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Abstract

In this work, we report on the photocatalytic properties of β-FeOOH/TiO\textsubscript{2} heterojunction material for the inactivation of \textit{Escherichia coli}. XRD, HRTEM, EELS, ELNEFS were used to characterize the as-prepared material. A log reduction of the initial bacterial population was achieved after 45 min of irradiation in the presence of 0.1 mL of hydrogen peroxide. The enhanced photocatalytic activity was due to the effective charge transfer between Ti\textsuperscript{4+}, Fe\textsuperscript{3+}, and O\textsuperscript{2+} as shown from the EELS analysis of the heterojunction structure. The role of various reactive species formed due to the photocatalytic reaction was also investigated. Presence of •OH radicals in the bulk solution was the key factor in the photocatalytic inactivation of \textit{E. coli}.
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1. Introduction

Risk associated with waterborne diseases such as typhoid, hepatitis A and E, polio, diarrhoea, and cholera are increasing in developing countries due to shortages of clean and safe drinking water [1]. Various chemical and physical treatment processes have been used to disinfect drinking water. Chlorination is a widely used method to disinfect water. However, chlorination can be problematic as it reacts with naturally occurring organic compounds in water to produce carcinogenic by-products such as trihalomethanes (THMs) and haloacetic acids (HAAs)
Ozonation is another method that is used to disinfect water [1]. On the other hand, ozonation is an energy intensive technique which can be proved to be costly in many developing countries. Physical separation process like thermal destruction at elevated temperatures and membrane filtration of pathogens is effective but not economically feasible. Water disinfection by UV radiation can also be utilized. Conversely, the use of direct and intensive UV radiation possesses health hazards. Hence, its application is limited within the special medical and laboratory purposes only [3, 4]. Therefore, new techniques are required to control the spread of microorganisms in water due to the complications related to different water disinfection processes [4]. Photocatalysis is a promising method that can be used to disinfect water cheaply, as the energy required to activate photocatalytic reaction can be obtained freely from the sun. In the presence of light, electron (e\(^{-}\)) - hole (h\(^{+}\)) pairs are generated due to band gap photoexcitation of photocatalyst (semiconductors) [5]. The photo generated (e\(^{-}\))-(h\(^{+}\)) pairs are capable of oxidising organic matter either directly or indirectly by reacting with solvents or additives to generate highly nonselective reactive species, hydroxide radicals (•OH) [5–7]. Photocatalysis is an environmentally benign process as the ultimate by-product of the treatment process is carbon dioxide and water.

Since the discovery of water splitting by Fujishima and Honda using TiO\(_2\) as a photocatalyst [8], TiO\(_2\) is generally considered as nontoxic, photocorrosion resistant, and inexpensive photocatalyst [5]. One of the biggest drawbacks of TiO\(_2\) as photocatalyst is that it can only utilise photon from near UV light range to generate electron (e\(^{-}\)) - hole (h\(^{+}\)) pairs due to its very large band gap (3.2 eV) [6, 9]. The UV region is only 4% in the solar spectrum. Hence, a large amount of sunlight is unexploited in TiO\(_2\) photocatalysis processes. Because of this, designing of efficient visible-light driven (VLD), TiO\(_2\)-based photocatalyst is of importance from energy, environment, and economic point of view. Various TiO\(_2\)-based VLD photocatalyst has been developed up to date [9–14]. Most of the developed catalyst has been tested for the degradation of organic pollutants. There is a scarcity of literature in the application of VLD photocatalyst for the inactivation of microorganisms in water. A great deal of effort should be given in improving the efficiency of photocatalytic inactivation of microorganisms to prevent the outbreak of waterborne diseases and to cope with the future energy and environmental challenges [4].

The efficacy of the VLD photocatalyst depends on the efficient separation of charge carriers. Formation of heterojunction between TiO\(_2\) and other oxide materials have been proven to be an effective way of enhancing the efficiency of photocatalysis process under visible light [6, 9, 10, 15]. Besides the band potential matching of the semiconductors, ability to conduct (e\(^{-}\)) – (h\(^{+}\)) pairs in the respective electron and hole accepting semiconductors are very important in the formation of a heterojunction structure [6, 10]. In our previous work [6], we have prepared β-FeOOH/TiO\(_2\) heterojunction for photocatalytic degradation of textile effluent. However, the photocatalytic efficiency of the heterojunction for microorganism inactivation has not been evaluated, despite a strong correlation between antimicrobial effect and organic compounds degradation effect [4, 16]. Within this view, we report on the photocatalytic inactivation of microorganisms by using β-FeOOH/TiO\(_2\) heterojunction as a VLD photocatalyst. Escherichia coli was used as a target microorganism. Hydrogen peroxide was used as a
green electron scavenger to increase the photocatalytic inactivation efficiency of the VLD photocatalyst.

2. Materials and Methods

Analytical grade reagents, without any further purifications, were used in all preparation methods. FeCl$_3$.6H$_2$O, NH$_4$OH, EtOH, hydrogen peroxide (30% V/V), isopropanol, sodium oxalate, Cr(VI), and Degussa-P25 were purchased from Sigma Aldrich South Africa.

2.1. Catalyst preparation

Nanorod-shaped β-FeOOH particles were synthesised according to the previously reported method [17, 18]. Typical synthesis process consists of adding certain amount of FeCl$_3$.6H$_2$O in a solution of equal amount of water and EtOH (V/V). The final pH of the solution was kept at ~2. 200 mL of the solution was placed in a Teflon lined autoclave. The autoclave was slowly heated to 100°C and was kept at that temperature for 2 h; then followed by washing and centrifuging of the precipitated sample once the autoclave cooled down naturally. The sample were dried in a desiccator.

The method of heterojunction formation between TiO$_2$ and P25 was adopted from previous‐ly reported study [6, 19, 20]. Maleic acid was used as an organic binder to form a chemically bonded interface between TiO$_2$ and β-FeOOH. The role of maleic acid was, to anchor the TiO$_2$ on the surface of β-FeOOH through its dicarboxylic functional group [21]. In a typical synthesis procedure, an appropriate amount of β-FeOOH nanorods were dispersed in 30 mL of EtOH. In a separate beaker, a gram of P25 powder was dispersed in 30 ml of EtOH. Maleic acid of 0.1 M concentration (10 mL) was added to the β-FeOOH suspension. Both solutions were stirred for 4 h. After 4 h, the TiO$_2$ suspension was added to the β-FeOOH suspension and stirred for 12 h. The mixture was washed and centrifuged several times. The samples were dried in an oven at 60°C. The dried samples were calcined at 300°C. The calcined samples were further treated by UV irradiation for 4 h.

2.2. E. coli cell preparation

Single colony of E. coli bacterial cells was taken from the stock and incubated in 10% nutrient broth solution at 37°C for 18 h with shaking. Certain amount of culture was washed with saline and centrifuged at 2000 rpm for 5 minute. The supernatant solution was discarded, and the bacterial pellet was re-suspended in sterile saline solution.

2.3. Photocatalytic inactivation of E. coli

The photocatalytic inactivation of E. coli was carried in a homemade jacketed glass reactor. A catalyst load of 0.02 g was added to 100 mL of deionised water and placed in the reactor. A 300 W halogen tungsten lamp was used as a visible light source. The halogen tungsten lamp was placed inside a cooling jacket. The circulating water in the cooling jacket placed around
the halogen tungsten lamp minimised the heat generation due to infrared light emitted from the halogen lamp and also acted as a UV filter. The lamp with the cooling jacket was submerged in the 100 mL catalyst suspension placed in the glass reactor under stirring. The solution temperature was always found to be ~30°C. Hydrogen peroxide (0.1 mL) was used as a green electron scavenger. A Li-CORE LI-250A light meter coupled with quantum photosynthetically active radiation PAR (PAR photosynthetically active radiation) sensor was used to measure the photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD) emitted from the halogen tungsten lamp. The PPFD emitted from the halogen tungsten light source was measured to be $1 \times 10^4 \mu$mol·s$^{-1}$. It was assumed that the number of incident photons reaching the catalyst surface will be constant throughout the reactor because of homogeneous suspension around lamp. A working cell suspension was obtained by serially diluting the stock microbial solution and adding 1 mL of the solution to the 100 mL catalyst suspension. An initial concentration of ~300 CFU/mL of *E. coli* was used for all the experiments reported in this study. Sample from the reactor was withdrawn at regular interval and centrifuged to separate the solid catalyst. The supernatant solution was diluted with sterilized solution. An appropriate amount of diluted sample was spread in agar solution and incubated at 37°C for 24 h. The number of viable cells was determined by counting the number of the colonies formed. All experiments were conducted in triplicates.

2.4. Characterization of the material

A Phillips PW 3830/40 Generator with Cu-Kα radiation was used to determine the X-ray diffraction patterns of the heterojunction structure for phase identification purposes. High resolution transmission electron microscopy (HR-TEM) was performed using a Tecnai F20 FEG-TEM, equipped with a Gatan Image Filter (GIF2001) for morphology evaluation and also electron energy loss spectroscopy (EELS) and energy-filtered TEM (EFTEM) analysis. Plural scattering and the contribution from low-energy plasmon losses were eliminated by applying a power law background-shape to each spectrum.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. β-FeOOH/TiO$_2$ heterojunction characterization

Degusa P25, a mixture of anatase and rutile, was used as TiO$_2$ material. Hence, both anatase (JCPDS card no: 71–1167) and rutile (JCPDS card no: 75–1748) peaks could be observed from the XRD patterns of TiO$_2$ (Data not shown). Figure 1a presents TEM Micrographs of TiO$_2$. Selected area electron diffraction pattern (Figure 1b) shows that the TiO$_2$ is predominantly anatase as the first four diffracting planes indicates. High-resolution TEM micrograph viewed along the [001] direction (Inset Figure 1a), allowed for direct measurement of the lattice constants and found to be value of $a = b = 0.378$ nm, as determined for the tetragonal crystal structure. A value of 0.944 nm was calculated for lattice constant $c$ from the SAED pattern (1b). TEM image of the pristine β-FeOOH nanorods (JCPDS No. 42–1315) is presented in Figure 1c. TEM image of the β-FeOOH/TiO$_2$ heterojunction material is presented in Figure 1e. It can be
seen from Figure 1e that tight contacts between particles have been formed. Interestingly no rod-shaped β-FeOOH/TiO$_2$ particles were found in the heterojunction structure. Transformation of rod shaped β-FeOOH to pseudo spherical particles in chemically bonded interface between β-FeOOH and TiO$_2$ has been well documented in our earlier work [6]. Figure 1d presents the EDS of the heterojunction structure. The presence of Fe and Ti signal in the EDS is a signature of the formation of a composite structure. Further evaluation of heterojunction formation between β-FeOOH and TiO$_2$ was done by electron energy loss-filtered transmission electron microscopy (EFTEM). Formation of interface between β-FeOOH and TiO$_2$ in the composite material can be clearly seen from the EFTEM images EFTEM images (Figure 1f–h).

Anatase and rutile both have Ti$^{4+}$ valency as well as a tetragonal crystal structure. However, rutile contains six atoms per unit cell whereas anatase has twelve. In either polymorph, each Ti atom is coordinated to six O atoms, whereas each O atom is coordinated to three Ti atoms, thereby forming a perfect TiO$_6$ octahedron. These octahedra are slightly slanted in both anatase and rutile, with two Ti-O distances being 0.1 Å greater in length compared to the other four bond lengths. This is accompanied by a deviation from 90° of the O-Ti-O angles. Subsequently, the local point-group symmetry is lowered around the Ti atom from O$_h$ to D$_{2h}$ in rutile and D$_{2d}$ in anatase. Anatase and rutile differ as a result of secondary coordination during which the TiO$_6$ octahedra are joined together by sharing two edges in rutile and four in anatase [22, 23]. A study of the molecular orbital (MO) energy level diagram of rutile [24] shows that the two lowest unoccupied orbitals separate into a threefold t$_{2g}$ and twofold e$_g$ orbital, commonly known as the crystal-field orbitals. In ideal O$_h$ symmetry, they consist of two distinct levels; however, with the lowering of the symmetry in rutile, t$_{2g}$ orbital splits into b$_{1g}$, a$_g$ and b$_{2g}$ sub-orbitals, whereas the e$_g$ orbital morphs into b$_{1g}$ and a$_g$ sub-levels. Tight-binding theory [25] shows that the electronic band structure for rutile exhibits L$_{2,3}$ ionization edges in the conduction band, which are basically t$_{2g}$ and e$_g$ levels, their maxima separated by about 4.5 eV; for anatase, the L$_2$ and L$_3$ bands are slightly closer at 3.8 eV. From Figure 2a, it can be seen that the L$_{2,3}$ obtained during electron energy loss maxima is separated by 5.17 eV (465.31 and 470.48
eV), with the crystal-field splitting of the $L_2$ and $L_3$ lineshapes into the respective $t_{2g}$ and $e_g$ sub-bands clearly visible, as indicated by the arrows in the inset. As determined from the SAED pattern of Figure 1b, the P25 particles are predominantly anatase; however, the separation of the $L_3$ and $L_2$ ionization edges of 5.17 eV suggests the presence of rutile.

Figure 2b shows the $L_{2,3}$ ionization edge of the β-FeOOH nanorods. In all iron-oxides, this lineshape is characterized by Fe 2p → 3d and 4s transitions. However, a lower probability of transition to the $s$ orbitals exist, and hence, the Fe $L_{2,3}$ edges are comprised of mainly excitation to Fe 3d orbitals. This spin–orbit interaction separates the Fe $L_3$ ($2p_{3/2}$) and $L_2$ ($2p_{1/2}$) edges by about 13 eV. The unoccupied states in the 3d bands of the Fe atom are related to the white-line intensities. EELS of β-FeOOH shows an ionization edge onset at 708 eV, peaking at 716.58 eV for $L_3$, and 729.63 eV for the $L_2$ edge. A deconvolution of the $L_3$ peak shows the characteristic crystal field splitting into the $t_{2g}$ and $e_g$ bands. This is shown in the inset of Figure 2b. The $L_3/L_2$ ratio of 2.29 is recorded for these nanostructures.

The EELS spectrum of the composite material is shown in Figure 2c and compared to that of the P25 and as-synthesized β-FeOOH nanorods samples. A study of the $L_{3,2}$ ionization edge of
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Figure 2. (a) EELS of pristine P25, (b) EELS of the Fe $L_{1,2}$ and oxygen K edges of the β-FeOOH nanorods, and (c) comparison of EELS between P25, β-FeOOH nanorods and β-FeOOH/TiO$_2$ heterojunction structure.
Ti and Fe in Figure 2c shows a lack of evidence of the crystal-field splitting in the Ti L$_{3,2}$ lineshape, which is accompanied by a rearrangement of the L$_3$/L$_2$ ratio of Fe to 1.65. Investigation of the O K edge shows a similar decrease in the splitting. This suggested the presence of charge transfer/bonding between the Ti$^{4+}$, Fe$^{3+}$, and O$^{2-}$ ions during the composite synthesis.

3.2. Evaluation of photocatalytic inactivation of *E. coli*

Figure 3a presents the photocatalytic activity of the heterojunction structure under visible light. A common microorganism; i.e. that is *E. coli* was used to evaluate the inactivation efficiency of the *E. coli*. In the absence of light, the photocatalyst did not show any antimicrobial activity, implying that the heterojunction material is not toxic. It is known that UV ray can inactivate microorganisms. A halogen tungsten lamp with a water filter was used to simulate the solar irradiation. In the absence of catalyst, there was no decrease in bacterial population after 45 minutes of irradiation. Hence, the role of UV-induced disinfection of the bacterial cells can be ignored. In the presence of the catalyst and light only, no significant reduction of bacterial population was observed. The efficiency of a photocatalyst depends on the successful separation of photogenerated $e^-$ — $h^+$. Hydrogen peroxide have been used in many studies as a green electron scavenger [26–28]. Hydrogen peroxide (in the presence of light only) was used to evaluate the role of hydrogen peroxide in the inactivation of *E. coli*. In the absence of catalyst (in the presence of light and hydrogen peroxide), only 0.2 log reduction of bacterial population was achieved after 45 minutes of irradiation. However, in the presence of light, catalyst and hydrogen peroxide 1 log reduction of bacterial population was achieved. This composition showed a synergistic catalytic inactivation of *E. coli*. Three different catalyst load, i.e. that is 0.01, 0.02, and 0.04 g/L, was evaluated to optimise the photocatalytic inactivation of *E. coli*. 0.5 log reduction of bacterial population was achieved in the case of 0.01 and 0.04 g/L catalyst load. A catalyst load of 0.02 g/L showed the highest photocatalytic inactivation (1 log reduction). It is well known that the presence of excessive amount of catalyst creates the screening effect which reduces the photocatalytic activity of the catalyst in a given system.
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**Figure 3.** (a) Catalytic activity of the heterojunction structure, (b) Effect of catalyst load on photocatalytic inactivation of *E. coli*. 
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3.3. Photocatalytic inactivation mechanism of *E. coli*

In our previous study [6], we have showed the existence of an inter semiconductor $e^−-h^+$ transport mechanism in the $\beta$-FeOOH/TiO$_2$ heterojunction structure. This phenomenon was responsible for the enhanced photocatalytic performance of the structure. Various reactive species are, e.g. for example, •OH and O$_2$ •−; are generated during the photocatalytic reaction [(Equations. (1)–(4)]. However, the roles of photogenerated reactive species, whether they remain bound to the surface or diffuse into the bulk solution, were not investigated in the case of $\beta$-FeOOH/TiO$_2$ heterojunction structure.

$$h^+_{vb} + H_2O \rightarrow •OH + H^+ \quad (1)$$

$$H_2O_2 + e^- \rightarrow •OH + OH^- \quad (2)$$

$$H_2O_2 \rightarrow •OH \quad (3)$$

$$O_2 + e^- \rightarrow O_2 •^- \quad (4)$$

As discussed previously, in the absence of the hydrogen peroxide, no significant reduction in bacterial population was observed. This was due to the rapid recombination of $e^−-h^+$ pairs. Hydrogen peroxide itself in the presence of UV (emitted from halogen tungsten lamp) generates •OH [(Equation. (3)] via photolysis. To evaluate the role of bacterial inactivation due to valence band hole, 40 µmol L$^{-1}$, Cr (VI) was used as an electron scavenger [4] to isolate the role of photolysis (Figure 4). It can be seen from Figure 4a that 87% of the bacterial colony was reduced. This highlights the possibility photocatalytic inactivation of *E. coli* by either •OH [(Equation (1)] or O$_2$ •− [(Equation. (4)]. Sodium oxalate of 20 µmol L$^{-1}$ concentration, a hole scavenger [4], was used to explore the role of O$_2$ •− for bacterial inactivation purpose. It can be seen from Figure 4 that no significant reduction of bacterial population occurred in the absence of valence band hole. Hence, the contribution of O$_2$ •− was postulated to be minimal. So, it can be postulated that the photocatalytic inactivation of *E. coli* is mainly due to the presence •OH.

To evaluate the significant •OH generation process [(between Equation (1), and 2+(3)] and its role in *E. coli* inactivation, sodium oxalate and hydrogen peroxide were added simultaneously in the solution. This was done to suppress the formation of •OH due to Equation (1). Hence, the effect of Equations (2) and (3) could be observed directly. It can be seen from Figure 4 that the reduction in bacterial population was lower compared to when Cr(VI) was used as an electron scavenger. This demonstration postulated that there is a synergistic effect between photocatalysis and photolysis in the generation of •OH for *E. coli* inactivation. Isopropanol was used as a radical quenching agent to isolate the effect of catalyst surface bound •OH or those diffusing in the solution. Isopropanol is easily oxidised by •OH and exhibits low affinity towards semiconductor surface in aqueous media as reported in previous literature [4,
Isopropanol of 15 mol L\(^{-1}\) isopropanol was used as a •OH quenching agent in the presence of hydrogen peroxide. The photocatalytic inactivation was completely inhibited in the presence of isopropanol highlighting the role of •OH in bulk solution. From the control experiments, it was established that the catalyst and the scavengers (the amount used) had no significant effect on the inactivation of \(E. coli\).
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**Figure 4.** Role of reactive species on the photocatalytic inactivation of \(E. coli\).

### 4. Conclusion

\(\beta\)-FeOOH/TiO\(_2\) heterojunction prepared via organic linker mediated route was used for photocatalytic inactivation of \(E. coli\). Controlled experiments showed that the as-as-prepared catalyst had no antimicrobial properties in the absence of light. The catalyst showed good photocatalytic properties in the presence of simulated solar light and 0.1 mL of hydrogen peroxide. Hydrogen peroxide acted as a green electron scavenger to inhibit the \(e^-\ h^+\) recombination rate. Different scavenger was used to identify the role of exact reactive species in the photocatalytic disinfection of \(E. Coli\). Generation of \(\bullet\)OH via photocatalysis and photolysis and its presence in the bulk solution was the main driver behind the inactivation of \(E. coli\).
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