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1. Introduction

In the near future, personal service robots are expected to come into human daily life as supporters in education, leisure, house care, health care, and so on. Most of them are built on an omnidirectional mobile robot so as to navigate in an environment restricted in space and cluttered with obstacles. Caster wheels have been chosen for the development of an omnidirectional mobile robot, as reported in (Holmberg, 2000), among several omnidirectional wheel mechanisms, including universal wheels, Swedish wheels, orthogonal wheels, and ball wheels. This is because caster wheels can operate without additional peripheral rollers or support structure, and maintain good performance even though payload or ground condition changes.

There have been several works on the kinematics of a caster wheeled omnidirectional mobile robot (COMR), including the kinematic modeling, the design and control, the isotropy analysis, as reported in (Holmberg, 2000; Muir & Neuman, 1987; Campion et al., 1996; Kim & Kim, 2004; Park et al., 2002; Kim & Moon, 2005; Oetomo et al., 2005; Kim & Jung, 2007). Previous isotropy analysis, as reported in (Kim & Kim, 2004; Park et al., 2002; Kim & Moon, 2005; Oetomo et al., 2005), has been made only for a COMR in which the steering link offset is equal to the wheel radius, except our recent work, as reported in (Kim & Jung, 2007). It was found that such a restriction is necessary to have globally optimal isotropic characteristics of a COMR, as reported in (Park et al., 2002; Kim & Moon, 2005; Oetomo et al., 2005). Nevertheless, many practical COMR’s in use take advantage of the steering link offset which is different from the wheel radius, mainly for improved tipover stability, as reported in (McGhee & Frank, 1968; Papadopoulos & Rey, 1996). The tipover stability becomes critical when a COMR makes a rapid turn or sudden external forces are applied to a COMR.

The accuracy of the velocity kinematics of a robotic system depends on the condition number of the Jacobian matrix involved. Based on the Euclidean norm, the condition number of a matrix can be defined as the ratio of the largest to smallest singular values of the matrix, as reported in (Strang, 1988), whose value ranges from unity to infinity. For a given linear system \( Ax = b \), the condition number of \( A \) represents the amplification of the...
relative error of $x$, given by $\frac{\|\delta x\|}{\|x\|}$, with respect to the relative error of $b$, given by $\frac{\|\delta b\|}{\|b\|}$. Smaller condition numbers are preferred to larger condition numbers with regard to error amplification in solving $Ax = b$. Furthermore, the condition number equal to unity is the best situation that can be achieved when a robotic system is said to be in isotropy.

Since the Jacobian matrix of a COMR is a function of caster wheel configurations, the condition number is subject to change during task execution. For reduction in aforementioned error amplification, it is important to prevent a COMR away from the isotropy or keep a COMR close to the isotropy, as much as possible. In the light of this, this paper aims to investigate the local and global isotropic characteristics of a COMR. The isotropic configurations of a COMR which can be identified through the local isotropy analysis can be used as a reference in trajectory planning to avoid excessive error amplification throughout task execution. On the other hand, the design parameter of a COMR, such as wheel radius and steering link offset, can be optimized for the global isotropic characteristics by minimizing the averaged value of the condition number over the whole configuration space.

The purpose of this paper is to present both local and global isotropy analysis of a fully actuated COMR with the steering link offset different from the wheel radius. This paper is organized as follows. Based on the kinematic model, Section 2 derives the necessary and sufficient conditions for the isotropy of a COMR. Section 3 identifies four different sets of all possible isotropic configurations, along with the isotropic steering link offsets and the isotropic characteristic lengths. Using the local isotropy index, Section 4 examines the number of the isotropic configurations and the role of the isotropic characteristic length. Using global isotropy index, Section 5 determines the optimal characteristic length and the optimal steering link offset for maximal global isotropy. Finally, the conclusion is made in Section 6.

2. Isotropy conditions

Consider a COMR with three identical caster wheels attached to a regular triangular platform moving on the $xy$-plane, as shown in Fig. 1. Let $l$ be the side length of the platform; let $d(\geq 0)$ and $r(> 0)$ be the steering link offset and the wheel radius, respectively; let $\phi_i$ and $\theta_i$ be the steering and the rotating angles, respectively; let $u_i$ and $v_i$ be the orthogonal unit vectors along the steering link and the wheel axis, respectively, such that $u_i = [-\cos \phi_i, -\sin \phi_i]^t$ and $v_i = [-\sin \phi_i, \cos \phi_i]^t$; let $p_i$ be the vector from the center of the platform to the center of the wheel, and $q_i$ be the rotation of $p_i$ by $90^\circ$ counterclockwise. For each wheel, it is assumed that the steering link offset can be different from the wheel radius, that is, $d \neq r$.

With the introduction of the characteristic length, as reported in (Strang, 1988), $L(> 0)$, the kinematic model of a COMR under full actuation is obtained by

$$Ax = B\Theta$$

(1)
where \( \vec{x} = [\vec{v}, \vec{w}, \vec{\omega}] \in \mathbb{R}^{3x1} \) is the task velocity vector, and \( \vec{\Theta} = [\dot{\theta}_1, \dot{\theta}_2, \dot{\phi}_1, \dot{\phi}_2, \dot{\phi}_3] \in \mathbb{R}^{6x1} \) is the joint velocity vector, and

\[
A = \begin{bmatrix}
    u_1^T & u_2^T & u_3^T \\
    v_1^T & v_2^T & v_3^T \\
    1 & 1 & 1
\end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{6x3}
\]  

(2)

\[
B = \begin{bmatrix}
    r I_3 & 0_3 \\
    0_3 & d I_3
\end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{6x6}
\]  

(3)

are the Jacobian matrices. Notice that the introduction of \( L \) makes all three columns of \( A \) to be consistent in physical unit.

Fig. 1. A caster wheeled omnidirectional mobile robot

Now, from (1), the necessary and sufficient condition for the kinematic isotropy of a COMR can be expressed as

\[
Z^T Z = \sigma I_3
\]  

(4)
where

\[ Z = B^{-1}A \tag{5} \]

\[ \sigma = \frac{3}{2}\left(\frac{1}{r^2} + \frac{1}{d^2}\right) \tag{6} \]

Using (2), (3), (5), and (6), the three isotropy conditions of a COMR can be obtained as follows:

\[ \sum_{i=1}^{3} [\mu (u_i u_i^t) + (v_i v_i^t)] = \frac{3}{2} (\mu + 1) I_2 \tag{7} \]

\[ \sum_{i=1}^{3} [\mu (u_i q_i) u_i + (v_i q_i) v_i] = 0 \tag{8} \]

\[ \frac{1}{L^2} \sum_{i=1}^{3} [\mu (u_i q_i)^2 + (v_i q_i)^2] = \frac{3}{2} (\mu + 1) \tag{9} \]

where

\[ \mu = \left(\frac{d}{r}\right)^2 > 0 \tag{10} \]

which represents the square of the ratio of the steering link offset \( d \) to the wheel radius \( r \). Note that \( \mu = 1 \) corresponds to the case of the steering link offset \( d \) equal to the wheel radius \( r \), as reported in (Kim & Kim, 2004).

3. Isotropic configurations

The first and the second isotropy conditions, given by (7) and (8), are a function of the steering joint angles, \((\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3)\), from which the isotropic configurations, denoted by \( \Theta_{iso} \), can be identified. For a given wheel radius \( r \), the specific value of steering link offset, called as the isotropic steering link offset, \( d_{iso} \), is required for the isotropy of a COMR. With the isotropic configuration known, the third isotropy condition, given by (9), determines the specific value of the characteristic length, called the isotropic characteristic length, \( L_{iso} \), is required for the isotropy of a COMR. The detailed procedure to obtain the isotropic configurations \( \Theta_{iso} \), the isotropic steering link offset \( d_{iso} \), and the isotropic characteristic length \( L_{iso} \) can be found in our previous work, as reported in (Kim & Jung, 2007).

All possible isotropic configurations \( \Theta_{iso} \) of a COMR can be categorized into four different sets according to the restriction imposed on the isotropic steering link offset \( d_{iso} \), for a given
wheel radius $r$. Table 1 lists four different sets of $\Theta_{iso}$ denoted by S1, S2, S3, and S4, and the corresponding value of $d_{iso}$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Set</th>
<th>$\Theta_{iso}$</th>
<th>$d_{iso}$</th>
<th>$L_{iso}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S1</td>
<td>$\phi_1$, $\phi_1 + \frac{2\pi}{3}$, $\phi_1 - \frac{2\pi}{3}$</td>
<td>No restriction</td>
<td>$\sqrt{\frac{2}{\mu + 1} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \sin(\phi_1 - \frac{\pi}{6}) \right)^2 + \frac{d - 1}{\sqrt{3}} \cos(\phi_1 - \frac{\pi}{6})^2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2</td>
<td>$\phi_1$, $\phi_1 - \frac{2\pi}{3}$, $\phi_1 + \frac{2\pi}{3}$</td>
<td>$r$</td>
<td>$\sqrt{d_{iso}^2 + \frac{1}{3}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3</td>
<td>$\left( \frac{\pi}{6}, \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{6} \right)$, $\left( \frac{\pi}{6}, \frac{5\pi}{6}, \frac{\pi}{2} \right)$, $\left( \frac{\pi}{6}, \frac{5\pi}{6}, \frac{5\pi}{6} \right)$</td>
<td>$\sqrt{r^2 + \frac{4}{3}r^4 - \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}r^2}$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} d_{iso}}} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} - d_{iso} \right)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4</td>
<td>$\left( -\frac{5\pi}{6}, \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{6} \right)$, $\left( \frac{5\pi}{6}, \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{6} \right)$, $\left( \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{6} \right)$</td>
<td>$\sqrt{r^2 + \frac{4}{3}r^4 + \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}r^2}$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} d_{iso}}} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} + d_{iso} \right)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Four different sets of all isotropic configurations

It should be noted that S1 places no restriction on $d_{iso}$, unlike the other three sets, S2, S3, and S4. Fig. 2 illustrates four different sets of $\Theta_{iso}$ characterized by the tuple of $(u_1, u_2, u_3)$. It is interesting to observe that there exist certain geometrical symmetries among four sets: the symmetry between S1 and S2, shown in Fig. 2a) and 2b), and the symmetry between S3 and S4, shown in Fig. 2c) and 2d).

Once the isotropic configuration has been identified under the conditions of (7) and (8), the isotropic characteristic length $L_{iso}$ can be determined under the condition of (9). For four different sets of the isotropic configurations, the expression of $L_{iso}$ can be elaborated as
listed in Table 1. Note that the isotropy of a COMR cannot be achieved unless the characteristic length is chosen as the isotropic characteristic length, that is, $L = L_{iso}$.

![Fig. 2. Four different sets of the isotropic configurations: a) S1 with $\varphi_1 = \pi$, b) S2 with $\varphi_1 = \pi$, c) S3 and d) S4](image)

4. Local isotropy analysis

Let $\lambda_i, i = 1, 2, 3$, be the eigenvalues of $Z^T Z$, whose square roots are the same as the singular values of $Z$. We define the local isotropy index of a COMR, denoted by $\sigma$, as

$$0.0 \leq \sigma = \sqrt{\frac{\min \lambda_i}{\max \lambda_i}} \leq 1.0$$

(11)

whose value ranges between 0 and 1. Note that the local isotropy index $\sigma$ is the inverse of the well-known condition number of $Z$. In general, $\sigma$ is a function of the wheel configuration $\Theta = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3)$, the characteristic length $L$, the wheel radius $r$, and the steering link offset $d$.
To examine the isotropic characteristics of a COMR, extensive simulation has been performed for various combinations of characteristic length $L$, the wheel radius $r$, and the steering link offset $d$. However, we present only the simulation results obtained from two different situations, denoted by SM1 and SM3, for which the values of the key parameters, including $r$, $d_{iso}$, $\Theta_{iso}$, and $L_{iso}$, are listed in Table 2. Note that all the values of $r$, $d$, and $L$ represent the relative scales to the platform side length $l$, which is assumed to be unity, that is, $l = 1.0[m]$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Situation</th>
<th>$r$</th>
<th>$d_{iso}$</th>
<th>$\Theta_{iso}$</th>
<th>$L_{iso}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SM1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>$\left(\frac{\pi}{6}, \frac{5\pi}{6}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$</td>
<td>0.3774</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1591</td>
<td>$\left(\frac{\pi}{6}, \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{6}\right)$</td>
<td>0.4629</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Simulation environment

First, let us examine how the value of the local isotropy index $\sigma$ changes over the entire configuration space $\Theta = (\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3)$. With the values of $r$, $d_{iso}$, and $L_{iso}$ given in Table 2, Fig. 3 shows the plots of $\sigma (\phi_1 = \pi / 6)$ for $-\pi \leq \phi_2, \phi_3 \leq \pi$ in the cases of SM1 and SM3.

Fig. 3. The plots of $\sigma (\phi_1 = \pi / 6)$ for $-\pi \leq \phi_2, \phi_3 \leq \pi$: a) SM1 and b) SM3

The ranges of $\sigma$ are obtained as $0.5336 \leq \sigma (\phi_1 = \pi / 6) \leq 1.0$ for SM1 and $0.5972 \leq \sigma (\phi_1 = \pi / 6) \leq 1.0$ for SM3. For both cases, it can be observed that the value of $\sigma$ changes significantly depending on the wheel configurations and also that the isotropic configurations with $\sigma = 1.0$ appear as the result of $d = d_{iso}$ and $L = L_{iso}$. Note that SM1 has a single isotropic configuration, $(\pi / 6, 5\pi / 6, -\pi / 2)$ which belongs to $S1$, whereas SM3 has...
two isotropic configurations: \((\pi/6, \pi/2, -\pi/6)\) which belongs to S3 and \((\pi/6, 5\pi/6, -\pi/2)\) which belongs to both S1 and S3.

Next, for a given isotropic configuration, let us examine how the choice of the characteristic length \(L\) affects the values of the local isotropy index \(\sigma\). With the values of \(r\), \(d_{\text{iso}}\) and \(\Theta_{\text{iso}}\) given in Table 2, Fig. 4 shows the plots of \(\sigma(\Theta_{\text{iso}})\) for \(0 < L \leq 1.0\), in the cases of SM1 and SM3. For both cases, it can be observed that the value of \(\sigma\) decreases significantly as the choice of \(L\) is away from the isotropic characteristic length \(L_{\text{iso}}\): \(L_{\text{iso}} = 0.377\) for SM1, and \(L_{\text{iso}} = 0.463\) for SM3. This demonstrates the importance of \(L = L_{\text{iso}}\) for the isotropy of a COMR.

![Fig. 4. The plots of \(\sigma(\Theta_{\text{iso}})\) for \(0 < L \leq 1.0\): a) SM1 and b) SM3](image)

### 5. Global isotropy analysis

The local isotropy index represents the local isotropic characteristics of a COMR at a specific instance of the wheel configurations. To characterize the global isotropic characteristics of a COMR, we define the global isotropy index of a COMR, denoted by \(\overline{\sigma}\), as the average of the local isotropy index \(\sigma\) taken over the entire configuration space, \(-\pi \leq \phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3 \leq \pi\). Now, \(\overline{\sigma}\) is a function of the characteristic length \(L\), the wheel radius \(r\), and the steering link offset \(d\):

\[
\overline{\sigma} = \overline{\sigma}(L, r, d) \quad (13)
\]

Let us examine how the choice of the characteristic length \(L\) affects the values of the global isotropy index \(\overline{\sigma}\). With the values of \(r\) and \(d_{\text{iso}}\) given in Table 2, Fig. 5 shows the plots of \(\overline{\sigma}\) for \(0 < L \leq 1.0\), in the cases of SM1 and SM3. For both cases, it can be observed that the value of \(\overline{\sigma}\) reaches its maximum, which is called as the optimal global isotropy index, \(\overline{\sigma}_{\text{max}}\), at the specific value of \(L\), which is called as the optimal characteristic length, \(L_{\text{opt}}\):

- \(\overline{\sigma}_{\text{max}} = 0.8017\) at \(L_{\text{opt}} = 0.614\) for SM1, and \(\overline{\sigma}_{\text{max}} = 0.7538\) at \(L_{\text{opt}} = 0.588\) for SM3.
Next, let us examine how the ratio of the steering link offset \( d \) to the wheel radius \( r \) affects the values of the optimal global isotropy index \( \sigma_{\text{max}} \) and the corresponding optimal characteristic length \( L_{\text{opt}} \). With the value of \( r \) given in Table 2, Fig. 6 shows the plots of \( \sigma_{\text{max}} \) and \( L_{\text{opt}} \) for \( 0 < d \leq 0.3 \). The ranges of \( \sigma_{\text{max}} \) and \( L_{\text{opt}} \) are obtained as \( 0.2760 \leq \sigma_{\text{max}} \leq 0.8016 \) and \( 0.58 \leq L_{\text{opt}} \leq 0.64 \). It can be observed that the optimal value of \( d \) is found to be 0.2 so that \( d/r = 1.0 \), which results in \( \sigma_{\text{max}} = 0.8016 \) at \( L_{\text{opt}} = 0.62 \).

**6. Conclusion**

In this paper, we presented the local and global isotropy analysis of a fully actuated caster wheeled omnidirectional mobile robot (COMR) with the steering link offset different from the wheel radius. First, based on the kinematic model, the necessary and sufficient isotropy conditions of a COMR were derived. Second, four different sets of all possible isotropic configurations were identified, along with the expressions for the isotropic steering link
offset and the isotropic characteristic length. Third, using the local isotropy index, the number of the isotropic configurations and the role of the isotropic characteristic length were examined. Fourth, using the global isotropy index, the optimal characteristic length and the optimal steering link offset were determined for maximal global isotropy.
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