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1. Introduction

Most of legume plants produce de novo root lateral organs, root nodules, to accommodate their symbiotic bacteria, collectively called as rhizobia. Production of the de novo root organ is initiated by infection of rhizobia on surfaces of plant root tissues [1]. Rhizobia enter host tissues by two major strategies. One is the crack entry from the root epidermis where lateral roots emerge, and the other is the root hair-mediated invasion. Model legume plants, *Lotus japonicus* and *Medicago truncatula*, have adopted the latter mode of the infection pathway. In either case, infected rhizobia invade into host tissues through infection threads, which are tubular paths developed by invagination of the host plasma membrane. Concomitantly with the progression of infection processes from the epidermis into the cortex, a fraction of cortical cells beneath the site of the infection begin to divide and form a root nodule primordium. Invaded bacteria are released into cortical cells in the nodule primordium by an endocytic-like process, and differentiate into bacteroides. Whereas plants usually cannot utilize atmospheric nitrogen as a nutrient, bacteroides convert it to ammonium that is a usable nitrogen source for host plants. Host plants, on the other hand, provide the rhizobia with an energy source from photoassimilates, and compensate components that rhizobia lack for nitrogen fixation [2]. This symbiotic relationship enables legumes to grow under conditions where nitrogen sources are limited.

The nodule symbiosis with rhizobia is a unique feature of legumes among land plants. The infection processes are regulated by interaction with rhizobia. Nodulation factors (Nod factors) that are lipochitin oligosaccharides secreted by rhizobia trigger the symbiotic responses [3,4]. LysM containing receptor proteins, NFR1 and NFR5 in *L. japonicus*, and NFP and HCL in *M. truncatula* are involved in perception of Nod factors [5-9]. Direct binding of Nod factors to NFR1 and NFR5 was recently demonstrated [10]. Nod factor perception leads to activation of transcriptional networks involved in establishing the nodule symbiosis. This process depends on common SYM factors, which are required for both the nodule symbiosis and mycorrhizal
symbiosis [11-14]. While the mycorrhizal symbiosis is seen in the majority of land plant species, and has been thought to be evolved 400 million years ago, molecular clock data suggest that legumes has acquired the nodule symbiosis about 60 million years ago. Common SYM factors, CCaMK (for calcium- and calmodulin-depednet protein kinase)/DMI3 plays pivotal roles in regulation of nodulation processes [15-17]. CCaMK localizes to nuclei, and interacts with its phosphorylation substrate, CYCLOPS/IPD3 [14,18,19]. gof-CCaMK spontaneously induces root nodules without rhizobial infection [16,17], indicating this protein substitutes for Nod factor signals in the cortical response for the root nodule organogenesis. Activation of CCaMK is sufficient for onset of gene expression required for the root nodule development. Thus, legumes have invented the nodule symbiosis by recruiting factors from the ancestral mycorrhizal symbiosis, and further have developed nodulation specific factors to acquire the nitrogen-fixing activity.

Although the root nodule symbiosis is beneficial to host plants, excessive nodulation interferes with plant growth, probably, due to a high energy cost against the nitrogen fixation. Therefore, legumes have developed negative feedback pathways that optimize total nodule number and mass in a single plant. A major pathway that regulates nodule number is known as autoregulation of nodulation (AON) [20,21], which is activated by nodulation, and systemically prevents subsequent formation of nodules through root-shoot communications. This systemic effect has been demonstrated by split root experiments. Infection with rhizobia to one part of the root results in reduction in the nodule number in the other part of the root that was inoculated 3-4 days after the first inoculation procedure [20,22]. Reciprocal grafting experiments with mutants defective in AON have further shown that the inhibitory effect seen in the root is mediated by the shoot [23-27]. Two types of long-distance signals that are derived from either the root or shoot have been postulated in AON to explain the root-shoot communication; The root-derived signal that was generated by early nodulation signaling is translocated to the shoot, and activates shoot-acting AON factors to produce the shoot-derived inhibitor (SDI), which, in turn, is transported down to the root, and inhibits nodulation through root-acting AON factors.

In the past decade, many mutants exhibiting impaired nodulation have been isolated, and genes responsible for symbiotic phenotypes have been identified. The accumulating lines of evidence led to basic models for the nodulation signaling pathway. Factors involved in AON have been identified from several legume species. Our knowledge of AON has greatly advanced at molecular levels by efforts of many researchers, although many pieces have remained to understand how AON systemically regulates nodulation. In this review, we highlight past work in this area and describe recent results obtained with forward and reverse genetic approaches as well as with biochemical works, along the basic scheme of AON that has been depicted by pioneer works.
2. Shoot factors involved in AON

Genetic screens have identified loci that affect AON in several legume species. These mutants often display excessive nodules within an enhanced nodulation zone in either the presence of or the absence of nitrate, which possesses inhibitory effects on nodulation (see below). This phenotype is termed hypernodulation or supernodulation [18]. Soybean nts, L. japonicus har1, M. truncatula sunn, and pea sym29 mutants exhibit the typical hypernodulation phenotype [24,27-29]. Grafting experiments have shown that shoots of these mutants confer excessive nodulation on wild type rootstocks, while mutant rootstocks that were grafted to the wild type scion do not exhibit the hypernodulation phenotype [23-27]. These results indicated that the shoot genotype determined the phenotype in the root, and genetically demonstrated that the root-shoot communication is important for the systemic and negative feedback regulation of the nodule formation.

Positional cloning of genes responsible for the hypernodulation phenotype revealed that AON has diverted factors involved in the shoot apical meristem homeostasis as shoot-acting factors. The causative genes of these mutants encode leucine-rich repeat (LRR) containing receptor protein kinases orthologous to each other [25,26,30,31]. It is expected that ligand-receptor interaction in the shoot regulates nodulation in the root. In vitro experiments have shown that the kinase domain of GmNARK/NTS possesses a transphosphorylation activity [32]. This kinase activity is abolished by amino acid substitutions at residues corresponding to sites of missense mutations that were found in loss-of-function nts alleles. The kinase activity is required for the GmNARK function, and phosphorylation of its substrates probably leads to the production of the SDI in the shoot. The GmNARK kinase domain phosphorylates two KAPPs (for kinase-associated protein phosphatases) [32]. The phosphorylated KAPPs dephosphorylated the autoprophosphorylated GmNARK kinase domain. The LRR receptor protein kinases are closest to Arabidopsis CLV1 and rice FON1, which play a central role in the shoot apical meristem homeostasis, and restrict meristem sizes [33-35]. Multiple receptor proteins are involved in maintenance of meristem sizes in Arabidopsis [36]. Pea and L. japonicus genes orthologous to Arabidopsis CLV2, which encodes a LRR-containing transmembrane protein that interacts with and stabilizes CLV1 [37], are also involved in AON [38]. Furthermore, L. japonicus KLV that acts together with HAR1 is an LRR-receptor protein kinase with a high similarity to Arabidopsis RPK2/TOAD2 [39,40], which regulates the shoot meristem homeostasis in parallel with CLV1 [41]. These leguminous receptor proteins probably function as multiple complexes as it is for Arabidopsis counterparts [36,41]. HAR1, indeed, interacts with KLV in a transient expression system using Nicotiana benthamiana leaves [40]. Although the function of these leguminous genes in the shoot apical meristem has remained to be analyzed in detail, fasciated stems have been observed in Arabidopsis klv mutants and loss-of-function plants of L. japonicus and pea CLV2 genes [38-40]. This phenotypic trait is often observed in mutants, such as clv mutants, where the negative feedback system to maintain meristem sizes is abortive and the shoot apical meristem is enlarged [33]. Besides stem fasciation, klv mutants further show disconnected vasculatures, indicating defect in cell differentiation or production of stem cells [39]. These observations imply that AON and the shoot meristem-associated pathway may utilize common or similar molecular strategies to maintain either the meristem
homeostasis or the nodule number. Alternatively, legumes may have developed pathways that specifically regulate nodule number in the root downstream of the shoot-acting receptor protein kinases.

3. Root-derived CLE peptides

In the shoot meristem regulation, CLV1 restricts meristem sizes through interaction with CLV3 that is a member of CLE (CLV3/ESR-related) small secreted peptide family [42-44]. CLV3 peptide is produced from its primary translational product by proteolytic processing [45]. By analogy to the CLV1-CLV3 pathway, the shoot-acting AON receptor protein kinases were expected to recognize CLE peptides that might act as the root-derived signal. Okamoto et al. have comprehensively analyzed expression of genes that encode CLE-peptide precursors in *L. japonicus*, and found that two CLE genes, referred to as CLE-RS1 and CLE-RS2, specifically expressed in roots in response to rhizobial infection [46]. Similar approaches have also been performed in other leguminous species to identify CLE genes associated with the nodule development [47-50]. MtCLE12 and MtCLE13 from *M. truncatula* and GmRIC1, GmRIC2, and GmNIC1 from soybean as well as *L. japonicus* CLE-RS1 and CLE-RS2 have activities to suppress the nodule formation [46,47,49,50]. Ectopic expression of these genes, except GmNIC1, in a part of the root system results in systemic inhibition of nodulation in the roots that have not ectopically expressed the CLE gene. This effect on nodulation depends on HAR1 and KLV in *L. japonicus* and the corresponding shoot-acting AON genes in *M. truncatula* and soybean [40, 46,48,49,51]. These results are compatible with the idea that these CLE gene products are the root-derived signals. However, synthetic CLE peptides corresponding to the putative CLE-RS1, CLE-RS2, MtCLE12, and MtCLE13 failed to suppress nodulation [46,47].

Okamoto et al. have determined the structure of the mature CLE-RS2 [52]. This peptide is composed of 13 amino-acid residues corresponding to the conserved C-terminal CLE domain of its precursor, and the seventh proline residue is hydroxylated, and further posttranslationally modified with three residues of arabinose. This proline residue is conserved in all putative CLE peptides that are encoded by genes whose ectopic expression suppresses nodulation. The same arabinosylation has been also found at the seventh hydroxyproline residue of the CLV3 peptide. This modified CLV3 peptide interacts more strongly with the ectodomain of CLV1 [53]. The synthetic arabinosylated CLE-RS1 and CLE-RS2 bound to HAR1 protein, but not to a loss-of-function HAR1 derivative with an amino acid substitution at a residue critical for ligand binding of the CLV1 family receptor kinases in Arabidopsis [52,54]. The activity of the CLE-RS1 and CLE-RS2 peptides to suppress nodulation has been demonstrated by feeding experiments with the synthetic arabinosylated peptides [52]. The synthetic CLE-RS2 and CLE-RS1 peptides that were fed from cotyledon surfaces suppressed nodulation depending on HAR1, but those without arabinosylation failed. The arabinosylation is essential for binding to HAR1 and the activity to inhibit nodulation. These analyses have shown that the arabinosylated CLE-RS2 and CLE-RS1 are ligands for HAR1.

Unlike the short-distance communication between CLV1 and CLV3 (these genes express in restricted region of the shoot apical meristem), long-ranged transport is necessary for the root-
derived CLE peptides to interact with HAR1. The xylem often mediates the transport of molecules from the root to the shoot [55]. The arabinosylated CLE-RS2 was detected in xylem sap collected from soybean shoots whose roots have been transformed to express CLE-RS2 [52]. The secreted mature peptide is thought to be transported to the shoot in the xylem. This is consistent with spatial expression patterns of HAR1, KLV, and NTS/GmNARK; They express in vascular tissues [40,56]. The arabinosylated CLE-RS2 and CLE-RS1 peptides that were transported in the xylem are thought to bind with HAR1, and exert the inhibitory effect on nodulation.

4. Expression of CLE genes that encode the root-derived signal

Transcriptional regulation of the root-derived signal is an important step to regulate AON. The expression in response to rhizobial infection is mediated by the early signaling pathway required for nodulation processes [47]. Transcription factors that are involved in the early nodulation signaling, such as NSP1, NSP2, and NIN, are required for expression of MtCLE12 and MtCLE13 in response to rhizobial infection. These transcription factors are essential for formation of the nodule primordium, which is initiated by division of cortical cells beneath epidermal cells infected by rhizobia [57-60]. It has been shown that ectopic expression of NIN induces cortical cell division without rhizobial infection [61]. Mortier et al. have shown detailed spatial expression patterns of MtCLE12 and MtCLE13 during the course of the nodule meristem formation [47]. These genes begin to express in cortical cells beneath infection sites in the epidermis [47]. The expression is sustained in dividing cortical cells in the incipient nodule primordium, and is restricted in the apical zone of elongated indeterminate type nodules. The apical region corresponds to the meristematic and early infection zones. Expression patterns similar to those of MtCLE genes have also shown in the case of GmRIC2 [49]. In the current model of the nodule meristem formation, perception of Nod factors that are secreted by rhizobia triggers the nodule meristem formation through activation of cytokinin signaling [62-65]. Cytokinin induces expression of NSP1, NSP2, and NIN [62-64,66], although NSP2 expression is transient and repressed within 3 hours after the treatment [67]. MtCLE13 expression in the root treated with exogenous cytokinin is detected in inner cortical cells [68], which is consistent with the site where MtCLE13 begins to express when roots were inoculated with rhizobia. These observations indicate that CLE gene expression is associated with the nodule primordium or meristem formation.

In addition to rhizobial infection, expression of CLE-RS2 and GmNIC1 is induced by nitrate, which also has an inhibitory effect on nodulation [46,50]. nts mutants were originally identified as nitrate-tolerant symbiotic mutants [28]. har1 and klv mutants also exhibit the nitrate-tolerance [39]. It is likely that the different inputs, rhizobial infection and nitrate, activate the same CLE gene in L. japonicus, and interfere with nodulation through AON. It has been shown that NIN-like proteins (NLPs) targets nitrate-responsive elements (NREs) that were found in promoters of nitrate-inducible genes, such as NIR1, and activate the gene expression in Arabidopsis [69-71]. All 9 Arabidopsis NLPs are able to bind to NREs and possess potentials to activate gene expression in transient expression assay and in vitro
experiments. NIN has been thought to be derived from a member of NLPs [72,73]. All of domains that are conserved in NLPs are present in the NIN protein except the GAF-related domain in the N-terminal region, which is necessary for NLPs to sense a nitrate-signal that post-translationally activates the NLP transcriptional activity [70]. NIN-binding nucleotide sequences that were found in promoters of NIN-target genes are similar to those of NREs [61]. NIN and NLPs may target CLE genes whose mature translational products act as the root-derived AON signals, and activate the gene expression in response to different stimuli, rhizobial infection and the nitrate-supply, respectively. There may be an evolutionary link between pathways for nitrate-responses and AON. Nitrate also systemically regulates root architecture in Arabidopsis [74,75].

5. Shoot-derived inhibitors

The SDI is thought to be produced depending on activation of the shoot-acting receptor protein kinases. Expression of GmNARK in the phloem has suggested that the SDI is produced in the vascular tissue and transported in the phloem. Lin et al. have shown the presence of the SDI activity in leaf extracts from soybean [76]. They developed a feeding system from petioles, and found the activity that suppresses nodulation in aqueous extracts prepared from leaves of inoculated wild type soybean. This activity is generated depending on Nod factors and GmNARK, and effective in both wild type plants and nts mutants. The generality of the activity was demonstrated with leaf extracts from inoculated M. truncatula. These properties of the activity are consistent with that of the presumptive SDI. The factor with the activity is a heat-stable small molecule of < 1 kDa and resistant against either RNase or Proteinase K. It is likely that SDI is neither a protein nor an RNA.

Although the shoot-derived molecule that acts as the SDI has not yet been identified, several phytohormones have shown inhibitory effects on nodulation [77] and associated with AON. Auxin has been postulated to be involved in nodulation [78], and is transported from the shoot to the root by cell-to-cell transport mediated by auxin carriers, and also thought to travel in the phloem. M. truncatula SUNN is involved in regulation of this long-distance auxin transport. van Noorden et al. have shown that auxin transport from the shoot to the root is downregulated by inoculation with rhizobia in wild type plants, whereas the rate of the transport is not changed in the sunn mutant, and auxin is more abundant in the mutant root compared to the wild type [79]. They have proposed a model of auxin action in AON based on their observations; Downregulation of the long-distance transport of auxin by AON leads to reduction in the auxin level in the roots, resulting in decreased efficiencies of the nodule initiation. Indeed, local application of an auxin transport inhibitor, N-(1-naphthyl)phthalamic acid, to a hypocotyl results in reduction of nodule number in the sunn mutant, but not in the wild type plant [79]. Unlike cytokinin, involvement of auxin in the nodule primordium formation has remained obscure. It would be important to elucidate roles of auxin in the root nodule development for understanding relation with AON.

In soybean, on the other hand, it has been reported that metabolic pathways of jasmonic acid in the shoot are altered by nts mutations. Kinkema et al. have found that transcripts of genes...
encoding key enzymes controlling jasmonic acid biosynthesis and of jasmonic acid-responsive genes are accumulated in the shoot of *nts* mutants compared to wild type plants [80]. They have also shown that foliar application of a jasmonic acid biosynthesis inhibitor reduces nodule number. These results suggest that jasmonic acid is a negative regulator in AON. However, other two groups have shown that foliar applied methyl jasmonic acid downregulates nodulation in soybean and *L. japonicus* [81,82]. Involvement of jasmonic acid or methyl jasmonic acid in AON remains controversial. It has been also reported that foliar application of brassinosteroids to loss-of-function *GmNARK* plants suppresses nodule formation [83].

Grafting experiments have shown that shoots of a pea mutant of which causative gene encodes a brassinosteroid biosynthesis enzyme causes decrease in nodule number in wild type rootstacks [84]. It would be required for further analysis on action of brassinosteroids in systemic inhibitory effects.

### 6. Root-acting factors involved in inhibition of nodulation

According to the scenario described above, the mature CLE peptides whose genes express in response to rhizobial infection act as the root-derived signals, and activate shoot-acting receptor protein kinases including HAR1 and KLV to generate the SDI, which in turn inhibits nodulation. Root-acting AON factors involved in production of the root-derived signal or perception of the SDI are required for AON to exert the inhibitory effect. Root-specific hypernodulation mutants, pea *nod3*, *L. japonicus plenty*, and *tml/rdh1*, and *M. truncatula rdn1* and *sickle* have been isolated [85-90]. Reciprocal grafting experiments have shown that root genotypes of these genetic loci influence nodulation.

*PLENTY* seems to work in a pathway different form theHAR1-mediated AON, since grafting of a *har1* scion resulted in an additive phenotype with respect to number of the root nodules [88]. It has been implied that nodule number is regulated by multiple pathways. Ethylene-insensitive *sickle* mutants have shown that ethylene signaling influence nodule number [91]. The causative gene of this mutant encodes an ortholog of Arabidopsis EIN2 that is involved in ethylene signaling [90]. Similar to *sunnn* mutants, downregulation of the long-distance auxin transport in response to rhizobial infection dose not occur in the *sickle* mutant [92]. However, *SICKLE* seems to regulate the nodule number independently of the SUNN-mediated pathway, because *sickle sunnn* double mutants exhibited a novel hypernodulation phenotype [27]. Nodules densely cover the whole root length in *sunnn* mutants, while nodules are formed at the limited region corresponding to the first inoculation zone in *sickle* mutants [91]. Auxin transport inhibition may not be unique to AON.

Pea *NOD3* and *MtRDN1* are orthologous genes, and encode a protein of the endosomal system with unknown function [89]. Similar to *sunnn* mutants, *rdn1* mutants show the hypernodulation phenotype that was moderately suppressed by nitrate, and the shorter root phenotype. *RisfixC* locus allelic to *nod3* exhibits an interesting phenotype. A wild type scion that was grafted to a *RisfixC* rootstock produced adventitious roots with the hypernodulation phenotype [93]. Approach-grafting experiments (two plants with intact roots are grafted at stems) have shown
that the first inoculation of the nod3 mutant root fails to suppress nodule formation in the wild type root that were inoculated 7 days after the first inoculation, resulting in increase in nodule number compared to the wild type control root [94]. These results suggested that nod3 mutations systemically affected nodule formation. Schnabel et al. have shown that a GUS reporter construct for the MtRND1 promoter intensely expresses in the vascular tissues, in particular, the area of the xylem, throughout the root [89]. NOD3/MtRND1 may be involved in early events of the AON pathway associated with production or transportation of the root-derived signal.

Contrary to NOD3/MtRND1, L. japonicus TML is a root-acting AON factor that functions after production of the SDI. L. japonicus tml and rdh1 are allelic mutants [95]. TML has been shown to function downstream of the root-derived CLE peptides [95]. The systemic inhibition of the nodulation by either CLE-RS1 or CLE-RS2 is suppressed by tml mutations, similar to har1 and klo mutations. Unlike the plenty mutant, shoot scions of har1 and klo mutants do not enhance the hypernodulation phenotype of tml rootstocks [87,95]. TML is thought to work in the same genetic pathway with HAR1 and KLV. Furthermore, the inverted-Y grafting has shown that a tml root that was inserted into a hypocotyl of a wild type seedling with the intact root does not affect efficiencies of nodulation in the wild type root [87]. This result suggested that the tml mutation does not systemically affect nodulation, and the tml root is insensitive to the SDI.

TML encodes a kelch repeat-containing F-box protein [95]. F-box proteins are subunits of SCF E3 ubiquitin ligase complexes that lead to inactivation of substrate proteins through ubiquitination and subsequent degradation by 26S proteasome. F-box proteins have been thought to confer substrate specificities on SCF E3 ubiquitin ligase complexes. TML protein that was fused to GFP localizes into nuclei. This subcellular localization implies that TML targets transcription factors, similarly to Arabidopsis kelch-repeat F-box protein, FKF1 [96]. Temporal and spatial expression patterns of a GUS reporter construct for the TML promoter has suggested that TML suppresses development of the root nodule meristem after initiation of cortical cell division [95]. In addition to the nodule primordium, TML constitutively expresses in the root apex transition zone, which is at developmental stages earlier than the susceptible region for rhizobial infection. tml mutants produce excess infection threads in the epidermis. One hypothesis is that TML indirectly inhibits formation of infection threads prior to rhizobial infection at the root transition zone. TML may exert inhibition of nodulation with two modes of actions at the site of rhizobial infection and of the nodule meristem formation.

7. Which steps of nodulation are influenced by AON?

Although molecular mechanisms, by which nodule formation is suppressed in the root, have been largely unknown, several results may be able to speculate how AON influences nodulation. Rhizobia usually infect at the root tip region where elongation of root hairs occurs, and nodule formation is initiated at the infection site. Then, nodule formation at the root region that is developmentally younger than the first inoculated region is suppressed. Thereby, nodule formation is limited at the first inoculation zone of the root. The mutants exhibiting
impaired AON show increases in number of both infection threads and nodules. Nodule formation in these mutants is deregulated with respect of the nodule density and the region that form nodules. It is suggested that AON influences on early stages of rhizobial infection and local regulation of nodule number. Identification of loss-of-function mutants of a L. japonicus cytokinin receptor gene (LHK1) as a suppressor of the har1 hypernodulation phenotype has suggested that AON may act downstream of LHK1 in the nodule initiation [62]. Spatial expression patterns of TML suggest that AON targets early nodulation signaling pathway required for rhizobial infection, and inhibits cell division in the cortex [87,95].

Ectopic MtCLE12 and MtCLE13 abolished expression of MtENOD11 expression in the root epidermal cells in response to rhizobial infection [47]. Although MtENOD11 is unknown function, NSP1-NSP2 transcription factor complex and ERF/AP2 family transcription factors, ERNs, target the MtENOD11 promoter and activate the gene expression [97-99]. The suppression of MtENOD11 expression suggests that one of sites AON targets is the early nodulation signaling pathway, including NSP1 and NSP2. It is compatible with expression of TML in the root apex transition zone. Murakami et al. have shown that NSP2 expression is remarkably downregulated at the root tip region within 1 day after rhizobial infection [100]. MtENOD11 is activated by Nod factor treatment. The expression in the root tip is repressed within 1 day after the treatment, which is consistent with downregulation of NSP2 expression. Interestingly, this MtENOD11 repression spatially and temporally regulated is suppressed in nin mutants [101]. Nin is required for expression of MtCLE12 and MtCLE13, and NSP2 is required for Nin expression in response to rhizobial infection. There may be the negative feedback regulation mediated by NSP2 and Nin. However, it is unlikely that the repression of NSP2 is mediated by the root-shoot communication of AON, because split-root experiments have shown that it takes 3 days to systemically suppress nodulation in L. japonicus [102]. In soybean, on the other hand, GmNIC1 overexpression locally, but not systemically, suppresses nodulation [50]. This effect of the overexpression depends on GmNARK. Some aspects of local inhibitory effects in soybean may be mediated by LRR-receptor protein kinases.

Saur et al. have shown a strong reduction of Nin expression in roots overexpressing MtCLE12 when compared with controls at 21 days after inoculation [103]. They have proposed a negative feedback regulation that implicates cytokinin signaling and Nin in AON. Type-A response regulators highly express in roots where MtCLE12 overexpresses at the same time point, although there are no significant differences at 8 days after inoculation. Type-A response regulators are responsible for the negative-feedback regulation of cytokinin signaling [104], and may repress cytokinin-dependent Nin expression. Arrested primordia that were composed of cells that originate from the cortex, endodermis, and pericycle were observed in roots overexpressing MtRR9 type-A response regulator [105].

In the shoot apical meristem homeostasis, the CLV1-CLV3 pathway represses a gene encoding a homeobox transcription factor, WUSCHEL, which directly activates CLV3 gene and represses expression of type-A response regulator genes [106,107]. WUSCHEL, itself, is activated by cytokinin. This transcription factor plays a critical role in the feedback loop to maintain the homeostasis of the shoot apical meristem. A WUSCHEL-related homeobox transcription factor, WOX5, expresses in the nodule primordium of M. truncatula, [108]. Although a role of
MtWOX5 in the nodule development has not yet been clarified, induction of this gene in response to treatment with auxin, but not cytokinin, suggests that auxin is required for expression of genes that may act in regulation of cortical cell division. An auxin-responsive synthetic promoter, DR5, is often used for monitoring distribution of auxin [109,110]. Intense expression of GFP protein whose expression is under the control of the DR5 promoter is detected in cortical cells beneath the infection site in the epidermis, and sustained in developing nodules [111]. The expression is attenuated in *L. japonicus* roots overexpressing CLE-RS2.

On the other hand, in *har1* background, the DR5 construct expresses in broad area of the infected root, suggesting that auxin is more abundantly accumulated in the mutant root. It is consistent with the result obtained by direct measurement of auxin levels in *sunn* mutants [79]. Auxin activates cell division of pericycle cells that is origin of lateral root primordia. Excessive cell division in the root pericycle as well as cortical cell division has been observed in the *har1* mutant [29]. This alteration of the DR5 expression suggests that AON influences auxin distribution at the site of the nodule initiation and development, leading to inhibition of cell division. In this context, auxin is a positive regulator of the nodule formation. Auxin transport inhibitors elicit pseudonodule formation in *M. sativa* and *M. truncatula* [112,113], indicating alteration of auxin distribution is an important cue for the nodule formation as well as cytokinin.

8. Conclusion

Forward genetic analyses have greatly contributed to identify novel factors that are involved in AON. The series of investigations revealed that AON has diverted factors required for the homeostasis of the shoot apical meristem as the shoot-acting factors. The ligand-receptor relationship between HAR1 and the root-derived CLE peptides has been clearly demonstrated by the reverse genetic approaches and biochemical assays. The similarity of AON to the CLV1-CLV3 pathway suggests that AON may have recruited functions from pathways that generally work in non-leguminous species. How legumes have been molecularly specialized from non-leguminous species is an important question to understand molecular basis on the root nodule symbiosis. Studies on AON would shed light on this fundamental question besides elucidation of the molecular nature of the systemic inhibitory pathway. There remains three major questions to to elucidate AON at molecular levels: (1) How expression of the root-derived signals is regulated, (2) What is a bona-field SDI, (3) Molecular mechanisms, by which nodulation is suppressed in the root. Lin et al. have shown the SDI activity in aqueous extracts prepared from leaves of inoculated wild type soybean. Forward genetics has identified TML as the root-acting AON factor involved in suppression of nodulation downstream of the SDI. These findings as well as data obtained by efforts of many researchers would be clues to resolve these questions.
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