannot be optimized because two converters are combined into a single unit to form the integrated converter.

From the perspective of the performance and cost, string-to-substring DPP converters are considered the most viable solution to the partial shading issues. Representative circuit topologies of the string-to-substring DPP converters are shown in Figure 3. Although the multi-winding flyback converter [9] (Figure 3(a)) is very simple as it needs only one switch, the design difficulty of the multi-winding transformer is a top concern. The multi-stacked buck-boost converter-based DPP converter is also a single-switch circuit [10, 11], but it may be bulky as multiple inductors are necessary. From the viewpoint of magnetic components, the DPP converter based on the LLC resonant voltage multiplier (VM) [12] (Figure 3(c)) would be the best solution, but the switch count is doubled compared to that of other string-to-substring DPP converters.

A string-to-substring single-switch DPP PWM converter based on the forward-flyback resonant inverter (FFRI) and VM is proposed in this chapter. In addition to the single-switch topology, the magnetic component count is also one, realizing the simple, easy-to-design, and miniaturized circuit. The circuit derivation and description of the proposed single-switch DPP converter are presented in Section 2, followed by the detailed operation analysis in Section 3. The current sensorless control strategy suitable for the proposed single-switch DPP converter

![Diagram](http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.74307)

Figure 3. String-to-substring equalizers based on (a) multi-winding flyback converter, (b) multi-stacked buck-boost converters, and (c) LLC resonant voltage multiplier.
will be discussed in Section 4. The experimental results of the laboratory and field testing for a standard 72-cell PV panel consisting of three substrings will be presented in Section 5.

2. Proposed single-switch DPP converter

2.1. Key elements for proposed DPP converter

The proposed string-to-substring DPP converter is essentially the combination of the FFRI and VM, shown in Figure 4(a) and (b), respectively. As the switch Q is turned on, the FFRI operates in the forward mode, in which the leakage inductance of the transformer, \( L_{\text{kg}} \), resonates with the resonant capacitor \( C_r \) placed on the secondary side. At the same time, the magnetizing inductance \( L_{\text{mg}} \) stores energy. As Q is turned off, the FFRI operates in the flyback mode, and the stored energy in \( L_{\text{mg}} \) is released to the secondary side. The energy stored in \( L_{\text{kg}} \) is absorbed in a snubber circuit in order to prevent a voltage spike applied to Q. In summary, AC voltage/current is generated across the secondary winding. The detailed operation analysis will be discussed in Section 3.

The VM basically comprises multiple voltage doublers stacked in series—three voltage doublers, each consisting of a coupling capacitor, diode pair, and a smoothing capacitor, are stacked in Figure 4(b). The VM is driven by AC current/voltage produced by the FFRI. The upper and lower diodes (i.e., the even- and odd-numbered diodes) alternately conduct as AC current/voltage is applied. Voltages of smoothing capacitors \( C_{\text{out}1} - C_{\text{out}3} \) are automatically unified without feedback control, and therefore, voltages of PV substrings that are connected in parallel with respective smoothing capacitors are automatically equalized. Detailed voltage equalization mechanisms can be found elsewhere [12, 14].

2.2. Circuit description of single-switch DPP converter and its features

The proposed single-switch DPP converter for three substrings is shown in Figure 5. The output of the FFRI is connected to the input of the VM, and therefore, the VM is driven by AC voltage/current produced by the FFRI [15]. A bias resistor \( R_{\text{bias}} \) is added to stabilize voltages of the resonant capacitor \( C_r \) and coupling capacitors \( C_1 - C_3 \); \( C_r \) and coupling capacitors \( C_1 - C_3 \) are connected in series, and therefore, their voltages become unstable if without a bias resistor. Although a lossless LCD snubber is employed in Figure 5, any snubber circuits, including traditional lossy RCD snubbers, can be used to protect the switch Q. The input of the FFRI is tied to the string, whereas the outputs (i.e., \( C_{\text{out}1} - C_{\text{out}3} \)) of the VM are connected in parallel with respective substrings. Therefore, a fraction of the string power is redistributed to shaded substrings through the proposed DPP converter so that all the substring characteristics are virtually unified even under partial shading conditions.

In addition to the single-switch topology, the magnetic component count is also only one, realizing not only simplified circuit but also miniaturized circuit design. Although the circuit shown in Figure 5 is for three substrings, the number of substrings can be arbitrarily extended by adding diodes and capacitors in the VM, allowing a flexible design.
3. Operation analysis

3.1. Automatic voltage equalization mechanism

As mentioned in Section 2.2, the voltages of substrings are automatically nearly unified with the VM in the proposed DPP converter. The VM is driven by AC voltage/current generated by the FFRI, as illustrated in Figure 4(b). Since capacitors C₁–C₃ are connected to the AC terminal, these
capacitors can be regarded as AC-coupling capacitors that allow AC components only to flow through them. Hence, substrings as well as parallel-connected voltage doublers, each comprising diode pairs and a coupling capacitor, can be equivalently separated and grounded, as shown in Figure 6. All the substrings with respective voltage doublers in this equivalent circuit are connected in parallel, and therefore, AC current preferentially flows through a voltage doubler that is connected to a shaded substring whose voltage tends to be lower than the others.

3.2. Operation principle

This section discusses the operation analysis in the case that PV$_1$ is partially shaded. The proposed DPP converter operates either in continuous conduction mode (CCM) or discontinuous conduction mode (DCM). The DCM operation, which contains more operation modes, is discussed in this section. Key operation waveforms and current flow directions are shown in Figures 7 and 8, respectively. The lossless snubber is depicted as a voltage source $V_{sn}$ with a diode $D_{sn}$ in Figure 8, for the sake of clarity.

The average voltage of $C_r$ is zero thanks to $R_{bias}$ and the transformer secondary winding whose average voltage must be zero under steady-state conditions. Hence, the input voltage of the VM, $v_{VM}$, is nearly identical to the voltage of secondary winding, $v_{S}$.

Mode 1 ($T_0-T_1$) (Figure 8(a)): The switch Q is turned on, and the DPP converter operates in the forward mode. The current of $L_{mg}$, $i_{Lmg}$, starts linearly increasing from zero, as

$$i_{Lmg} = \frac{V_{string}}{L_{mg} + L_{kg}} (t - T_0)$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Figure 6. Equivalent circuit of voltage multiplier.
Meanwhile, $L_{kg}$ resonates with $C_r$ on the secondary winding, and sinusoidal current $i_{Cr}$ flows;

$$i_{Cr} = \frac{V_{VM,0}}{|Z_r|} \sin \omega_r (t - T_0)$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $Z_r$ and $\omega_r$ are the characteristic impedance of the resonant tank and resonant angular frequency given by

$$Z_r = N \sqrt{\frac{L_{kg}}{C_r}} \omega_r = 2\pi f_r = \frac{N}{\sqrt{L_{kg} C_r}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

The current of $L_{kg}$, $i_{Lkg}$, is equal to the sum of $i_{Lmg}$ and $i_{Cr}$ reflected on the primary side. In the VM, the upper diode corresponding to PV1, $D_2$, conducts whereas other diodes are off. Since the voltage of $C_r$ can be approximated to be zero, $v_{VM}$ during the even-numbered diodes are on, $V_{VM,E}$ is given by

Figure 7. Key operation waveforms when PV1 is partially shaded.
\[ V_{VM,E} = V_{C1} + V_f = \frac{L_{mg} V_{string}}{N(L_{kg} + L_{mg})} \]  

As \( i_{C1} \) reaches zero, this mode ends, and the operation moves to the next mode.

Mode 2 \((T_1-T_2)\) (Figure 8(b)): Q is still on, but all resonant currents become zero. Since \( i_{C1} \) is zero, \( i_{Lkg} \) is identical to \( i_{Lmg} \). No current flows in the VM, except for the current from the smoothing capacitor \( C_{out1} \) to PV1. In order for Mode 2 to exist, Mode 1 must be longer than half the resonant period. Hence, the following equation needs to be satisfied:

\[ d \geq \frac{f_s}{2f_r} \]  

where \( d \) is the duty cycle of Q. The peak value of \( i_{Lmg} \) at the end of this mode, \( I_{Lmg,\text{peak}} \), is

\[ I_{Lmg,\text{peak}} = \frac{V_{string} d T_s}{L_{mg} + L_{kg}} \]  

Mode 3 \((T_2-T_3)\) (Figure 8(c)): Q is turned off, and the DPP converter starts operating in the flyback mode. The energy stored in \( L_{kg} \) in Modes 1 and 2 is absorbed by the snubber. Meanwhile,

\[ V_{VM,E} = V_{C1} + V_f = \frac{L_{mg} V_{string}}{N(L_{kg} + L_{mg})} \]
\( \text{i}_{\text{Lmg}} \) begins to decrease as \( \text{L}_{\text{mg}} \) starts releasing its energy stored in the first two modes. \( \text{i}_{\text{Lmg}} \) is transferred to the secondary side, and the lower diode corresponding to \( \text{PV}_{1}, \text{D}_{1} \), conducts. This mode ends as \( \text{i}_{\text{Lkg}} \) becomes zero—the length of this mode is practically very short compared to other modes.

Mode 4 (\( T_{3}-T_{4} \) (Figure 8(d))): \( \text{i}_{\text{Lmg}} \) keeps decreasing, and its energy is released to the secondary side. \( \text{i}_{\text{Lmg}} \) in this mode is expressed as

\[
\text{i}_{\text{Lmg}} = \text{i}_{\text{Lmg,peak}} - \frac{\text{NV}_{\text{VM,E}}}{\text{L}_{\text{mg}}} (t - T_{3})
\]

(7)

\( \text{v}_{\text{VM}} \) during odd-numbered diodes are on, \( \text{V}_{\text{VM,O}} \) is expressed using (4) as

\[
\text{V}_{\text{VM,O}} = \text{V}_{\text{PV1}} + \text{V}_{f} - \text{V}_{\text{C1}} = \text{V}_{\text{PV1}} + 2\text{V}_{f} - \frac{\text{L}_{\text{mg}} \text{V}_{\text{stringmg}}}{\text{N}(\text{L}_{\text{kg}} + \text{L}_{\text{mg}})}
\]

(8)

The duty cycle of Mode 4, \( d' = (T_{3}-T_{4})/T_{S} \), is

\[
d' = \frac{\text{dV}_{\text{string}}}{\text{N}(\text{V}_{\text{PV1}} + 2\text{V}_{f})} \frac{\text{L}_{\text{kg}} + \text{L}_{\text{mg}}}{\text{L}_{\text{mg}}} - \text{V}_{\text{string}}
\]

(9)

If the operation meets \( d' < (1 - d) \), the DPP converter operates in DCM. The critical duty cycle for DCM operation, \( d_{\text{critical}} \), is given by

\[
d_{\text{critical}} < 1 - \frac{\text{V}_{\text{stringL}_{\text{mg}}}}{\text{N}(\text{L}_{\text{mg}} + \text{L}_{\text{kg}})(\text{V}_{\text{PV1}} + 2\text{V}_{f})}
\]

(10)

Mode 5 (\( T_{4}-T_{5} \)) (not shown): This mode is unique to the DCM operation. No currents flow in the DPP converter, except for \( \text{C}_{\text{out1}} \) providing a current to the shaded substring \( \text{PV}_{1} \).

In summary, the upper and lower diodes that are connected in parallel with the shaded substring alternately conduct. The shaded substring \( \text{PV}_{1} \) receives the current from the DPP converter, whereas no current flows toward unshaded substrings.

Since the average voltage of \( \text{C}_{\text{t}} \) is nearly zero, \( \text{v}_{\text{VM}} \) can be assumed equal to \( \text{v}_{\text{SE}} \). Based on volt-second balance on the transformer secondary winding with Eqs. (4) and (8), the voltage conversion ratio of the proposed DPP converter in DCM can be yielded as

\[
\text{V}_{\text{PV1}} = \frac{\text{L}_{\text{mg}} \text{V}_{\text{string}}(d + d')}{\text{Nd'}(\text{L}_{\text{kg}} + \text{L}_{\text{mg}})} - 2\text{V}_{f}
\]

(11)

The voltage conversion ratio in CCM can be obtained by applying \( d' = 1 - d \) into (11), as

\[
\text{V}_{\text{PV1}} = \frac{\text{L}_{\text{mg}} \text{V}_{\text{string}}}{\text{N}(1 - d)(\text{L}_{\text{kg}} + \text{L}_{\text{mg}})} - 2\text{V}_{f}
\]

(12)

Eqs. (11) and (12) suggest that the voltage conversion ratio is PWM-controllable, and \( d \) should be properly adjusted depending on the degree of shading. The control strategy suitable for the proposed DPP PWM converter is discussed in the next section.
4. Control strategy

There is only one single switch in the proposed DPP converter, whereas it has three outputs for PV1–PV3. Hence, the DPP converter needs to be properly controlled so that shaded substrings only receive a current from the DPP converter while no currents flow toward unshaded ones. To this end, the current sensorless $\Delta V$-controlled equalization strategy [11] is employed.

The mechanism of the $\Delta V$-controlled equalization is illustrated in Figure 9(a). The proposed single-switch DPP converter can be equivalently depicted as a single-input multi-output converter with $V_e$ and blocking diodes. Based on the $\Delta V$-controlled equalization, the DPP converter is operated so that the voltage difference among substrings $\Delta V = V_H - V_L$ (where $V_H$ and $V_L$ are the highest and lowest substring voltages, respectively) is controlled to be a non-zero positive value. In this scenario, the DPP converter supplies the equalization current only for the shaded substring PV1 whose voltage is equal to the output voltage of the DPP converter $V_e$. Meanwhile, unshaded substrings’ voltages are higher than $V_e$ and therefore, currents do not flow toward them.

The control block diagram of the $\Delta V$-controlled equalization is illustrated in Figure 9(b). All the substring voltages are individually measured to calculate $\Delta V$. The reference of $\Delta V$, $\Delta V_{ref}$, is set to be slightly greater than zero to be unaffected by noise.

![Figure 9. (a) Mechanism and (b) block diagram of $\Delta V$-controlled equalization.](image-url)
5. Experimental results

5.1. Prototype

A 30-W prototype of the proposed single-switch DPP converter for standard 72-cell PV panels comprising three substrings was built, as shown in Figure 10. Table 1 enlists the circuit elements used for the prototype. The prototype was operated at a switching frequency of 100 kHz, and a TMS320F28335 control card (Texas Instruments) was used to implement the $\Delta V$-controlled equalization.

5.2. Fundamental performance

Key operation waveforms and power conversion efficiency were measured using the experimental setup shown in Figure 11. All substrings were removed, and the DPP converter was

![Photograph of the 30-W prototype.](http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.74307)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q</td>
<td>F390N15A, $R_{on} = 33.5 \text{ mΩ}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_1$–$C_3$</td>
<td>Ceramic Capacitor, 94 $\mu$F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{out1}$–$C_{out3}$</td>
<td>Ceramic Capacitor, 300 $\mu$F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_1$–$D_6$</td>
<td>Schottky Diode, $V_f = 0.3$ V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_x$</td>
<td>Ceramic Capacitor, 20 $\mu$F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transformer</td>
<td>$N_1$ : $N_2 = 10:2$, $L_{eg} = 2 \mu$H, $L_{mg} = 450 \mu$H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snubber</td>
<td>$C_{2R} = 2.2 \mu$F, $L_{2R} = 1$ mH</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Table 1. Circuit element list.](http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.74307)
powered by an external power supply $V_{\text{ext}}$. A variable resistor $R_{\text{var}}$ was connected to $C_{\text{out}1}$ in order to emulate current flow directions under the PV$_1$-shaded condition shown in Figure 8.

The measured key operation waveforms in DCM are shown in Figure 12. Although oscillations due to the resonance between the output capacitance of the MOSFET and $L_{\text{mg}}$ were observed in $v_Q$ and $v_{\text{VM}}$, the measured waveforms matched well with the theoretical ones shown in Figure 7. The measured power conversion efficiency is shown in Figure 13. In the
light load region, the DPP converter operated in DCM and its efficiency was around 90%. The heavy load region corresponded to CCM, in which the efficiency gradually declined due to the increased Joule loss.

5.3. Laboratory testing

Solar array simulators (E4361A, Keysight Technologies) were used to emulate shaded and unshaded PV substring characteristics, as shown in Figure 14(a). The short circuit current of the shaded substring PV$_1$ was set to be half that of unshaded substrings. String characteristics as a whole were manually swept using an electronic load operating in the resistance mode. The
ΔV-controlled equalization with ΔV_{ref} = 1.0 V was implemented. As a reference, the string characteristic without the proposed DPP converter was also measured.

Measured string characteristics with and without the DPP converter are shown and compared in Figure 14(b). Without the DPP converter, two MPPs were observed, and the maximum power was merely 110 W at V_{string} = 23.6 V. With the proposed DPP converter, on the other hand, the local MPP disappeared, and maximum power increased to as high as 130 W at V_{string} = 34.0 V, corresponding to 18.2% improvement. Thus, the experimental results demonstrated the proposed DPP converter drastically increases the power yield from a partially shaded string.

The prototype of the proposed DPP converter was operated in conjunction with a commercial MPPT converter (SS-MPPT-15 L, Morningstar) to demonstrate its compatibility. The measured V_{string} and extracted power are shown in Figure 15. The MPPT converter periodically swept the string characteristic in search for the global MPP location and subsequently kept extracting the maximum power of approximately 130 W.

5.4. Field testing

The field test using a real PV panel was also performed emulating a partial shading condition, as shown in Figure 16. A standard 72-cell monocrystalline PV panel was used for the experiment, and one of the substrings was covered with a postcard to emulate a partial shading condition. The irradiance in the field test was measured using a pyranometer. The measured string characteristics with and without the proposed DPP converter prototype are shown in Figure 17. Without the DPP converter, two power maxima were observed, and the extractable maximum power was approximately 39 W at the irradiance level of 372 W/m². With the DPP converter, the maximum power increased to as high as 46.1 W, in spite of the lower irradiance level of 356 W/m².

Figure 15. Measured power conversion efficiency.
6. Conclusions

The single-switch DPP PWM converter to preclude the partial shading issues has been proposed in this chapter. The proposed DPP converter can be derived by integrating the FFRI and VM into a single unit. The switch count of the proposed DPP converter is only one, thus achieving the simplified circuit. The operation analysis was performed, and the voltage conversion ratios in DCM and CCM were mathematically yielded.
The 30-W prototype of the proposed DPP converter was built, and its fundamental operation performance was measured. Experimental equalization tests emulating partial shading conditions were performed using solar array simulators or a real PV panel. With the prototype of the proposed DPP converter, local MPPs disappeared, and extractable maximum powers significantly increased, demonstrating the efficacy and performance of the proposed DPP converter.
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