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1. Introduction

The splanchnic circulation has been described as the “blood-giver of circulation” and is believed to play a major role in overall cardiovascular regulation. The splanchnic circulatory system contains a fifth of the total blood volume [1]. The splanchnic system receives nearly 30% of the cardiac output through three large arteries: the coeliac and the superior and inferior mesenteric arteries at rest [2]. The splanchnic blood flow is controlled intrinsically by metabolic and myogenic regulation and extrinsically by neural factors [3]. Hemodynamics in the splanchnic organs are altered under various stressful conditions, such as during physical activity [4] and in the postprandial state [5], due to balancing of tone between sympathetic and vagus activity [6]; consequently, clinical assessment of the splanchnic circulation could potentially provide valuable information regarding hepato-gastrointestinal disease [7-9] and cardiac dysfunction [10].

Doppler ultrasonographic techniques represent a major advance, enabling the measurement of blood flow noninvasively in subjects and the monitoring of flow changes in response to physiological and pathological stresses. Previous Doppler ultrasound studies that measured splanchnic blood flow in a “single vessel with small size volume”, such as the superior mesenteric, coeliac artery, or portal vein, were concerned solely with the target organ in the gastrointestinal area or liver; therefore, evaluation of alterations in these single arterial blood flows under the various states were sometimes limited to small blood volumes, even though there was a relatively large change in hemodynamics. Evaluation of the comprehensive arterial blood flow in the lower abdomen, including the liver, spleen, gastro-intestine, kidney, and pelvic organs as a multiple arterial function (Figure 1), may potentially be a feasible method of determining the distribution of abdominal blood-flow volume or disorder in cas-
es of splanchnic or cardiovascular dysfunction, as well as the distribution following nutritious meal intake or physical exercise.

Our previous studies used ultrasonography to assess whole arterial blood flow in the lower abdominal hemodynamics: the comprehensive arterial blood flow in the lower abdomen was obtained by subtracting blood flow in the bilateral proximal femoral arteries [left femoral artery and right femoral artery] from blood flow in the upper abdominal aorta above the coeliac artery bifurcation [11-16].

This method of quantitative assessment is a challenging but unique and non-invasive procedure for determining the comprehensive inflows of all abdominal organs, and is a potentially useful indicator of blood flow redistribution in cardiovascular and hepato-gastrointestinal disease in the clinical state, in the postprandial period, and in relation to physical exercise.

The focus in this chapter will be the variability in the hemodynamics (blood velocity, vessel diameter and blood flow) of the three target arteries which is valuable information for determining the comprehensive arterial blood flow in the lower abdomen as assessed by Doppler ultrasound. Furthermore, in this chapter we will summarize a methodology for determining comprehensive arterial blood flow in the lower abdomen using validated data of three target arteries, discuss methodological considerations regarding physiological aspects and limitations in view of previously reported findings, and consider the potential clinical usefulness and application of measurements for the comprehensive lower abdominal flows.

2. Participants

The expressed data from participants were: total number of participants, 154 healthy males; mean age, 24.5 (range: 19-41) years; mean height, 172.7 (range: 158.3-185.4) cm; and mean body weight, 68.0 (range: 51.4-92.4) kg. Participants had no previous history of cardiovascular disease, gastrointestinal disease, hypertension, or anaemia, and no abnormality of the peripheral vasculature. The studies were conducted according to the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki (1976) and with the approval of the Institutional Ethics Committee of the author’s institution. All participants gave their written consent and were informed of the nature and purpose of the study, as well as potential risks and discomfort. The participants also understood that they could withdraw from the study at any time without consequence. The study populations represented in this chapter do not include the elderly.

3. Measurement for comprehensive arterial blood flow in the lower abdomen

3.1. Approach for Doppler ultrasound assessment of three target arteries

The target vessels were the following three conduit arteries: 1) the abdominal aorta (Ao) at ~3 cm above the coeliac artery trunk, 2) the proximal left femoral artery (LFA), and 3) the
proximal right femoral artery (RFA) (Figure 1). The Ao region was most commonly measured just below the diaphragm in longitudinal section view (from the sub-sternal area) to enable Ao sample volume to be maintained at the end of the expiratory phase during spontaneous breathing (Figure 2) [14]. Detection of the Ao was relatively constant and free from interference from intestinal gas. For both femoral arteries, measurement location was chosen to minimize turbulence and the influence of the inguinal region on blood flow above the bifurcation, thereby enabling easy and reliable measurement (Figure 2) [11-15,17-21]. Blood velocity (pulsed wave) and vessel diameter (2-dimensional) measurements were obtained using a curvilinear array probe (3.5 MHz) for Ao and a linear array probe (7.5 MHz) for the LFA and RFA. The insonation angle was maintained below 60º for each participant and remained constant throughout the experiments [11-15,22]. The sample volume was placed in the precise centre of the vessel before being adjusted to cover the width of the vessel diameter and blood velocity distribution.

Figure 1. Schematic illustration of the anatomical region for the three target arteries. Blood flow (BF) measurements were obtained for the upper abdominal aorta (Ao) above the coeliac artery trunk (CA) and for the bilateral femoral arteries (right and left femoral arteries; RFA and LFA, respectively) above the bifurcation. Comprehensive BF in the lower abdomen (BF_{Ab}) was calculated by subtracting bilateral femoral arterial flow (BF_{LFA} + BF_{RFA}) from BF_{Ao}. The splanchnic gastrointestinal blood vessels include the renal (RA), CA and the superior and inferior mesenteric arteries (SMA and IMA, respectively). Figure modified from Osada T. et al. [12], reproduced with permission from IOP Publishing Ltd.
Figure 2. Two-dimensional vascular images in longitudinal view. The target areas (circled) in the blood flow measurements were above the coeliac artery trunk for the upper abdominal aorta (left panel) and above the bifurcation to superficial and profunda femoral arteries for the femoral arteries (right panel). CA, coeliac artery; SMA, superior mesenteric artery.

The presented data were obtained using an ultrasound unit (SONOS 1500, HP77035A; Hewlett-Packard, Tokyo, Japan) with a real-time two-dimensional ultrasonic imager and a pulsed-Doppler flowmeter for calculating maximum envelope in the blood velocity profile. The principle of blood flow measurement using Doppler ultrasound is the calculation of instantaneous mean blood velocity over the cardiac cycle using analysis of the waveform of Doppler-shifted ultrasound reflected from cellular elements of the moving blood within the blood vessel.

The Doppler instrument used in this chapter, however, could not determine time- and spatial-averaged and amplitude (signal intensity)-weighted mean blood velocities; thus, the measured blood velocity determined by integration of the outer envelope (maximum velocities) would have reflected the higher (maximum) velocity component at the centre of the vessel through the cardiac cycle. Because this procedure takes no account of the lower velocity component of the flow profile, the blood velocity values in this chapter have potentially been overestimated. On the basis of this physiological phenomenon, the above-mentioned measure of mean blood velocity, which expresses the averaged speed for all red blood cells within the vessel, is more precise; however, the present procedure used to determine blood velocity also provides acceptable data.

Recent developments in ultrasound instrumentation include an auto-tracing programme for determining mean and maximum blood velocity (outer envelope). Maximum (envelope) blood velocity in the femoral artery is previously reported as being ~1.53 [23] and 1.3–1.8
[24,25] times higher than mean blood velocity. An in vitro study that used a silicon tube to model the conduit artery found that maximum (envelope) blood velocity was approximately 1.75 times higher than mean blood velocity [26].

Figure 3. The blood velocity profile in the upper abdominal aorta and femoral artery. The beat-by-beat flow profile was detected at the target area in the arteries. Sampling point as target area was as shown in the vascular image above within in the view. CA, coeliac artery.

3.2. Measurement procedure of blood velocity, vessel diameter, and blood flow in the arteries at resting condition

For the measurement in the base state of hemodynamics with minimal influence (for instance, gastrointestinal hyperemia) from meal digestive and absorptive response, the measurement for the base state was conducted in the morning following a 12-h fast. Prior to measurement, colour Doppler was used to check for unsuspected pathology in each conduit artery. For each of the three conduit arteries, blood velocity was measured for 2–3 minutes and vessel diameter for 1–2 minutes via image observation using a wide expanded view in longitudinal section, by a single operator (the author). Blood velocity was analysed by integrating the outer envelope of the maximum velocity values from the flow profile for each beat, for approximately 20–40 beats [11,27-29]. Blood velocity and vessel diameter analyses were performed using the phase that demonstrated similar heart rate and blood pressure values among measurements from the three conduit arteries. The systolic and diastolic vessel diameter of each conduit artery was measured in relation to the electrocardiograph displayed on the monitor of the ultrasound unit.

Vessel diameter was also measured under perpendicular insonation and calculated in relation to the temporal duration of the electrocardiography recording curve, as follows: [(systolic vessel diameter × 1/3) + (diastolic vessel diameter × 2/3)] [17-19]. The mean vessel diameter for each beat was calculated over approximately 20–40 beats. Blood flow was determined by multiplying the cross-sectional area [area = π × (vessel diameter/2)^2] by the amplitude (signal intensity)-weighted blood velocity (time- and spatial-averaged outer envelope of the maximum velocity). To determine BF_{Ab} precisely, blood pressure and heart rate should remain in a steady state during measurement of the three target arteries.
3.3. Determination of comprehensive blood flow in lower abdomen

Blood flow in the Ao, LFA, and RFA is defined as BF\textsubscript{Ao}, BF\textsubscript{LFA}, and BF\textsubscript{RFA}, respectively. The comprehensive blood flow in lower abdomen (BF\textsubscript{Ab}) was calculated by subtracting the sum of BF\textsubscript{RFA} and BF\textsubscript{LFA} from BF\textsubscript{Ao} as follows: BF\textsubscript{Ab} = [BF\textsubscript{Ao} – (BF\textsubscript{LFA} + BF\textsubscript{RFA})] [11-15].

4. Day-to-day reliability and variability in hemodynamics of the three arteries and BF\textsubscript{Ab} via repeated measurements

The variability in the hemodynamics (blood velocity, vessel diameter, and blood flow) of the three target arteries is valuable information for determining the comprehensive arterial blood flow in the lower abdomen assessed by Doppler ultrasound. In addition, the reliability and reproducibility in the hemodynamics measurement is also required for its measurement.

Hemodynamic measurements (blood velocity, vessel diameter, and blood flow) in the three arteries were performed on three consecutive days. BF\textsubscript{Ab} can then be determined for each day by the formula BF\textsubscript{Ab} = BF\textsubscript{Ao} – (BF\textsubscript{LFA} + BF\textsubscript{RFA}), with blood flow calculated by multiplying blood velocity by the cross-sectional area.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hemodynamic variable</th>
<th>Target artery</th>
<th>1st Mean SD</th>
<th>2nd Mean SD</th>
<th>3rd Mean SD</th>
<th>Mean CV (%)</th>
<th>Relative reliability, SM-ICC</th>
<th>F (2, 118, 0.05)</th>
<th>p&lt;0.05</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blood velocity (cm/sec)</td>
<td>Ao</td>
<td>26.0 6.6</td>
<td>26.2 6.3</td>
<td>26.0 7.0</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LFA</td>
<td>8.4 2.5</td>
<td>8.3 2.3</td>
<td>8.2 2.5</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RFA</td>
<td>8.4 2.5</td>
<td>8.2 2.2</td>
<td>8.3 2.6</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vessel diameter (mm)</td>
<td>Ao</td>
<td>15.5 1.3</td>
<td>15.6 1.2</td>
<td>15.5 1.2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>3.63</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LFA</td>
<td>9.0 0.7</td>
<td>9.1 0.7</td>
<td>9.0 0.7</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>3.09</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RFA</td>
<td>9.1 0.8</td>
<td>9.1 0.7</td>
<td>9.0 0.8</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood flow (ml/min)</td>
<td>Ao</td>
<td>2946 774</td>
<td>2989 740</td>
<td>2931 818</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LFA</td>
<td>322 104</td>
<td>324 105</td>
<td>317 113</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RFA</td>
<td>323 103</td>
<td>317 94</td>
<td>319 106</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ab</td>
<td>2301 699</td>
<td>2348 666</td>
<td>2295 721</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Reliability and coefficients of variation in hemodynamics for repeated measurements. Mean coefficients of variation (CV) in hemodynamics were obtained from the average CV values of 60 participants among three repeated measurements over three different days. Single-measure intra-class correlation coefficient (SM-ICC) was evaluated by three repeated measurements over three different days. All measurements were performed by a single operator. SD, standard deviation; ns, not significant; Ao, abdominal aorta; LFA, left femoral artery; RFA, right femoral artery; Ab, lower abdomen. This material is reproduced from Osada T. et al. [12,16], with permission from IOP Publishing Ltd. and BioMed Central.
First, relative reliability was estimated by analysing the three hemodynamic measurements repeated on three different days [12], for 60 healthy male participants [16]. As shown in Table 1, F-test revealed no significant difference in blood velocity, vessel diameter, or blood flow values among the three measurements. Consequently, the single-measure intra-class correlation coefficient was significantly high for relative reliability estimated by repeated hemodynamics measurements [30]. This indicates that Doppler assessment of hemodynamic parameters in the three target arteries has potential as a stable and acceptable procedure for determining BF\textsubscript{Ab}.

Second, Bland–Altman analysis [31] was used for statistical analysis of mean values (x-axis) and difference values (y-axis) in hemodynamics (blood velocity, vessel diameter, and blood flow) between two measurements over three different days (Figure 4). Figure 4 shows that no systematic bias (fixed bias and proportional bias) was found between any two measurements over three different days. The limits of agreement (mean ± 1.96 SD) in terms of the difference between two hemodynamics measurements and the 95% confidence interval also indicate validity in the present study population within an acceptable and permissible range and true mean values (Table 2). Bland–Altman analysis revealed that the acceptable range in difference (bias) between two measurements may be less than 8.9 cm/s for Ao and less than 5.3 cm/s for blood velocity in the femoral arteries; less than 1.5 mm for Ao and less than 0.95 mm for vessel diameter in the femoral arteries; and less than 0.18 l/min and less than 0.18 l/min for blood flow in the Ao and femoral arteries, respectively. It is considered that this range takes into account day-to-day physiological variation as well as measurement error. The results for repeated measurements on three different days reveals that the range in blood flow values in the three arteries remained similar for individual participants under similar testing conditions; thus, mean BF\textsubscript{Ab} is considered a reliable value with the acceptable range in difference (bias) between two measurements may be less than 0.9 l/min for blood flow in the lower abdomen. (Table 1, 2; Figure 5).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hemodynamics variable</th>
<th>Ao</th>
<th>LFA</th>
<th>RFA</th>
<th>Ab</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blood velocity (cm/sec)</td>
<td>Limit of agreement</td>
<td>0.00 ± 8.93</td>
<td>0.00 ± 4.37</td>
<td>0.00 ± 5.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>95%CI</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.67</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.33</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vessel diameter (mm)</td>
<td>Limit of agreement</td>
<td>0.00 ± 1.49</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.95</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>95%CI</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.11</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood flow (ml/min)</td>
<td>Limit of agreement</td>
<td>0.00 ± 1008.42</td>
<td>0.00 ± 186.78</td>
<td>0.00 ± 180.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>95%CI</td>
<td>0.00 ± 75.16</td>
<td>0.00 ± 13.92</td>
<td>0.00 ± 13.47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Acceptable range in difference (bias) in hemodynamics via three repeated measurements from Bland-Altman analysis. Results are based on 180 samplings (comparison between 1\textsuperscript{st} and 2\textsuperscript{nd}, 2\textsuperscript{nd} and 3\textsuperscript{rd}, and 3\textsuperscript{rd} and 1\textsuperscript{st} measurements) in 60 participants. All measurements were performed by a single operator. The mean difference (bias) in each hemodynamic parameter (blood velocity, vessel diameter, and blood flow) between two measurements almost corresponds to zero. Therefore, the limit of agreement is expressed as 0.00 ± 1.96 SD. The 95% of confidence interval (95%CI) is expressed as 0.00 ± 1.96 SE. Ao, abdominal aorta; LFA, left femoral artery; RFA, right femoral artery; Ab, lower abdomen; SD, standard deviation; SE, standard error. This material is reproduced from Osada T. et al. [12,16], with permission from IOP Publishing Ltd. and BioMed Central.
Figure 4. Bland–Altman analysis of three arterial hemodynamics for repeated measurement. The difference (y-axis) and mean (x-axis) are shown for hemodynamics (blood velocity, vessel diameter, and blood flow, respectively) in the three arteries between two measurements obtained over three different days. Results are based on 180 samplings (comparison between 1st and 2nd, 2nd and 3rd, and 3rd and 1st measurements) in 60 participants. The solid line indicates bias (close to zero) and the dashed lines are the limits of agreement of ± 1.96 SD (± 2 SD on the figure). The open circles correspond to 1st vs. 2nd measurement. The grey circles correspond to 2nd vs. 3rd measurement. The closed circles correspond to 3rd vs. 1st measurement. All measurements were obtained by a single operator, using the same ultrasound instrument. Thus, no systematic bias (fixed bias or proportional bias) exists between two measurements. Ao, abdominal aorta; LFA, left femoral artery; RFA, right femoral artery. Reprinted figure and material from Osada T. et al. [12,16], with permission from IOP Publishing Ltd. and BioMed Central.
Figure 5. Bland–Altman analysis of blood flow in the lower abdomen for repeated measurements. Bland–Altman analysis revealed no systematic bias (fixed bias or proportional bias) between two measurements. The difference (y-axis) and mean (x-axis) in blood flow in lower abdomen (Ab) between two measurements over three different days. Results are based on 180 samplings (comparison between 1st and 2nd, 2nd and 3rd, and 3rd and 1st measurements) in 60 participants. The solid line indicates bias and the dashed lines are the limits of agreement of ± 1.96 SD (± 2 SD on the figure). The open circles correspond to 1st vs. 2nd measurement. The grey circles correspond to 2nd vs. 3rd measurement. The closed circles correspond to 3rd vs. 1st measurement. SD, standard deviation. Reprinted figure and material from Osada T. et al. [12,16], with permission from IOP Publishing Ltd. and BioMed Central.

5. Validity of target arterial blood flows and blood flow in the lower abdomen compared with previous findings

5.1. Abdominal aorta

Values for vessel diameter, estimated cross-sectional area, and blood flow of the upper abdominal aorta obtained in the present method were 15.6 ± 1.2 mm, 1.91 ± 0.29 cm², and 2951 ± 767 ml/min, respectively (Table 3).

The present values for diameter and cross-sectional area are similar to those of 15.5–17.6 mm and 1.88–2.43 cm², respectively, measured by Gabriel and Kindermann [32]. Nimura et al. [33] reported blood flow values obtained by Doppler ultrasound of the upper abdominal aorta and the sum total blood flow of the coeliac, superior mesenteric and both renal arteries as 2470–3246 ml/min and 2450–3549 ml/min, respectively. These values are similar to those for BF_{Au} expressed in the present review.
5.2. Femoral artery

The present values for the diameter of the femoral arteries were 9.0 ± 0.7 mm in the LFA and 9.1 ± 0.7 mm in the RFA (Table 3), which is in the same range as the previously reported values of 7.5 ± 0.3 mm measured using angiography [34] and 8.1 ± 0.11 mm measured by Duplex Doppler [35]. In the present review, cross-sectional area of the femoral arteries was 0.65 ± 0.1 cm$^2$ in the LFA and 0.65 ± 0.11 cm$^2$ in the RFA. Mean blood velocity in the femoral arteries was 8.3 ± 2.4 cm/s in the LFA and 8.1 ± 2.1 cm/s in the RFA; these values are in the same range as that of 10.2 ± 0.39 cm/s previously measured by pulsed Doppler [35]. Blood flow in the femoral arteries was 316 ± 97 ml/min in the LFA and 313 ± 83 ml/min in the RFA. These values are in the same range as those of 450–886 ml/min [36], 301 ± 81 ml/min [37], and 390 ± 20 ml/min [38] measured using indicator dilution; and 376 ± 154 ml/min [39], 226.5 ± 28.6 ml/min [35], 344 ml/min [40], and 350–367 ml/min [41] measured by Doppler ultrasound. Furthermore, Ganz et al. [42] reported a value of 383–766 ml/min by thermodilution, and Vänttinen [43] reported a value of 239 ml/min using electromagnetic flowmetry. These values are in the same range in those of the present review, despite differences in the method of measurement. Blood flow may also be influenced by the subject’s position during measurement and local blood flow per body weight, as well as thigh muscle mass [44].

5.3. Blood flow in the lower abdomen

There is lack of comparative BF$_{Ab}$ data measured by other valid methods (gold standard) such as the thermodilution technique or the cardiovascular magnetic resonance method. However, ultrasound Doppler is also an acceptable valid measure for determining blood velocity/flow in the conduit artery.

Including the results of previous reports [12], the range of BF$_{Ab}$ over the three different days was 1153–4401 ml/min in the 60 participants. Furthermore, the mean value of BF$_{Ab}$ was 2630 ± 649 ml/min in 18 of the participants (age range, 20–38 years) in the previous reports [11].

Based on the general anatomical features shown in Figure 1, the BF$_{Ab}$ values are considered to indicate the sum of blood flow to the coeliac artery; mesenteric arteries; the bilateral renal, suprarenal, gonadal, and internal iliac arteries; and some lumbar arteries.

Previous studies [4,45-47] reported average splanchnic blood flow (including that of the coeliac trunk, superior mesenteric, and inferior mesenteric arteries) as approximately 1500 ml/min, corresponding to 20%–30% of cardiac output. The sum of the blood flow values in the two renal arteries is approximately 1000–1200 ml/min, which corresponds to 20% of cardiac output [48]. In addition, blood flow is 1400 ml/min in the liver, gastro-intestine, and spleen (the so-called splanchnic organs), and 1100 ml/min in the kidney [49]. The range of values for the sum of blood flow in the “splanchnic” and the “two renal arteries” reported in previous studies is similar to the BF$_{Ab}$ values obtained using the present method. However, the wide range in BF$_{Ab}$ may also be related to individual physical features such as body surface area and body weight.
Table 3. Mean values and range for the three arterial hemodynamics and lower abdominal blood flow

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hemodynamics variable</th>
<th>Ao</th>
<th>LFA</th>
<th>RFA</th>
<th>Ab</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blood velocity (cm/sec)</td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>26.1 ± 6.5</td>
<td>8.3 ± 2.4</td>
<td>8.1 ± 2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Range</td>
<td>13.9 — 41.1</td>
<td>4.9 — 13.4</td>
<td>4.0 — 12.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>95%CI</td>
<td>24.4 — 27.7</td>
<td>7.7 — 8.9</td>
<td>7.6 — 8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vessel diameter (mm)</td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>15.6 ± 1.2</td>
<td>9.0 ± 0.7</td>
<td>9.1 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Range</td>
<td>12.4 — 18.1</td>
<td>7.9 — 11.6</td>
<td>7.6 — 10.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>95%CI</td>
<td>15.2 — 15.9</td>
<td>8.9 — 9.2</td>
<td>8.9 — 9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood flow (ml/min)</td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>2951 ± 767</td>
<td>316 ± 97</td>
<td>313 ± 83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Range</td>
<td>1585 — 5274</td>
<td>178 — 558</td>
<td>168 — 481</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>95%CI</td>
<td>2757 — 3145</td>
<td>291 — 340</td>
<td>292 — 334</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. The physiological aspect of the three arterial blood flows and blood flow in the lower abdomen

6.1. Relationship of blood flows to body surface area and to body weight

Previous studies have shown that cardiac output increases in proportion to body surface area [49,50], which means that cardiac output is regulated throughout life in almost direct proportion to overall metabolic activity. Furthermore, a positive correlation has been demonstrated between cardiac output and abdominal-splanchnic blood volume, using whole-body scintigraphy [51]. BF_{Ab} is expected to be closely related to body surface area, because the splanchnic system receives ~30% of cardiac output.

A significant positive relationship exists between BF_{Ab} and both body surface area and body weight (Figure 4). The formula used to calculate body surface area is widely used in the target population [52]. Furthermore, an increase in BF_{Ab} with increasing body weight may be reasonable, taking into consideration the total weight of the lower abdomen. This relationship is based on the concept that blood flow distribution is associated with a higher flow per weight to the liver and intestine compared with skeletal muscle at rest [53]. An expected additional finding was that peripheral blood flow at each conduit artery also had a positive linear relationship (p < 0.05) with body surface area as well as with body weight (Figure 6). This correlation is in agreement with evidence concerning the relationship between cardiac output supply and peripheral arterial blood flow, with cardiac output being closely related to body surface area [49,50].
A significant ($p < 0.05$) positive linear correlation was observed between measured blood flow, and body surface area and body weight for Ao, LFA, RFA, and Ab ($n = 50$). Note overlapping of the circular regression lines for blood flow in LFA and RFA. Ao, abdominal aorta; LFA, left femoral artery; RFA, right femoral artery; Ab, lower abdomen. Figure adapted from Osada T. et al. [13], reproduced with permission from The International Science Literature, Inc.

6.2. Relationship of BF\textsubscript{Ab} to BF\textsubscript{Ao}, BF\textsubscript{LFA}, and BF\textsubscript{RFA} in estimating blood flow in the lower abdomen

The distribution of BF\textsubscript{Ab} may be influenced by the magnitude of both cardiac output and limb blood flows. Specifically, it is speculated that alterations in limb blood flow may play an important role in regulating BF\textsubscript{Ab} via changes in the tone in the vascular bed of abdominal organs during low-intensity exercise when there is little fluctuation in the magnitude of BF\textsubscript{Ao} [11]. Similarly, it is unclear whether BF\textsubscript{Ab} or cardiac output at rest has a major impact on limb blood flow in a steady state of neural response.

Day-to-day coefficients of variation in blood flow were relatively high in the femoral arteries compared with BF\textsubscript{Ao}, even though the absolute BF\textsubscript{Ao} values were approximately 10 times higher than those of blood flow in both femoral arteries. Accordingly, BF\textsubscript{Ab} was more strongly related to BF\textsubscript{Ao} ($r = 0.966$) than to BF\textsubscript{LFA} ($r = 0.303$) or BF\textsubscript{RFA} ($r = 0.281$) (Figure 7). Alterations in BF\textsubscript{Ao} that are closely related to cardiac output (except cerebral and arm blood flows) potentially have the greatest influence on BF\textsubscript{Ab}, even if blood flow in the femoral arteries has less influence on BF\textsubscript{Ab} at least at rest; accordingly, BF\textsubscript{Ab} potentially has the largest influence on BF\textsubscript{Ab} as a central hemodynamic factor. Figure 7 shows that precise BF\textsubscript{Ab} values may be unreliable when there are large variations in both BF\textsubscript{LFA} and BF\textsubscript{RFA}. Thus, evaluation of BF\textsubscript{Ab} may be better expressed by the following formula: BF\textsubscript{Ab} (l/min) = 0.85 \times BF\textsubscript{Ao} – 0.19, if Ao measurement alone is performed (Figure 7).
Figure 7. Relationship of blood flow in lower abdomen to the three arteries. BF\(_{\text{Ab}}\) was more strongly related to BF\(_{\text{Ao}}\) (\(r = 0.966, p < 0.0001\)) than to BF\(_{\text{LFA}}\) or BF\(_{\text{RFA}}\) (\(r = 0.303, p = 0.0327\) in LFA; \(r = 0.281, p = 0.0482\) in RFA) (n = 50). Ao, abdominal aorta; LFA, left femoral artery; RFA, right femoral artery; Ab, lower abdomen. Blood flow in the Ao, LFA, RFA and Ab is defined as BF\(_{\text{Ao}}\), BF\(_{\text{LFA}}\), BF\(_{\text{RFA}}\), and BF\(_{\text{Ab}}\) respectively. Figure adapted from Osada T. et al. [13], reproduced with permission from The International Science Literature, Inc.

7. Effect of respiration and posture

Deep thoracic breathing in inspiration produces rapid acceleration of blood flow in veins located near the thorax, such as the hepatic vein, jugular vein, and inferior vena cava [54], while the blood velocity in these veins is reduced just as rapidly at the start of expiration [55]. Mechanical ventilation causing a higher positive end-expiratory pressure-induced increase in lung volume could impede venous return, thereby altering systemic hemodynamics and hepatic venous outflow [56]. In animal experiments, portal vein blood velocity and hepatic arterial blood velocity were shown to decrease with positive end-expiratory pressure as a result of a simple increase in the downstream pressure [57].

Also relevant to the present method are respiratory phase and posture, which are related to alteration in BF\(_{\text{Ab}}\) as shown in Figure 8. The difference in BF\(_{\text{Ab}}\) was approximately 550 ml/min between inspiration and expiration in the sitting position; in the supine position, the difference was 480 ml/min. Blood flow was significantly less in inspiration compared with expiration in Ao, LFA, and RFA, in both the sitting and supine positions. BF\(_{\text{Ab}}\) was found to be lower in inspiration than in expiration, in both the sitting and supine positions. Respiration-related changes in the hemodynamics of the three conduit arteries potentially lead to alterations in BF\(_{\text{Ab}}\). This result may be in partial agreement with the theory that respiratory-induced alteration of BF\(_{\text{Ab}}\) occurs with impedance of venous return in the splanchic area.

The change in intra-abdominal pressure during breathing (thoracic-abdominal movement) possibly reflects transient changes in blood velocity in the Ao and femoral arteries. Higher values of venous outflow are found in the hepatic and portal veins in the supine rather than up-
right position, due to the effect of gravity [54]. In contrast, the reduction of BF\textsubscript{Ab} in the inspiratory phase is similar between sitting and supine, and thus no postural effect on BF\textsubscript{Ab} is seen in Figure 8. As the splanchnic circulation is intrinsically susceptible to the adverse effects of hydrostatic force [54,58], redistribution due to postural change between sitting and supine may differ between venous and arterial sites. Respiratory effects should be taken into account in evaluation of BF\textsubscript{Ab} determined by measurements obtained in the three arteries. The present study demonstrated that changes in blood velocity between expiration and inspiration in the three conduit arteries may potentially indicate alterations in BF\textsubscript{Ab} and are only minimally influenced by posture. This phenomenon could be due to mechanical compression of vascular flow perfusion in comprehensive BF\textsubscript{Ab} or via the vasovagal response. Because respiration and posture effects have an effect when organ perfusion is adequate, it is important not to confuse these effects as a sign of impaired organ perfusion. Evaluation of BF\textsubscript{Ab} hemodynamics in the three conduit arteries should take respiratory effects into account.

![Figure 8](image)

**Figure 8. Blood flow in the three arteries and lower abdomen in relation to respiration and posture.** Blood flow was significantly less in inspiration compared with expiration in Ao, LFA, and RFA, in both sitting and supine positions. Consequently, blood flow in Ab was lower in inspiration than in expiration, in both sitting and supine positions ($n = 10$). Values are expressed as the mean ± standard error. Ao, abdominal aorta; LFA, left femoral artery; RFA, right femoral artery; Ab, lower abdomen. Figure adapted from Osada T. et al. [14], reproduced with permission from The International Science Literature, Inc.

8. Redistribution of blood flow in the lower abdomen during/after physical exercise

During exercise, redistribution of blood flow in splanchnic organs is caused by the constriction of the vascular beds that supply oxygen to the working skeletal muscles. Investigations in-
to splanchnic and renal blood flow during stressful conditions, such as exercise, have been carried out in humans [4,5,59,60]. The correlation of splanchnic/renal blood flow and circulatory capacity (oxygen delivery) was validated such that the magnitude in the reduced blood flows was shown to be positive linearly with the increase in percentage of whole maximum oxygen consumption (\(\%\text{VO}_{2\text{max}}\)), as well as heart rate, during whole body high-intensity exercise above 90 beats per minute of heart rate [4,59]. Using the methodology, the magnitude of \(BF_{Ab}\) was investigated during low intensity exercise namely one-legged knee extensor exercise (Figure 9). Reduction in the \(BF_{Ab}\) also occurred at a low-intensity exercise below heart rate of 90 beats per minute and was closely related to the relative oxygen demand (Figure 9) [11].

![Figure 9. Changes in blood flow in the three target arteries and in lower abdomen and oxygen consumption.](image-url)

The blood flow in the lower abdomen decreased during right leg knee kicking exercise (low-intensity exercise as one-legged knee extensor/flexor exercise) \((n = 18)\). Values are expressed as the mean ± standard error. Ao, abdominal aorta; LFA, left femoral artery; RFA, right femoral artery; Ab, lower abdomen. Figure adapted from Osada T. et al. [11], reproduced with permission from The American Physiological Society.

Furthermore, there is a lack of information on the magnitude in blood flow of splanchnic area in relation to recovery after exercise with various exercise intensities. In the previous study, the magnitude in the mesenteric artery blood flow after running exercise as measured by Doppler ultrasound returned to basal condition according to an exponential curve showing significant reduction until 10 min of recovery [5]. Splanchnic blood flow may not be quickly returning to basal condition immediately after the end of exercise. Consecutively, it is considered that the increase in blood flow to the skeletal muscle (mainly leg) may be part-
ly compensated by the splanchnic organ blood flow not only during but also after exercise. In fact, the mirror image of this effect has been observed in hepatic blood flow and leg blood flow during cycling exercise from 70% to 90% \( \hat{V}O_2 \text{max} \) of exercise intensity [61]. This response potentially indicates the shift of blood flow from liver to leg. To consider the redistribution in the lower abdominal blood flow after exercise, blood flow was measured in the Ao and RFA, respectively, after the end of cycling exercise at three levels of exercise intensity (30%, 50%, and 85% of maximum oxygen consumption) (Figure 10). The persistence of reduced lower abdominal blood flow after the end of exercise contributes to hyperaemic blood flow in the leg during recovery (after the end of cycling exercise) in proportion to relative exercise intensity and heart rate during recovery.

Figure 10. The changes in blood flow for abdominal aorta, femoral arteries and lower abdomen after 6-min cycling exercise, and relationship to heart rate and work load (relative maximum pulmonary oxygen consumption). (a) The change in blood flow was defined as the value compared with blood flow at pre-exercise. The area with grey colour is defined as the difference in area under the curve (AUCdiff) between change in BF_{Ao} and those in BF_{FAs} corresponding to contribution of BF_{Ab}(≈ change in BF_{Ab}) shift to persistent hyperaemia in the legs during recovery. The arrows (↑) indicate the AUCdiff measurement between 60 and 720 s for the results in b). (b) Heart rate as well as target exercise intensity (%\( \hat{V}O_2 \text{max} \)) during recovery shows a negative linear relationship with the volume for the reduction in BF_{Ab}. The values expressed in figures are the average data for the 11 subjects at 30%\( \hat{V}O_2 \text{max} \), 11 subjects at 50%\( \hat{V}O_2 \text{max} \), and 6 subjects at 85%\( \hat{V}O_2 \text{max} \). BF, blood flow; BF_{Ao}, BF in the upper abdominal aorta (Ao) above the celiac artery bifurcation; BF_{FAs}, 2-folds of BF in the right femoral artery (RFA); BF_{Ab}, BF in the lower abdomen; %\( \hat{V}O_2 \text{max} \), percentage of maximum oxygen consumption. The values are expressed as mean ± SD. Figure adapted from Osada T. et al. [15], reproduced with permission from John Wiley & Sons Ltd.
9. Response in post-prandial state

Many previous studies have demonstrated an increase in the blood flow in the splanchnic area (stomach and small intestine) after digestion. A 38% increase in celiac blood flow rapidly following a liquid food, and a return to normal within 30-60 minutes has been demonstrated [62]. Blood flow in the superior mesenteric artery increased by more than 100% after a solid food and by 63% after a liquid food [63]. The peak systolic and diastolic blood flow increased significantly in coeliac and superior mesenteric arteries after a 710 kcal liquid food. Maximum change in blood flow in the coeliac artery was observed at 40 min and then returned to base value at 60 min, whereas superior mesenteric artery hyperaemia persisted beyond 60 min [64].

Using our method, the increase in BF$_{ab}$ is also observed after the intake of 1000 kcal solid food (Figure 11). It may be that the food induced functional hyperaemia in the splanchnic area, coeliac and superior mesenteric arteries in lower abdomen. This method may be potentially useful for the evaluation of the comprehensive lower abdominal blood flow vasodilation in functional hyperaemia regarding nutritious meal intake.

![Figure 11. Blood flow in the three arteries and lower abdomen after food intake (post-prandial state). The lower abdominal blood flow increase after 1000 kcal solid food. Unpublished data. n=5, Ao, abdominal aorta; LFA, left femoral artery; RFA, right femoral artery; Ab, lower abdomen. Values are expressed as the mean ± standard error.](image-url)
10. Limitations

The disadvantages of the present methods are that measurement of the three target arteries cannot easily be performed in a short period of time, and that blood flow in the pelvis and other organs (except for the target splanchnic area) cannot be excluded. To avoid over- or underestimation of BF, measurement of the three target arteries should be performed under steady-state conditions at rest, with only minor changes in heart rate and blood pressure.

11. Potential clinical usefulness and application

Evaluation of BF as a quantitative assessment, encompassing physiologic flow, is a potentially useful indicator of 1) reserve blood volume and 2) blood flow in redistribution in the lower abdominal circulation in cardiovascular and hepato-gastrointestinal disease, shock, multiple organ failure, and stressful conditions such as following physical exercise and in the postprandial period. The advantage of the present method is that it enables evaluation of comprehensive BF without interference from intestinal bowel gas, because the three target conduit arteries can be detected relatively easily. It may also be useful for examining pathological hemodynamics, which may influence the abdominal circulation under the conditions of 1) extraordinary hemodynamics associated with abdominal aneurysm; 2) collateral circulation in abdominal-iliac peripheral arterial disease, as well as comparison with the post-operative state; and 3) intestinal neurological dysfunction associated with spinal disorder, in cerebrovascular disease, and in orthostatic hypotension with vasovagal syncope.

Although Doppler methods are less commonly used for quantifying flow in the three target arteries compared with other techniques, the measurement procedure used in the present method is potentially clinically viable. However, because it can be time-consuming to perform routine hemodynamic measurements for the three conduit arteries, measurement may be limited to the region of the femoral arteries around the inguinal ligament close to the genital area, which may not be an acceptable method for general use. It is possible that Doppler ultrasound evaluation of BF using a single vessel (Ao) may enable the necessary information to be obtained (Figure 7). Also of note, because BF values are potentially related to many factors, including mean arterial blood pressure and/or cardiac index, it has potential use as a surrogate parameter for central venous saturation in the clinical setting.

12. Conclusions

The advantage in the described procedure for the determination of splanchnic hemodynamics is that it may potentially enable evaluation of the whole lower abdominal blood flows, assessed by non-invasive measurement using cardiovascular ultrasound. In contrast, it has the disadvantage that measurement of the three target arteries during steady heart rate and
blood pressure can be time-consuming, and in measuring blood flow in the target splanchnic area, blood flow of pelvic and other organs cannot be excluded. Respiration and posture related to alterations in BF_{Ab} should be taken into account when measuring the three arteries. Determination of BF_{Ab} by evaluating three-conduit arterial hemodynamics using the technique described in this review may provide a valid measurement that encompasses the comprehensive physiologic arterial blood inflow to multiple abdominal organ systems.
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