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Abstract

Cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR) imaging has been widely used to assess myocardial perfusion and scar and is the noninvasive reference standard for identification of focal myocardial fibrosis. However, the late gadolinium enhancement (LGE) technique is limited in its accuracy for absolute quantification and assessment of diffuse myocardial fibrosis by technical and pathophysiological features. CMR relaxometry, incorporating T1 mapping, has emerged as an accurate, reproducible, highly sensitive, and quantitative technique for the assessment of diffuse myocardial fibrosis in a number of disease states. We comprehensively review the physics behind CMR relaxometry, the evidence base, and the clinical applications of this emerging technique.
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1. Introduction

Cardiac Magnetic Resonance (CMR) imaging has been used widely to assess myocardial perfusion and scar [1–5]. It is the noninvasive reference standard for left and right ventricular quantitation, as well as the assessment and quantitation of focal myocardial fibrosis (after infarction or due to other causes of cellular injury). Myocardial necrosis causes high signal on late gadolinium enhancement (LGE) inversion recovery T1-weighted images with excellent signal-noise ratios, and this has become the reference standard for noninvasive scar imaging in cardiomyopathies of various causes [1–4]. However, LGE is limited in its ability to assess and quantitate diffuse (nonfocal) myocardial injury and fibrosis. LGE is
affected by inconsistencies in acquisition parameters, such as choice inversion time, and in postprocessing when signal intensity thresholds may be arbitrarily applied to distinguish normal myocardium from fibrotic tissue [6, 7]. Moreover, the critical issue with LGE is that signal intensity is expressed on an arbitrary scale (relative signal intensity compared with “nulled” normal myocardium). Detection of myocardial fibrosis using relative differences between scar and normal myocardium tissue is therefore qualitative. Thus, in nonischemic cardiomyopathies, such as hypertension or diabetes, LGE CMR is unable to detect signal differential where the collagen deposition is diffuse and widespread throughout the myocardium [8].

2. CMR relaxometry

CMR is an evolving technique, providing valuable and comprehensive data on the anatomy and functional integrity of both the heart and coronary blood vessels. Currently, CMR is performed at magnetic field strengths of 1.5 or 3 T.

3. T1 mapping with Look–Locker

The initial technique to measure spin–lattice T1 relaxation time values was the eponymously named “Look–Locker” sequence (also known as “TI scout”). It has been widely used to estimate the optimal inversion time for assessment of myocardial LGE [9, 10]. It was originally proposed by Look and Locker in 1968 and analyzed more fully in 1970 [11] and consists of an initial inversion pulse, followed by a train of pulses with a constant, limited flip angle (7–15°). The development of LL technique is summarized in Table 1.

The LL sequence has been widely applied in CMR due to its fast acquisition with minimal breath-hold requirements. The LL sequence has been used to measure T1 values in patients with myocardial fibrosis [9]. However, it suffers from significant limitations: low flip angle RF pulse exciting the magnetization and the two RR intervals in the LL sequence are not sufficient for the magnetization to return to equilibrium. This causes underestimation of true T1 values using LL. Furthermore, the LL T1 images with different TIs are acquired at different cardiac phases. Therefore, images are “cine” with cardiac motion effect, which requires tedious manual tracking of the myocardial borders for each phase, a labour-intensive and error-prone process, which is challenging in clinical practice. The drawing of regions of interest “ROI” in myocardial segments requires adjusting for cardiac motion, which results in including blood pool (partial volume averaging) and artificially increasing the measured T1 [12].

To address these shortcomings, several myocardial T1 mapping sequences have been created, including modified Look-Locker inversion (MOLLI) recovery.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Summary of research findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Look &amp; Locker</td>
<td>1968</td>
<td>Initial proposition of Look–Locker technique</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Look &amp; Locker</td>
<td>1970</td>
<td>Fully analyzed NMR pulse sequence to measure a spin–lattice T1 relaxation time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaptein et al.</td>
<td>1976</td>
<td>LL was co-opted to quickly sample the recovery after a preparation pulse during the recovery period</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gerumann</td>
<td>1987</td>
<td>T1 by Multiple Readout Pulses (TOMROP) was proposed through which the multiple samples of a particular recovery after RF preparation each correspond to a separate image</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hinson and Sobol</td>
<td>1988</td>
<td>LL method was applied without preparation pulse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crawley and Henkelman</td>
<td>1988</td>
<td>Compared (LL, saturation recovery, inversion recovery, and stimulated echo) and concluded that LL was almost as efficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brix et al.</td>
<td>1990</td>
<td>TMPROP was used with 32 gradient echoes in a total acquisition time of 4 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kay</td>
<td>1991</td>
<td>LL single-shot IR method has been optimized and refined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gowland</td>
<td>1992</td>
<td>LL single-shot IR method has been optimized and refined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Been et al.</td>
<td>1988</td>
<td>Improved RF preparation pulses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gowland et al.</td>
<td>1989</td>
<td>Improved RF preparation pulses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ordidge et al.</td>
<td>1990</td>
<td>Echo-planar imaging (EPI) was incorporated into the inversion recovery LL-based method</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gowland and Mansfield</td>
<td>1993</td>
<td>EPI was applied in vivo in less than 3 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freeman et al.</td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>An entire image was acquired at each point on a single recovery of longitudinal magnetization after a saturation pulse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karlsson and Nordell</td>
<td>1999</td>
<td>EPI with LL method has found application in pharmacokinetic modeling in the head</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daniel et al.</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>Modified Look–Locker inversion recovery is proposed to overcome the limitations of the conventional LL approach for cardiac applications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daniel et al.</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Studied the single breath-hold myocardial MR T1 mapping with MOLLI technique with high spatial resolution at 1.5 T MR-reproducibility study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daniel et al.</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Investigated optimization and validation of a fully integrated pulse sequence for (MOLLI) T1 mapping of the heart</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iles et al.</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Evaluation of diffuse myocardial fibrosis in heart failure with cardiac magnetic resonance contrast-enhanced T1 mapping</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Summary of development of Look–Locker technique.
4. T1 mapping with Modified Look-Locker Inversion “MOLLI” recovery

Currently, the most evaluated sequence for myocardium T1 mapping is a modified Look-Locker inversion recovery (MOLLI) sequence [13, 14]. The T1 mapping identifies a significant variation between normal and abnormal myocardium. It demonstrates the myocardial fibrosis among different myocardial disorders include ischemia [15], acute/chronic infraction [16], amyloidosis [17], diabetic [18], dilated and hypertrophic cardiomyopathy [19], and heart failure [8].

MOLLI is a CMR pulse sequence that is used for accurate T1 mapping of myocardium with high spatial resolution. MOLLI is an ECG-gated pulse sequence scheme and uses three prepared Look–Locker experiments consecutively within one breath-hold over 17 heartbeats to reconstruct 11 images with different inversion times. Three successive ECG-triggered LL experiments (LL1, LL2, and LL3) are carried out with three, three, and five single-shot readouts, respectively, at end diastole of consecutive heartbeats to sample the recovery of longitudinal magnetization after the inversion pulse. MOLLI pulse sequence scheme is illustrated in Figure 1. T1 maps can be generated any time before or after contrast agent (e.g., gadolinium) administration [12].

Figure 1. T1 map of a healthy volunteer: Using 17 heartbeats to reconstruct 11 images with different inversion times at end of diastole phase. By merging these images into one data set, T1 values are computed for every pixel with three parameters curve fitting. A reconstructed T1 map with parametric color scale is produced for these pixel values and the segmental and global T1 times can be estimated.

The MOLLI sequence has been described, optimized, tested, and retested in phantoms and in large cohorts of healthy volunteers [12, 14] as well as being applied in cardiomyopathies [8, 15, 17, 19, 20]. In addition, the T1 mapping with MOLLI has been validated against histopathology for assessment of myocardial fibrosis. It demonstrated that the precontrast “native T1” has a linear correlation with the percentage of myocardial fibrosis as measured histologically on invasive myocardial biopsy. T1 times postcontrast administration (10–15 min) had an inverse linear relationship with collagen content in myocardial fibrosis subjects [8, 21, 22].

- Precontrast “Native” T1 = predominant signal from myocytes (replacement fibrosis or intracellular accumulation, e.g., Fabry disease)
- Postcontrast T1 = predominant signal from interstitial space (interstitial fibrosis)
T1 mapping can be generated for different segments of the myocardium (base, mid-cavity, and apex) within a single breath-hold of about 15–20 s. However, the apex T1 values with MOLLI are slightly higher than basal and mid-cavity. The increasing in T1 values may be caused by partial volume effect and some degree of overestimation effect in apical level of left ventricle [23–25].

T1 mapping with MOLLI has a greater reproducibility, accuracy, and an excellent overall inter- and intra-observer agreement over a wide range of TIs as compared with the traditional LL sequence [13, 14]. However, the T1 mapping with MOLLI sequence is sensitive to extremes of heart rate (bradycardia or tachycardia) [14] leading to a slight underestimation of T1 values. This may be corrected though heart rate correction by changing the timing of the readouts with respect to the inversion pulses at different heart rates.

Moreover, MOLLI is also limited by long breath-hold for about 15–20 s (17 heartbeats to acquire the final T1 maps). This may be difficult for elderly and pulmonary compromised patients and generates respiratory and motion artifacts [26]. Modern in-line processing provides registration tools to reduce motion artifacts before the computation of final T1 maps (motion-corrected or “MoCo MOLLI”) [27]. A shortened Modified Look-Locker inversion recovery (shMOLLI) with shorter breath-holds has been validated and recently applied for cardiomyopathies [28, 29].

5. MRI field strength

At 1.5 T, the pre- and postcontrast (10 mins) T1 times of normal myocardium are 980 ± 53 ms and 470 ± 26 ms, respectively [14]. Precontrast T1 values of myocardial fibrosis (Infarction scar) are significantly longer than those of normal myocardium (1060 ± 61 ms vs. 987 ± 34 ms) [20]. The postcontrast T1 times (10 mins) were significantly shorter in chronic infarct scar compared with normal myocardium at 0.15 mmol/kg (390 ± 20 ms vs. 483 ± 23 ms, respectively) [20].

3 T: T1 mapping at higher magnetic field (3 T) has been reported in a few studies of interstitial myocardial fibrosis, but minimal data exist for ultra-high field at 7 T. 3 T data are similar to 1.5 T, the precontrast T1 was longer, and postcontrast T1 was shorter in myocardial fibrosis patients compared with normal myocardium. Puntmann et al. [30] reported higher precontrast T1 values for hypertrophic and nonischemic dilated cardiomyopathies at 3 T compared with controls (Hypertrophic 1.254 ± 43 ms, and nonischemic dilated cardiomyopathy 1.239 ± 57 ms vs. healthy 1.070 ± 55 ms). Also, the postcontrast T1 values (10 mins) at 3 T were shorter in hypertrophic and dilated cardiomyopathies compared with healthy (hypertrophic: 307 ± 47 ms, dilated cardiomyopathies: 296 ± 43 ms vs. controls: 402 ± 58 ms) [30].

There are studies published for normal and diffuse myocardial fibrosis of myocardium T1 values, as described comprehensively in Tables 2 and 3:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First author (Ref.)</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>T1/T2* mapping sequence</th>
<th>Result of T1 or T2* mapping (ms)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wacker et al. [31]</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>srTFL, segmented T2* gradient echo pulse</td>
<td>T1 = 1219 ± 72 ms, T2* = 35 ± 3 ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sebastian et al. [32]</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>LL</td>
<td>T1 = 1033 ± 126 ms, T2* = NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Messroghli et al. [33]</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>MOLLI</td>
<td>T1 = 980 ± 53 ms, T2* = NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Messroghli et al. [34]</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>MOLLI</td>
<td>T1 = 939 ± 63 ms, T2* = NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sparrow et al., [35]</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>MOLLI</td>
<td>T1 = 980 ± 53 ms, T2* = NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iles et al. [8]</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>VAST</td>
<td>T1 = 975 ± 62 ms, T2* = NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li et al. [36]</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2 echo times GRE</td>
<td>T1 = NA, T2* = 33 ± 6.5 ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reeder et al. [37]</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Multi echo GRE</td>
<td>T1 = NA, T2* = 38 ± 6 ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anderson et al. [38]</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Multi echo GRE</td>
<td>T1 = NA, T2* = 52 ± 16 ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positano et al. [39]</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Multi echo GRE</td>
<td>T1 = NA, T2* = 38 ± 9.2 ms in endocardial sectors, and 33.1 ± 8.4 ms in epicardial sectors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Messroghli et al. [40]</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Multi echo GRE</td>
<td>T1 = NA, T2* = 27.9 ± 3.4 ms in anteroseptal and 23.1 ± 5.2 ms in inferolateral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piechnik et al. [28]</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>shMOLLI</td>
<td>T1 = 962 ± 25 ms, T2* = NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: NA, not applicable; srTFL, saturation recovery turboFLASH; LL, Look-Locker; MOLLI, modified Look-Locker inversion recovery sequence; VAST, inversion recovery gradient echo sequence with Variable Sampling of the k-space in Time; GRE, gradient pulse sequence; shMOLLI, short modified Look-Locker sequence.

Table 2. Healthy clinical studies using T1 and T2*.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First author</th>
<th>Cardiac disease category</th>
<th>Patient sample size</th>
<th>T1 mapping method</th>
<th>Summary of findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Franck Thuny</td>
<td>Systemic sclerosis</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>MOLLI</td>
<td>LV diastolic dysfunction had a shorter 15 min postcontrast T1 time (ms) than those with a normal diastolic function (431 ± 7 vs. 464 ± 8, p = 0.01).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helene Thibault</td>
<td>Type II diabetic patient</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>MOLLI</td>
<td>Mean myocardial T1 relaxation time was significantly shorter in diabetic patients than in volunteers both at 5 (312 ± 5 vs. 361 ± 6 milliseconds, respectively, p &lt; 0.001) and 15 min (405 ± 6 vs. 456 ± 5 milliseconds, respectively, p &lt; 0.001) after gadolinium injection.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andris H Ellims</td>
<td>Hypertrophy cardiomyopathy</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>VAST</td>
<td>Postcontrast myocardial T1 time was significantly shorter in patients with HCM compared with controls, consistent with diffuse myocardial fibrosis (498 ± 80 ms vs. 561 ± 47 ms, p &lt; 0.001).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beatrice A Marzluf</td>
<td>Patients with NH2-terminal portion of the precursor of brain natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP)</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>In patients with NT-proBNP levels &gt;400 pg/ml mean T1 was significantly shorter than in patients with NT-proBNP &lt;400 pg/ml (374.6 ± 51.1 vs. 404.6 ± 34.4 ms, p = 0.042) and controls (509.4 ± 46.5 ms, p &lt; 0.001).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christopher T Sibley</td>
<td>Nonischemic cardiomyopathy</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>LL</td>
<td>47 patients had a focal myocardial scar and 26 without scar tissue. The midwall circumferential strain (Ecc) was reduced (~13.0 ± 5.4%) and mean T1 time was 478 ± 70 ms in patients with no scar tissue.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jellis et al. [18]</td>
<td>Type II diabetic patients</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>VAST</td>
<td>Subjects has a shorter post contrast T1 = 434 ± 20 ms. Postcontrast T1 was associated with Echocardiography diastolic dysfunction (Em r = 0.28, p = 0.020; E/Em r = 0.24, p = 0.049).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Messroghli et al. [13]</td>
<td>Acute myocardial infarction</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Inversion recovery (IR)-prepared fast gradient echo sequence</td>
<td>T1 precontrast value of the infarcted myocardium was significantly prolonged compared with noninfarcted normal myocardium (~18 ± 7%). T1 10-min postcontrast value of the infarct was significantly reduced compared with normal myocardium (~27 ± 4%).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Messroghli et al. [20]</td>
<td>Acute and chronic myocardial infarction</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>MOLLI</td>
<td>In chronic MI, the precontrast T1 relaxation time of hyper-enhanced areas was higher than T1 of remote areas (1060 ± 61 vs. 987 ± 34 ms, p &lt; 0.0001). In acute MI, the precontrast T1 value of hyper-enhanced areas was higher</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Summary of findings than remote areas (1197 ± 76 vs. 1011 ± 66). The hyper-enhanced in acute is higher than chronic infarction.

Flacke and Sebastian [32] Acute and chronic myocardial infarction 10 LL Mean T1 values of the normal myocardium postcontrast was 536 ± 66 ms, chronically infarcted precontrast and postcontrast was 1000 ± 67 ms and 408 ± 43 ms, respectively.

Sparrow et al. [35] Myocardial Fibrosis in Chronic Aortic Regurgitation 8 Molli There is a significant difference in segmental averaged T1 relaxation between in abnormal wall motion vs. Normal control segments in 10, 15, and 20 min after administration Gd: (510 vs. 476 ms, 532 vs 501 ms, and 560 vs. 516 ms, respectively).

Iles et al. [8] Chronic heart failure 25 VAST Postcontrast myocardial T1 times were shorter in heart failure subjects than in controls (383 ± 17 ms vs. 564 ± 23 ms) even when excluding areas of regional fibrosis. T1 15-min postcontrast values correlated significantly with collagen volume fraction on myocardial biopsies (R = −0.7).

Maceira 2005 Cardiac amyloidosis 22 Segmented inversion recovery sequence Subendocardial T1 in amyloid patients was shorter than in controls (at 4 min: 427 ± 73 vs. 579 ± 75 ms; p < 0.01).

Table 3. Clinical studies using T1 mapping for myocardial diffuse fibrosis in clinical patients.

6. Limitations of T1 mapping

Challenges remain with myocardial relaxometry for T1 mapping. These include technical challenges such as variations of T1 times at different field strength and across different vendors, and the rapidity in growth of pulse sequences being released as product and as works-in-progress (WIP), calling into question both the inherent accuracy and the level agreement between these techniques. Furthermore, the variations in T1 relaxometry values with different contrast doses and image timing require further investigation to establish the test–retest and intersite reproducibility of this technique. Next, the challenges to application of T1 mapping to clinical practice include establishment of robust normal ranges in large cohorts across multiple ethnic groups and the observation that T1 mapping appears to be a highly sensitive technique, with the ability to discriminate healthy normal myocardium and identify very early changes in substrate. However, this technique lacks specificity; a wide variety of conditions prolong native T1 and/or shorten postcontrast myocardial T1. Therefore, further clinical data are required in order to establish the use of these parameters in relation to disease (e.g., early
detection of target organ damage in systemic conditions such as hypertension or diabetes), to inform treatment decisions, and their ability to predict or alter clinical outcomes.

7. Conclusions

Myocardial T1 mapping using quantitative relaxometry is an emerging and important tool in the assessment of global myocardial fibrosis. It is a highly sensitive marker of disease, but is not specific, with changes in myocardial T1 occurring in many different conditions. Nevertheless, the high sensitivity and excellent reproducibility of the technique offer a tool for the early detection of myocardial damage, over-and-above techniques such as the CMR LGE technique and other modalities such as speckle tracking echocardiography, pulse wave velocity, and tissue tagging. Native T1 mapping is proving to be a robust indicator of early myocardial disease in many conditions, and normal ranges and guidelines for postprocessing have been published by the Society of Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance [41]. Myocardial T1 mapping is a rapidly evolving technique, now with longitudinal prognostic data emerging, and normal ranges established at 1.5 and 3.0 T in healthy humans and in aging persons. Further questions remain as to the standardization of pulse sequences across field strengths and between vendors, the affect of contrast type, dose and timing, the postprocessing software, and the interpretation of T1 mapping results to inform clinical practice.
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Abbreviations

CMR cardiac magnetic resonance
LL Look-Locker
LGE late gadolinium enhancement
LV left ventricle
MOLLI modified Look-Locker inversion recovery
MRI magnetic resonance imaging
ROI regions of interest
RV right ventricle
shMOLLI shortened modified Look-Locker inversion recovery
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