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Abstract

The fruit fly, *Drosophila melanogaster* (Meigen, 1830) has been established as a cornerstone for research into a wide array of subjects including diseases, development, physiology, and genetics. Thanks to an abundance of genetic tools, publicly available fly stocks, and databases, as well as their considerable biological similarity to mammalian systems, *Drosophila* has been solidified as a key model organism for elucidating many aspects of human disease. Herein is presented an overview of what makes *Drosophila* such an appealing model organism. In Part I of this chapter, basic *Drosophila* biology is reviewed and the most relevant genetic tools available to *Drosophila* researchers are covered. Then in part II, we outline the use of *Drosophila* as a model organism to study a wide array of pathologies in which *Drosophila* has been used, along with key advances made in the specific field using the fly as a model organism.
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1. Introduction

Searching PubMed with the key words “*Drosophila melanogaster* for model diseases”, we find more than 2800 papers describing the use of this small and friendly invertebrate to study human diseases. This is quite remarkable considering that the genome of this animal is separated from ours by 795 million years. But what makes this organism so significant for the study of human diseases? First of all, the entire *Drosophila* genome has been sequenced [1] making it very simple to study and manipulate a particular gene. The *Drosophila* genome is 60% homologous to ours; in addition about 75% of the genes responsible for human diseases have a homolog in flies [2]. In addition, their small size (2–3 mm), short generation time, the
easy and inexpensive way to culture them in the laboratory, and their powerful genetic tools have established Drosophila as one of the leading animal models for education and biomedical research [3]. Indeed Drosophila can be used anywhere from teaching basic genetics, to primary school, to understanding the more complicated metabolic pathways controlling fundamental physiological and pathological conditions.

It is little more than 100 years since Thomas Hunt Morgan and his colleagues, including his pupil Calvin Bridge and his wife Lillian Vaughan Morgan, redefined important concepts of Drosophila in the famous “fly-room” at Columbia University (Figure 1). He clarified the theory of inheritance previously defined by Mendel and the identification of the gene white earned him the Nobel Prize for Physiology and Medicine in 1933 for the role of chromosomes in heredity [4]. Since then research using fruit flies has contributed to numerous discoveries allowing for the identification of components of fundamental pathways that regulate the biology of animals as well as humans. Accomplishments that have been recognized over the years by subsequent other Nobel Laureates in Medicine and Physiology using Drosophila include:

- In 1946 to Hermann Joseph Muller for the use of X-ray irradiation to produce in vivo mutations.
- In 1995 to Edward B. Lewis, Christine Nuesslein-Volhard and Eric F. Wieschaus for their contribution to the discovery of the genetic control of early embryonic development.
- In 2011 to Bruce A. Beutler and Jules A. Hoffmann, for their success in defining innate immunity.
- In 2017 to Jeffrey C. Hall, Micheal Rosbash and Michael W. Young for their contributions to the molecular mechanisms that control the circadian rhythm.

Fly work has also benefitted from the strong commitment of Drosophila researchers to follow what is called the fly worker ethos, by adhering to the definition established by Bilder and Irvine [5]. This social behavior already set by Morgan and described by Kohler in 1994, describes a set of principles defined by the sharing of unpublished and published reagents.

Figure 1. Photograph of Morgan’s Fly Room at Columbia University, around 1920. Courtesy of American Philosophical Society.
an open communication among members of the community, and the distribution of advanced
genetic tools that have greatly helped the rapid advance of Drosophila’s powerful genetics. Since
Drosophila strains cannot be maintained as frozen embryos, the obvious problem is that they must
be kept as living cultures with routine work called “fly pushing”. The ethos philosophy allowed
the creation of several stock centers around the world that provide more than 80,000 Drosophila
stock variants to customers. The Bloomington Drosophila Research Center (BDSC), hosted by
the Indiana University in the USA, maintains the largest public collection of Drosophila lines and
also supports fly research with basic protocols of fly work. In parallel, the Drosophila Genomics
Resource Center (DGRC) collects vectors and cDNAs to further distribute them at a small fee to
the community. There are also centers in Japan, China, and Europe that provide useful lines for
screening, including an RNAi library, the large Vienna Drosophila RNAi Center (VDRC) and
the TRIP-RNAi Harvard collections, all available through BDSC. FlyBase is the first database of
integrated genetic and genomic data about Drosophila melanogaster, that also includes data from
other species of Drosophilidae, created as an initiative to have all information about the methods
for gene expression, development and physiology of Drosophila. FlyBase provided an example
for the more specific databases like the Berkeley Drosophila Genome Project (BDGP) and
modEncode. Nowadays the scope is to create a database for all Model Organisms that includes
information not only from Drosophila melanogaster but also database information from Rat tus
rattus (Linnaeus, 1758), Mus musculus (Linnaeus, 1758), zebrafish Danio renio (Hamilton, 1882),
the worm Caenorhabditis elegans (Maupas, 1900) and the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae (Meyen ex
E.C. Hansen, 1883), reposted at the National Institute of Health (NIH).

Finally, Drosophila is also used as a model organism for educational purposes to illustrate in
classrooms or to the public the relevance of genetics in biomedical research, or to explain con-
cepts like inheritance. Sites like droso4school a promotion from the Manchester Fly Facility [6]
or the Journal of Visual Experiments with the JoVe Science Education Data Base and Flymove
are aimed at disseminating the relevance of Drosophila research to encourage and facilitate
scientists to engage with primary and middle schools and with lay people.

In this two-part chapter, some of the many aspects that make Drosophila such a fundamental
model organism are covered. Here, Part I outlines the basic biology and life cycle of the fly
before summarizing some of the remarkable genetic tools available to Drosophila researchers.
Part II will provide an overview of key disease states that Drosophila is used to model and
some significant advances made in those fields.

2. Basic biology/life cycle

As a holometabolous insect, Drosophila melanogaster undergoes several drastic changes in body
plan throughout its life. Progressing from an egg (embryo), to larva, then pupa, and finally
adult, each stage provides a unique platform for studying a wide variety of diseases and con-
ditions. Moreover, this animal’s development is the result of a tight coordination between sig-
als from hormones, (in primis ecdysone and prothoracicotropic hormone), nutrients (amino
acids) and Drosophila insulin like peptides (Dilps) that act together to allow proper physiologi-
cal growth of the animal.
2.1. Life cycle and regulation of development

The *Drosophila* life cycle lasts approximately 10 days at 25°C (Figure 2). A single fertile female can lay hundreds of eggs and *Drosophila* embryogenesis lasts approximately 24 h. During that time, the entire larval body plan is established though the expression of a number of critical genes, starting with several proteins transcribed from maternally derived mRNAs that were deposited at specific locations in the embryo such as *bicoid* and *dorsal* [7]. These proteins diffuse across it to establish both the anterior-posterior axis (e.g. *bicoid*) and the dorsal-ventral axis (e.g. *dorsal*). The diffusion of these proteins across the embryo forms gradients of each, and the varying levels of each protein will activate the transcription of specific cascades of genes including *gap genes*, *pair-wise genes*, *segment polarity genes*, and *hox genes* that will divide the embryo into segments, regions, and eventually structures [8]. Upon completing embryonic development, a first instar larva hatches from the egg and begins to eat. At this stage it is necessary for the larva to consume food not just for growth, but also to convert into storage as fats and sugars in the fat body, from where it will be used to sustain the larva through metamorphosis. As larvae grow, they shed their exoskeleton through a process called molting that is controlled by a fine-tuned consequential series of events involving the hormones ecdysone, juvenile and the prothoracicotropic hormone (PTTH) (Figure 3) that control animal growth. With each molt, the larva will enter a new instar stage, progressing through three instars before a final molt to form a pupa. Each instar stage is regulated by the level of PTTH that rises to control the release of ecdysone allowing larvae to grow [9]. PTTH is released from neurosecretory cells in the brain, a process that is remotely controlled by growth of the larval organs and imaginal discs, sac-like structures of monoepithelial cells that become organs after metamorphosis, with the release of dilp8, a member of the secreted insulin-like peptide family, in a negative feed-back loop that controls PTTH production [10–12]. PTTH stimulates the release
of the molting hormone ecdysone from the prothoracic glands into the hemolymph causing the formation of a new cuticle (exoskeleton). As ecdysone levels fall again, another hormone, eclosion hormone (EH) initiates the actual molt where the larva sheds its exoskeleton and enters a new instar stage [13]. At the end of the third instar stage, larvae begin to wander to pupariate, a stage triggered by a burst of PTTH and, subsequently ecdysone. A second larger burst of PTTH and ecdysone starts the final molt, and due to the absence of JH, the larva molts to the pupal stage.

2.2. Regulation of body size the interplay between hormones and growth factors

Larval growth is regulated by the interplay of the function of different organs (Figure 4), among which the fat body works as a hub to regulate several important processes. First, by sensing the amino acid concentration in the hemolymph, the fat body remotely controls the release of Dilps, in particular dilp2, from the Insulin Producing Cells (IPCs) in the brain [17]. This mechanism depends on the release into the hemolymph of secreted factors, like the Growth-Blocking Peptide-1 (GBP1) and CG11395 (GBP2) [18] and Stunted [19] with a mechanism that is dependent on the activation of the Target of Rapamycin (TOR) pathway in...
the fat cells. Second, the fat body controls animal survival with the activation of autophagy, consuming the fats and sugars that accumulated during the feeding phase. Third, the fat body responds to reduced ecdysone signaling from the brain by restraining metabolism and protein synthesis cell-autonomously before each molt by controlling the expression of the growth regulator Myc [20], which was shown to also regulate growth and Dilp2 secretion [21] constituting a regulatory loop that controls animal growth. Insulin signaling is the foremost important growth signal that in flies controls both growth/development and metabolism, with a unique and conserved pathway [22]. Dilps are produced by different organs and activate the Insulin Receptor (InR). Among Dilps (1-8), Dilp2, 3, 5 are produced by the IPCs in the brain and control animal growth and development [22, 23] while Dilp6, produced by the fat body and regulated by FOXO, functions to indirectly restrain Dilp2 secretion from the IPCs and to regulate longevity in the adult flies, a function similar to mammalian InR in aging [24]. A novel and exciting function was recently identified for dilp8, the last member of the Dilp family, to indirectly control ecdysone levels [25, 26]. Dilp8 is a gene that encodes for a protein in the insulin/relaxin like family of peptides, originally identified for its control of bilateral symmetry [25, 26]. It is also produced by damaged or regenerating tissues, and is released into the hemolymph to remotely bind the Drosophila Leucine-rich repeat containing G protein-coupled receptor 3 (Lgr3), a member of the relaxin receptor expressed by specific neuronal cells located in a cluster in both sides of the brain [10–12]. These cells are part of a newly identified neuroendocrine circuit that ultimately acts on the hormone PTTH to reduce the levels of ecdysone during development. The growth of the imaginal discs times pupariation; indeed the time of pupariation is delayed with a reduction of ecdysone levels if a disc is injured. Thus, dilp8 activity seems to coordinate other signals to control the timing of pupariation and growth of the discs to ensure proper development of the animal [11]. These data on the function of dilp8 are described as part of the neurosecretory cell-rich pars intercerebralis, an

Figure 4. Integration of signals to control growth and metamorphosis. This schematic illustrates the coordination between nutritional status and developmental status to control the timing of metamorphosis and growth. The fat body senses amino acid concentration through TOR signaling and releases factors (in red) including growth-blocking peptides (GBPs), stunted (Sun), and other unknown factors (X?) into the hemolymph. These are sensed by the insulin producing cells of the brain, which then release dilp2 to cause growth. To ensure all organs are properly developed before metamorphosis, dilp8 is released from damaged or regenerating tissues to inhibit the production of PTTH in the brain, thereby blocking ecdysone levels to delay metamorphosis.
axis that mediates the larval to pupal transition, highlighting the presence in *Drosophila* of a mechanism similar to the hypothalamic pituitary axis in vertebrates to control development.

3. Fly genetics

3.1. Generation of transgenes

*Drosophila melanogaster* have four pairs of chromosomes, the first pair (X or Y) are also the sex chromosomes. Generally, having two X chromosomes designates the fly as female, whereas an X and Y will designate a male; however, the Y chromosome is extremely small and contains very few genes. Of the 2nd, 3rd, and 4th pairs, the 4th is the smallest and less commonly noticed because of the difficulty to insert transgenes and to obtain balancer lines [27]. A huge array of genetic techniques exists to allow researchers to manipulate the fly genome to overexpress, knock-down, mutate, tag, or alter the expression of a gene or genes [28]. Nearly all techniques are based on the ability to insert a foreign piece of DNA into the fly genome, generating what are referred to as transgenes. This foreign DNA can consist of an entire gene, a promoter region, gene fragments, mutated genes or almost any DNA sequence a researcher desires.

3.1.1. P-element transposons

Several commonly used techniques exist to integrate DNA into the genome. Transposon mediated integration, first utilized by Rubin and Spradling in 1982 [29] is one of the most commonly employed methods [28]. This technique capitalizes on the action of the P-element transposon. Transposons are pieces of DNA with specific sequence characteristics that have the ability to cut themselves out of the genome and reintegrate in another location through the action of the transposase enzyme [30]. The transposons can be modified though cloning to contain a desired piece of DNA. Plasmids containing the modified P-element constructs are injected into the *Drosophila* embryo germline cells together with plasmids encoding for the P transposase, the latter of which will subsequently chop out the P-element backbone from the first plasmid and insert the entire segment into a random point in the germ cell genome [31]. When these animals reach adulthood, they will produce a number of offspring that contain the modified transgene containing chromosomes in every cell. To determine whether the foreign DNA has been integrated, it is often necessary to link a marker gene to the desired transgene. This marker gene is usually inserted in the plasmid linked to the desired transgene and produces an easily observable phenotype, such as eye or body color, to clearly identify which flies contain the transgene (Figure 5). Marker genes are also used with many of the other techniques described below.

3.1.2. Homologous recombination

P-element transposon mediated transgenesis has several drawbacks, including that the location of the insertion cannot be selected and sometimes the transgene may be inserted within the regulatory or coding region of another gene and disrupt its function [32]. Rong and Golic in 2000 pioneered a procedure that can target specific genes in the *Drosophila* genome using
homologous recombination [33]. This technique uses the cell’s own DNA repair machinery and homologous recombination to swap out one allele or piece of DNA for another and can even be used to knockout genes [34]. Fly lines must first be generated, often by using P-element transposons, that express: 1- the site-specific recombinase flippase (FLP), an enzyme that recognizes specific sequences known as FLP recombination targets (FRT) and will excise DNA contained between FRT sequences to catalyze recombination; 2- a site-specific endonuclease (I-Sce1), which is an enzyme that generates a double stranded break in DNA at a specific sequence; and 3- a transgenic donor construct that contains the FRT sites on either end, a recognition site for the endonuclease, and some sequence similarity to the gene or area that is to be targeted [33]. When all three of these elements are brought together in a single fly, generally by mating, the FLP recombinase can excise the DNA contained between the two FRT sites creating a circular exogenous piece of DNA that the endonuclease will then cleave at its recognition site to linearize it. The broken piece of exogenous DNA will then recombine with the genomic area that it has been designed to target, effectively inserting itself into the genome at the desired area. In this way, an endogenous gene can be removed (knockout) or modified [33, 35]. While this method is effective at targeting specific genes for modification, it is very labor intensive, often requiring the generation of at least three different transgenic fly lines to target just one gene.

Several other methods that generate double stranded breaks to trigger homologous recombination have been developed [28]. These include using zinc-finger nucleases (ZFNs) and transcription activator-like effector nucleases (TALEN), which are enzymes that can target specific
DNA sequences and cause double stranded breaks, however each gene requires generating a new specific enzyme and can be challenging [36].

3.1.3. phiC31 integrase: site-specific integrase insertion

Another method to target specific locations in the genome uses the bacteriophage \( \phi C31 \) integrase which can insert a transgene at a specific recognition site in the genome [37]. Bacteriophages are viruses that target bacteria. The \( \phi C31 \) integrase is an enzyme that recognizes specific attachment sites in both the bacteriophage genome (designated \( attP \)) and in its bacterial host’s genome (\( attB \)) and catalyzes recombination between the two to insert itself into the bacteria’s genome [38]. Drosophila lines have been generated with \( attP \) sites inserted in their genome using P-element transposons, allowing the \( \phi C31 \) integrase to effectively insert a large segment of DNA from a plasmid containing the \( attB \) site via recombination at the \( attP \) site in the Drosophila genome [37]. This technique is an effective method for inserting large pieces of DNA, something that is problematic for P-element transposons, and allows researchers to insert their transgene at a specific site [28].

3.1.4. Bacterial artificial chromosomes

Bacterial artificial chromosomes (BACs) and recombination engineering (recombineering) are gaining traction in the Drosophila community because they allow the insertion of very large pieces of DNA [28]. Systems been developed that use specially modified BACs that are easy to amplify [39]. Genes or other DNA fragments are inserted into these constructs using recombineering, a process much easier than cutting DNA with restriction enzymes and reattaching it with ligases [40]. One BAC in particular combines several technological platforms and contains P-element transposase recognition sites and \( \phi C31 \)-mediated integration sites [40].

3.1.5. CRISPR/Cas9

The CRISPR/Cas9 system is another rapidly expanding technology for altering the genome [41]. First coined in 2002, Clustered Regularly Interspersed Short Palindromic Repeats (CRISPR) arrays are sets of repetitive nucleotide sequences with interspaced non-repetitive sequences that function in bacterial immunity against viruses [42, 43]. There are also a number of CRISPR-associated genes (Cas genes). Parts of the CRISPR arrays are transcribed and processed into shorter CRISPR RNA’s (crRNA) and noncoding trans-activating crRNA (tracrRNA) [44, 45]. After being infected with a bacteriophage, cas enzymes (encoded by several Cas genes) allow bacteria to keep copies of viral DNA within their genome in the form of CRISPR arrays. When the virus attacks again, these copies are transcribed and processed into crRNA and tracrRNA that together target the virus to be cleaved by other cas enzymes like Cas9 [42, 45–47]. In 2013, researchers began to exploit this technology to modify the mammalian genome [48, 49] and the Drosophila genome [50]. By taking advantage of the fact that Cas9 is guided by crRNA and tracrRNA to cleave a specific DNA sequence, artificial constructs can be designed to target any desired gene. The double strand break generated by Cas9 is then repaired either by homologous recombination with an exogenous piece of DNA containing a mutated form of
the gene or any other desired piece of DNA, or through error-prone non homologous end joining [48–50]. In this way, any gene or part of the genome can be easily modified.

3.2. Generation of mutants

In addition to ectopically expressing or reducing the function of a gene using the classic binary system (see last section in methods), another useful way to study gene function is to generate mutations in the genome and observe the resulting phenotypes, and then work backwards to figure out what gene was modified. The function of this gene can be inferred by the phenotype that occurred when the gene was destroyed. These studies involve mutating a large number of genes in many flies, then screening though the phenotypes and determining what genes were altered. There are a number of ways to generate mutants, including using P-element transposons and chemical mutagens like Ethyl Methanesulfonate (EMS).

3.2.1. P-element mutagenesis

This technique utilizes P-elements (usually containing gene markers as described above), or other transposable elements, to move around in the genome to disrupt gene function. This is possible either by inserting themselves in a new position that could interfere with a gene or removing it from a gene and degrading a little bit more of the DNA sequence from where it was removed [51, 52]. Though P-elements show certain preferences for where they reinsert, they cannot be directed to a specific location and have no precise recognition sequence [30]. It is therefore necessary after P-element mobilization to screen the flies that show an altered phenotype to determine which gene or genes were disrupted and use PCR to identify where the insertion occurred [51].

3.2.2. EMS mutagenesis

This method uses the chemical EMS to generate random mutations in the genome [53]. EMS produces a form of guanine, O6-methylguanine, that incorrectly base pairs with thymine during DNA replication, usually generating GC to AT transitions that potentially alter codons or destroy splice sites, which will damage the function of a gene product. These mutations are generated at random and while some create visible phenotypes, or even lethality, others show no obvious changes, so extensive screening is needed to determine which mutation or mutations caused the observed phenotypes [53].

3.3. Genetic screens

The large use of Drosophila as a model organism is also due to the ability to perform genetic screens aimed at the identification of novel genes important for understanding biological process. In order to do so, Drosophilists have developed balancer chromosomes that are essential to maintain mutant fly stocks and for mating design. These chromosomes carry multiple inversions and gene rearrangement that firstly suppress recombination, and secondly contain a dominant phenotypic marker visible in the larvae or adults. Because they segregate normally during meiosis, they can be followed using the dominant phenotype. All the information on balancer stocks are available from Drosophila stock centers.
A genetic screen can follow two main strategies: Forward or Reverse Genetics [54]. A Forward Genetics approach is based on random, genome-wide mutagenesis to generate a large progeny with aberrant phenotypes and allows the identification of individual genes involved in any given process. Traditional forward genetic screens in Drosophila use X-rays, chemical EMS and transposon mutagenesis [53]. Reverse Genetics instead is a targeted mutagenesis applied to a gene of a known visible phenotype and is normally used to understand the gene’s biological function. The goal is to find new mutations that enhance the preexisting mutant phenotype or that suppress it [55, 56], but these genetic screens are often laborious and time consuming. The best strategy is to start with a strong phenotype. This type of mutagenesis can be accomplished via numerous mechanisms, such as classical loss of function mutant alleles, transposable P-element insertions existing for virtually all gene loci, knock-down using RNA interference strategies, or more recently using the CRISPR/Cas9 techniques (see previous section).

3.4. Most common techniques in Drosophila

A huge step forward on the feasibility of genetic screens was improved by the generation of the UAS/GAL4 system [57] that that allowed the expression of transgenes within specific tissues of interest.

3.4.1. The UAS/GAL4 system and its modifications

This system requires the use of lines that are generated and maintained in separate stocks and targeted gene expression will be visible only in the progeny of the cross. Using the yeast transcription factor GAL4 cloned into a P-element vector, a tissue specific promoter is cloned upstream of the GAL4 gene. In parallel, a line is generated that includes a P-element vector containing the upstream activating sequences (UAS) to which GAL4 protein can bind [58]. This binary expression system is used to drive the expression of a gene of interest in any tissues where the promoter GAL4 is expressed (Figure 6). Because experimental design may demand expression in a more limited time window (i.e. in adult only or if the expression of the gene of interest is detrimental), the UAS/GAL4 system is often accompanied by the use of the yeast GAL80, a gene that encodes for a protein that physically binds to GAL4 and represses its activity [59]. This strategy was improved by the use of the ubiquitously expressed temperature-sensitive allele of GAL80 (GAL80\textsuperscript{ts}), that is active and binds GAL4 at the permissive temperature of 18°C, while at the restrictive temperature of 29°C GAL80 is degraded [60]. Another method to modulate the activity of GAL4 is to use the hormone inducible variant of GAL4, either the GAL-ER, where GAL4 is fused with the domain of the human estrogen receptor and activated by estradiol [61], or the GeneSwitch system [62] where GAL4 is fused with the domain that binds the human progesterone receptor and is activated by RU486. Finally, a more sophisticated system is the Split GAL4 [58], which allows a better control of the timing of the expression. This method is based on the use the DNA binding domain of GAL4 and its transcriptional activation domain fused separately to a promoter or hemi drivers. Only when their expression domain overlaps is the active GAL4 reconstituted and activation occurs [63].

Nowadays, the number of GAL4 lines available is constantly growing. There are UAS lines both for overexpression or RNAi interference targeting almost for all the genes in the fly. UAS- lines
with more applied specific modifications, like the enhancer-trap GFP vectors, include those from the Janelia Farm Fly light project that created more than 7000 driver lines with an intergene overlapping sequence of 3 kb fragment near the gene of interest. These lines have been characterized for their expression pattern in embryos, larvae brain and adult CNS [64] and in the larval imaginal discs [65], available at the BDRC stock center. The use of binary systems is continually evolving to provide even more inter-exchangeable systems. Indeed the recent design of the LexA/lexAop [66] and the Q system [67], both inducible systems that can be used in combination with GAL4/UAS gene expression, allows researchers to perform screens in a tissue using the UAS/GAL4, with the specific patterns of expression determined by the LexA/LexAop or Q system. They can be used simultaneously in the same animal because neither of these systems cross-react to each other.

3.4.2. The FLP/FRT system and Mosaic Analysis with a Repressible Cell Marker

To characterize the role of a gene in a small group of cells and not in the whole compartment, or to analyze the role of a mutation, it is possible to create mosaics that have homozygous mutant cells (clones) in an otherwise heterozygous animal via mitotic recombination. These studies were made possible with the combined used of the UAS/GAL4 system with the Saccharomyces cerevisiae enzyme FLP, a recombinase that recognizes 34 bp recombination target sequences (FRTs) on DNA [68]. FRTs on chromosomes enable mitotic recombination between homologous chromosomes in the presence of the FLP recombinase (FLP/FRT system) [68, 69]. Ubiquitous promoters like actin5C or tubulin1α were cloned separated from GAL4 by a FLP-out cassette containing an inert gene between the two FRTs [70]. When flies carrying the cassette are crossed with flies expressing the FLP recombinase under control of the hsp70 heat shock promoter, a heat shock temporally activates the recombination event in their progeny; FRTs remove the cassette allowing the expression of GAL4 and results in random clone-induction in all tissues of the animal. The timing and duration of the heat shock determines the number of cells in which the recombination event occurs and can be recombined with GAL80ts to restrict GAL4 expression. The FLP/FRT system can be used...
to manipulate gene expression when associated with UAS lines (overexpression or RNAi) and to generate loss-of-function clones in heterozygous mutant tissues [71]. Mosaic Analysis with a Repressible Cell Marker (MARCM) [72] is a technique that allows the expression of a marker or of a gene of interest in mutant clones, and is based on the ability of GAL80 to inhibit GAL4 activity and to produce positively labeled clones. In this case, GAL4 and GAL80 need to be expressed ubiquitously using the tubulin 1α promoter. The tubGal80 transgene is in trans with the mutation and distal to a FRT site. The event of recombination results in two populations of daughter cells, in which one inherits two copies of tubGAL80 and is wild-type, while the other one that loses the tubGAL80 and is homozygous mutant. Loss of GAL80 de-represses GAL4 allowing the expression of a marker or of a gene of interest in the mutant clone.

4. Conclusions

As illustrated in Part I of this chapter, Drosophila melanogaster provides a very useful platform for studying a variety of diseases and conditions. The basic lifecycle and biology of Drosophila combined with a wide array of genetic tools allows researchers to easily and quickly manipulate the function of any gene or genes of interest. In Part II of this chapter, it will be shown how Drosophila is employed as a model to study a variety of pathologies and to uncover potential therapies.
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