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Abstract
Quantum dots (QDs), because of their exciting optical properties, have been explored as alternative fluorescent sensors to conventional organic fluorophores which are routinely employed for the detection of various analytes via fluorometry. QD probes can detect toxic metal ions, anions, organic molecules with good selectivity and sensitivity. This chapter investigates the synthesis of Mn-doped ZnSe QDs using nucleation-doping strategy. The as-synthesized QDs were characterized by various analytical tools such as ultraviolet-visible (UV-vis) absorption, photoluminescence (PL) spectroscopy, X-ray diffractometry (XRD) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM). It was found that Mn doping of QDs significantly increases the PL intensity. The PL of the resulting QDs was examined in the presence of different metal ions to check its selective response. Among the various metal ions, Hg$^{2+}$ exhibits a drastic quenching of the QD’s emission intensity. A Stern-Volmer plot of [Hg$^{2+}$] sensing using the as-synthesized QDs showed linearity in the range of 0–30 × 10$^{-6}$ ML$^{-1}$ with the regression coefficient $R^2 = 0.99$. The detection limit was found to be 6.63 × 10$^{-7}$ ML$^{-1}$. Thus, the present Mn-doped ZnSe QDs represent a simple, non-toxic fluorescent probe for the qualitative and quantitative detection of mercury ions in aqueous samples.
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1. Introduction
Continuous and high-concentration exposure of heavy metals can cause various physiological and biochemical problems to the environment and human being. Thus, the detection of these harmful metal ions has become very important from industrial, environmental and biological point of view. This is a challenging subject for analytical chemists due to the
sensitivity, detection limits and acceptable toxicity levels set by global regulation bodies [1, 2]. In addition, similar chemistry of heavy metals is fastidious with respect to selectivity of the determination method. A variety of standardized analytical methods for the detection of metal ions are available. However, only some of them have found application in routine analysis. Recommended procedures for the detection of heavy metals in water samples include photometric methods, flame or graphite furnace atomic absorption spectroscopy (AAS), inductively coupled plasma emission or mass spectrometry (ICP-ES, ICP-MS), total reflection X-ray fluorimetry (TXRF) and anodic-stripping voltammetry (ASV) [3–5]. These methods offer good limits of detection and wide linear ranges, but they are time consuming, require high cost analytical instruments trained operating personals and high maintenance which is a financial burden to analytical laboratories. Furthermore, the required sample pretreatment and preparation time introduce systematic errors in the analysis. However, the development of fast, economical and portable devices for metal ion detection has grown tremendously over the past 10 years. Particularly, chemo-sensors, which offer the ability for both on-line and field monitoring, has attracted many industries in the detection of metal ions in water [6]. This has allowed for quick and continuous supervision monitoring of drinking or ground water and lentic or lotic watercourses. An ideal sensor should provide good sensitivity, high selectivity towards the target analyte, mathematical relationship of signal output to the amount of analyte, fast response time, good signal-to-noise ratio and long-term stability [7]. A variety of sensors have been developed, and these include DNAzymes sensors, optical sensors, electrochemical, colorimetric and fluorescent sensors [1–10] just to mention a few. This chapter aims to introduce the reader to the use of quantum dots (QDs) as metal ion sensors.

1.1. Optical sensors

A chemical sensor can be defined as ‘a portable miniaturized analytical device, which can deliver real-time and on-line information in the presence of specific compounds or ions in complex samples’ [11]. Chemical sensors can be categorized into electrochemical, optical, mass-sensitive and heat-sensitive, according to the types of transducer. Of these classifications, optical sensors have been the most widely used as contact-less detectors, counting or positioning of parts. An optical sensor device consists of the following components: (a) the recognition element, where specific interaction and identification of the analyte takes place; (b) the transducer element that converts the recognition process into a measurable optical signal; (c) an optical device (process unit) which consists of at least a light source and finally (d) a detector which detects and converts the change of optical properties and amplifies the signal into a unit readout. The optical properties measured can be absorbance, reflectance, luminescence, light polarization, Raman and others. Optical sensors have found many applications in various fields, including biomedical, clinical, environmental monitoring and process controlling [12–18]. They are an attractive analytical tool, whenever continuous monitoring and real-time information is desired. They can track sources of contamination in an industrial process, follow the formation and movement of environmental pollutants and can raise the alarm when a toxic species exceeds an expected level of exposure. For environmental analysis,
single-use test strips for various ions, including heavy metals, are commercially available [19], which have their limitations in accuracy and reversibility. In recent years, activities applying optical sensors for the determination of heavy metals increased [20]. The most significant methods are the application of quenchable fluorophores or indicator dyes.

1.2. Quantum dots

Semiconductor quantum dots (QD) are nanocrystalline materials that confine the motion of the charge carriers in three spatial directions. These crystals are intermediate state of matter that display properties present in neither bulk nor molecular systems. The physical and electronic properties of QDs are strongly dependent on size (number of atoms). Their importance was recognized by the 2000 Nobel Prize in Physics awarded to Alferov and Kroemer for their basic work on information and communication technology using the semiconductor heterostructures. QDs are generally made as binary semiconductor nanocrystals from groups II–VI (e.g. CdSe, ZnS, etc.), groups IV–VI (e.g. PbTe, PbS) or groups III–V (e.g. InAs, InP) in the periodic table [21]. Moreover, QDs of Si, Ge, Ag, also of carbon and graphene [22–24] and ternary QDs (from I–VI) have been reported [25, 26]. QDs have received much attraction because of their stable, tunable, bright and narrow photoemission, high chemical and photo bleaching stability, processability and surface functionality and they offer many advantages in comparison with conventional fluorophore. Thus, a new generation of QDs based sensor containing the unique optical properties of QDs has been constructed for sensing molecules and ions in ultratrace level.

Colloidal synthesis of QDs widely employs a ‘bottom-up’ approach where the crystals are nucleated and grown from the precursor materials dissolved in a suitable solvent in the presence of a stabilizing agent. This approach was pioneered by L. Brus, an American scientist when he was at Bell laboratories, New Jersey, in the late 1970s and carried over by some of his post docs notably Moungi Bawendi and Paul Alivisatos. Because of its mild preparative conditions, this method is also called as a wet chemical route and has become popular among the scientists and industrialists for their usefulness in the biomedical and analytical fields. Different types of QDs such as alloyed QDs, core/shell QDs, impurity-doped QDs, polymer-QD composites with desired size and desired functional group on the surface can be prepared by colloidal synthesis, which are difficult to obtain by the other methods.

High-quality colloidal quantum dot crystals can be prepared in organic medium. Organic QDs obtained by this method have good degree of monodispersity and high photoluminescence quantum efficiency. This method became familiar after the synthesis of CdSe QD by Murray et al. in 1993 [27, 28]. The precursors for CdSe QDs chosen by Murray were dimethylcadmium (Me₂Cd) and TOPSe (Se dissolved in trioctylphosphine (TOP). The rapid injection of both the precursors together into the hot solution of trioctylphosphine oxide (TOPO) at ~300°C produced yellow/orange CdSe nanocrystallites. However, the use of expensive and/or hazardous organic reagents, harsh reaction conditions, and hydrophobicity of the as-prepared QDs are some of the shortcomings of the organic synthetic routes. To make the QDs water soluble, hydrophobic nature of the QDs surface should be converted into hydrophilic nature by surface encapsulation or ligand exchange. Surface modification processes are tedious, involving
multiple steps and usually produce materials with reduced optical properties compared to the parent organic materials [29, 30].

On the other hand, QDs can also be synthesized in aqueous medium directly. For example, in aqueous synthesis of thiol-stabilized CdTe QDs, Cd\(^{2+}\) dissolved in water medium would be reacted with a HTe\(^{-}\) solution in the presence of water-soluble thiol ligands. Refluxing of the above mixture produces CdTe QDs dispersion. Rajh et al. used a thiol (3-mercapto-1,2-propane-diol) as a stabilizing agent to prepare CdTe QD with 20\% photoluminescence quantum yield (PLQY) [31]. Afterwards, numerous thiols were investigated as stabilizing agents [32–34]. Compared with organic phase synthesis, aqueous synthesis involves less toxic precursors, inexpensive and produces excellent water-soluble and biocompatible products.

1.3. Modifications for PL enhancement

Most of the QDs, which are prepared in aqueous conditions, have low stability and low PLQY. As the surface of QDs is highly reactive, they have a high possibility to aggregate in the presence of heat, light, air or some ions. This may cause surface, which further reduced the PLQY. A number of techniques have been used to improve the particle stability, PL efficiency and biocompatibility of the QDs. These include photo-irradiation [35, 36], ultrasonic irradiation [37], doping with transition metals [38–40] and inorganic passivation [41]. Among them, inorganic passivation and doping techniques are the most widely investigated.

Doped semiconductor nanocrystals have been studied extensively in the past two decades since Bhargava et al. [42] reported on Mn-doped ZnS (Mn:ZnS). They stated that it could be possible to obtain efficient emission from the dopant centres even if the host nanocrystals were not of high quality. The PL of doped QDs is purely dopant-specific. Based on this, different colour-emitting (blue to red) QDs doped with metals (Al\(^{3+}\), transition metals (Cu\(^{+}\), Mn\(^{2+}\)) and halides (F\(^{-}\)) have been reported in the literature [43–45]. Doped nanocrystalline II–VI semiconductors incorporating rare earth (RE) ions such as Tb\(^{3+}\), Eu\(^{3+}\) and Er\(^{3+}\) have also been reported [46, 47]. However, due to the dissimilar chemical properties (e.g. ionic radius, valence state) between the RE ion and host cation (Cd\(^{2+}\), Zn\(^{2+}\)), efficient doping of RE ions into II–VI semiconductor host is not favourable.

In contrast to RE ions, the chemical properties of Mn\(^{2+}\) are very similar to those of Cd\(^{2+}\) (or Zn\(^{2+}\)); thus, incorporating Mn\(^{2+}\) into II–VI semiconductor host is much easier. Mn-doped semiconductors are potential luminescent and spintronics materials. The Mn\(^{2+}\) ion, used in many luminescent materials, has a d\(^5\) configuration. The Mn\(^{2+}\) ion exhibits a broad emission peak, whose position depends strongly on the host lattice due to changes in crystal field strength with host. The emission colour can vary from green to deep red, corresponding to a \(^{4}T_{1g}^{-}{}^{4}A_{1g}\) transition. Since this transition is spin-forbidden, the typical luminescent relaxation time of this emission is of the order of milliseconds.

Doping of the impurity in the host material can be carried out via nucleation-doping or growth-doping processes [48] as shown in Figure 1. In a former process, both host and dopant materials are subjected to nucleation at the same time followed by growth of the host
material, whereas in the growth-doping process, the host material alone is nucleated and grow for certain time, followed by doping and re-growth of host material again.

### 1.4. QDs as sensor

QDs possess high surface-to-volume ratio and any change occurring at the surface can influence its surface-dependent properties; hence, luminescence of QDs is sensitive to surface states. Any species that interact directly with the QDs surface by physical or chemical means interferes with radiative recombination of the exciton leading to ‘quenching’ or enhancement of QDs fluorescence. Following this approach, QDs fluorescent probes can be designed by modifying their surface with suitable ligands so that they can selectively recognize the target analyte.

QD-based sensors are most frequently used to detect metal cations. Generally, metal ion quenches the QDs fluorescence via three different types of interaction: (i) by exchanging the metal cation of QDs, (ii) by displacing the capping ligand from the QDs surface and (iii) by binding with surface ligands [48]. The first two types are based on the competitive interaction between the analyte metal ion and the surface metal ion of QDs to bind with counterpart anion or surface-capping ligand, respectively, thus forming a stable lower solubility product. For instance, CuSe has a lower solubility than CdSe. As a result, surface Cd\(^{2+}\) ions in CdSe QDs can be easily exchanged by analyte Cu\(^{2+}\) ions to form CuSe particles on the surface of QDs. Similarly, in the case of glutathione (GSH)-capped CdSe QDs, Pb\(^{2+}\) ion binds with the thiol group of the capping GSH followed by displacement from QDs surface due to its higher binding affinity than Cd\(^{2+}\). However, the quenching mechanism in both cases is...
different. The electron and/or hole transfer from the CdSe to the CuSe energy levels (case i) is much faster than the process of fluorescence generation in the CdSe moiety, thus leading to quenching of QD fluorescence. The CuSe particles chemisorbed on CdSe QDs alters the bandgap energy level and crystal lattice. Hence, the shift in absorption, emission and X-ray diffractometry (XRD) patterns of the QDs after the interaction with the analyte metal ions is the indicative of this metal ion exchange process. By contrast, removing the capping ligand from the QDs surface by analyte metal ion (case ii) depassivates the QDs surface and creates drastic imperfections on the QDs surface, resulting in fluorescence quenching. In the ion-binding type (case iii), divalent analyte metal ions adsorb to the QD surface by electrostatic interaction with the capping carboxylic ligands, thus coordinating several QDs together, leading to the formation of closely packed QD aggregates. This causes a decrease in the luminescence intensity due to self-quenching mechanism. The quenching by this interaction is sensitive to pH and ionic strength of the medium. Under acidic conditions, quenching by metal ions is very low due to non-availability of carboxylate for the interaction with metal ions.

Apart from the metal ions, molecules such as phenolic compounds, H₂O₂ [49], 2,4,6-trinitrotoluene (TNT) [50, 51] and glucose [52] can also be detected by fluorometric titration with QDs. The electron-accepting nature of phenolic compounds and TNT shuttled the electron from the conduction band to the valence band of the excited QDs, whereas H₂O₂ oxidizes the surface of QD and destroys its lattice structure resulting in the PL quenching. Glucose can be indirectly detected knowing that glucose can produce H₂O₂ on catalytic oxidation by glucose oxidase.

Most of the QDs that were investigated for fluorometric sensing are based on cadmium QDs; however, a major drawback for their application is the toxicity of cadmium ion. Less toxic particles like doped ZnS or ZnSe QDs may be interesting alternatives for biological imaging and other applications. Mn²⁺-doped ZnS quantum dots have been extensively investigated in various fields [53]. Fang et al. synthesized high-quality water-dispersible Mn²⁺-doped ZnSe core/ZnS shell (Mn:ZnSe/ZnS) nanocrystals directly in aqueous media with MPA as the capping ligand [54]. They observed that there was almost no dopant Mn emission in the Mn:ZnSe d-dots and bright Mn luminescence was observed after overcoating the ZnS shell around the Mn:ZnSe dots. In the present work, Mn-doped ZnSe (Mn:ZnSe) QDs have been synthesized by a wet chemical method using 3-mercaptopropionic acid (3-MPA) as capping agent and characterized by various analytical tools. The nucleation-doping method was adopted because it would form a structure similar to core-shell (MnSe/ZnSe) with a diffuse interface. The PL of the resulting QDs was examined in the presence of different metal ions to check its selective response.

2. Methodology

2.1. Materials

All chemicals were of analytical grade and were used without further purification. All solutions were prepared using doubly distilled water. Zn (CH₃COO)₂•2H₂O (ZnAc₂) and Mn...
(CH₃COO)₂•4H₂O (MnAc₂) were obtained from Sisco Research Laboratories (SRL) Pvt. Ltd., Mumbai. Selenium powder (99.99%) 3-mercaptopropionic acid and sodium borohydride (NaBH₄) were the AR reagents from Sigma Aldrich, Bangalore. Phosphate buffer at a pH of 7.0 was prepared according to literature. The chloride solutions of different metal ions were prepared at the concentration of 1 × 10⁻⁴ M using doubly distilled (DD) water.

2.2. Synthesis of Mn-doped ZnSe QDs

Aqueous colloidal solution of Mn:ZnSe QDs was synthesized using MPA as capping agent as depicted in Figure 2. Typically, 0.25 mmol of selenium powder and 0.6 mmol of NaBH₄ were loaded in a round-bottomed flask containing 10 mL of N₂-purged DD water. The reaction mixture was heated at 100°C for 15 min under N₂ flow until the black selenium powder disappeared completely to give a clear colourless solution. On the other hand, 0.5 mmol of ZnAc₂ and 0.0015 or 0.003 mmol of MnAc₂ were dissolved in 25 mL of DD water followed by the addition of MPA. The pH of the reaction mixture was adjusted to 10.3 by adding 1 M NaOH solution and purged with N₂ for 20 min. Then, freshly prepared NaHSe solution was added followed by stirring at 50°C for 2 h. The molar ratio of Zn to Se to MPA was set at 1:0.5:2, whereas Zn to Mn was 1:0.03 or 1:0.06. The as-synthesized nanoparticles were purified by precipitation with ethanol, followed by centrifugation and vacuum drying.

2.3. Characterizations

To investigate the optical, crystal structure and morphological properties of QDs, they were characterized by various analytical techniques. ultraviolet-visible (UV-vis) absorption spectra were recorded with a Shimadzu, (Model UV-1800) UV-visible spectrophotometer, Japan. The samples were dispersed in doubly distilled water and loaded in a 4.5-mL pre-cleaned quartz cuvette with 1-cm optical path. The entire spectrum was scanned against the background spectrum of water. PL measurements of the samples were performed in a 4.5-mL quartz cuvette at ambient conditions on a Perkin Elmer LS5B spectrofluorimeter. For a given sample, the excitation wavelength was identified from the absorption spectrum.

Figure 2. Synthesis of Mn-doped ZnSe QDs.
and it was fixed to scan the emission wavelength. X-ray powder diffraction patterns of the samples were recorded at ambient conditions by using PANanalytical X’Pert PRO diffractometer with monochromatic Cu-K$_\alpha$1 radiation ($\lambda = 1.5418$ Å), 20 ranging from 10 to 80° in steps of 0.017°/s. The accelerating voltage was set at 40 kV and the current flux was 30 mA. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images of QDs were obtained from the FEI Tecnai G2 (T-30) instrument with the operating voltage of 250–300 kV. A small amount of sample for TEM analysis was ultra-sonicated in ethanol or water for a few minutes and then dropped on carbon-coated copper grids. The sample grid was then kept in vacuum desiccators prior to the analysis.

2.4. Metal ion sensing

Fluorescence sensitivity of the QDs towards different metal ions was carried out on a fluorescence microplate reader (Turners Biosystems-Modulus Microplate Multimode Reader-9300-010). Stock solutions of different metal ions (Li$^+$, Na$^+$, K$^+$, Mg$^{2+}$, Ca$^{2+}$, Ba$^{2+}$, Al$^{3+}$, Mn$^{2+}$, Fe$^{2+}$, Co$^{2+}$, Ni$^{2+}$, Cu$^{2+}$, Zn$^{2+}$, Ag$^+$, Cd$^{2+}$, Hg$^{2+}$ and Pb$^{2+}$) were prepared by dissolving their respective nitrate or chloride salts. Aqueous solution of QDs with the OD = 0.1 was prepared by diluting the stock solution of QDs. The solution of 50 μL was dropped into each well of a 96-well plate followed by filling with different metal solutions to obtain the final volume of 200 μL. The excitation wavelength was selected according to the absorption spectrum of QDs and the relative fluorescence intensity was measured with the plate reader. The standard deviations were calculated from at least six measurements.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Absorption spectra

Figure 3a shows the absorption spectra of 3 and 6% Mn:ZnSe QDs along with undoped QDs. The Mn content is represented here as the mole % against the host metal (Zn) which is considered as 100% according to the experiment. Compared with bulk ZnSe having the bandgap of ~2.8 eV, the absorption band edge of both doped and undoped QDs is blue-shifted (400–500 meV) indicating quantum confinement of the particles. Furthermore, the bandgap of Mn:ZnSe is blue-shifted with respect to undoped ZnSe QDs under the same experimental conditions, which reveal the formation of smaller-sized particles. This is consistent with the results by Mahamuni et al [55]. The synthesis process itself is affected by Mn additive. In addition, the spectrum of 3% Mn:ZnSe QDs is slightly blue-shifted from that of 6% Mn:ZnSe QDs. Because of lower Mn/Se content, the former has relatively smaller-sized nuclei formed in the nucleation step which leads to a slight blue shift.

3.2. PL spectra

The PL spectra of the 3 and 6% Mn:ZnSe QDs along with undoped QDs ($\lambda_{exc} = 365$ nm) are shown in Figure 3b. The spectrum of undoped ZnSe QDs shows broad emission band centred...
at 480 nm and a small shoulder at 398 nm assigned to trap-state emission and band-edge emission, respectively. These two emissions appearing together are often observed in CdSe, ZnSe nanocrystals and their sulphur analogues. As discussed by Denzler et al. [56], the bulk defects such as vacancies (Schottky defects) and interstitials (Frenkel defects) are the main source of trap states in the aqueous ZnSe QDs. With cubic zinc blende structure (by XRD), ZnSe usually has Schottky defects predominant over Frenkel defects. Therefore, the observed photoluminescence of QDs could be ascribed to a recombination of electrons at the selenium vacancy energy levels because of low Se/Zn ratio synthetic conditions. There are many possible recombination paths through many trap-state emissions, each with different emission energy, causing the relatively wide emission peak. The PL spectrum of as-prepared ZnSe QDs has the full width at half maximum of about 110 nm.

The PL spectrum of 3% Mn:ZnSe QDs exhibits a new band at 579 nm in addition to trap- and band-edge emissions. The appearance of this new emission in the Mn-doped QDs is attributed to Mn$^{2+}$-related $^4T_1 \rightarrow ^6A_1$ emission. This transition is spin-forbidden but is allowed because of crystal field effects [55]. Fang et al [54] notified that if the dopant ions are adsorbed on the surface of the host nanocrystal instead of being incorporated into its lattice, no dopant emission is observed and further the host emission is drastically quenched because a loosely adsorbed dopant ion can easily act as a surface trap that quenches the host PL [57]. However, in the present system, after doping with 3% Mn, the luminescence of host emission is enhanced by 7.7 times along with the appearance of Mn emission. This indicates the successful incorporation of Mn$^{2+}$ in the host ZnSe lattice. The enhancement of host PL is mainly attributed to the reduction of non-radiative energy centres by Mn$^{2+}$ doping. Table 1 shows the PL-integrated intensity ratios calculated from the spectra.

With further increase in the Mn concentration from 3 to 6%, the resultant doped QDs show increased Mn emission followed by subtle red-shift indicating the increase in Mn content in host. However, the host-trap emission is decreased and no band-edge emission is significantly observed. Furthermore, instead of the expected two-fold increase in the Mn emission.

![Figure 3. (a) Absorption and (b) PL spectra of undoped and Mn-doped ZnSe QDs.](image-url)
from 3% doped), only 1.4-fold increase is observed. The reason might be the combination of the following three processes: (i) non-radiative energy transfer between neighbouring Mn$^{2+}$-dopant ions which quenches Mn emission [58], (ii) adsorption of some Mn ions on the surface instead of incorporation into the host lattice which quenches both host and Mn emission and (iii) initially formed larger MnSe. As the environment of the doping ions in larger nuclei is not as uniform as that in smaller ones, the PL emission performance of doped QDs with larger nuclei will not be satisfactory as those with smaller nuclei [57]. Overall, the PL peak position of the host is in tune with the corresponding band-edge absorption. The 3% doped sample has the highest emission peak intensity, followed by the 6% and then the 0% sample. The above results are interesting that the ratio of the dual-colour emissions (blue and orange) of the Mn-doped ZnSe QDs could be controlled by tuning the Mn-doping levels in the QDs.

### 3.3. Structural and morphological analysis

X-ray diffraction pattern of the 3% Mn:ZnSe QDs is presented in Figure 4. Broad diffraction peaks are observed and are attributed to the nanocrystalline nature of the material. The XRD peaks are close to the characteristic peaks corresponding to the (111), (220) and (311) planes of cubic zinc blende ZnSe. Cubic structures are often obtained in the low-temperature aqueous synthesis of ZnSe QDs. This indicates that the incorporation of Mn$^{2+}$ into the host ZnSe does not bring any obvious change in the crystal lattice and the structure [37, 54]. TEM image of the 3% Mn:ZnSe QDs (Figure 5a) shows that QDs are spherical in nature with the average diameter of ~4 nm. Some of the aggregates of the particles are also seen. The energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) spectrum (Figure 5b) confirms the presence of Mn, Zn and Se and the purity of the sample.

### 3.4. Effect of metal ions on the PL intensity

The fluorescence titrations of the 3% Mn:ZnSe QDs (PL, $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 461$ nm) with various metal ions are shown in Figure 6a. The fluorescence intensity (F) is measured with excitation at 365 nm. The PL of blank QDs is used as a control ($F_0$). From the figure, it is observed that QDs show maximum response to mercury ions (Hg$^{2+}$), little response to Ni$^{2+}$, Cu$^{2+}$ and Pb$^{2+}$ ions and
almost no response to other metal ions. About 74% of the PL intensity is quenched after the addition of 30 μM L⁻¹ of Hg²⁺ ions.

The influence of [Hg²⁺] ion on the QDs fluorescence was studied. Figure 6b shows the quenching behaviour of Hg²⁺ ions on the PL intensity of QDs. The PL intensity is quenched drastically and then slightly with the increase in [Hg²⁺] ions. The fluorescence quenching with respect to the concentration of quencher was analysed using the Stern-Volmer equation. The plot of F₀/F versus [Hg²⁺] as shown in Figure 6c exhibits a good linear relationship up to 30 μM L⁻¹ with a correlation coefficient R² = 0.9918. The limit of detection (LOD) was calculated according to the following equation:
LOD = $\frac{3S_0}{K_{sv}}$  

where $3$ is the signal-to-noise ratio, $S_0$ is the standard deviation of blank measurements ($n = 6$) and $K_{sv}$ is the slope of calibration graph. LOD of the present probe towards $[\text{Hg}^{2+}]$ under the experimental conditions is found to be $6.63 \times 10^{-7}$ M L$^{-1}$.

3.5. Mechanism of sensing by quenching

The fluorescence quenching can be explained in terms of strong binding of quencher metal ions ($\text{Hg}^{2+}$) on the surface of QDs. It is known that the solubility $\text{HgSe} (K_{sp} = 2 \times 10^{-53})$ is extremely lower than that of $\text{ZnSe} (K_{sp} = 3.6 \times 10^{-26})$. The low solubility product is always preferred in a solution and hence the quencher metal ions ($\text{Hg}^{2+}$) displace the Zn on the surface of QDs and form a lower solubility product ($\text{HgSe}$) which deposit on the surface of the QDs

$$\text{Zn}_m\text{Se}_n + x\text{Hg}^{2+} \rightarrow \text{Zn}_{m-x}\text{Hg}_x\text{Se}_n + x\text{Zn}^{2+}$$

The formed particles of $\text{HgSe}$, both isolated and aggregated, can quench the luminescence of QDs by facilitating non-radiative annihilation of charge carriers, which act as electron-hole
recombination centres [59]. However, mere $K_{sp}$ values are not the sole factors in fluorescence quenching. In addition, surface ligands have profound effects on the fluorescence response of QDs to metal ions [60]. They play a critical role in metallic ion selectivity [61]. Also, the quenching of luminescence of the QDs can occur partly through ion binding followed by photoinduced electron transfer process from the thiol ligand to Hg$^{2+}$ ions on the surface of QDs. The schematic representation of fluorescence quenching is shown in Figure 7. Theoretical calculation and further study are in progress to gain an insight into the mechanism of fluorescence quenching.

4. Conclusions

In summary, water-soluble MPA-capped Mn-doped ZnSe QDs were synthesized using nucleation-doping method. The absorption spectra of the as-synthesized QDs were blue-shifted in relation to the bulk counterparts due to quantum confinement. The QDs exhibited dual-colour emission (blue and orange). The intensity ratio of the dual-colour emission can be varied by tuning the Mn-doping percentage. It was found that 3% Mn doping in the ZnSe crystal lattice increases the fluorescence (blue) of ZnSe QDs by 10-fold due to the reduction of non-radiative energy centres. Furthermore, Mn$^{2+}$-related $^4T_1 \rightarrow ^4A_1$ (orange) emission characteristic of Mn doped in the ZnSe host was observed. The as-prepared QDs exhibited a cubic crystal structure according to XRD patterns. TEM images showed aggregates of tiny spherical particles with the average diameter of ~4 nm. The as-prepared Mn$_{3}\%$:ZnSe QDs were treated with different metal ions and were found to be highly selective to Hg$^{2+}$ ions and exhibit pleasing LOD. The possible mechanism of sensing by quenching was also proposed. These studies on metal ion sensing by fluorescent QDs have demonstrated their potential as chemical sensor which can be developed for industrial applications.
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