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1. Introduction

Energy is stored in plants in form of carbohydrates, including sucrose (i.e., saccharose, a disaccharide) and starch (a polysaccharide). Sucrose and starch are derived from glucose, the product of carbon dioxide and water in photosynthesis. These carbohydrates are not only essential as energy supplies in food and animal feed, but in recent years have also been tapped as a renewable fuel energy source by the fuel ethanol industry. Some plants, like sugar cane or sugar beet, produce juice rich in sucrose, a mixed glucose-fructose disaccharide. Brewer’s yeast (Saccharomyces cerevisiae) can directly ferment sucrose into ethanol, whereas starch, like that found in corn or potatoes, must first be hydrolyzed, to glucose or fermentable glucose oligosaccharides by an enzyme (e.g., amylase) before fermenting into ethanol. Cellulose, structural polysaccharides in plants, is even more difficult to hydrolyze to glucose. To obtain fermentable sugars, cellulose-containing plant material is usually subjected to a physicochemical pretreatment followed by enzymatic hydrolysis with cellulases. Such pretreatment processes are expensive and not yet used commercially on a large scale.

Because sugar and starch are major components of human food, and cellulose is the principal component of ruminant feed, their large-scale use in fuel alcohol production is already affecting food prices in the world. Brazil began producing fuel ethanol from sugar cane 36 years ago and in 2010 supplied enough ethanol to sell gasoline containing 25% ethanol throughout the country. Similarly, a large-scale, government sponsored program of fuel ethanol production from starch, using maize as its source, was started in the United States in 2007. This industry has created vast quantities of yeast byproducts; including a commercial-scale source of yeast extract, yeast glucose and mannose polysaccharides. Sugar cane juice and sugar beet juice fermentations are especially convenient for yeast collection,
because the post-fermentation solution does not contain solids such as non-fermentable residues, like those produced during corn mash fermentation.

The yeast cell wall contains three major constituents: glucan (glucose polysaccharide), mannan (mannose polysaccharide) and a protein fraction. The separation of these natural polymers is simple and inexpensive. However, it is difficult and expensive to obtain more than 65% pure fractions and, therefore, these components are produced and sold at these low levels of purity. Their largest commercial application has been as animal feed nutritional supplements (see, http://www.Alltech.com).

There are three well known types of glucose polysaccharides in yeast: poly-(1→3)(1→6)-β-D-glucopyranose - commercial name: yeast β-D-glucan, yeast glucan; poly-(1→4)-α-D-glucopyranose (commercial name yeast glucogen) and poly-(1→6)(1→3)(1→4)-α-D-glucopyranose a recently “rediscovered” polysaccharide (Arvindecar & Patil, 2002) yet without a commercial name. The prefix “poly” informs about the polymeric nature of the material built of D-glucose cyclic monomers (D-glucopyranose rings) and the letter D informs that glucose belongs to the group of naturally occurring plant sugars with D stereochemistry. The letter α or β refers to the configuration of the glycosidic bonds between the C-1 of a nonreducing ring and the C-6 of a reducing ring or the C-1 of a nonreducing ring and the C-3 of a reducing ring (see Fig. 1, Lindhorst 2003). Figure 1 shows structures of β-D-glucopyranose isomer with a C-1 OH group in the β position (solid line) or a C-1 OH group in the (α) position (dotted line). Penta-(1→6)(1→3)-β-D-glucopyranose drawing shows the location of all OH groups, glycosidic bonds and glucopyranose rings within the structure. (The hydrogen atoms attached to carbon atoms are not shown.)

Figure 1.

Solving polysaccharide structure is not simple. At first, a sample needs to be homogenous and 98-99% pure. Different separation techniques (i.e., size exclusion chromatography, affinity (lectin) chromatography and in some cases high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) are suitable for the purification of water or solvent soluble
samples. Spectroscopic methods, such as $^1$H and $^{13}$C nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) 1D/2D and mass spectrometry (MS) combined with chemical treatments of the sample, can provide further clues about the kind, sequence and ratios of the monosaccharides present, the type of bonds (α- or β-) between individual sugars, the branching points and reducing and non-reducing ends, and its molecular weight.

The experimental procedures that are most frequently used in the process of solving the polysaccharide structure are:

1. **Sample purification**
   A solid, crude, non-homogenous, polysaccharide must be solubilized in an appropriate solvent (water) or, in the case of insoluble polysaccharides, it must be subjected to chemical or enzymatic degradation (with minimal damage to the original structure) to reduce the polymer size which then allows the sample to become soluble. Sample solubilization makes the use of various chromatographic techniques (i.e., ion exchange chromatography, size exclusion chromatography, affinity-chromatography, sorbent-liquid chromatography) for sample fractionation and purification, possible.

2. **Composition analysis**
   Complete acid hydrolysis is used to cleave all glycosidic bonds in the polysaccharide and to release D-glucose as a single product (in the case of glucans), which is then identified by comparison to a D-glucose standard.

3. **Methylation linkage analysis**
   All of the polysaccharide hydroxyl (OH) groups are methylated using an excess of methylation reagent (dinsyl sodium followed by methyl iodide). Obtained this way, the fully O-methylated derivative is then subjected to acidic hydrolysis of all glycosidic linkages. The released O-methylated derivatives of D-glucose: 2, 3, 4, 6-O-tetramethyl-D-glucose; 2, 3, 4-O-trimethyl-D-glucose; 2, 4-O-dimethyl-D-glucose are identified and quantified using HPLC/MS and appropriate standards. In the case of pentasaccharide (Fig.1) the ratios of the methylation products would be 2:2:1.

4. **Spectroscopy**
   Finally, $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR and MS are used to establish the sequence of glucopyranose rings, the position of branching points, the number of non-reducing ends and the nature of glycosidic bonds (α or β). If the polysaccharide contains repeating sequences, their ratios can be also established.

5. **Enzymatic action**
   Glycosidases and lectins of known specificity are applied to confirm glucose oligosaccharide structures, from which the complete polysaccharide architecture can be deduced.

The Complex Carbohydrates Research Center located at The University of Georgia (http://www.cccrc.uga.edu) offers services covering all of the aspects of the polysaccharide structural assignments.
2. Fungal and yeast cell wall, D-glucose homo- and heteropolysaccharides

Fungi constitute an independent evolutionary group of eukaryotic organisms equal in rank to that of plants or animals. They can excrete hydrolytic enzymes that break down biopolymers (polysaccharides and proteins) of plant or animal origin and use sugars and/or amino acids for their nutrition. Yeast is a single-cell fungus and the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* species (baker’s or brewer’s yeast) has been utilized by people from early human civilization in food and beverage preparation. Mushrooms are fungal fruiting bodies; edible mushrooms are taste improving, “healthy” food ingredients that are very popular on European and Asian menus. Extracts and dried powders made by processing “medicinal mushrooms” are important ingredients of traditional Eastern medicinal remedies.

Fungal cell walls are made of polysaccharides. Chitin, a homopolymer of (1→4)-β-D-N-acetylglucosamine and various glucans (homopolymers of D-glucose, with α- or β-glycosidyl linkages between C-1 and C-2, C-3, C-4 and C-6 of glucopyranose rings) are the most frequently found building blocks used by nature in construction of the cell wall that separates the fungus from the environment. In yeast, α- and β-glucans and α-mannan are the major polysaccharides (chitin is present at 1-2%) that are utilized in cell wall construction. Their ratio changes with yeast strain and growth stage and is dependent upon growth conditions including oxygen and nutrient availability and the temperature and pH of the medium (Stewart & Russell, 1998). Table 1 shows the average abundance of yeast cell wall components.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Cell wall mass (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1→3)-β-D-glucan</td>
<td>50-55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1→6)-β-D-glucan</td>
<td>5-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1→4)-α-(1→3)-β-D-glucan</td>
<td>3-7*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mannoprotein complex</td>
<td>35-40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chitin</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mannan structure can be represented by the following formula: poly-(1→2) (1→3) (1→6)-α-D-mannopyranose. This polysaccharide is built out of a long backbone chain of (1→6)-α-D-mannopyranose rings with short mannopyranose chains (one to four rings long, connected through (1→2) and (1→3)-α-glucoside bonds (Ballou, 1980).

Table 1. The cell wall components of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (Klis et al. 2002; Kath & Kulicke, 1999; Lessage & Bussey, 2006; Kwiatkowski et al., 2009)

The yeast cell wall forms a border that defines the yeast cell’s dimensions and separates its organelles from the negative influences of the environment. The individual constituents of the cell wall connect to each other by covalent bonds forming a single supra-molecular biopolymer. To find the nature of these connections, as well as the individual polysaccharides structures, all of the architectural elements must be dissected, solubilized, purified and analyzed. In this process it is almost impossible not to damage particular structural elements and to afterwards distinguish between the original components of the
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Cell wall and soluble polysaccharides that could be present in the cytoplasm or might be trapped (physically absorbed) within cell wall. More than 50 years of research were required to establish the structure of the major, high molecular weight yeast homo-polysaccharides (Bacon & Farmer, 1969; Aimaniada et al., 2009).

The (1→3)-β-D-glucan and (1→6)-β-D-glucan form a single structure in which the (1→3)-β-D-glucan forms the backbone chain with (1→6)-β-D-glucan branches that are attached to branching glucopyranose rings at C-6 (Lessage & Bussey, 2006). The (1→3)-β-D-glucan chains form triple helix tridimensional structures with spring-like mechanical properties, responsible for the yeast cell wall’s strength (Klis et al., 2002) and its ability to absorb toxins (Yiannikouris et al., 2004). The (1→6)-β-D-glucan is a linker between (1→3)-β-D-glucan, chitin and mannoproteins (Kaptein et al., 1999; Kollar et al., 1997) that stabilizes the whole structure and is the main cause of yeast cell wall insolubility. The properties and role of a mixed (1→4)-α-(1→3)-β-D-glucan from yeast cells will be discussed in section 3 of this chapter.

Mannoproteins are mostly located on the outside surface of the cell wall (Osumi, 1998). They play a sensory function for nutrients and chemical and bacterial/viral toxins, actively participate in the transport of nutrients and metabolites through the cell wall, and also participate in mating (Klis et al., 2002; Stewart & Russell, 1998). Some cell wall enzymes such as glucanase, mannannase, invertase, alkaline phosphatase and lipase are mannoproteins (Stewart & Russell, 1998) that hydrolyze nutrients and participate in the reconstruction of cell polysaccharides during cell growth and budding. Cell wall mannans are connected with cell wall glucans via covalent bonds, but they can be released under action of an alkaline medium in which they are perfectly soluble. The process of separating yeast cell wall glucans (which stay as an insoluble fraction) from yeast mannans is used on an industrial scale (Sedmak, 2006). The soluble, mannan-rich fraction, can be added back to the cell wall which contains only 10-17% mannan by weight, to increase the content of mannan to 30% by weight. Such a product is sold by Alltech Inc. under the name of Actigen™ to the animal nutrition industry.

The small fraction of chitin present in yeast cell wall is primarily located in the scar rings around buds in budding yeast and secures closure of the gaps in the mother cell and the departing daughter cell (Lessage & Bussey, 2006; Stewart & Russell, 1998).

3. α-D-glucans from baker’s yeast (Saccharomyces cerevisiae)

The role of (1→3)-β-D-glucan in the maintenance of yeast cell wall shape and rigidity (Lessage & Bussey, 2006; Klis, et al. 2006) and (1→6)-β-D-glucan as a polysaccharide that links together all of the cell wall polysaccharides (Aimaniada et al., 2009; Kollar et al., 1997) is well documented and has been reviewed by Lessage & Bussey (2006) and Klis et al. (2002). The presence of starch-like, “alkali-soluble glycogen,” an “energy storage polysaccharide” in cell cytosol, and “difficult to dissolve acid-soluble,” glycogen like (1→4)-α-D-glucan in the cell walls of Saccharomyces cerevisiae grown aerobically, was frequently mentioned in early yeast literature (Grba et al., 1976). The two forms of glycogen synthetase have also been identified (Rothman-Denes & Cabib, 1970; Lille & Pringle, 1980) but the yeast literature is still treating the “difficult to dissolve” α-glucan as physically adsorbed cytosol glycogen,
trapped within yeast cell wall, and not as an independent component attached by a covalent bond to the other cell wall polysaccharides. In 1973, Manners et al. reported: “β-1, 6-glucan purified after acid extraction had to be exhaustively treated with α-amylase and still showed the presence of glucose and maltose in paper chromatographic analysis, along with gentiobiose and higher gentiooligosaccharides,” which are (1→4)-α-D-oligosaccharides formed during enzymatic hydrolysis of the mixed α, β-glucan from the yeast cell wall.

The α-glucan content in the yeast cell wall is reported to vary from as little as 1% (Lille & Pringle, 1980) to as much as 29% (Sedmak, 2006) of the dry weight, depending upon the nutritional status of the cells, the method of isolation, the method of analysis and the phase of growth during which the cells were harvested (Lille & Pringle, 1980). Spectrophotometric analysis of soluble glycogen can be run directly on a water extract, and if properly done, yields reliable results (Quain, 1981). Quantitative analysis of “insoluble” glycogen requires additional, enzymatic release of glucose from its mixed polysaccharide with β-glucan (see, http://www.megazyme.com). The industrially produced brewer’s yeast described in Sedmak’s US patent application (Sedmak, 2006) contains glucans (α + β) at 28.9% dry weight, which includes 12.4% α-glucan. Hydrolysis of these water-insoluble cells with alkaline protease solubilizes the mannoprotein complex and yields water-insoluble cell wall polysaccharides (including α-glucan) that contain 54.5% dry weight of glucans with more than half of the total weight (29.2%) as α-glucan.

Arvindekar and Patil (2002) proposed an explanation for the presence of α-glucan in the insoluble fraction from yeast cell walls, which has been described by others as “difficult to wash away” yeast glycogen (Gunia-Smith et al., 1977; Manners & Fleet, 1976). They found that the ratio of “soluble” to “insoluble” glycogen in different strains of Saccharomyces cerevisiae is in the range of 1:2.5–1:3. When the insoluble fraction was digested with purified lyticase, which possessed only (1→3)-β-D-glucan hydrolyzing activity and no (1→6)-β-D-glucan or (1→4)-α-D-glucan hydrolyzing activities, all of the material dissolved. The affinity chromatography of the solution on a Concanavalin A (ConA) column retained a fraction that contained mixed (1→4)-α-(1→6)-β-D-glucan polysaccharide. The eluent contained exclusively (1→3)-β-D-glucan oligosaccharides. When the (1→4)-α-D-glucan-rich fraction was released from its binding with ConA and treated with amyloglucosidase followed by dialysis to remove glucose, subjecting the resulting solution to affinity chromatography on a ConA column showed none of the material was retained. The material recovered from the solution was then proved to be pure (1→6)-β-D-glucan. These simple experiments showed that the “insoluble glycogen” from yeast cell wall is a mixed glucan in which (1→4)-α-D-glucan is connected to (1→3)-β-D-glucan through a (1→6)-β-D-glucan link. The structure of this mixed yeast cell wall (1→4)-α-(1→6)-β-(1→3)-β-D-glucan was confirmed by our 1H NMR study (Kwiatkowski et al., 2009) and methylation analysis done at CCRC.

We used yeast cell wall α-glucan (prepared in our laboratory) in the development of the enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) for yeast cell wall quantitative analysis in a complex polysaccharide matrix (Moran et al., 2011). The antigen was prepared in two stages.
At first a sample of cell wall (1→4)-α-D-glucan (fraction 50-100 kDa separated with the help of an ultra centrifugal filter (Aldrich Inc.) was oxidized mostly at the C-6 carbons using the Albright-Goldman oxidation reagent (Albright & Goldman, 1967; Zekovic et al., 2006) which converted the –CH₂OH groups into aldehyde groups –CH=O in glucopyranose rings. The reaction produced a highly cross-linked polymer, with internal acetal bonds, but still well soluble in DMSO (dimethyl sulfoxide) and water, which was coupled to a bovine serum albumin (BSA), yielding the antigen (glucan-BSA conjugate). To restore the original α-glucan structure, but with –CH₂-NH-BSA groups instead of –CH₂-OH groups at the glucan’s C-6 carbon, the conjugate C-6 imino (-CH=N-BSA) groups were reduced with sodium cyanoborohydride (Baxter & Reitz, 2002). Sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) of the conjugate indicated the presence of significant quantities of free BSA (line at 66 kDa) together with the glucan-BSA conjugate of molecular size between 100 and 175 kDa. To remove the excess BSA, the concentrated solution of the conjugate was washed with water of pH 7.0, 8.5 and 4.5 using an ultra centrifugal filter with the 50-kDa membrane. This “purified” conjugate was used then in rabbit immunization. We found that the rabbit polyclonal antibodies were very sensitive to yeast cell wall α-glucan, but (as expected) they were also cross-reactive with BSA. To delete this activity we synthesized the BSA-coated affinity phase (Moran et al., 2011) and removed antibodies specific to BSA from the crude fraction of polyclonal rabbit anti-α-glucan antibodies. We also found that antibodies grown against this α-glucan polysaccharide did not recognize any commercially available starch or glycogen samples, including yeast glycogen. Such specificity confirms structural differences between the cell wall and the cytosol α-glucans from Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Because of the high specificity and very low cross reactivity of the “purified” rabbit antibodies with soy proteins and corn carbohydrates, the ELISA cell wall assay detects and quantifies samples of yeast cell wall down to 50 g/ton of feed (Moran et al., 2011). A similar assay was used for quantification of α-D-glucan-protein complex in mushrooms with immunomodulatory activity (Hirotaka et al., 2007).

What is the role of this polysaccharide in yeast cell life? Some remarks in the literature point to its role in yeast flocculation (Patel & Inglewed, 1975), acting as part of a possible killer toxin receptor (Hutchkins & Bussey, 1983) and as being sensitive to environmental conditions and changes in medium (Slaughter & Nomura, 1992; Dake et al., 2010; Jadhav et al., 2008). The cell wall bound α-glucan from fission yeast Schizosaccharomyces pombe has been studied in detail (Villar-Tajadura et al., 2008; Vos et al., 2007; Garcia et al., 2006; Grün et al., 2005), and systematic identification of the genes affecting glycogen storage in the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae was attempted (Wilson et al., 2002). Unfortunately, the presence of the two different pools of glycogen was not addressed. One of the possible functions of bound α-glucan might be the temporary formation of mixed α, β-glucan oligosaccharides that become soluble in cytoplasm and can be transported inside the cell wall where the β-glucan fragment is used in the construction of insoluble yeast cell wall β-glucan polysaccharide.

Is it possible that α-glucan polysaccharides from yeast or mushrooms can have medicinal properties? There is no literature concerning the medicinal properties of α-glucan as a
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separate fraction of the yeast cell wall, which is a consequence of acknowledging it as part of an energy storage cellular polysaccharide—yeast glycogen. Bioactive components containing α-glucan, mixed α, β-glucan or α-glucan-protein complexes are known to be present in extracts from the fruiting bodies of edible medicinal-mushrooms. Like in the case of many other traditional herbal remedies, it is difficult to separate the single bioactive component’s (α-glucan) bioactivity from the activities of the other components of the extract, and in some cases, early assignments were incorrect.

Mushrooms with medicinal activities that contain extractable α-glucan in their fruiting bodies, belong to one of two phyla: Ascomycota or Basidiomycota. Hot-water extracts from *Peziza vesiculosa* (Ohno et al., 1985; Suzuki et al., 1982) and *Cordyceps chinensis* (Yan et al., 2011; Holliday & Cleaver, 2008; Khan et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2006; Kiho et al., 1993; Kiho et al., 1996; Li et al., 2006), which are both Ascomycota, have been shown to have antitumor and anti-diabetic activities. The linear α-(1→4)-D-glucan is less active than α-(1→4) (1→6)-D-glucan with 1 to 6 branches on the backbone 1→4 chain with a ratio of 1:8. The biological activity of glycogen-like polysaccharides was found to be molecular-size dependent with smaller ~9.5 kDa molecules being active, whereas 14-24 kDa molecules were not (Kakutani et al., 2007).

More is known about edible mushrooms belonging to Basidiomycota which contain both: α- and β-glucans in their fruiting bodies (Rop et al., 2009). Hot-water extracts from *Peziza vesiculosa* show antitumor activity (Ohno et al., 1985; Suzuki et al., 1982) and extracts from *Grifola frondosa* (Maitake) show glucose suppression (Tanaka et al., 2011) and antidiabetic (Lei et al., 2007) activities. Extracts (Shida & Matsuda, 1974) from *Lentinus edodes* (Shiitake) stimulate the immune system (Shah et al., 2011; Terakawa et al., 2008) and possess antibacterial, antiviral (Mach et al., 2008) and anticancer properties (Shida & Matsuda, 1974; Hyodo et al., 2005; Shah et al., 2011). Extracts from *Tricholoma matsutake* (Matsutake) show antitumor (Ebina et al., 2002) and immunomodulatory (Hirotaka et al., 2007; Hirotaka et al., 2005) activities. Extracts (Smiderle et al., 2010) from *Agaricus bisporus* (Portobello) inhibit breast cancer cell proliferation (Grube et al., 2001) and stimulate the immune system (Ren et al., 2008; Koppada et al., 2009). A glycogen-like polysaccharide from Portobello mushrooms potently activated macrophages, stimulating TNF-α production and phagocytosis of RAW264.7 cells (Kojima et al., 2010). Extracts from *Pholiota nameko* (Butterscotch mushroom), which has been in use as a major component of miso soup in Japan, shows antiinflammatory activity (Li et al., 2008). In Asian and European culinary traditions, meals containing these mushrooms are believed to be healthy and to heal a variety of ailments.

Whether α-glucan from yeast cell walls can contribute to the known medicinal activities of various extracts from whole yeast is still an open question. Newly developed, simple methods for producing large quantities of this material (Kwiatkowski et al., 2009; Moran et al., 2011) should stimulate new research in this area.

The abundant literature regarding bioactivity of the whole yeast cell wall and its extracts rich in α-mannoprotein or β-glucan, will be discussed in sections 4, 5 and 6 of this chapter.
4. Baker's yeast cell wall β-D-glucan/α-mannoprotein complex

Yeast cell wall biogenesis was studied by Smits (Smits et al., 2001) who found that “The yeast cell wall forms a border that defines the yeast cell’s dimensions and separates its organelles from the negative impact of the environment. All of the individual constituents of a yeast cell wall connect to each other by covalent bonds forming a single supra-molecular biopolymer”. The (1→3) (1→6)-β-D-glucan and the (1→2) (1→3) (1→6)-α-D-mannan/protein complexes (Vinogradov et al., 1998) are the major components of this supra-molecular biopolymer (Lessage & Bussey, 2006; Kath & Kulicke, 1999). They connect to each other by a covalent bond the nature of which is still under investigation (Kaptein et al., 1999; Kollar et al., 1997). In the process of yeast cell wall isolation the cell wall is first cracked open, with the help of physical, chemical and/or enzymatic treatments (Kath & Kulicke, 1999) and then separated from the soluble yeast cell components by centrifugation (Sedmak, 2006; Jamas et al., 1998). The concentrated yeast cell wall solids containing ~30% dry weight material are then spray-dried. The resulting product is a fine powder with a light tan color. The cell wall polysaccharides can be separated from each other by alkaline extraction, which solubilizes the α-mannoprotein fraction and leaves β-glucan particles in suspension. The β-glucan particulate can be separated from the soluble α-mannoprotein fraction by centrifugation and spray-dried to yield light, yellow colored, fine powder, free of any smell or taste, containing ~65% β-glucan. The α-mannoprotein solution can then be concentrated by using membrane ultrafiltration and spray-dried to produce a light brown, “mannan rich fraction” with ~40% by weight of α-mannan. Yeast cell walls as well as both fractions of polysaccharides are produced on a large scale and have practical applications as animal feed nutritional supplements. Furthermore, this yeast cell wall preparation, sold by Alltech Inc. under the name of Bio-Mos®, was proved (in more than 600 feeding trials) to have a positive impact on the immune system of livestock (Baurhoo et al., 2009; Yang et al., 2008; Rosen et al., 2007; Jacques & Newman, 1994; Morrison et al., 2010) fish (Dimitroglu et al., 2009), and companion animals (Swanson et al., 2002) when added to feed at the rate of 0.5-2.0 kg/ton. Bio-Mos® contains ~17% of α-mannan, which is possibly its bioactive component. An improved form of Bio-Mos® is marketed by Alltech Inc. under the name Actigen™. This improved product contains 30% α-mannan and is manufactured, by mixing yeast cell wall with a mannan-rich fraction. Actigen™ product is four times more active than Bio-Mos® (~13% of it is soluble and therefore, better available to interaction with microbes and animal gut) and its application rate of 250-500 g/ton approaches that of antibiotics. Alpha-D-mannans have a “brush like” structure built out of α-(1→2)- and α-(1→3)-D-mannopyranose branches, 1 to 5 rings long (brush hair), which are attached to a ~120-ring-long α-(1→6)-D-mannopyranose chain, the brush handle (Vinogradov et al., 1998). This structure creates a specific combination of various functionalities that also involve protein conjugates. It can fit with various receptors present on the walls of animal digestive tracts (Mansour & Levitz, 2003) and with the receptors on the membranes of pathogenic bacteria (Wellens et al., 2008). Alpha-D-mannan/protein-conjugates are involved in interactions with animal immune systems and as a result enhance immune system activity (Wismar et al., 2010) and contribute to animal antioxidant and antimutagenic defenses (Krizkova et al., 2006). Immune system
strength, blockage of bacterial adhesion to the gut and modification of the gut structure contributes to improved survival and better growth in young animals. The second major yeast cell wall polysaccharide, (1→3)(1→6)-β-D-glucan, might also contribute to yeast cell wall biological properties (section 5 of this chapter), but its major role in animal nutrition is its ability to bind mycotoxins and detoxify animal feed. The (1→3)(1→6)-β-D-glucan is a part of the cell wall’s “triple helix tridimensional structure, with spring-like mechanical properties, responsible for yeast cell wall strength and ability to absorb toxins” (Yannikouris et al., 2004) (see section 2, this chapter). Toxins occurring in plant-derived animal feed belong to one of two groups. Mycotoxins (the first group) are the by-products of the secondary metabolism of pathogenic fungi (Bennet & Klich, 2003), whereas chemical toxins (the second group) are incorporated into plant tissue as a result of plants metabolizing agrochemicals from the soil or water used for irrigation (McLean & Bledsoe, 1992). Some of the most problematic mycotoxins in causing human or animal diseases (i.e., aflatoxin, citrinin, ergot alkaloids, fumonisins, ochratoxin A, patulin, trichotheccenes and zeralenone; Smith et al., 1995) can be absorbed by yeast cell wall β-glucans (Yannikouris et al., 2004; Yannikouris et al., 2006). A mixture of cell wall β-glucan with clay (bentonite) sold as Mycosorb® by Alltech Inc. offers a spectrum of mycotoxin absorption superior to that of yeast cell wall glucans alone and also absorbs heavy metals (Brady et al., 1994). As in the case of Bio-Mos® a multitude of feeding trials have demonstrated the efficacy of Mycosorb® as an animal feed detoxifier using companion animals (Leung et al., 2006), horses (Raymond et al., 2003), pigs (Kogan & Kohler, 2007) and poultry (Dvorska et al., 2003; Karaman et al., 2005; Valarezo et al., 1998).

The whole yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* grown in a medium containing inorganic selenium (Demirici & Pometto, 1999; Demirici et al., 1999; Mapelli et al., 2011) is used to produce yet another yeast-based human/animal nutritional supplement SelPlex® (Alltech Inc.). To maintain healthy metabolism, the human body requires 17 µg of selenium a day. Dietary selenium is used to synthesize selenocysteine (in liver) and is then incorporated into more than 25 Se-containing enzymes that play important roles in body’s defense against free radical species (Tapiero et al., 2003) and in many other cellular processes including the generation of energy in mitochondria (Rayman, 2000). Because yeast does not possess genes that control selenium metabolism (Rodrigo, 2002), selenium, which has chemical properties extremely similar to sulfur, is metabolized in the same manner as sulfur and randomly incorporated into yeast cytosol, small-molecules like Se-glutathione, Se-adenosylhomocysteine (Uden et al. 2003) and proteins as Se-methionine (Tastet et al., 2008). Unlike yeast, mushrooms can metabolize selenium and can accumulate large quantities of it (Turlo et al., 2007) in the form of selenomethionine and selenocysteine. Animal feeding studies clearly showed that SelPlex® is not just a selenium source, but it also carries a variety of beneficial effects such as increased animal fertility (Rayman, 2000) or improved animal immune system activity (Rayman, 2000). Additionally, feeding SelPlex® to mice has been shown to delay the development of brain tumors from malignant human cancer cells implanted in mice brains (Toborek, 2011) and can significantly limit the deposition of Aβ amyloid plaques in APP/PS1 mouse brains that carry human Alzheimer’s disease genes (Lovell et al., 2009).
Only some of these effects can be promoted by regular yeast cell wall preparations. However, separating the bioactivities caused by the selenomethionine-containing yeast proteins from the activity caused by the polysaccharide parts of selenized yeast cell walls is difficult. Comparison of genomic activity in tissues taken from animals fed with SelPlex® to those fed with Bio-Mos® spiked with selenomethionine indicates very large differences in the regulation of multiple groups of genes for both treatments (Kwiatkowski et al., 2011), which may indicate that the bioactivity of Sel-Plex® involves cooperation between the selenoprotein and the polysaccharide components of selenized yeast cell walls. Indeed, selected extracts from selenized yeast/yeast cell walls (Kwiatkowski et al., 2011) show potential as future, possible treatments of diseases such as type 2 diabetes, cancer and Alzheimer’s.

5. β-(1→3)(1→6)-D-glucan as valuable by product from yeast fermentation

The commercial source from which the bulk yeast cell wall polysaccharides (including β-glucan) are produced uses the same strains of yeast as are used in fuel alcohol production. Le Saffre/ADM, Lallemand, Enzyme Development (New York) and DSM Life Sciences (Delft) are the largest suppliers of yeast for fuel ethanol producers in the United States and the European Union. Major factors that affect yeast cell wall composition include yeast strain (Hahn-Hagerdal et al., 2005), growth conditions (growth medium, temperature, osmotic pressure, toxic metabolites) and the time of harvesting (Aguilar-Uscanaga & Francois, 2003; Klis et al., 2002; Klis et al., 2006). Fuel alcohol fermentation is a high stress process (Devantier et al., 2005) and the cell walls of the yeast collected as its byproduct contain a high amount of β-glucans (Basso et al., 2008; Knauf & Kraus, 2006; Jones & Ingledew, 1994). In general, yeast strains of Saccharomyces cerevisiae that are used in baking (baker’s yeast) have a higher β-glucan-to-α-mannan ratio than those that are used for alcohol fermentation (brewer’s yeast), therefore it is advantageous to use pure baker’s yeast for producing high quality (1→3)(1→6)-β-D-glucan for medicinal applications (Kim et al., 2007). The process of separating various yeast components has been heavily patented. However, the differences in the technologies are minor and in principle do not differ from the methodology described by Manners and Fleet (1976). The process starts from autolysing yeast cells at a temperature between 45°C and 65°C at slightly acidic pH, to release yeast cell walls that are insoluble and denser than the cytoplasmic contents and can be separated by centrifugation (Wheatcroft et al., 2002). These steps can be followed by incubating the yeast cell walls with alkaline protease at a pH of 9 to 10 to solubilize mannans and leave behind insoluble β-glucan (Zapata et al., 2008), which can then be physically separated from the liquid fraction by centrifugation and subsequent washing (Sedmak, 2006). Additional enzymes, like glucoamylase and lipase, can be used to hydrolyze α-glucan from α β-glucan, which is still present in the cell wall material and to solubilize the residue lipids from cell membranes. The final step of β-glucan production is a spray-drying that produces a white-to-maroon colored powder that does not carry any taste or aroma and is useful for feed and food applications. Further alkaline and acidic treatments of the food-grade β-glucan (Kelly,
yields high purity (98.5% β-glucan, <0.1% mannan, 0.4% α-glucan, 0.3% protein, 0.2% chitin) microparticulate β-glucan with reduced molecular weight (from ~1-3 MDa to ~150 kDa) that is much more easily absorbed by the digestive tract and shows improved activity compared with food-grade products containing only ~65% β-glucan. Even further hydrolysis produces soluble yeast β-glucan (Jamas et al., 1998; Lee et al., 2001) that still retains most of the particular β-glucan bioactivities (Janusz et al., 1986; Wakshull et al., 1999).

Yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae, its cell wall and products of its fractionation are generally recognized as safe (GRAS) by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA, 1997), and they can be legally used as food ingredients but not as food additives. The European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) issued an opinion that yeast β-glucans are a “safe food ingredient” (EFSA, 2011) that can be used as a “food supplement” up to 375 mg/day and in foods for “particular nutritional uses” at dose levels up to 600 mg/day. (The uses of yeast cell wall as an animal feed ingredient were discussed in section 4 of this chapter).

Food-grade yeast β-glucans such as BetaRight® and WGP® (Biothera, Inc.) are used as ingredients in baked foods, beverages, cereal, yogurt, fruit juices, chocolate and as food thickeners in salad dressings, ice cream, mayonnaise, sauces and cheese. The majority of these applications have been patented (Zechnert-Krpan et al., 2009; Thammakiti et al., 2004) and a critical review of 300 patented applications is available (Laroche & Michaud, 2007). Yeast β-glucans improve food rheological properties, gelling, water and oil-holding properties, without impacting its taste or odor (Petravic-Tominac et al., 2011). Beta-glucans also add health benefits (Laroche & Michaud, 2007) like antioxidative, bacteriostatic and immunostimulating activities. Cosmetic products used in skin treatment contain yeast β-glucans as moisturizing and moisture-retaining components that also provide a proper moistening feeling. Because of its emulsion-stabilizing effects, pleasant texture and antioxidant activity yeast β-glucans can prevent skin injuries caused by solar radiation and therefore are used in sunscreens, oils and gels (Michiko & Yutaka, 2007). Deodorants containing yeast β-glucans have proved to be useful in oral preparations, mouthwashes and diapers (Michiko et al., 2005). Acid-treated cell walls (AYC) can be used as new binders in pharmaceutical formulations and, when mixed with traditional fillers like hydroxypropylcellulose or polyvinylpyrrolidone, yield harder pills with very short (~2 min) dissolution times (Yusa et al., 2002). Its adhesive and biological properties can be also utilized in producing coating for surgical instruments (Klein, 2003) and in the manufacture of packaging for the food industry (Cope, 1987). Its antibacterial and antiviral properties have found application in the control of plant pests (Kitagawa, 2007) and viral invasions (Slovakova et al., 1997).

6. Medicinal application of native and chemically modified forms of β-(1→6)(1→3)-D-glucan

Approximately 2000 research and review papers covering β-(1→6)(1→3)-D-glucan bioactivity and its medicinal applications have been published since the 1960’s, and the
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The majority of the literature has been reviewed (Bohn & BeMiller, 1995; Kogan, 2000; Zekovic et al., 2005; Vetcvicka, 2011; http://www.betaglucan.org; and for patented applications see Laroche & Michaud, 2007). Many early assumptions have been confirmed by more rigorous studies, and many others have been disproven. Many of the studies that initially showed promise utilized poorly purified and not sufficiently standardized samples of yeast cell wall glucan (Jaehrig et al., 2007; Vetcvicka & Vetcvickova, 2007). Highly purified, insoluble, whole-glucan particles (WGP) with the size 2-4 µm (Yan et al., 2005), or soluble, yeast poly-(1→6)-β-D-glucopyranosyl-(1→3)-β-D-glucopyranose (PGG) with molecular weight ~150 kDa (Gawronski et al., 1999) is presently used in animal and human studies. Glucan samples used in earlier studies could contain significant quantities of (also) bioactive impurities like yeast mannoproteins or α-glucans. The existence of a correlation between the β-(1→6)(1→3)-D-glucan structure and its bioactivity is well established having been confirmed by multiple animal and human studies (Novak & Vetcvicka, 2009). The WGP-glucan fate after oral administration and PGG-glucan after intravenous administration has been studied in animals (Vetcvicka & Vetcvickova, 2008). Mammalian cells lack β-glucan, which is present in the cell wall of many infectious microbes. Thus, mammalian macrophages, which are present "on guard" in the cells lining the digestive track, recognize and bind purified β-glucan, but not the whole yeast cells (which have mannoproteins on the cell surface), via the dectin-1 receptor, which is a glucan-sensing receptor expressed on dectin a transmembrane protein. The macrophages transport β-glucan molecules to the spleen, bone marrow and lymph nodes where they are disintegrated into smaller, soluble, β-glucan oligosaccharides that are released back into the bloodstream. The circulating monocytes, macrophages, neutrophils, natural killer and dendritic cells (Chan et al., 2009) which possesses β-glucan recognizing receptors, including: toll like receptor 2 (TLR-2, Underhill et al., 1999), dectin-1 (Brown et al., 2007), complement receptor 3 (CR-3) (Ross et al., 1987) and lactosyl ceramide receptor, bind to the β-glucan molecules triggering the non-specific-innate immune response, including phagocytosis and production of proinflammatory factors (Qi et al., 2011). This mechanism leads to the elimination of infectious agents (Chan et al., 2009). Cancer cells are recognized by the host immune system, but antibody response is too weak to destroy them. When humanized, anti-cancer monoclonal antibodies are used in cancer therapy the treatments are not uniformly effective. Combined antitumor monoclonal antibodies (mAb’s) and β-glucan therapy yields much better results with fewer adverse side effects (Salvador et al., 2008; Liu et al., 2009). The mechanism of action is only partially understood with neutrophils and macrophages playing a role in the process of killing cancer cells (Liu et al., 2009). Strengthening immunity to various diseases (Hofer & Pospisil, 2011) and combating those that are already active in humans and animals are the main applications of yeast β-glucan. In Eastern culinary tradition, eating mushrooms (which contain large quantities of soluble β-glucan in their fruiting bodies) has long been recognized as healthy, and four pharmaceutical preparations, based on mushroom extracts, have been registered as drugs in Japan (Hyodo et al., 2005). In the Western world several clinical trials (Babineu et al., 1994; Weitberg, 2008; Spruijt et al., 2010) proved the beneficial properties of WGP and PGG glucans, which are still waiting to be registered as drugs (Vetcvicka, 2011; Lehtovaara & Gu, 2011). The following therapeutic activities of yeast β-
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β-glucan are well-established in treating the following conditions: post-surgical infections, hospital pneumonia, acute renal failure (Koc et al., 2011), pressure ulcers (bed sores), wound healing (surgical and as result of injury, Spruijt et al., 2010) and burns caused by heat, UV or X-ray radiation. As an adjuvant yeast β-glucans alleviate stress and improves the mAb’s plus PGG anticancer treatment for breast, colorectal, colon, leukemia, lung, ovarian and skin cancers, chemotherapy and radiotherapy; antireumatic drug therapy (Sener et al., 2006), and antifungal therapies. They stimulate bone marrow healing and bone repair (due to injuries), which increases red blood cell count and neutrophil production. Many of these activities were studied in the 1990s and 2000s and have been reviewed. Studies since 2010 have been referred to herein.

Some of the negative side effects that have been observed in response to prolonged or large doses of β-glucan preparations are the consequences of their mode of action as well as their physical and chemical properties. Their therapeutic activity is based upon immune system mobilization and the production of monocytes, macrophages, neutrophils, natural killer and dendritic cells which fight and destroy pathogens. However, prolonged pro-inflammatory changes in cells can cause the development of autoimmune diseases. The human body is missing enzymes that can hydrolyze β-glucan polysaccharides and for their degradation uses less efficient oxidative pathways. This insufficiency extends the cellular half-life of WGP and PPG glucans and can lead to the formation of granulomas in the liver, causing inflammation that can result in liver cirrhosis. Therefore the use of soluble and relatively low molecular weight PGG glucan is recommended. The well documented β-glucan abilities to lower blood sugar and blood pressure justify further study aimed at producing a glucan material with molecular weight lower than that of PGG glucan to preclude accumulation in granulomas, when used as a long-term food additive. The next stage of development of less toxic, soluble β-glucan preparations should include its size fractionation to produce more standardized material for medicinal applications. The majority of in vivo studies on β-glucan medicinal applications were done using rodent models, therefore it is easy to foresee veterinary applications of this food/feed ingredient to improve immune systems, facilitate burn and wound healing, stimulate post-trauma bone repair and help fight cancers.
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