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Abstract

The problem of MHD micropolar fluid, heat and mass transfer over unsteady stretching sheet through porous medium in the presence of a heat source/sink and chemical reaction is presented in this chapter. By applying suitable similarity transformations, we transform the governing partial differential equations into a system of ordinary differential equations. We then apply the recently developed numerical technique known as the Spectral Quasi-Linearization Method. The validity of the accuracy of the technique is checked against the bvp4c routine method. Numerical results for the surface shear stresses, Nusselt number and the Sherwood number are presented in tabular form. Also numerical results for the velocity, temperature and concentration distribution are presented in graphical forms, illustrating the effects of varying values of different parameters.
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1. Introduction

The boundary layer flows, heat and mass transfer in a quiescent Newtonian and non-Newtonian fluid driven by a continuous stretching sheet are of significance in a number of industrial engineering processes such as the drawing of a polymer sheet or filaments extruded continu-
ously from a die, the cooling of a metallic plate in a bath, the aerodynamic extrusion of plastic sheets, the continuous casting, rolling, annealing and thinning of copper wires, the wires and fibre coating. During its manufacturing process, a stretched sheet interacts with ambient fluid thermally and mechanically. Both the kinematics of stretching and the simultaneous heating or cooling during such processes have a decisive influence on the quality of the final product. In [1], the effects of chemical reaction and magnetic field on viscous flow over a non-linear stretching sheet were reported. Mabood et al. [2] studied numerically MHD flow and heat transfer of nanofluid over a non-linear stretching sheet. Abel et al. [3] investigated the steady buoyancy-driven dissipative magneto-convective flow from a vertical non-linear stretching sheet. In [4], an analysis of heat transfer over an unsteady stretching sheet with variable heat flux in the presence of heat source or sink was made. Several other studies have addressed various aspects of regular/nanofluids [5–10].

Micropolar fluids are fluids with microstructure and asymmetrical stress tensor. Physically, they represent fluids consisting of randomly oriented particles suspended in a viscous medium. These types of fluids are used in analysing liquid crystals, animal blood, fluid flowing in brain, exotic lubricants, the flow of colloidal suspensions, etc. The theory of micropolar fluids was first proposed by Eringen [11]. In this theory, the local effects arising from the microstructure and the intrinsic motion of the fluid elements are taken into account. The comprehensive literature on micropolar fluids, thermomicrofluids and their uses in engineering and technology was presented by Kelson and Desseaux [12]. Gorla and Nakamura [13] discussed the combined convection from a rotating cone to micropolar fluids with an arbitrary variation of surface temperature. Prathap Kumar et al. [14] studied the effect of surface conditions on the micropolar flow driven by a porous stretching sheet. In [15], the case of mixed convection flow of a micropolar fluid past a semi-infinite, steadily moving porous plate with varying suction velocity normal to the plate in the presence of thermal radiation and viscous dissipation was discussed. Mansour et al. [16] studied heat and mass transfer effects on the magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) flow of a micropolar fluid on a circular cylinder. El-Hakiem [17] proposed the dissipation effects on the MHD-free convective flow over a non-isothermal surface in a micropolar fluid. Joule heating and mass transfer effects on the MHD-free convective flow in micropolar fluid are investigated by El-Hakiem et al. [18] and El-Amin [19], respectively. In [20], the derivation of the unsteady MHD-free convection flow of micropolar fluid past a vertical moving porous plate in a porous medium was presented. Many researchers investigated different aspects of micropolar fluid [21–23].

The study of heat source/sink effects on heat transfer is another important issue in the study of several physical problems. The effect of non-uniform heat source, only confined to the case of viscous fluids, was also included in [24–27], while Mabood et al. [28] investigated non-uniform heat source/sink effects and Soret effects on MHD non-Darcian convective flow past a stretching sheet in a micropolar fluid with radiation.

Combined heat and mass transfer problems with chemical reactions are important in many processes of interest in engineering and have received significant attention in recent years. These processes include drying, evaporation at the surface of a water body, energy transfer in a wet cooling tower and the flow in a desert cooler [29]. Chemical reactions are classified as
either homogeneous or heterogeneous. A homogeneous reaction is one which occurs uniformly through a given phase, while a heterogeneous reaction takes place in a restricted region or within the boundary of a phase. A reaction is said to be a first-order reaction if the rate of reaction is directly proportional to the concentration [30, 31]. The effect of chemical reaction on thermal radiation for MHD micropolar fluid and heat and mass transfer was investigated by Das [32]. Hayat et al. [33] considered MHD flow and mass transfer of an upper-convected Maxwell fluid past a porous shrinking sheet with chemical reaction. The behaviour of chemically reactive solute and distribution in MHD boundary layer flow over a permeable stretching sheet were investigated by Bhattacharyya and Layek [34]. Kandasamy et al. [35] studied the effect of transfer of chemically reactive species in MHD-mixed convective flow past over a porous wedge. The solution for diffusion of chemically reactive species in a flow of a non-Newtonian fluid over a stretching sheet immersed in a porous medium was reported by Afify [36], while Mabood et al. [37] reported the effects of chemical reaction and transpiration on MHD stagnation point flow and heat transfer over a stretching sheet.

The main objective of this chapter is to apply a recently developed numerical technique known as Spectral Quasi-Linearization Method (SQLM) in solving MHD micropolar fluid, heat and mass transfer over an unsteady stretching sheet through porous media in the presence of a heat source/sink and chemical reaction. The SQLM was first implemented by Motsa et al. [38].

2. Mathematical formulation

We consider an unsteady two-dimensional, mixed convection flow of a viscous incompressible micropolar fluid, heat and mass transfer over an elastic vertical permeable stretching sheet in the presence of a heat source/sink and chemical reaction. Following [39], the sheet is assumed to emerge vertically in the upward direction from a narrow slot with a velocity,

\[ U_w(x,t) = \frac{ax}{1 - at}, \]  

where both \( a \) and \( \alpha \) are positive constants with dimension per unit time. We measure the positive \( x \) direction along the stretching sheet with the top of the slot as the origin. We then measure the positive \( y \) coordinate perpendicular to the sheet and across the fluid flow. The surface temperature \( T_w \) and the concentration \( C_w \) of the stretching sheet vary along the \( x \) direction and in time \( t \) as

\[ T_w(x,t) = T_e + \frac{bx}{(1 - at)^2}, \quad C_w(x,t) = C_e + \frac{cx}{(1 - at)^2}, \]  

where \( b \) and \( c \) are constants with dimension temperature and concentration respectively, over length. It is noted that the expressions for \( U_w(x,t) \), \( T_w(x,t) \) and \( C_w(x,t) \) are valid only for \( t < \alpha^{-1} \). We also remark that the elastic sheet which is fixed at the origin is stretched by applying
a force in the \(x\)-direction and the effective stretching sheet rate \(a/(1-\alpha t)\) increases with time. Analogously, the sheet temperature and concentration increase (reduce) if \(b\) and \(c\) are positive (negative), respectively, from \(T_\infty\) and \(C_\infty\) at the sheet in the proportion to \(x\). We assume that the radiation effect is significant in this study. The fluid properties are taken to be constant except for density variation with temperature and concentration in the buoyancy terms. Under those assumptions and the Boussinesq approximations, the governing two-dimensional boundary layer equations are given as:

\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = 0, \tag{3}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = \left( \frac{\mu + \kappa}{\rho} \right) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\kappa}{\rho} \frac{\partial N}{\partial y} + g \beta_u (T - T_\infty) + g \beta_c (C - C_\infty) - \frac{\sigma B_0^2 u}{\rho \kappa_p} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2}, \tag{4}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial N}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial N}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial N}{\partial y} = \frac{\gamma}{\rho j} \frac{\partial^2 N}{\partial y^2} - \frac{\kappa}{\rho j} \left( 2N + \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right), \tag{5}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} = \alpha_0 \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2} + \left( \frac{\mu + \kappa}{\rho c_p} \right) \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right)^2 \pm \frac{Q}{\rho c_p} (T - T_\infty), \tag{6}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial C}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial C}{\partial y} = D \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial y^2} - \kappa_c (C - C_\infty). \tag{7}
\]

where \(u\) and \(v\) are the velocity components along the \(x\) and \(y\) axes, respectively, \(T\) is the fluid temperature, \(\mu\) is the component of the microrotation vector normal to the \(x\) \(y\) plane, \(\gamma\) is the spin gradient viscosity, \(\alpha_0\) is the thermal conductivity, \(C_p\) is the heat capacity at constant pressure, \(g\) is the acceleration due to gravity, \(\beta_u\) and \(\beta_c\) are the coefficients of thermal expression and concentration expansion, respectively, \(\beta_0\) is the transverse magnetic field, \(C\) is the concentration of the solutes, \(T_\infty\) and \(C_\infty\) denote the temperature and concentration far away from the plate, respectively, and \(j\) is the microinertia density or microinertia per unit mass. The appropriate boundary conditions for the current model are:

\[
u = U_\infty (x,t), \quad v = V_\infty, \quad N = 0, \quad T = T_\infty (x,t), \quad C = C_\infty (x,t) \quad \text{at} \quad y = 0, \tag{8}
\]

\[
u = 0, \quad T \rightarrow T_\infty, \quad C \rightarrow C_\infty \quad \text{as} \quad y \rightarrow \infty. \tag{9}
\]
3. Similarity analysis

In this section, we transform the partial differential equations into ordinary differential equations. Similarity techniques reduce the number of parameters, as well as improve insight into the comparative size of various terms present in the equations.

3.1. Transformation of the governing equations

In order to transform the governing Eqs. (3)–(7) into a set of ordinary differential equations, we introduce the following transformation variables [40]:

\[
\eta = \frac{a}{v(1-\alpha t)} y, \quad \psi = \frac{av}{(1-\alpha t)} x f(\eta), \quad N = \frac{a^3}{v(1-\alpha t)} x h(\eta), \quad T = T_0 + \frac{bx}{(1-\alpha t)} \theta(\eta), \quad C = C_0 + \frac{cx}{(1-\alpha t)} \phi(\eta),
\]

(10)

where \( \psi(x, y, t) \) is the physical stream function which automatically satisfies the continuity equation. Upon substituting similarity variables into Eqs. (3)–(7), we obtain the following system of ordinary equations:

\[
(1+\Delta) f'' + f f' - f' - \frac{A}{2} (2 f + \eta f') + \Delta h' + \lambda_\theta + \lambda_\phi \phi \left( \frac{M_1}{K_n} \right) f' = 0,
\]

(11)

\[
\lambda_\theta h'' + f h' - f' h - \frac{A}{2} (3 h + \eta h') - \Delta B (2 h + f') = 0,
\]

(12)

\[
\frac{1}{Pr} \theta'' + f \theta' - f' \theta - \frac{A}{2} (4 \theta + \eta \theta') + Ec (1+\Delta) f' + Q \theta + 0 = 0,
\]

(13)

\[
\frac{1}{Sc} \phi'' + f \phi' - f' \phi - K \phi - \frac{A}{2} (4 \phi + \eta \phi') = 0.
\]

(14)

Boundary conditions

The corresponding boundary conditions become:

\[
f'(0) = 1, \quad f(0) = f_0, \quad h(0) = 0, \quad \theta(0) = 1, \quad \phi(0) = 1.
\]

(15)
with

\[ A = \frac{\alpha}{a}, \Delta = \frac{\kappa}{\mu}, \lambda = \frac{g \beta b}{a^2} = \frac{Gr}{Re^2}, \Gr = \frac{g \beta (T_u - T_e) x^3}{v^2}, \Re = \frac{U_0 x}{v}, \alpha_0 = \frac{k}{\rho c_p}, \]

\[ \lambda_0 = \frac{g \beta}{a^2} = \frac{Gc}{Re^2}, GC = \frac{g \beta (C_v - C_e) x^3}{v^2}, \rho, B = \frac{v (1 - \alpha t)}{j a}, \beta = \frac{\nu}{j U_w}, \Pr = \frac{\nu}{\alpha}, \]

\[ Ec = \frac{U_0^2}{c_p (T_u - T_e)} M, M = \frac{\sigma B_i^2 (1 - \alpha t)}{\rho a}, 1 = \frac{\nu (1 - \alpha t)}{\rho a K_p}, \Omega = \frac{Q x}{\rho c_p U_w}, K = \frac{K_c (1 - \alpha t)}{a}. \]

### 3.2. Quantities of engineering interest

The quantities of engineering interest in the present study are the skin-friction coefficient \( C_f \), the local wall couple stress \( M_w \), the local Nusselt number \( Nu \) and the local Sherwood number \( Sh \). The quantities are, respectively, defined by:

\[ C_f = \frac{2}{\rho U_w^2} \left[ (\mu + \kappa) \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right)_{y=0} + \kappa N \right] = 2(1 + \Delta) \Re^{-1/2} f'(0), \]

\[ M_w = \frac{\nu}{v} \left( \frac{\partial N}{\partial y} \right)_{y=0} = \Re^{-1/2} h'(0), \]

\[ Nu = \frac{-x}{T_u - T_e} \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} \right)_{y=0} = -\Re^{1/2} \theta'(0), \]

\[ Sh = \frac{-x}{C_v - C_e} \left( \frac{\partial C}{\partial y} \right)_{y=0} = -\Re^{1/2} \phi'(0). \]

### 4. Method of solution

In this section, we give a brief overview of the Spectral Quasi-linearization Method (SQLM). The SQLM uses the Newton-Raphson-based quasi-linearization method (QLM) to linearize the governing non-linear equations. The QLM was developed by [41]. The SQLM then integrates the QLM using the Chebyshev Spectral collocation method.
4.1. Spectral Quasi-Linearization Method (SQLM)

4.1.1. Main idea

Consider the problem of solving $n$th order non-linear differential equation

\[ F(u, u', u'', \ldots, u^{(n)}) = g(x) \text{ or } F(u) = g(x), \quad (22) \]

subject to prescribed boundary conditions, where $a \leq x \leq b$. The SQLM consists of two basic steps: quasi-linearization and Chebyshev differentiation in that order.

**Quasi-linearization:** If we expand left-hand side of Eq. (22) in Taylor series about $v(v, v', v'', \ldots, v^{(n)}) = g(x)$ and re-arrange the terms in the resulting equation we get:

\[ u \nabla F(v) = v \nabla F(v) - F(v) + g(x). \quad (23) \]

If $v$ is given then the previous equation can be used to solve for $u$. Keeping this in mind, we replace $v$ and $u$ with approximations $u_r$ and $u_{r+1}$ of $u$ at the end of $r$ and $r+1$ iterations, respectively. This results in the $n$th order linear differential equation

\[ a_{n-r} u_{r+1} + a_{n-r} \frac{du_{r+1}}{dx} + a_{n-r+1} \frac{d^2u_{r+1}}{dx^2} + \ldots + a_{2} \frac{d^2u_{r+1}}{dx^2} + a_{1} \frac{du_{r+1}}{dx} + a_{0} u_{r+1} = R_{r+1} \quad (24) \]

Where $a_{n-r} = F_u(u_r)$, $F_u^{(m)} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial u}^{(m)}$ and

\[ R_{r+1} = a_{n-r} u_{r+1} + a_{n-r} u_{r+1}' + a_{n-r+1} u_{r+1}'' + \ldots + a_{2} u_{r+1}'' + a_{1} u_{r+1}' - F(u_r) + g(x) \quad (25) \]

**Chebyshev differentiation:** To solve the differential Eq. (24) we start by performing the following preliminary steps.

1. Using the linear mapping

\[ x(\xi) = \frac{1 - \xi}{2} a + \frac{1 + \xi}{2} b \quad (26) \]

we transform Eq. (24) on the physical interval $[a, b]$, say, on the $x$ axis to its equivalent...
on the computational interval $[-1, 1]$ on the $\xi$ axis, where $\beta = \frac{2}{n+1}$.

2. Partition interval $[-1, 1]$ using the collocation points $\xi = \frac{\pi i}{N}$ where $i = 0, 1, 2, ..., N$.

Next we calculate differential Eq. (27) at each collocation point $\xi_i$. This is followed by approximating each derivative using the formula:

$$\frac{d^r u}{d\xi^r}(\xi) = \sum_{j=0}^{N} D^r_{ij} u_j(\xi_j)$$

where $D$ is the $(N+1)\times(N+1)$ Chebyshev differentiation matrix [1]. This process is called Chebyshev differentiation. The differential Eq. (27) is then evaluated at points $\xi_0, \xi_1, ..., \xi_N$ with a linear system

$$A \begin{bmatrix} u_0(\xi_0) \\ u_1(\xi_1) \\ \vdots \\ u_N(\xi_N) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} R_0(\xi_0) \\ R_1(\xi_1) \\ \vdots \\ R_N(\xi_N) \end{bmatrix}$$

which upon including the boundary conditions and solving for each $r$ generates a sequence $\{u_r\}$ of approximation which we expect to converge.

4.2. Application to current problem

Eq. (14) is of the form

$$F (f, f', \phi, \phi') = 0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (28)

where

$$F (f, f', \phi, \phi') = \frac{1}{Sc} \phi'' + f \phi' - f' \phi - K \phi - \frac{A}{2} (4 \phi + \eta \phi').$$  \hspace{1cm} (29)
Hence quasi-linearization as directed in Section 4.2.1 replaces non-linear differential Eq. (28) with its linear counterpart

\[ d_{0,i} f_{r,i+1} + d_{1,i} f_{r+1,i} + d_{2,i} \phi_{r,i+1} + d_{3,i} \phi_{r+1,i} + d_{4,i} \phi_{r+1,i} = R_c^{(i)} \]  

where

\[ d_{0,i} = \phi_r', \quad d_{1,i} = -\phi_r', \quad d_{2,i} = f_r' - K - 2 A, \quad d_{3,i} = f_r = -\frac{A}{2} \eta_r, \quad d_{4,i} = \frac{1}{Sc}, \quad R_c^{(i)} = -f_r' \phi_r + f_r \phi_r'. \]

Chebyshev differentiation replaces differential Eq. (30) with a linear system

\[ A_{ii} = \text{diag} \{ \Phi_r \} - \text{diag} \{ \Phi_r \} \hat{D}, \]  

\[ A_{ii} = \text{diag} \{ \Phi_r \} + (-K - 2 A) I + \text{diag} \{ \Phi_r - \frac{A}{2} \eta_r \} \hat{D} + \frac{1}{Sc} \hat{D}^2, \]

\[ R_i^{(i)} = -F_r' \Phi_r + F_r \Phi_r'. \]

Therefore the use of Quasi-linearization followed by Chebyshev differentiation replaces differential Eq. (14) with a linear system (31). Similarly, differential Eqs. (11)–(13) are replaced by linear systems, which if combined with a linear system (30) yield a larger linear system

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
A_{11} & A_{21} & A_{31} & A_{41} \\
A_{21} & A_{22} & O & O \\
A_{31} & O & A_{33} & O \\
A_{41} & O & O & A_{44}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
F_{r,i+1} \\
H_{r,i+1} \\
\Theta_{r,i+1} \\
\Phi_{r,i+1}
\end{bmatrix}
= \begin{bmatrix}
R_c^{(i)} \\
R_r^{(2)} \\
R_r^{(3)} \\
R_r^{(4)}
\end{bmatrix}
\]  

subject to boundary conditions
\[ f_{r,1}(\xi) = f_{r,1}, \quad \sum_{k=0}^{N} D_{nk} f(\xi_k) = 1, \quad h_{r,1}(\xi_0) = \delta_N, \quad \theta_{r,1}(\xi_0) = 1, \quad \phi_{r,1}(\xi_0) = 1, \]  
\[ (33) \]

\[ \sum_{k=0}^{N} D_{nk} f(\xi_k) = 0, \quad h_{r,1}(\xi_0) = 0, \quad \theta_{r,1}(\xi_0) = 0, \quad \phi_{r,1}(\xi_0) = 0, \]  
\[ (34) \]

where

\[ A_{11} = \text{diag}\left\{ F_{r}^T \right\} + -2\text{diag}\left\{ F_{r}^T \right\} = \left[ A + M + \frac{1}{K_p} \right] \hat{D} + \text{diag}\left\{ F_{r} - \frac{A}{2} \eta_r \right\} \hat{D}^2 + (1 + \Delta) \hat{D}^4, \]

\[ A_{13} = \Delta \hat{D}, \quad A_{14} = \lambda_4 I, \quad A_{14} = \lambda_4 I, \quad R_{(1)} = F \circ F_{r} - F_{r}' \circ F', \]

\[ A_{21} = \text{diag}\left\{ H_{r}^T \right\} - \text{diag}\left\{ H_r \right\} \hat{D} - \Delta \hat{B} \hat{D}^2, \]

\[ A_{22} = -\text{diag}\left\{ F_{r}^T \right\} - \left[ \frac{3}{2} A + 2 \Delta B \right] I + \text{diag}\left\{ F_{r} - \frac{A}{2} \eta_r \right\} \hat{D} + \lambda_4 \hat{D}^2, \quad R_{(2)} = F \circ H_{r}^T - F_{r}' \circ H_r, \]

\[ A_{31} = \text{diag}\left\{ \Theta_{r}^T \right\} - \text{diag}\left\{ \Theta_r \right\} \hat{D} + 2 \text{Ec}(1 + \Delta) \text{diag}\left\{ F_{r}^T \right\} \hat{D}^2, \]

\[ A_{32} = -\text{diag}\left\{ F_{r} \right\} + (2A + Q) I + \text{diag}\left\{ F_{r} - \frac{A}{2} \eta_r \right\} \hat{D} + \frac{1}{Pr} \hat{D}^2, \]

\[ R_{(3)} = Ec(1 + \Delta) F_{r}^T + F \circ \Theta_{r}^T - F_{r}' \circ \Theta_r, \]

\[ A_{41} = \text{diag}\left\{ \Phi_{r}^T \right\} - \text{diag}\left\{ \Phi_r \right\} \hat{D}, \]

\[ A_{42} = -\text{diag}\left\{ F_{r} \right\} - K + 2A) I + \text{diag}\left\{ F_{r} - \frac{A}{2} \eta_r \right\} \hat{D} + \frac{1}{Sc} \hat{D}^2, \]

\[ R_{(4)} = -F' \circ \Phi_r + F_{r} \circ \Phi_r. \]

\( A \circ B \) denotes the Hadamard product (element-wise multiplication) of matrices \( A \) and \( B \) of the same order, and \( I \) and \( O \) are the identity and zero matrices, respectively. Boundary conditions (33) and (34) of linear system (32) are in the same manner as done in [2]. This is followed by solution of linear system (32) to get approximations \( f_r(\xi_c), \ h_r(\xi_c), \ \theta_r(\xi_c), \ \phi_r(\xi_c) \) for each \( r = 1, 2, \ldots \) and \( c = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, N \). However, this last step requires suitable initial approximation for which we choose.
so as to satisfy boundary conditions (33) and (34).

5. Results and discussion

The non-linear differential Eqs. (11)–(14) with boundary conditions (15)–(16) depend on several parameters, such as micropolar \( \Delta \), unsteadiness \( A \), thermal buoyancy \( \lambda_1 \), solutal buoyancy \( \lambda_2 \), non-dimensional material \( \lambda_3 \), magnetic field \( M \), local porous \( K_p \), non-dimensional parameter \( B \), Eckert number \( \text{Ec} \), heat generation and/or absorption and chemical reaction. All the SQLM results presented in this work were obtained using \( N = 50 \) collocation points, and we are glad to highlight that convergence was achieved in just about five iterations. We take the infinity value \( \eta_\infty \) to be 40. Unless otherwise stated, the default values for the parameters are taken as:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Pr} &= 0.71, \\
B &= 0.1, \\
M &= 1, \\
\text{Ec} &= 0.1, \\
\lambda_1 &= \lambda_2 = 0.5, \\
K_p &= 1, \\
K &= 0.5, \\
f_w &= 0.5, \\
\lambda_3 &= .
\end{align*}
\]

In order to validate our numerical method, it was compared to MATLAB routine bvp4c which is an adaptive Lobatto quadrature iterative scheme. This is depicted in Table 1. In Table 1, we observe that the current results completely agree with the results generated by bvp4c. It is worth noting that convergence of SQLM occurs as early as at the sixth iteration and the method is extremely faster, saving \textit{cpu} time. This gives confidence to our proposed method. We also observe in Table 1 that the rates of transfers are greatly affected by the micropolar parameter \( \Delta \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \Delta )</th>
<th>bvp4c</th>
<th>SQLM</th>
<th>bvp4c</th>
<th>SQLM</th>
<th>bvp4c</th>
<th>SQLM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.51831796</td>
<td>1.51831796</td>
<td>0.969700948</td>
<td>0.96700948</td>
<td>2.2273423</td>
<td>2.22734623</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.29335523</td>
<td>1.29335523</td>
<td>0.89967685</td>
<td>0.89967685</td>
<td>2.23825301</td>
<td>2.23825301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.10530824</td>
<td>1.10530824</td>
<td>0.82937070</td>
<td>0.82937070</td>
<td>2.24887626</td>
<td>2.24887626</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Comparison of the SQLM results of \( -f^\prime(0), -\theta^\prime(0), \phi^\prime(0) \) with those obtained by bvp4c for different values of the micropolar parameter \( \Delta \).

We observe in Table 2 that the wall stresses, the Nusselt and Sherwood numbers are significantly affected by the changing values of the unsteadiness parameter. The skin-friction coefficient as expected increases with increasing values of the stretching parameter.
Table 2. The effects of the unsteadiness parameter on \(-f''(0), h'(0), -\theta'(0), -\phi'(0)\).

Table 3 depicts the influence of the thermal buoyancy parameter on the skin friction coefficient, the local couple wall stress, the local Nusselt and Sherwood numbers. Both local wall stresses are reduced as the values of buoyancy parameters are increased but the Nusselt and Sherwood numbers increase with increasing values of the buoyancy parameters.

Table 3. The influence of the thermal buoyancy parameter on the skin friction coefficient, couple stress and rate of heat and mass transfer coefficient.

Table 4. The effects of the local porous parameter on the skin friction coefficient, couple stress, rate of heat and mass transfer coefficient.

Table 5. The influence of the magnetic field parameter on the skin friction coefficient, couple stress, rate of heat and mass transfer coefficient.

The effect of medium porosity on the wall stresses and the Nusselt and Sherwood numbers is depicted in Table 4. Porosity significantly affects the transfer rates. The effect of magnetic field parameter is depicted in Table 5. As expected, the presence of the magnetic field has prominent
effects on the skin-friction coefficient as well on the heat and mass transfer rates. The drag force that is generated by the presence of magnetic field causes significant resistance to the velocity of the fluid thus increases the wall stresses but reduces the rates of heat and mass transfer.

Table 6 shows the effects of the micropolar parameter and the non-dimensional material parameter on the wall stress. The micropolar parameter increases the values of the wall couple stress, but the non-dimensional material parameter reduces the values of the wall stress.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\Delta$</th>
<th>$h'(0)$</th>
<th>$\lambda_1$</th>
<th>$h'(0)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.05465849</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.22157402</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.23205149</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.08984383</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.39106943</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.05861008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6. The effects of the micropolar parameter and the non-dimensional material parameter on the couple stress.

The influence of the micropolar parameter $\Delta$ on the axial velocity is depicted in Figure 1. It can be observed in Figure 1 that axial velocity is an increasing function of the micropolar parameter. Physically, micropolar fluids show reduced drag compared to viscous fluids.

In Figure 2 we display the effect of unsteadiness parameter on the axial velocity $f'(\eta)$. Increasing the values of the unsteadiness parameter ($A$) causes the velocity boundary layer thickness to decrease, thereby reducing the velocity profiles. This is due to increased drag force on the surface. Surface stretching can therefore be used as a stabilizing mechanism in an effort to delay the transition from laminar flow to turbulent fluid flow.
The effect of the permeability of the porous medium parameter ($K_p$) on the translational velocity distribution profiles is depicted in Figure 3. The translational velocity increases with increasing values of the porosity parameter. Physically, increasing the porosity of the medium implies that the holes of the medium become larger, thereby reducing the resistivity of the medium.

Figure 4 displays the effect of the thermal buoyancy parameter on the translational velocity distribution. The velocity profiles are reduced for the opposing flows ($\lambda_1<0$). However $\lambda_1$ becomes more positive and favourable pressure gradients are enhanced, thereby accelerating the fluid flow as can be clearly observed in Figure 4. It is interesting to note that for large values of the thermal buoyancy parameter, the translational velocity over-shoots near the wall over the moving speed of the sheet. This substantiates the notion that buoyancy accelerates
transition from laminar flow to turbulent flow; therefore, this must always be properly regulated in systems where turbulence is destructive. We also remark that solutal buoyancy as expected has the same effect as thermal buoyancy.

Figure 4. The influence of the thermal buoyancy on the axial velocity.

Figure 5. Variation of angular velocity with $A$.

The influence of the unsteadiness parameter on the angular velocity $h(\eta)$ is displayed in Figure 5. The unsteadiness parameter has pronounced influence on the angular velocity with values of $h(\eta)$ picking up at $\eta=1$, as can be clearly seen in Figure 5. However, the angular velocity approaches zero as $\eta$ increases infinitely and the unsteadiness parameter ($A$) increases.
Figure 6 shows the effect of the microrotation parameter ($B$) on the angular velocity. We observe that the microrotation effect is more pronounced as expected near the surface. Increasing values of $B$ results in much increasing values of the angular velocity profiles.

![Figure 6. Influence of $B$ on the velocity.](image)

We observe in Figure 7 that the angular velocity is significantly affected by the micropolar parameter ($\Delta$). The angular velocity is greatly induced due to the vortex viscosity effect as $\Delta$ increases.

![Figure 7. Variation of the angular velocity with $\Delta$.](image)

The effect of thermal buoyancy parameter ($\lambda_1$) is displayed in Figure 8. We observe that the angular velocity $h(\eta)$ increases with increasing values of the thermal buoyancy parameter $\lambda_1$.

![Figure 8.](image)
Figure 8. Angular velocity profiles for various values of thermal buoyancy.

In Figure 9, we display the effect of the metrical parameter on the angular velocity. The angular velocity is greatly reduced by increasing value of $\lambda_3$. This means that either the spin gradient coefficient increases or the microinertia density is reduced.

Figure 9. Variation of angular velocity with material parameter.

The effect of the unsteadiness parameter is displayed in Figure 10. The thermal boundary layer thickness is greatly reduced by increasing values of the unsteadiness parameter thus reducing the fluid temperature distribution.

Figure 10 displays the effect of viscous dissipation on the temperature distribution resulting in increased Eckert number that causes heat energy to be stored in the region as a result of
dissipation. This dissipation is caused by viscosity and elastic deformation, thus generating heat due to the frictional heating.

The effect of thermal buoyancy parameter is depleted in Figure 12. The thermal boundary layer thickness is reduced when the value of the thermal buoyancy is increased. The fluid temperature is reduced at every point, except at the wall with increasing values of the thermal buoyancy parameter.

![Figure 10](image1.png)

Figure 10. Temperature profile for various values of $A$.

![Figure 11](image2.png)

Figure 11. Variation of temperature with the Eckert number.
Figure 12. Temperature profile for various values of thermal buoyancy.

Figure 13 displays the variation of temperature distribution within the fluid flow for various values of the heat source/sink parameter. As expected, the fluid temperature increases with increasing values of heat at the source but decreases with increasing values of heat at a sink.

Figure 13. Temperature profile for various values of heat source/sink.

Figure 14 shows the variation of the unsteadiness parameter on the concentration profiles. It is clearly observed that increasing values of $A$ reduces both the solutal boundary layer thickness thus reduces the concentration distributions.
Lastly, the influence of a chemical reaction parameter on the concentration profiles is depicted in **Figure 15**. Physically, the concentration profiles decreases as the chemical reaction parameter increases.

**6. Conclusions**

The problem of MHD micropolar fluid, heat and mass transfer over unsteadiness stretching sheet through porous medium in the presence of a heat source/sink and chemical reaction is
studied in this chapter. By applying suitable similarity transformations, we transformed the
governing partial differential equations into a system of ordinary differential equations. We
then applied the recently developed numerical technique known as the SQLM to solve the
resultant set of non-linear ordinary differential equations. The accuracy of the SQLM was
validated against the bvp4c routine method. We observed that the SQLM performs much better
than the bvp4c in terms of rate of convergence as well cpu time.

Based on the present study, the following conclusions are made:

1. Buoyancy forces accelerate the fluid flow near the velocity boundary layer in cases of
   assisting flows but retard the fluid flow in cases of opposing flows.
2. The unsteadiness parameter significantly affects the fluid properties as expected.
3. Both velocity components are increasing functions of the micropolar parameter, while the
temperature and concentration distributions are reduced as the micropolar parameter
   increases.
4. The presence of the viscous dissipation produces heat due to friction between the fluid
   particles which in turn causes an increase of fluid temperature.
5. The transfer rates of a micropolar fluid are greatly enhanced as either the values of the
   buoyancy parameter unsteadiness Prandtl or Schmidt number are increased. But these
   rates are reduced as either the values of the micropolar parameters, magnetic field
   parameter, Eckert number or chemical reaction parameter are increased.
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