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Osteoarthritis of the Temporomandibular Joint: Clinical and Imagenological Diagnosis, Pathogenic Role of the Immuno-Inflammatory Response, and Immunotherapeutic Strategies Based on T Regulatory Lymphocytes
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Abstract

Osteoarthritis is a degenerative disease affecting the TMJ. It is the most common TMJ disorder and shows a higher prevalence in women and older people. TMJ osteoarthritis (TMJ-OA) is characterized by variable degrees of inflammation, destruction of the articular cartilage, and sub-chondral bone resorption. In this context, diverse pro-inflammatory cytokines, chemokines, enzymes, and bone-resorptive associated factors have been considered as possible markers of active TMJ-OA. The molecular balance is determinant not only for initiation and progression, but also for the clinical expression of the disease. Recent advances in the biochemical analysis of synovial fluid from affected patients have provided new insights into the patho-physiology of the TMJ-OA; however, its molecular pathogenesis still remains unclear. Recently, a Th1 and Th17-dominated immune response has been associated with the inflammatory and destructive events characteristic of TMJ-OA and, in particular, the Th17 lymphocyte pathway has a pivotal role in the increased production of RANKL, which is involved in osteoclast activation and subsequent sub-chondral bone resorption. Understanding the TMJ physiology and pathogenesis of the TMJ-OA, together with the key molecular determinants of the TMJ tissue destruction, will enable the development of new chair-side point of care diagnostics and more conservative treatment modalities with minimal complications.
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1. Introduction

The temporomandibular joint (TMJ) is the movable articulation of the bone head. Its structure and morphology share common features with other synovial joints; however, it also presents particularities that make it unique. In fact, deep knowledge of the anatomy and function of the TMJ is a central challenge for clinicians and scientists, since many of the pathological conditions that affect this articulation can be explained based on its morphological and physiological aspects.

2. Temporomandibular joint: anatomical characteristics

The TMJ is a synovial joint composed of two articular surfaces [1–4] (Figure 1). The inferior articular surface is given by the mandibular articular surface, which is part of the mandibular head. Structurally, the mandibular head is formed by two surfaces, anterior and posterior, both separated by a ridge that follows the same axis of the mandibular head [5–7]. The anterior portion of the mandibular head is relatively convex in contrast with the posterior surface which is characterized for being flat and vertical [7, 8] (Figure 2). On the other hand, the superior articular surface of the TMJ is given by the horizontal portion of the squama of the temporal bone, which is organized forming two highly relevant structures: the mandibular fossa and the articular eminence of the temporal, also called temporal condyle [1, 2, 7–11]. The mandibular fossa corresponds to a concave surface with its greater axis in the transverse diameter [2, 7, 8, 12] and the temporal condyle corresponds to a convex bony elevation with its major dimension at the transverse axis, formed by an anterior and posterior surfaces without a clear boundary between the two of them [8, 9, 11]. Additionally, in the TMJ, it is possible
to observe an articular disc, which allows fitting the temporal condyle and the mandibular head [1, 5]. It is avascular and not innervated at its center, which coincides with the area of greatest work [2, 6–8, 13]. Like the mandibular fossa and head, its greater dimension is at the transverse axis and adapts closely to the adjacent surfaces [2, 6–8].

3. Temporomandibular joint: physiological characteristics

Mandibular movements are limited by a number of structures, which actively or passively avoid excessive mandibular displacement and consequently limit the movements within the joint. The main protective and customizing element of the joint complex relates to the joint capsule. This structure consists of thick organized bundles of collagen fibers that are upholstered with several proprioceptors that report changes in mandibular dynamics, thereby limiting the mandibular border movements [6]. Anteriorly, the capsule is inserted in the articular eminence [7, 8]. Laterally, the capsule strongly adheres to the longitudinal root of the zygoma and is continued backwards in tympanosquamous fissure [7, 8, 14]. The medial insertion is less extensive, inserted mainly in the sphenoid spine. The inferior insertion of the capsule extends along the condyle neck as a ring that is down on the backside of condylar process neck [7, 8, 14].

Figure 2. Anatomical characteristics of the temporomandibular joint. (A) Lateral, (B) frontal, and (C) superior view of the mandibular head. This is formed by two poles, the lateral pole (LP) and the medial pole (MP), the latter being larger. In a side view, it is possible to observe the morphology of the anterior surface (AS) (convex) and posterior surface (PS) (flat) of the mandibular head. In the lower portion of the mandibular head at its point of junction with the condylar neck (CN), the pterygoid fossa can be seen (PF), where the lateral pterygoid muscle is inserted.
There is a set of ligaments that meet a similar role to the capsule, functionally and structurally reinforcing the TMJ [6–8]. The main reinforcement ligament capsule corresponds to temporomandibular ligament which is located lateral to it. From this point of insertion the temporomandibular ligament lateral band descends obliquely and posteriorly, and finally inserts onto the posterior surface of condylar neck [7]. The medial band is horizontal, presenting a similar cranial origin to the lateral band, and is inserted into the lateral side of the mandibular head [11]. Portions of the temporomandibular ligament execute a different role within the mandibular dynamics [6].

Additionally, there are a number of ligaments in the TMJ that are not structural or for its reinforcement, however limit the mandibular dynamics and hence the joint function. The stylo-mandibular, sphenomandibular, pterygomandibular and pterygospinous ligaments meet this role [7, 14]. The stylomandibular ligament is a segment of the muscular structures and it is originated in the styloid process forming the styloid bouquet [7]. Since its origin, the stylomandibular ligament descends obliquely to finally insert on the posterior and inferior border of the ramus. In the case of the sphenomandibular ligament, this appears as a thickening of the interpterygoid fascia, which inserts cranially into the sphenoid spine and in the mandibular lingula [7, 14, 15]. Its thickness and extent varies between the individuals and in its upper portion penetrates into the middle ear throughout the petrotympanic fissure being continued as the anterior ligament of the malleus [10, 16–18]. The pterigomandibular ligament originates from the pterygoid hamulus of the medial lamina of the pterygoid process of the sphenoid bone and from that point is inserted into the lateral lip of the mandibular retromolar trigone [7]. It is inserted in the buccinator muscles anteriorly and the superior constrictor muscle of the pharynx posteriorly. Finally, the pterygoespinous ligament, like the sphenomandibular, corresponds to a thickening of the interpterygoid fascia. It is reported that this ligament may undergo calcifications, which could produce alterations in the transmission of the mandibular nerve, because of its intimate relationship with the mandibular foramen determining nerve compression [19].

4. TMJ-OA: clinical classification and diagnosis

Temporomandibular disorders (TMDs) are the most widely accepted term to designate the musculoskeletal alterations of the TMJs. All TMDs share similar signs and symptoms, traditionally described as a triad of pain (TMJs, muscles, and tooth pain), interferences during mandibular movement (frequently associated with joint noises), and/or movement range limitation [20]. Bell developed the first classification of TMDs in 1986, and it was based on an orthopedic-mechanical model [21]. This classification was composed of four major categories (masticatory pain, restriction of mandibular movements, joint interference during mandibular movements, and acute malocclusion) and identified five muscular processes (myositis, muscle spasm, myofascial pain, late-onset muscle irritation, and protective co-contraction or protective stiffness). However, it was not until 1990 that the American Academy of Craniomandibular Disorders (AACD), along with the International Headache Society (IHS), developed the first taxonomic system of classification [22]. The main contributions were the distinction of two major categories (joint disorders and muscle disorders) and the possibility of establishing multiple diagnoses.
In 1992, a new taxonomic classification system was developed and termed “The Research Diagnostic Criteria for Temporomandibular Disorders (RDC/TMD)” [23]. This system was based on the biopsychosocial model of pain, and included the Axis I (physical assessment using reliable and well-operationized diagnostic criteria), and Axis II (assessment of psychosocial status and pain-related disability) [23]. The main purpose of this classification system was to establish standardized criteria for research, and to provide simultaneously a physical diagnosis in order to identify other patients’ characteristics that could modify the expression and eventually the management of their TMD [22].

Since 2014, the new DC/TMD Axis I and Axis II provide an evidence-based assessment protocol also based on the biopsychosocial model (Figure 3) that can be directly applied in the clinical and research setting [24]. In this consensus, the information required for fulfilling the Axis I diagnostic criteria is obtained from a specified examination protocol in conjunction with the core self-report instruments that assess pain symptoms involving the jaw, jaw noise and locking, and headache. Axis II core assessment instruments assess pain disability, pain intensity, jaw functioning, parafunctional behaviors, psychosocial distress, and widespread pain. All of these incorporations and changes in the core patient assessment instrument set serve as a broad foundation for patient assessment and further research [24].

The DC/TMD also includes changes to original RDC/TMD TMJ diagnoses. An important consideration was the low sensitivity for the diagnostic algorithms for disc displacement (DD) and degenerative joint disease (DJD) (osteoarthritis and osteoarthrosis) in RDC/TMD that can provide only provisional diagnoses [24]. This is due to the fact that some DD with reduction do not have clinically detectable noise, and the disorder will not be diagnosed using the clinical criteria.

![Figure 3. Biopsychosocial model of disease applied to temporomandibular disorders. Axis I refers to the severity of the patient’s biological factors, including physical disorders. Axis II refers to the severity of psychosocial and behavioral factors, including interference in functioning because of pain. Two possible types of patients are depicted, each situated according to disease severity on the respective axes: Patient A has a mechanical temporomandibular joint (TMJ) disorder without secondary factors; and patient B has a pain diagnosis and clinically significant disruption in overall function and mood.](https://dx.doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.72496)
DD with reduction is highly prevalent, and recent data suggest that internal derangement, such as DD with reduction, is likely to progress to osteoarthritis [20, 26, 27]. However, based on the evidence, DD with reduction is probably without clinical consequences unless pain occurs with noises or functional limitations, such as limited opening or interference in mastication. Nonetheless, the DC/TMD suggests that imaging using MRI is required for a definitive diagnosis of TMJ DD [24].

The differential diagnosis with the other TTM is very important in the clinical assessment. The DC/TMD taxonomic classification for TMDs is divided in four major groups: temporomandibular joint disorders, masticatory muscle disorders, headache, and associated structures. Of these, the temporomandibular joint disorders main group includes two subtypes of joint pain, three subtypes of joint disorders, and seven different subtypes of joint disease (Table 1). The clinical procedures to evaluate DD with reduction, DD without reduction without limited opening, and DJD lead to clinical diagnoses based on procedures that exhibit low sensitivity but well to excellent specificity. Thus, for treatment decision in selective cases, confirmation of presumptive diagnostic requires imaging. In contrast, clinical algorithm for assessing DD without reduction with limited opening has good sensitivity and specificity (80 and 97%, respectively) [28], being enough with the clinical evaluation for the initial working diagnosis [24].

DC/TMD made some changes to the diagnostic procedures of RDC/TMD for DD and DJD. TMJ noise by history is one of the recommended criteria for the intra-articular disorders of DD with reduction and DJD. The patient’s report of any joint noise (click or crepitus) during the 30 days prior to examination should be met by the history criterion or the patient’s detection of any joint noise with jaw movements during the clinical examination. Furthermore, DD with reduction diagnosis requires examiner detection of clicking, popping, or snapping noises during examination. In DJD diagnosis requires examiner detection of crepitus (e.g., crunching, grinding, or grating noises) during the examination, and distinction between fines versus coarse crepitus is not necessary. For DD without reduction, the subtype depends on an assisted opening measurement (including the amount of vertical incisal overlap): if is <40 mm it is “with limited opening” subtype, and if is ≥40 mm it is “without limited opening” subtype. In this category, joint noise does not affect the diagnosis of DD without reduction as long as the required criteria for DD without reduction are met (Table 2) [24].

The DJD includes osteoarthritis and osteoarthrosis (Table 1). While the DD with reduction was described as “An intracapsular biomechanical disorder involving the condyle-disc complex. In the closed mouth position, the disc is in an anterior position relative to the condylar head and the disc reduces upon opening of the mouth. Medial and lateral displacement of the disc may also be present. Clicking, popping, or snapping noises may occur with disc reduction. A history of prior locking in the closed position coupled with interference in mastication precludes this diagnosis,” the description given by the DC/TMD to DJD is “A degenerative disorder involving the joint characterized by deterioration of articular tissue with concomitant osseous changes in the condyle and/or articular eminence” [24]. The diagnostic criteria for these conditions demand a meticulous anamnesis and clinical examination. The DJD diagnosis is considered positive when either the patient reports any TMJ noise in the last 30 days (during mastication or any jaw movement) or the clinician detects any noise during mandibular movements. In addition, the DJD diagnosis is associated with clinical detection of TMJ crepitus during palpation, when patient is doing opening, closing, lateral, or protrusive mandibular movements. These important differences along exploration
I. Temporomandibular joint disorders

a. Joint Pain
   i. Arthralgia
   ii. Arthritis

b. Joint Disorders
   i. Disc disorders
      1. Disc displacement with reduction
      2. Disc displacement with reduction with intermittent locking
      3. Disc displacement without reduction with limited opening
      4. Disc displacement without reduction without limited opening
   ii. Hypomobility disorders other than disc disorders
      1. Adhesions/adherence
      2. Ankylosis
         a. Fibrous
         b. Osseous
   iii. Hypermobility disorders
      1. Dislocations
         a. Subluxation
         b. Luxation

c. Joint diseases
   i. Degenerative joint disease
      1. Osteoarthrosis
      2. Osteoarthritis
   ii. Systemic arthritides
   iii. Condylysis/idiopathic condylar resorption
   iv. Osteochondritis dissecans
   v. Ostronecrosis
   vi. Neoplasm
   vii. Synovial chondromatosis

d. Fractures

e. Congenital/developmental disorders
   i. Aplasia
   ii. Hypoplasia
   iii. Hyperplasia

Table 1. DC/TMD taxonomic classification for temporomandibular disorders (only TMJ disorders).
were not reported in the RDC/TMD previous consensus, which only included coarse crepitus
detected by the examiner’s palpation. Nevertheless, the sensitivity and specificity of these cri-
teria are 55 and 61%, respectively, being the imaging the reference standard for this diagnosis.

In particular, the diagnosis confirmation suggest by DC/TMD is with TMJ CT.

In summary, the DC/TMD assessment protocol has both screening and confirmatory tests
for the most common Axis I physical diagnoses and for Axis II contributing factors (Table 3).

However, an important remark is the poor diversity of diagnostic tools available until now.
The DC/TMD raise a useful systematic imagenological and clinical diagnostic tool, but with no
usefulness in the study of disease progression and/or prediction. Several studies suggest some
biological markers of degenerative joint disease, such as certain cytokines or proinflammatory
mediators [29–42], and could be useful in the elaboration of complementary tools for diagno-
sytic purposes with potential in the study of disease prediction/progression. Thereby, the devel-
opment of diagnostic/prognostic devices based on these molecular markers is an interesting
research field that could significantly improve the precision of osteoarthritis diagnosis.

### History

a. In last 30 days, any noise present” applicable to disc displacement with reduction with and without intermittent
locking, and degenerative joint disease.

b. In last 30 days, jaw locks with limited mouth opening and then unlocks” applicable to disc displacement with
reduction with intermittent locking.

c. “Ever has jaw lock or catch so that it would not open all the way” and “interfered with eating” applicable to disc
displacement without reduction with and without limited opening.

d. In last 30 days, when you opened your mouth wide, jaw locked or caught so that it would not close all the way”
applicable to subluxation.

### Examination

i. Disc displacement with reduction.

1. Report by patient of any joint noise (click or crepitus).

2. Click detection (τ of opening/closing cycles required for click) (1 of 3).

3. Click detection during lateral and protrusive movements.

ii. Disc displacement with reduction with intermittent locking.

iii. Disc displacement without reduction with limited opening.

1. Assisted opening* < 40 mm.

iv. Disc displacement without reduction without limited opening.

1. Assisted opening* ≥ 40 mm.

v. Degenerative joint disease.

1. Report by patient of any joint noise (click or crepitus).

2. Crepitus (either fine or coarse) with palpation.

*Measurement of opening includes interincisal opening plus vertical incisal overlap.
5. TMJ-OA: imagenological characteristics

Computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance (MRI) are widely useful tools for imaging the TMJ region of TMD patients, in particular for assessing degenerative bony changes, disc position and configuration, inflammatory pathological changes in the posterior disc attachment, the presence of effusion in joint spaces, and bone marrow edematous involvement [43]. Cone beam computed tomography (CBCT) allows the visualization of the TMJ in all three planes with high resolution, minimal distortion, and great precision for identifying condylar cortical changes [44]. The TMJ imaging by CBCT also allows the evaluation of the integrity of the bony structures when a degenerative disease is suspected, and to confirm the extent and progression of any bony changes [45].

The degenerative changes of bone in DJD are more frequent in the mandibular condyle than in the mandibular fossa or the articular eminence, and the characteristic pathological bony changes are erosion, osteophytes, and deformity; and adaptive bony changes are marginal proliferation, flattening, concavity, sclerosis, and sub-chondral cyst [46–49]. All of these anomalies are considered, for diagnostic purposes, as signs of osteoarthritis and frequently are observed in joints with long-standing DD without reduction [47].

Some imaging technologies such as CT [49–51], CBCT [46, 52, 53], and MR [47, 54–56] have been widely used for diagnosing DJD such as TMJ osteoarthritis. However, is CBCT, a fairly new imaging technology, that has the possibility to create images of high diagnostic quality using lower radiation doses than CT [53]. CBCT imaging has shown to be very helpful for depicting abnormal bony changes such as the cortical margin of the surface and sub-chondral cancellous trabecular structure present in the mandibular condyle, where the conventional radiography has shown difficulties to analyze successfully [30]. Conventional tomography also has difficulties in detailed assessment of changes in the surface morphology of the condyle and fossa, due to the thickness of the slices (1.0–3.0 mm) [57].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Screening test</th>
<th>Axis I: Physical diagnosis</th>
<th>Axis II: Psychosocial status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Application</td>
<td>Screening test</td>
<td>Distress and pain disability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMD pain</td>
<td>TMD pain</td>
<td>Clinical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>screener</td>
<td>DC/TMD for disc</td>
<td>Clinical or research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>displacements,</td>
<td>PHQ-4 and GCPS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>degenerative joint disease,</td>
<td>PHQ-9, GAD-7,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>and subluxation</td>
<td>PHQ-15, and GCPS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confirmatory test</td>
<td>Imaging: MRI for disc</td>
<td>Consultation with mental</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>displacements, CT</td>
<td>health provider</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>for degenerative joint</td>
<td>Structured psychiatric or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>disease, and panoramic</td>
<td>behavioral medicine interview</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>radiographs, MRI, or CT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>for subluxation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Clinical and research applications of selected DC/TMD Axis I and Axis II tests.
Another interesting imaging technique for the diagnosis of TMJ osteoarthritis evaluated in the evidence is the bone scintigraphy [58–61]. This technique shows a correlation with signs and symptoms with very good sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy (100, 90.91, and 96.97%, respectively) [59]. Interestingly, some radiographic changes seen by follow-up CBCT, MRI, and scintigraphy suggested that osteonecrosis may be the initial phase of an osteoarthritic process [30]. Thus, knowing all the potential imaging findings of every imaging modality is very important to make right imaging diagnostics (Table 4).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Imaging modality</th>
<th>Imaging findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Medical CT and cone beam CT</td>
<td>Pathological bony changes such as erosion, osteophyte and deformity Osteochondritis dissecans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Static MR imaging</td>
<td>Disc positional abnormalities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(1) DD without reduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(2) DD with reduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(3) Sideways disc displacement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Joint effusion presence of marked effusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A higher T2 signal of the posterior disc attachment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bone marrow abnormalities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(1) Bone marrow edema</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(2) Bone marrow osteonecrosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tumor involvement and inflammatory diseases into the TMJ region and the surrounding structures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Autoimmune processes such as rheumatoid arthritis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A closer proximity between the TMJ disc and the mandibular nerve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dynamic MR imaging with contrast material</td>
<td>Prominent contrast enhancement of the posterior disc attachment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Contrast enhancement of effusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnitization transfer contrast imaging</td>
<td>Detection for the edematous and ischemic changes in the muscles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetic resonance spectroscopy</td>
<td>Ascending of insular glutamine levels by 1H MRS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Functional MR imaging</td>
<td>The regions and the network of brain activation associated with TMD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ultrasonography</td>
<td>Muscular edema by low-level contraction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone scintigraphy</td>
<td>Detection for early changes on the osseous reaction of OA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TMJ, temporomandibular joint; MM, masticatory muscle; DD, disc displacement; TMD, temporomandibular disorders; OA, osteoarthritis.

Table 4. A rating of the usefulness of each imaging modality related to TMJ pain, MM pain and fatigue.
When the clinical diagnosis of DD with reduction or with reduction with intermittent locking needs imaging confirmation, the DC/TMD suggests positive detection of the following: “(1) in the maximum intercuspal position, the posterior band of the disc is located anterior to the 11:30 position and the intermediate zone of the disc is anterior to the condylar head; and (2) on full opening, the intermediate zone of the disc is located between the condylar head and the articular eminence” [24]. Otherwise, the imaging confirmation criteria by TMJ MRI of DD without reduction with/without limited opening clinical diagnosis are: “(1) in the maximum intercuspal position, the posterior band of the disc is located anterior to the 11:30 position and the intermediate zone of the disc is anterior to the condylar head; and (2) on full opening, the intermediate zone of the disc is located anterior to the condylar head (Note: Maximum assisted opening of < 40 or ≥ 40 mm is determined clinically)” [24].

Figure 4. Imagenological characteristics of the temporomandibular joint affected with osteoarthritis. (A) Sagittal CBCT images of a TMJ of a patient with DC/TMD diagnosis of osteoarthritis but without bony osteoarthritic changes (erosions and osteophytes). (B) Sagittal CBCT images of a TMJ of a patient with a DC/TMD diagnosis of osteoarthritis and with bony osteoarthritic changes (erosions and osteophyte). CBCT: Cone beam computed tomography; TMJ: temporomandibular joint, DC/TMD: diagnostic criteria for temporomandibular joint. White arrow: osteophyte; black arrow: flattening; dot pattern arrow: erosion; asterisk: sclerosis.
The DC/TMD criteria consider a positive diagnostic of DJD when the TMJ-CBCT is positive for at least one of the following: sub-chondral cyst(s), erosion(s), generalized sclerosis, or osteophyte(s). An important difference between RDC/TMD and DC/TMD is in flattening and/or cortical sclerosis, because while the first consider as positive findings, the second consider indeterminate findings and possible sign of normal variation, aging, remodeling, or a precursor to frank DJD [24].

The great sensitivity and specificity of TMJ-CBCT in the DJD diagnoses compared with the clinical assessment was well demonstrated in the work of Bakke et al., that shows 21 TMJ-CBCTs positive for osteoarthrosis while only two were clinically positive for the disease [62]. The high frequencies of bony changes in the CBCT images of pain-free subjects in this study were in accordance with the findings of Krisjane et al. indicating that radiographic signs of osteoarthritis are a poor indicator of pain [63]. Furthermore, several studies have demonstrated that there is a poor correlation between condylar bony changes including pathological changes, adaptive changes and/or remodeling and pain symptoms in TMJ osteoarthritis [55, 56, 64–66]. These results support the idea that many times the bony changes are not associated with the clinical diagnoses (Figure 4), and that good diagnoses comprehend history of the patient, and clinical/imaging diagnostic, although, new assessment tools are necessary for accuracy of the diagnoses.

Figure 5. Diagnostic criteria for temporomandibular disorders (DC/TMD): diagnostic decision tree. Schematic diagnostic decision tree made to summarize the algorithm of diagnostic for intra-articular disorders (disc displacement with reduction, disc displacement with reduction with intermittent locking, disc displacement without reduction with limited opening, or disc displacement without reduction without limited opening), or degenerative joint disease (osteoarthritis or osteoarthrosis), including history, clinical examination, and imaging.
Finally, Figure 5 shows the decision tree made in the DC/TMD consensus summarizes the algorithm made for the diagnosis of degenerative joint disease and intra-articular joint disorders with history, clinical, and imagenological features.

6. Role of the immuno-inflammatory response in the pathogenesis of the TMJ-OA

TMJ-OA is a disease having a great deal of variation in progression, symptoms, epidemiology, pathophysiology, and presentation. The rate of progression from a healthy joint to a severe TMJ-OA can vary from weeks to decades and TMJ-OA affects all of the tissues of the joint, including the articular cartilage, synovium, sub-chondral bone, capsule, ligaments, peri-articular muscles, and the sensory nerves innervating the tissues.

Many factors have been proposed as responsible for the TMJ-OA development, such as genetic factors, over-loading, unilateral chewing, bruxism, and internal derangement; however, the molecular basis of the TMJ-OA aetio-pathogenesis remains unclear [67–70].

During TMJ-OA, a complex inflammatory response is developed, involving the synthesis of different cytokines by resident cells (e.g., synovial fibroblast, chondrocytes, and macrophages) and inflammatory cells that infiltrate the joint tissues [71, 72]. This inflammatory response could be triggered as result of the tissue breakdown and the consequent release of damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs), such as low molecular weight hyaluronan (LMW-HA), high-mobility group protein 1 (HMGB1), and S100 proteins [73, 74], activating resident inflammatory cells, including dendritic cells and macrophages [75]. At initial stages of the disease, functional overload induces oxidative stress that initiates cartilage disruption [76, 77] and activation of MMPs and aggrecanases, promoting the secretion of DAMPs and the activation of the immune response [75]. During the disease progression, there is a local imbalance between the expression of specific cytokines, their receptors, and regulatory soluble receptors, which may be critical in the biological activity of the cytokine network [35]. Under these conditions, both fibroblast and synovial cells are activated to express MMPs and bone-associated cytokines that control the formation/destroy of articular cartilage and bone, determining the clinical outcome of the OA-TMJ (Figures 6 and 7). In fact, higher levels of interleukin (IL)-1β, IL-6, IL-17, interferon (IFN)-γ, tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α, prostaglandin E2 (PGE2), matrix metalloproteinase (MMP)-2, MMP-9, aggrecanase-1, superoxide dismutase, substance P, and receptor-activator of nuclear factor-κB ligand (RANKL) have been detected in synovial fluid from TMJ-OA patients as compared with disc displacement with reduction (DDWR), disc displacement without reduction (DDWOR), or healthy subjects Table 5 [35, 40, 42, 68, 69, 78–86].

Certain cytokines such as IL-1β, IL-6, and TNF-α has been associated with signs and symptoms of TMJ-OA, in particular, synovitis and arthralgia [39]. In addition, TNF-α and IL-6 have been described as markers of pain and successful clinical outcomes in TMDs [87, 88]. However, a study assessing the relation between the scores from a visual analog scale of pain and the levels of IL-1β, IL-6, and TNF-α reported no positive correlation [35]. Differences among different studies results could be due to variability in the selection criteria of subjects, sampling techniques, and/or analysis methods.
IL-17 plays a key role in the pathogenesis of rheumatoid arthritis by inducing the synoviocyte-dependent IL-6 secretion [89]. In addition, TNF-α and IFN-γ augment the IL-17 activity and IL-17 activity has been associated with synovitis, chondral degradation, and inhibition of chondrocyte proliferation [89]. The presence of IL-17 in the TMJ synovial
fluid could be an important pathophysiological biomarker of TMJ-OA [40]. In fact, bone resorption associated with an increased osteoclast activity is a central phenomenon in the pathophysiology of autoimmune and inflammatory arthritis, and it is also related to IL-17 presence, mainly through T lymphocyte-associated direct and indirect regulation [90, 91]. RANKL is the main molecule involved in the direct regulation of osteoclast activity, through the direct activation of osteoclast precursors [92]. Conversely, the indirect regulation depends on the secretion of IL-17, IL-1β, and TNF-α by synoviocytes, cytokines that in
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cytokine studied</th>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Study groups</th>
<th>Measuring technique</th>
<th>Outcomes of study</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IL-1β</td>
<td>Kaneyama et al. [33]</td>
<td>Group 1: DID with clicking. (n = 8)</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>Groups 1, 2 and 3 &gt; Group 4.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Group 2: DID with locking. (n = 52)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Group 3: OA. (n = 57)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Group 4: Control. (n = 7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kaneyama et al. [32]</td>
<td>Group 1: DID (n = 24)</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>No differences among the groups.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Group 2: OA (n = 26)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Group 3: Control (n = 5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kaneyama et al. [35]</td>
<td>Group 1: Control (n = 5)</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>Groups 2, and 3 &gt; Group 1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Group 2: DID (n = 41)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Group 3: OA (n = 14)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kubota et al. [36]</td>
<td>Group 1: DID and OA (n = 22)</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>Groups 1 &gt; Group 2.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Group 2: Control (n = 12)</td>
<td></td>
<td>TMJs with OA &gt; TMJs with DID.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Group 3: OA of Knee (n = 10)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Takahashi et al. [39]</td>
<td>Group 1: DID with clicking (n = 8)</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>IL-1β presented the higher incidence.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Group 2: DID with locking (n = 25)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Strong correlation between the presence of IL-1β and TMJ pain in groups 1, 2, and 3.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Group 3: OA (n = 18)</td>
<td></td>
<td>No cytokines were detected in Group 4.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Group 4: Control (n = 6)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vernal et al. [40]</td>
<td>Group 1: OA (n = 12)</td>
<td>RT-qPCR</td>
<td>Group 1 &gt; Group 2.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Group 2: Control (n = 6)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNF-α</td>
<td>Kaneyama et al. [33]</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>Groups 1, 2 and 3 &gt; Group 4.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kaneyama et al. [32]</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>No differences among the groups.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kaneyama et al. [35]</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>Groups 2, and 3 &gt; Group 1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Takahashi et al. [39]</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>TNF-α presented the lower incidence.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No cytokines were detected in Group 4.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vernal et al. [40]</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>RT-qPCR</td>
<td>Group 1 &gt; Group 2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-6</td>
<td>Kaneyama et al. [33]</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>Groups 1, 2 and 3 &gt; Group 4.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kaneyama et al. [34]</td>
<td>Group 1: Control (n = 7)</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>In groups 2 and 3 was significantly higher in joints with osseous changes in the condyle.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Group 2: DID (n = 39)</td>
<td></td>
<td>No cytokines detected in group 1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Group 3: OA (n = 22)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
turn induce the RANKL expression on synovial fibroblast and osteoblasts [92]. Recently, it has been reported that IL-17, rather than IL-12 or IFN-γ, is critical for the onset of autoimmune arthritis [91, 92]. Thereby, the role of IL-17 in bone metabolism-associated diseases has been extensively defined, and this role is mainly associated with the induction of proinflammatory cytokines, chemokines, and matrix metalloproteinases that leads pathological bone and/or cartilage damage [89, 93, 94].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cytokine studied</th>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Study groups</th>
<th>Measuring technique</th>
<th>Outcomes of study</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>IL-17</strong></td>
<td>Kubota et al. [36]</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>Groups 1 &gt; Group 2. TMJs with OA &gt; TMJs with DID.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Takahashi et al. [39]</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>Group 1, 2 and 3 presented at least 1 of the cytokines in 64.5% of the cases.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vernal et al. [40]</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>RT-qPCR</td>
<td>Group 1 &gt; Group 2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kaneyama et al. [34]</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>Detection rate of IL-17 was low, and there was no association between the concentration of IL-17 and the presence or absence of osseous changes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IL-8</strong></td>
<td>Vernal et al. [40]</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>RT-qPCR</td>
<td>Group 1 &gt; Group 2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kaneyama et al. [33]</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>Groups 1, 2 and 3 &gt; Group 4.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Takahashi et al. [39]</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>Group 1, 2 and 3 presented at least 1 of the cytokines in 64.5% of the cases.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TGF-β1</strong></td>
<td>Fang et al. [29]</td>
<td>Group 1: DID (n = 12)</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>Group 2 &gt; Group 1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Group 2: OA (n = 15)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Group 3: Control (n = 4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IL-11</strong></td>
<td>Kaneyama et al. [34]</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>In groups 2 and 3 was significantly higher in joints with osseous changes in the condyle.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RANKL</strong></td>
<td>Wakita et al. [42]</td>
<td>Group 1: DID with reduction (n = 25)</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>No significance difference in RANKL concentration between group 4 compared to the rest of groups.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Group 2: DID without reduction (n = 39)</td>
<td></td>
<td>RANKL/OPG ratio in group 3 was increased.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Group 3: OA (n = 53)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Group 4: Control (n = 13)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IL-10</strong></td>
<td>Fang et al. [29]</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>Undetectable in all the groups.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vernal et al. [40]</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>RT-qPCR</td>
<td>Group 2 &gt; Group 1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OPG</strong></td>
<td>Kaneyama et al. [32]</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>Group 3 &gt; Group 2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wakita et al. [42]</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>Group 4 &gt; Groups 1, 2, and 3. RANKL/OPG ratio in group 3 was increased.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Molecular mediators proposed as associated with signs and symptoms of TMJ disorders.
Our recent data revealed that higher levels of IL-1β, IL-17, and IL-22, associated with the Th1, Th17, and Th22-pattern of immuno-inflammatory response, were detected in TMJ-OA as compared with DDWR. Increased cytokine levels significantly correlated with an enhancement of RANKL expression and the detection of imagenological signs of articular bone degeneration [95]. IL-22 plays a proinflammatory role through the synergistic activity with IL-1β and TNF-α [96–98] and IL-22 can indirectly induce osteoclastogenesis and bone resorption by the induction of Th17 lymphocyte activity and IL-17 production [99]. In fact, previous reports have detected over-expressed levels of IL-22 in rheumatoid arthritis synovial fibroblasts, demonstrating a pathogenic role of IL-22 in the rheumatic joint inflammation and destruction through the modulation of the IL-1β and IL-17R expression [100, 101]. In general terms, we believe that the Th1/Th17/Th22 immuno-inflammatory cell pathways, associated with the production of IL-1β, IL-17, and IL-22, play a central role in the pathogenesis of the TMJ-OA. Similarly, the role of the Th2/Th9/T regulatory cell pathways, responsible for the production of IL-4, IL-9, and TGF-β1, respectively, could be associated with TMJ-OA disease healing.

7. Therapeutic potential of T regulatory lymphocytes in TMJ-OA

At the beginning of 1980s, the existence of a suppressor T cell population was proposed, suggesting that these T cells restrict the induction or expression of effector T cells and thereby prevent and control exaggerated immune response and autoimmune disease development [102]. The modern view of suppressor cells began with the observation that the transfer of T cells depleted of the IL-2Rα⁺ (CD25⁺) cell subpopulation induced multiorgan autoimmunity in recipient mice [103]. Nowadays, suppressor T cells have been renamed and are currently known as T regulatory cells (Tregs). These cells have been isolated from mice and humans and their regulatory functions have been demonstrated not only in vitro but also in vivo. It has also been established that several types of cells carry out regulatory activities. These include IL-10-secreting CD4⁺ T regulatory-1 (Tr1) cells, TGF-β1-secreting CD4⁺ Th3 cells, NKT cells, CD8⁺CD28 Foxp3⁺ cells, γ/δ TCR cells, and CD4⁺CD25⁺Foxp3⁺ T cells, the last one widely accepted as “professional Tregs” or naturally occurring Tregs [104, 105].

Natural Tregs are CD4⁺ T cells that develop and mature in the thymus carry out their regulatory function during normal surveillance of self-antigens [106]. On normal individuals, they represent 5–10% of the peripheral CD4⁺ T cell population and are characterized by the constitutive expression of high levels of CD25 and low levels of CD45RB [107]. In turn, adaptive Tregs represent CD4⁺ T cells that acquire their regulatory activity during activation [106]. Unlike natural Tregs, which came out from the thymus as CD4⁺CD25⁺ cells, adaptive Tregs originate from peripheral naïve T cells [106]. They are derived from CD4⁺CD25⁻ T cells and show variable expression of CD25 during their mature phenotype, depending on the disease and the site of regulatory activity [108] Induced Tregs require TCR stimulation for induction of regulatory functions and have demonstrated limited proliferation in vitro [109].
Although induced Tregs and effector Th17 cells play different roles during the immunity, reciprocal developmental pathways have been demonstrated for their generation. Naïve T cells exposed to TGF-β1 up-regulate Foxp3 and become induced Tregs; however, when cultured with TGF-β1 and IL-6, naïve T cells generate IL-17 secreting Th17 cells with pathologic activities [110, 111]. Thus, when the immune response is not activated, TGF-β1 favors the generation of induced Tregs, which suppress inflammation; however, when the inflammatory process is established, IL-6 is synthesized during the innate immune response, inhibiting the generation of Tregs and inducing the differentiation of proinflammatory Th17 cells in presence of TGF-β1 [112]. Thus, induced Tregs and Th17 lymphocytes may arise from the same precursor cell and selective differentiation would depend on the local cytokine milieu, which would determine the predominance of either Tregs with suppressor activity or Th17 cells with pathologic activities, determining the outcome of the disease [112].

8. Conclusion

The therapeutic potential of Tregs has created a lot of expectations and a large number of publications have assayed their properties either in vitro or in experimental models. Tregs suppress in vitro proliferation and cytokine production from co-cultured effector T cells [113]. Tregs suppressed autoimmune diabetes and altered the course of lupus in a TGF-β1-dependent manner [114]. Additionally, induced Tregs have been successfully used to prevent organ graft rejection [115]. A model of Treg therapy aimed to induce tolerance and restoration of function might show promising results during treatment of TMJ-OA, but additional research is necessary for a better understanding of Treg physiology and to solve several yet unanswered aspects associated to their therapeutic potential in humans.
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