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Abstract

A rapid increase in mining industries associated with an increase in lead demand has resulted in the problem of lead poisoning. In this study, the initial causes of lead pollution were investigated. The results suggest that soil pollution from lead did not occur in urban and agricultural areas due to the efforts of decreased lead use and an increase in recycling; however, serious pollution locally occurred in the areas where metallurgy and mining industries were present. Therefore, remediation must be carried out in the latter areas. Next, the efficiency of lead remediation by plants and microorganisms in the areas with increased lead pollution was assessed. The plants showing high potential have been developed, and phytoextraction is a promising process. However, a more cost-effective method is necessary to achieve widespread implementation. Thus, a novel remediation method (the landfarming with immobilized microorganisms (LIM) method) to overcome the problem of cost was proposed. The LIM method combines the immobilized technique with landfarming. As the treatment period is short and the lead can readily be recycled from the soil, the LIM method may be a better alternative to phytoextraction for lead remediation.
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1. Introduction

Pollution by heavy metals has gradually worsened in Asian, African, and South American countries, partly due to the excessive use of pesticides for growing crops which may in turn lead to the pollution of groundwater and well water. An investigation by the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO)/United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP)/World Health Organization (WHO) suggests that the number of patients with pesticide poisoning is approximately 1–5 million annually, and several thousand cases are fatal. In some Asian countries, wells reserved for drinking water were dug below the acceptable levels to avoid pesticide pollution, and consequently, many inhabitants developed arsenic poisoning [1, 2]. Rivers and soils are also polluted by industrial wastes in those countries. In particular, wastes from metallurgy and mining industries contain various heavy metal ions, and wastes from leather industries contain cadmium and chromium. These wastes are typically exhausted and discarded in nearby rivers and in the air due to the lack of posttreatment equipment or strictly controlled landfill sites, resulting in detrimental groundwater and soil pollution.

Additionally, environmental pollution by heavy metals has resulted in serious disease. In Japan, four historic cases of metal pollution occurring predominately in the 1950s have been reported, which include “ouch-ouch” disease and Minamata disease. In 1910, a mining company eliminated cadmium waste into a nearby river. The inhabitants who drank directly from the river or ingested food grown along the riverbanks developed cadmium poisoning and exhibited symptoms such as spine and leg pain and fragile bones. In another case of heavy metal poisoning, the improper elimination of methylmercury waste into a nearby river resulted in neuroparalysis (Minamata disease) among the locals. Recent problems due to heavy metal pollution in some countries may be more serious than the previous incidences in Japan. Therefore, it is critical to remediate polluted areas as quickly as possible in order to decrease the risk of disease.

Lead is an important heavy metal because it is widely used to produce electronics, crystal glasses, and batteries. The annual consumption of lead has been increasing at a high rate in China due to the increased demand for cars and power-assisted bicycles according to the rapid economic growth. Recently, a relationship between high blood lead levels and lead pollution in lead mining areas has been proclaimed as a serious problem [3]. Some reports have suggested that many children living in the areas near mining industries developed symptoms of lead poisoning [4]. Therefore, the control of lead emissions and remediation of soil polluted from lead are crucial.

The purpose of this chapter is to assess both the causes of lead pollution and the efficiency of bioremediation by plants and microorganisms. First, the author investigated the causes of lead contamination, and the results suggest that soil pollution from lead occurred particularly in the areas where metallurgy and mining industries were present. Then, the author determined whether phytoextraction is a practical method for lead remediation in these areas. Finally, the author proposed a novel lead remediation process which employs microorganisms. The proposed process, the LIM method, combines immobilization with landfarming. As the
treatment period in the LIM method is much shorter and lead can be readily collected from the soil, the process may be a viable alternative to phytoextraction.

2. Assessment of the causes of lead pollution

2.1. History of lead use

Lead has been utilized in the production of many products, such as tableware, tubes, and pipes, since the age of Ancient Rome [5] because it can be easily manipulated due to its low melting point and malleability. However, lead poisoning has gradually increased since the 1970s. One major source of lead poisoning has been gasoline. Gasoline containing tetraethyl lead or tetramethyl lead (lead gasoline) was widely utilized to protect car engines. A significant amount of volatile organic lead exhausted by cars triggered air and soil pollution, resulting in the symptoms of lead poisoning. In Japan, the soil near the roads in large cities contained 10–30 g/kg of lead. To remedy this problem, alkylate gasoline was developed and regular and high-octane gasolines were changed to lead-free gasoline in 1975 and 1987, respectively, in Japan. The United States banned lead gasoline sales in 1995 in accordance with the Clean Air Act, and EU banned lead gasoline in 2000. Many other countries (more than 50) have banned or decreased the amount of lead in gasoline [6]. Another major source of lead poisoning was water contamination due to lead pipes. Lead pipes have been used to transport tap water in many countries and were used as service pipes for tap water until the 1970s in Japan. In Uruguay, for example, the inhabitants of old houses had elevated blood lead levels, because most of the old houses used lead pipes for tap water [7]. The dangers of lead poisoning from lead pipes are well recognized, and the use of lead is being reconsidered.

The use of lead in 1996 and 2009 in Japan is depicted in Figure 1A [8]. More than 80 % of lead is utilized for the production of lead-acid batteries for cars and industries. The second most common use is in inorganic chemicals, such as a polyvinyl chloride stabilizer, crystal glass, and paint. A polyvinyl chloride stabilizer containing lead was widely used due to its protective effects in the elimination reaction of vinyl chloride by oxygen. Crystal glass, which contains a high concentration of lead(II) oxide (PbO), is also widely used due to its high degree of transparency and refractive index, similar to crystal. Other common uses for lead include solder for electronic materials, tubes for draining and exhausting, and plates for medical equipment and lagging materials of underground cable. Moreover, lead production is rapidly increasing in some countries due to an increase in the production of lead-acid batteries, especially in China (Fig. 1B) [9].

2.2. Emission control of lead in Japan and other countries

Heavy metal pollution has become an increasing concern in the EU [10]. The amount of waste of electrical and electronic equipment (WEEE) in 2005 was approximately 9 million tons and is steadily increasing at a rate of 5 % annually. The wastes are generally burned or buried without any treatment. If the total amount is calculated based on the assumption that WEEE contains approximately 5 % solder, 22, 500 tons of lead is lost as waste every year. In addition,
it has been reported that lead may leak from WEEE and battery waste by the effect of acid rain. In 2000, the EU developed the “Directive of End-of-Life Vehicle (ELV)” to solve the problem of waste. The directive necessitated the recycling of end-of-life vehicles, and the ratio of lead recycling to collecting lead-acid batteries was improved. A similar recycling system has been constructed in Japan, and lead-acid battery recycling is additionally mandated by law.

The EU also issued a strict directive in 2003 on the restrictive use of certain hazardous substances in electrical and electronic equipment (referred to as the “Restriction of Hazardous Substances (RoHS) Directive”). The directive forbids the use of hazardous heavy metals (e.g., Pb, Hg, Cd, and Cr(VI)) in newly produced products of electrical and electric equipment. The United States also issued a similar law, the “Electric Waste Recycling Act of 2003.” In compliance with these directives, lead-free products (solder, glass, and paint) have been developed, and the use of lead-free solder and lead-free paint is now the standard practice in Japan.

Another factor related to lead consumption is polyvinyl chloride consumption. In 2012, global polyvinyl chloride consumption was approximately 36 million tons. Polyvinyl chloride contains approximately 4,500 ppm of lead stabilizer; thus, 162,000 tons of lead stabilizer was exhausted as burned ash. The EU issued a strict directive on the management of packaging waste (Directive 94/62/EC), which banned the use of lead stabilizers in vinyl chloride production. To reach the target value of this directive, lead-free stabilizers, such as those containing Ca and Zn, have been developed. Furthermore, old pipes and electrical codes made of polyvinyl chloride are gradually being changed to lead-free ones in homes and industries.
A schematic illustration of lead recycling is shown in Figure 2. Recycled lead currently occupies 75% of the total lead produced by metallurgy in the EU, and this ratio is increasing. In Japan, over 90% of used lead in batteries was collected and reused in 2009. If a 100% recycling ratio can be obtained and solder, polyvinyl chloride, paint, and glass can be converted to lead-free products as shown in Figure 2, lead emissions should theoretically become negligible.

Figure 2. Schematic illustration of lead production and recycling.

2.3. Causes of air and soil pollution from lead

Due to the efforts to decrease lead emissions, air and soil pollution from lead has decreased. According to an investigation from the 1980s to 2000s on lead concentrations in the air and blood by Thomas et al. [11], the lead concentrations decreased after the ban on lead gasoline. Recently, the blood lead levels (BLLs) of inhabitants and the concentrations of lead in the air and soil in urban and agricultural areas have been investigated. The results suggest that the lead concentrations did not exceed nonpoisonous levels, even in the countries in which lead is produced [12, 13].

In the industrial areas where mining and metallurgy occurred, however, a significant amount of unusable lead was discarded in the soil, and effluent from the factories had been directly exhausted to the rivers without any posttreatment removal of heavy metals. In Uruguay, for example, drinking water and the soil are critically polluted by metallurgy industrial wastes because most of the hazardous wastes are dumped in the rivers [7]. Moreover, lead gasoline is still utilized in Uruguay, and many old cubes of tap water are made of lead. The BLLs in many Uruguayans are much higher than in other countries [14]. In mining areas (e.g., Paraná state) in Brazil, 177,000 tons of waste from metallurgy and mining has remained in the soil for more than 60 years [15]. When lead concentrations in 171 soil portions were analyzed, extremely high concentrations (10,000–52,000 mg/kg) of lead were found in the soil near a metallurgy factory. Moreover, the inhabitants near a mining company in the Czech Republic had an average BLL of 37.2 μg/dL, and 40% of the lead workers in the southwest of Nigeria...
had an average BLL of 60 μg/dL [16, 17]. Additionally, the soil near a car battery processing workshop in Kerman City, Iran, was found to contain 5,780 mg/kg of lead [18]. The BLL of Indians near a residential area was 20–25 μg/dL, and the lead concentration of PM10 (or PM2.5) in the residential area was very high (10–14 mg/m³) [11, 19].

Soil pollution from lead was not observed in the major cities in China, such as Beijing and Hong Kong; therefore, the BLLs of inhabitants in these cities were normal (4–5 μg/dL) [20-22]. The number of mining and metallurgy factories is rapidly increasing in China due to the increased consumption of lead-acid batteries. However, no formal reports on the lead concentration and BLL have been reported in areas predominantly inhabited by mining and metallurgy factories, such as Zhejiang and Guangdong provinces. According to the WEB report [4], it is suspected that 100,000 children are suffering due to lead toxicity. Therefore, in China, the areas predominantly inhabited by mining and/or metallurgy industries are thought to contain extremely high lead concentrations which are exhausted into the air, river, and soil.

Another potential cause of soil pollution from lead is a firing range. One of the worst cases of soil pollution from lead at a firing range demonstrated more than 10 kg/kg of lead due to remnant lead alloy bullets. Therefore, lead pollution in firing ranges may be as harmful as in mining areas.

2.4. Effects of lead pollution on the health of inhabitants living near metallurgy and mining areas

The BLL is an indicator of pollution from lead. Lead decreases the IQ value when the BLL is greater than 20 μg/dL [23]. A test to measure the ability of recognition in monkeys suggested that dysgnosia was observed in monkeys with BLLs of 10–13 μg/dL. Moreover, lead toxicity was observed when the BLL exceeded 40 μg/dL. According to recent studies, the BLL should be maintained below 10 μg/dL [24, 25].

The main route of exposure for an elevated BLL is ingestion. The amount of lead that adult subjects ingest from food is generally 20–25 μg/kg and 5–10 % is absorbed. Approximately 100 mg of lead is present in the body. The sensitivity of lead in a child is much higher than in an adult because 40 % of the ingested lead is absorbed. A previous report demonstrated that when more than 5 μg/kg/day of lead was ingested in infants, 32 % of the lead was absorbed, although no accumulation was observed in infants who ingested less than 4 μg/kg/day. The WHO also suggested that the BLL was not increased in those who ingested less than 4 μg/kg/day [26].

The other most common route of exposure is polluted air. Approximately 40–50 % of lead taken in from the nose is absorbed by the lung. The relationship between the concentration of lead in the air and the BLL is shown in Figure 3 (based on the data from the study by Thomas et al. on pollution from lead gasoline [11]). The BLL was found to be strongly correlated with air pollution.

Safety standards are defined to keep the environment safe. In Japan, the lead concentrations in the air and wastewater are below 1 ng/m³ and 0.01 mg/L, respectively. Moreover, the normal BLL observed in Japanese is 1–3 μg/dL, and the normal concentration of lead in the soil is 15–30 mg/g. The lead concentrations in the areas near mining and metallurgy industries listed in
Section 2.3 are greater than 1,000 mg/kg, which are unusually high and dangerous. Therefore, to maintain a safe environment for those living near these areas, an effort to decrease lead emissions and remediation in these areas must be rapidly implemented.

Figure 3. Effect of lead concentration in the air on the BLL. The figure was prepared based on the data from the study by Thomas et al. [11].

3. Assessment of phytoextraction

3.1. Advantages of phytoextraction

The remediation of polluted soil is extremely costly. In the United States, ten billion dollars were invested to remediate soil pollution in the 1990s. According to an approximate calculation of the cost [27], more than 100 billion dollars would be necessary for remediation of polluted soil in the 2000s; thus, an inexpensive process was sought to decrease the investment. Phytoextraction is a remarkable process where heavy metals can be absorbed from the soil and accumulated into plants at high concentrations without the use of expensive equipment. The advantages of phytoextraction also include increased safety and inexpensive running cost compared to physical and chemical methods, such as washing and solidification. Phytoremediation is an expanding market; in the United States and Japan, it is expected to result in 170 million dollars and 800 million yen, respectively.

The plants which contain the highest abilities of absorption are called “hyperaccumulators” and are the most suitable for the phytoextraction of heavy metals. With regard to lead, a hyperaccumulator is defined as a plant that is capable of accumulating greater than 1,000 mg/kg dry biomass (or 100 times more than other plants) and generally shows a high tolerance to heavy metals. Some prominent hyperaccumulators have been screened and identified [28]. *Stanleya pinnata*, for example, was found to accumulate 3,000 ppm of selenium in its leaves.
when planted on soil containing 6 ppm of selenium [29]. *Rinorea niccolifera* was recently found in Western Luzon, Philippines, and could accumulate an unusually high amount (18,000 ppm) of nickel by detoxifying with vacuoles [30]. *R. niccolifera* was the most prominent hyperaccumulator, because it was capable of absorbing heavy metals at a value several hundred times higher than other plants.

Additionally, an interesting tree was found in the Sabah Parks in Malaysia. The green sap of the tree contained high concentrations of nickel [31, 32] and could be continuously collected. When the tree was planted in soil containing wastes from nearby mines, a green nickel-rich sap containing 20% nickel was collected from the trees. The ash of the burned sap additionally contained high concentrations of nickel (10–25%), which corresponded to 200 kg/ha (2,000 dollars/ton). Those studies suggest that phytoextraction may be applicable to soil pollution from nickel.

### 3.2. Phytoextraction of lead by a hyperaccumulator

Hyperaccumulators absorbing lead have been screened as well as hyperaccumulators for other heavy metals. The chief hyperaccumulators introduced in this section are shown in Table 1. *Thlaspi caerulescens* [33], kenaf [34], sunflower [35], *Cannabis sativa* [36, 37], *Tagetes minuta* L. [38], cabbage [39], *Brassica juncea* [40], *Acacia victoriae* [41], and buckwheat are superior hyperaccumulators compared to other weeds and crops, and the following plants are especially remarkable. *A. victoriae* was found to be capable of accumulating 3,580 mg/kg of lead from a 1,000 mg/L solution of lead nitrate. *B. juncea* additionally showed a high lead tolerance and could accumulate a significantly high concentration of lead (34,500 mg/kg). Furthermore, Shinshu buckwheat, an improved breed developed by Shinshu University, was capable of growing in soil containing more than 3,000 mg/kg of lead and could accumulate 6,000–10,000 mg/kg of lead. Buckwheat may be the most suitable plant because it is obtained at a high yield (700 g/ha/year) [42].

Additionally, two types of pteridophytes, *Athyrium yokoscense* and *Pteris vittata*, showed high tolerance to lead. *A. yokoscense* is typically found around mine areas containing high concentrations of heavy metals. It is known as an indicating plant to explore gold veins in Japan, as it lives in a cluster around areas of gold rubbish. One gametophyte of *A. yokoscense* was capable of accumulating high concentrations of lead (10,000 mg/kg) and showed tolerance to extremely high concentrations of lead [43]. *P. vittata* has been shown to accumulate arsenic. A breed belonging to *P. vittata* could accumulate 16,275.5 mg/kg of lead and grow in soil containing 92,900 mg/kg of lead. Additionally, it accumulated 4,829 mg/kg of lead when it was grown in mine soil for six months [44].

The two types of mosses *Scopelophila cataractae* and *Funaria hygrometrica* were also identified as hyperaccumulators. *S. cataractae*, which is known as “copper moss” in Japan, was found in soil containing high concentrations of copper and could accumulate copper selectively at the cell wall. Moreover, a breed belonging to *S. cataractae* could accumulate lead as well as copper. *F. hygrometrica* additionally showed a very high ability of absorbing lead [45, 46]. The reports by Riken (Japan) suggested that *F. hygrometrica* adsorbed 70% of lead per dry biomass when an effluent containing lead was supplied to the column containing the moss [47]. An advantage
of using moss is that it can grow at a fast rate without water. Thus, mosses may be suitable for areas in which there is little water.

Ornamental plants adapted for phytoextraction were also screened. However, one such plant, *Chlorophyllum comosum*, could only accumulate 516 mg/kg when 1, 250 mg/kg of lead was supplied in the soil [48]. The advantage of using ornamental plants is that the flowers may be reused after remediation [48, 49]. Moreover, ornamental plants do not show a high tolerance to lead. Therefore, they may be readily adapted for soil pollution in urban places because the lead concentrations in these areas are relatively low and the plants can be used in landscaping.

Trees have interesting characteristics for phytoextraction. The advantage of using a tree is that the root is much deeper, and therefore, it can be applied to the remediation of soil at a depth of 3–5 m. Conversely, the disadvantages are a slow growth rate and low tolerance to lead, although the biomass per land area is high. To overcome these disadvantages, the best trees were screened [50-52] and a combination of aspen and rowan trees [53], a combination of *Ixora coccinea* and *Ficus benjamina* [54], and the use of ornamental trees and timber trees [55] were examined to enhance the remediation efficiency. Additionally, a field trial experiment was performed [56, 57] and the tree showing the highest ability for phytoextraction was *Acacia mangium*, which is widely used in an artificial forest in Malaysia because it is capable of growing well even in nutrient-poor soil and shows a high ability to adapt to its environment. It is known that the forest is the first stage in maintaining air and soil safety by capturing lead in the leaves [58]. The best candidate tree will be one that can effectively transfer lead to its leaves or sap, because leaves and sap can be easily and continuously collected.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plants</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Pb (mg/kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weeds</td>
<td><em>Brassica juncea</em></td>
<td>34, 500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shinshu buckwheat</td>
<td></td>
<td>22, 363</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cabbage</td>
<td></td>
<td>5, 010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pteridophytes</td>
<td><em>Athyrium yokoscense</em></td>
<td>16257.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pteris</td>
<td><em>vittata</em></td>
<td>16257.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moss</td>
<td><em>Funaria hygrometrica</em></td>
<td>1, 000–26, 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flower</td>
<td><em>Chlorophyllum comosum</em></td>
<td>516</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tree</td>
<td><em>Acacia mangium</em></td>
<td>600–800</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Amounts of lead absorbed by important hyperaccumulators

3.3. Enhancement of the efficiency of phytoextraction using ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA)

Lead readily converts to lead(II) oxide (PbO) through contact with oxygen in the air. The resultant PbO slowly converts to inorganic salts of lead, such as Pb(NO$_3$)$_2$, PbSO$_4$, and PbCO$_3$.
by acid rain containing nitrate and sulfate ions or water saturating carbon dioxide (containing carbonate ions). Table 2 shows the solubility of inorganic salts of lead [59]. The solubility of PbSO₄ and PbCO₃ is very low. Inorganic salts and free lead ions are adsorbed on particles in the soil by forming a complex with organic compounds contained in the particles [60, 61] and gradually changed to more insoluble compounds by reacting with phosphate. Therefore, the concentration of free lead ions in the soil is extremely low. The rapid absorption by plants is disturbed due to the low concentration of free lead ions or its inorganic salts. The amount of free lead ions must be increased by removing lead salts adsorbed in the soil particles for rapid absorption.

Many methods to increase the efficiency of phytoextraction have been reported [62], and the best method was to supply ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) to the soil. The complex formation constants (pK) of EDTA to Pb(II), Cd(II), Zn(II), and Fe(III) are 18.3, 16.6, 16.7, and 24.2, respectively. Therefore, the lead ions of inorganic salts (or PbO) in the soil positively conform the complex followed by the addition of EDTA (pH < 7) [63]. In a pilot experiment, when the soil containing 1, 935 mg/kg of lead was washed with water containing EDTA, 97% of lead was extracted from the soil [64, 65].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Solubility to water g/100g H₂O (20 °C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>3.1 x 10⁻⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PbO</td>
<td>5.04 x 10⁻³ (α form)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb₃(PO₄)₂</td>
<td>1.4 x 10⁻⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PbHPO₄</td>
<td>2.187 x 10⁻²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb(NO₃)₂</td>
<td>54.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PbCO₃</td>
<td>7.269 x 10⁻⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PbS</td>
<td>6.77 x 10⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PbSO₄</td>
<td>3.836 x 10⁻²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb(CH₃COO)₂</td>
<td>1.615 x 10⁻³</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Solubility of lead and its inorganic salts in water. This table is based on the WEB data [59].

Moreover, the processing time and accumulation in phytoextraction can be drastically shortened and enhanced by EDTA. For example, Scirpus Maritimus L. could adsorb 80% of lead in the root within 60 days when 5 mmol/kg EDTA was supplied [66]. Absorbed amounts of lead in Zea mays L. and Pisum sativum L. were enhanced to 120 times [67], and Indian mustard Brassica juncea had increased absorption when EDTA was supplied [68]. Kos et al. [38] investigated the effect of 5 mmol/kg EDTA or 10 mmol/kg ethylenediamine-N, N'-disuccinic acid (EDDS) on lead accumulation in various plants, and the results suggest that Cannabis sativa contained the best phytoremediation potential (26.3 kg/ha) and accumulated 1, 053 mg/
kg of lead. Furthermore, for cabbage (a high-biomass crop), the accumulation and treatment period was enhanced (5,010 mg/kg) and shortened, respectively, when 3.0 mmol/kg EDTA was supplied for seven days [40]. When buckwheat was cultivated in soil containing 13,032 mg/kg of lead and EDTA and citric acid were supplied for two months, 22,363 mg/kg of lead was accumulated in the shoots and leaves [69]. These results suggest that EDTA is effective for increasing the absorption of lead in plants.

However, there are several disadvantages for the use of EDTA. One is the low degrading characteristic of EDTA [70]. EDTA supplied in the soil exists without degradation for a long period of time and slowly degrades to diketopiperazines, which are toxic compounds. To solve this problem, EDDS, which has a higher biodegradability than EDTA, has been used [71-73], although the complex formation constants (pK) of EDDS are lower than that of EDTA and an unfavorable exchange between lead and the others often occurred [67, 68]. Another disadvantage is the elution of other metal ions following the use of EDTA. The addition of EDTA in the soil causes the leakage of important minerals (e.g., Mg and Ca) necessary for the plant growth, and the effluent containing toxic ions (e.g., Pb, Hg, and As) pollutes the groundwater [74]. Therefore, EDTA or EDDS must be applied to the soil at the lowest effective concentrations.

3.4. Improved procedure for the phytoextraction of lead

Two devises have been proposed to enhance the concentration of free lead ions or its inorganic salts. One is an electro-phytoextraction process. Electro-phytoextraction is performed under an electric field and can enhance the performance. For example, the absorption in ryegrass was enhanced when 1.0 V/cm of DC electrical field was given to the soil in a vertical direction [75], and the absorption in *Brassica juncea* was enhanced when an electric field was given at four times over 30 V [76]. Additionally, when 1.0 V/cm of AC electrical field, as well as DC, was given to rapeseed (*Brassica napus*) and tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum*), the absorption of Cd and Pb in the shoots was enhanced [77].

Another device used to improve phytoextraction is the use of acid [78, 79]. The concentration of free lead is increased when the pH of the soil is more acidic (near pH 5). The solubility of lead phosphate, which is an insoluble compound, was enhanced by a 0.15 M citric acid solution [80], and oxalic acid was the best at enhancing the solubility of pyromorphite (*Pb*₅(*PO₄*)₃Cl), an insoluble phosphate [81, 82]. Because microorganisms can secrete various acids, such as acetic, citric, and lactic acids, the soil pH may be decreased by supplements of these microorganisms. The effects of urea [83] and other chelate compounds [84, 85], as well as the effect of acids, were examined. The cells of *Rhizobacteria* could enhance the concentration of free lead by secreting siderophores [86] and aided in plant growth by secreting indoleacetic acid (IAA), a plant growth factor. Such microorganisms are referred to as “plant-growth-promoting rhizobacteria” (PERG) [87, 88].

3.5. Transgenic approach to improve the phytoextraction of lead

The improvement of a hyperaccumulator via gene manipulation is the most effective way to enhance its ability. Transgenic plants have been energetically developed since the 1990s
Transgenic plants which could increase the volatility of heavy metals or decrease the toxicity of heavy metals may be the best candidates because the remediation process can be continuously carried out without removing the plants. Transgenic *B. juncea*, for example, expressing the cystathionine gamma-synthase gene of *Arabidopsis thaliana* L. could convert selenium to volatile dimethylselenium [93, 94], and a plant expressing the methylmercury lyase gene decreased the toxicity by reducing methylmercury to mercury [95]. However, vaporization is not acceptable for lead because methylated lead diffuses into the air and exhibits a high toxicity as previously described.

Thus, the following two mechanisms have been proposed. One method is to enhance the number of compounds capable of combining heavy metals, such as metallothionein, glutathione, and phytochelatin. For example, the absorption efficiency of transgenic *B. juncea* expressing adenosine triphosphate sulfurylase, glutamyl-cysteine synthetase, and glutathione synthetase genes was 4.3 times higher than that in the wild plant [96]. Moreover, the accumulation in *Nicotiana glauca* expressing phytochelatin synthase was also enhanced [97]. The other mechanism is to obtain a high lead tolerance by enhancing the transport into the cell and vascular membranes. Higher tolerance and accumulation of Zn, Mn, and Cd were realized by the plants transformed with a zinc transporter (*ZAT* or *AtMTP1*), *ShMTP*, *CAX2*, *AtMHX* [89-91] or the *AtNramp*, *AtPDR8*, and *AtATM3* genes of ABC transporters [98, 99]. For lead accumulation, the following transgenic plants were studied: tobacco plants expressing the calmodulin-binding protein gene of *Nicotiana tabacum* (*NtCBP4*) [100] and *Arabidopsis* plants expressing the *ZntA* [101], which codes for the zinc transporter in *E. coli*, and an enhanced accumulation of lead, as well as other heavy metals, was observed. The yeast *YCF1* gene codes for a transporter of vacuolar storage of Cd/Pb. *A. thaliana* expressing the *YCF1* gene showed a high resistance to Cd and Pb and accumulated those heavy metals [102]. Transgenic poplar trees expressing the *YCF1* gene also developed a high resistance to Cd and Pb [103]. Moreover, a study conducted by Mizuno et al. showed that transgenic *A. thaliana* had longer roots (2.5 times longer) and a higher (3–14 times higher) accumulation of lead when the *FeMRP3* gene of buckwheat was expressed in *A. thaliana*.

### 3.6. Assessment of efficiency of phytoextraction

The author assessed the efficiency of phytoextraction in contaminated soil by lead. The most advantageous point of phytoremediation is its profitability. By the author’s rough estimate, the income generated by the phytoremediation process is approximately 340,000 dollars/ha for cases where it is assumed that (1) pollution is present at 1 m in depth and 10 g/kg of lead is contained in the soil (density: 1.7) (2) 100 % of the lead is extracted from the soil, and (3) the price of lead is 2,000 dollars/ton. However, the approximation of the necessary expenses is much higher according to some reports and are estimated to be as high as 300,000–5,000,000 dollars/ha (lowest estimation: 2,500–15,000) [104]. The difference in the costs suggests that further efforts are necessary to decrease the expenses in order to improve the application of phytoextraction.

The high necessary expenses of phytoextraction are due to the low yield per treatment period and the time-consuming posttreatment heavy metal recycling from the biomass. Even in
buckwheat, which is one of the best hyperaccumulators for lead, the amount of lead absorption is only 20 kg/year (dual cropping), when the yield and adsorption ability are assumed to be 1 t/ha and 10 g/kg. Moreover, the plant absorbing lead must be dried, burned, and extracted to recycle the lead. The cost for those operations accounts for half of the total cost. Consequently, a hyperaccumulator with a fast growth time and a fast absorption rate, as well as a high accumulation ability, is required to overcome the problem of high necessary expenses.

Furthermore, the effect on the environment should be considered. Indigenous species do not necessarily have a superior ability for phytoremediation, although the use of indigenous species is acceptable [105]. The planting of a nonnative hyperaccumulator often changes the natural flora or may destroy the indigenous species because hyperaccumulators have an increased ability to adapt to the environment. This is particularly true for transgenic plants. Therefore, special consideration for the environment and a general consensus in the society are necessary.

In conclusion, further efforts to decrease the necessary expenses must be undertaken for the widespread use of phytoextraction, although phytoextraction is a remarkable procedure for recycling lead in the soil. For example, some weeds are capable of easily and rapidly reproducing even when most of the shoots and leaves are removed. The advantages of using a weed for phytoextraction include the following: (1) lead can be continuously obtained from the leaves and shoots, (2) the growth and absorption can be completed in a short period of time, and (3) the posttreatment is inexpensive. Therefore, the author suggests that the ideal phytoextraction process includes the use of such a weed.

4. Assessment of the remediation of lead by microorganisms

4.1. Microorganisms adapted for lead absorption

Some microorganisms contain a high ability to adsorb and absorb lead [106-108], and the mechanism through which microorganisms achieve this can be classified into four mechanisms (Table 3). The first mechanism is the absorption of lead by secreting extracellular polymers. The typical extracellular polymer is polysaccharide, which rapidly combines lead at a high affinity. *Halomonas* sp. [109], *Staurastrum* sp. [110], *Bacillus firmus* [111], *Paenibacillus jamilae* [112], and *Pseudomonas* sp. are known as microorganisms that secrete polysaccharides. The polysaccharide secreted by *B. firmus* cells, for example, was capable of adsorbing 98.3 % of Pb at an optimum pH, and 2 g/L of polysaccharide produced by *P. jamilae*, an endospore-forming bacillus, specifically adsorbed 230 mg/g of lead.

The second mechanism is adsorption at the cell wall. *Bacillus* sp. [113], *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* [114], *Synechococcus* sp. [115], *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* [116], and fungi (such as *Aspergillus flavus* [117] and *Corollospora lacera* [118]) were highly efficient in the adsorption of lead; the amounts of lead absorbed by *P. aeruginosa*, *S. cerevisiae*, and *C. lacera* were 123, 250, and 270.3 mg/g dry biomass, respectively.
The third mechanism is the binding of lead inside the cell through phytochelatins, metallothioneins, and siderophores. Phytochelatins are produced by some microorganisms, such as Schizosaccharomyces sp. Metallothioneins produced by Bacillus [119], Streptomyces sp. [120], and P. aeruginosa [121] are capable of combining with Pb(II), although metallothioneins typically combine with copper or zinc ions. Moreover, the yellow-green fluorescent pyoverdine and pyochelin produced by Pseudomonas putida KNP9 [122] and P. aeruginosa PAO1 [123] were capable of combining with Pb(II).

The fourth mechanism is the precipitation of lead inside the cell. For example, Staphylococcus aureus [124], Vibrio harveyi [125], and Enterobacter cloacae [126] are capable of producing Pb₃(PO₄)₂, Pb₆(PO₄)₆, and Pb(PO₄)₃Cl, respectively, by binding with phosphate, and sulfur-reducing bacteria produce PbS [127].

Furthermore, using genetic techniques, these abilities could be enhanced. For example, the amount of Cd accumulation was seven times higher when the phytochelatin synthesis gene of Schizosaccharomyces pombe was expressed in P. putida KT2440. The genes associated with metallothioneins, siderophores, and phytochelatins were precisely examined [128-131], and recombinants expressing these genes at a high level may be useful for enhancing the accumulation of lead.

4.2. Novel bioremediation process of heavy metals using microorganisms

As introduced in Section 4.1, some microorganisms showed a high ability for lead accumulation (> 300 mg/g), which was higher than the plant hyperaccumulators. However, few microorganisms have been utilized for the bioremediation of soil polluted by lead. The reason is that the collection of such microorganisms from soil followed by adsorption is extremely difficult. If the microorganisms can be readily collected from the soil, then bioremediation with microorganisms becomes an effective process. Thus, the author developed a novel bioremediation method which combines the immobilized technique with landfarming, referred to as the landfarming with immobilized microorganisms (LIM) method.

The LIM method consists of four steps, shown in Figure 4. In the first step, the beads (approximately 0.35–0.4 cm in diameter) immobilized with microbial cells which demonstrate a high ability of absorption to lead are prepared and mixed with contaminated soil while plowing the field by the landfarming process. The soil is oxygenated by the operation, and the immobilized microbial cells contained in the beads are activated by the increased oxygen supply. In the second step, the plowed soil containing the cell beads is incubated for a defined period. The lead is absorbed (or adsorbed) by the microbial cells during this period. In the third step, the soil containing the beads is collected, and the beads are separated from the soil with sieves of adequate mesh sizes (0.25 and 0.50 cm). Thus, the beads can be easily collected. In the fourth step, lead absorbed (or adsorbed) in the cells is extracted with a small amount of nitric acid. The separated soil by the sieves is recycled by returning it to its point of origin, and the beads flowed by extraction are reused in the next remediation.
## Table 3. Various mechanisms to adsorb or absorb lead by microorganisms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mechanism</th>
<th>Compound for absorption</th>
<th>Typical species</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Absorption by extracellular polymer</td>
<td>Polysaccharides</td>
<td>Halomonas sp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Staurastrum sp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bacillus firmus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Paenibacillus jamilae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absorption by cell wall</td>
<td>Peptidoglycan</td>
<td>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bacillus sp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Synechococcus sp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Aspergillus flavus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Corollospora lacera</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Binding within cells</td>
<td>Phytochelatin</td>
<td>Schizosaccharomyces sp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bacillus sp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Streptomyces sp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Metallothionein</td>
<td>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pseudomonas putida</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pyoverdine</td>
<td>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pyochelin</td>
<td>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precipitation within cells</td>
<td>Pb$_3$(PO$_4$)$_2$</td>
<td>Staphylococcus aureus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pb$_6$(PO$_4$)$_6$</td>
<td>Vibrio harveyi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pb(PO$_4$)$_3$Cl</td>
<td>Enterobacter cloacae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PbS</td>
<td>Sulfur-reducing bacteria</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Step 1:** The field is plowed and the soil is mixed with beads of the immobilized cells. **Step 2:** The plowed field is incubated for a defined period to absorb lead. **Step 3:** The beads are separated using sieves. **Step 4:** Lead is extracted from the beads, and the resultant beads and sifted soil are recycled.

**Figure 4.** The LIM method.
The beads made of alginate gel are most suitable for the LIM method because (1) they can be easily and inexpensively produced at a uniform size, (2) they can immobilize microbial cells at a high density (approximately 100–1,000 mg dry cells/cm$^3$), and (3) they have an appropriate hardness. If it is assumed that the immobilized cell can accumulate lead at 300 mg/g, one bead should be able to absorb 3–30 mg of lead. Additionally, if cells secreting polysaccharides are utilized, each bead may be applied several times for remediation because polysaccharides are not leaked from the beads. Therefore, alginate gel beads can be utilized as a superior absorbent of lead.

Figure 5 shows the separation experiment of the beads and soil from the soil and beads mixture (Fig. 4, Step 3). The experiment was performed to examine the separation efficiency of the beads; the absorption by the immobilized cells was not conducted. Fifty beads (0.38 mm in diameter) were mixed with 50 g of soil (Fig. 5A) and separated with 2.5 mm and 5 mm mesh sieves. All beads were collected between 2.5 mm and 5 mm mesh sieves (Fig. 5B) and the soil was eliminated from the 2.5 mm mesh sieve by rinsing with water (Fig. 5C). Heavy metals were extracted by a small amount of nitric acid (Fig. 5D). Following extraction, the beads may be reused in the next remediation because the beads are not broken by the operation and can be easily separated with small stones (Fig. 5D).
The advantage of the LIM method is that the processing time is short and the beads may be readily collected and reused for the extraction operation. Therefore, the LIM method has a high potential for remediating the soil contaminated by lead. This method may become an important process for remediation of soil in the future, although the proper procedure and efficiency of the LIM method must be further investigated.

5. Conclusion

A review of the estimated causes of pollution from lead and the following results were discussed. The principal use of lead is due to the production of lead-acid batteries; other uses include inorganic chemicals, solder, tubes, and boards. Following the RoHS Directive, the recycling percentage of lead-acid batteries and crystal glass has gradually increased, and solder, paint, and vinyl chloride containing lead have been converted to lead-free products. Over 80% of lead is currently recycled in the developed countries. Therefore, serious pollution from lead is low in urban and agricultural areas. However, life-threatening levels of pollution from lead exist in areas containing metallurgy and mining industries in Asia, Africa, and South America due to the dumping of wastes in the rivers and in the air without any posttreatments. The soil in these areas should be promptly remediated.

Next, the author estimated whether phytoextraction is a practical method for remediation. Many native or transgenic plants showing a high accumulation ability to lead were screened or developed, and the ability could be enhanced using EDTA and microorganisms. Therefore, phytoextraction is a promising method for remediation. However, further improvement of the method is necessary due to the long processing time and low capacity (biomass/planted area).

Finally, the author proposed a novel process for remediation using microorganisms. Few microorganisms have been used for the bioremediation of polluted soil by heavy metals because it is often exceedingly difficult to collect the microorganisms from the soil after absorption. The LIM method, which is proposed by the author, is the improved landfarming process which employs beads with immobilized cells. In the LIM method, the processing time is short and the beads may be easily collected from soil with sieves after absorption. Therefore, the LIM method has high potential and may become the ideal process for the remediation of soil contaminated by lead.

Acknowledgements

The author sincerely thanks Professor Kenichiro Suetugu at Kobe University for his helpful suggestions and Ms. Keiko Watanabe for her great technical assistance to this study. The research was supported by a grant-in-aid from the School of Human Sciences at Kobe College.
Author details

Naofumi Shiomi
Address all correspondence to: n-shiomi@mail.kobe-c.ac.jp
Department of Human Sciences, Kobe College, Hyogo, Japan

References


[101] Lee J., Bae H., Jeong J., Lee J.Y., Yang Y.Y., Hwang I., Martinoa E., Lee Y. Functional Expression of a Bacterial Heavy Metal Transporter in Arabidopsis Enhances Resist-


