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1. Introduction

The majority of plasma proteins are modified by the addition of oligosaccharide chains (glycans) to their surface. The process, known as glycosylation, is responsible for introducing huge structural variation and is important in the determination of functional properties expressed by the overall glycoprotein. Glycan composition and structure can vary widely, potentially showing disease-specificity, unlike the underlying polypeptide sequence [1-3]. Therefore analysis of their structures could offer more accurate and condition-specific markers which is critical when early treatment and monitoring is crucial in tackling diseases. The monomeric units of glycans are the monosaccharides, linked together via glycosidic bonds between the –OH reducing group of C1 and any other –OH of adjacent residues (a condensation reaction). The bonds exist in either an α or β anomic configuration, depending on the orientation of the bond, providing further opportunity to generate structural variability.

Unlike the structure of the polypeptide backbone, glycosylation is not directly encoded by specific genes, but is reliant upon the concerted action of a series of highly specific enzymes (glycosyltransferases and glycosidases), which are resident in the ER and Golgi of cells, to initiate and processing glycan chain precursors. Any alterations in the expression of the genes encoding these enzymes due to, for example a pathophysiological condition, will affect the structure and composition of the glycans generated. During the synthesis and processing of glycans, they are transferred by the enzyme oligosaccharyltransferase from a lipid-linked oligosaccharide donor to polypeptide chains, either at asparagine (N-linked) or Serine/Threonine (O-linked) residues. N-linked glycans share a common pentasaccharide core (Man3GlcNAc2), however the overall structures can vary widely, primarily differing by the sequence and quantity of monosaccharides of which they are composed. Glycan synthesis then proceeds by the sequential addition/removal of individual monosaccharide units.
Glycan expression is cell and tissue specific and dependent on the presence of (patho)-physiological conditions [4]. Abnormal expression of even a single enzyme participating in this process may alter the subsequent steps and give rise to aberrant oligosaccharide structures. The cell types containing these biomolecules determine the enzymes expressed and therefore the glycans – variation reflects these source cells or tissue and the physiological and biochemical conditions present [3-4]. For example, abnormal or unusual glycan moieties are often detected on the surface of tumour cells, as well as among secreted glycoproteins. Many well known tumour-associated antigens are glycolipids or glycoproteins that contain aberrant glycan structures [5].

The post translational modification of proteins with oligosaccharide chains offers several advantages as a potential source of cancer biomarkers [5-6]. While protein biomarkers frequently occur in low abundance, distinctive cellular glycan structures, including those that are tumour-specific, are typically fairly abundant. Such structures are usually synthesised in multiple copies on a single glycoprotein molecule. In addition, the same tumour-specific glycan structures may be present on several different glycoproteins. Importantly, many currently used biomarker molecules, such as prostate specific antigen, CA125, and carcinoembryonic antigen, are monitored solely based on protein levels, not on their tumour-specific glycan moieties.

Glycoproteins with modified glycosylation resulting from the presence of cancer may be identifiable in body fluids that are typically amenable for clinical testing [6]. Alpha – 1 (or α1) – acid glycoprotein (AGP, or orosomucoid) is an important example of a naturally occurring N-linked plasma glycoprotein secreted by liver parenchymal cells. It was first isolated and characterised in the 1950s and although its specific biological role/function has yet to be clearly defined it is considered a natural anti-inflammatory and immunomodulatory agent [7-9], the concentration and/or ‘normal’ glycosylation of which may change under various physiological and pathophysiological conditions. It is a highly glycosylated (45%) protein and therefore investigations into alterations in its glycan profile during different diseases has been, and is still, of great interest (Figure 1). Sialic acid, in the form of N-acetylneuraminic acid (NeuAc), accounts for 12% of the total number of monosaccharides, and is responsible for giving AGP its low isoelectric point of 2.7.

Alterations in the protein levels of AGP have been well documented for numerous physiological and pathophysiological conditions including lung and breast cancer [10] and malignant mesothelioma [11]. It has been suggested that a marked increase in AGP concentration may limit adverse reactions such as inflammation by providing a form of negative feedback [12]. Increased concentrations are associated with expression of the ORM-1 and ORM-I genetic variants of AGP; however the proportions of each do not necessarily differ to that of a healthy individual [10]. In terms of plasma concentration, increased levels of AGP have been detected in the plasma of patients with breast cancer [13] and have also been shown to increase with disease progression [14] but to return to normal upon treatment with tamoxifen [15]. This indicates that the prognosis of breast cancer appears to be linked to the APR in general and AGP levels in particular. Not only is there a dearth of studies determining the levels of AGP in breast cancer but more importantly there has been
no investigations of the glycosylation of AGP in breast cancer, the extent that it is altered and/or its significance as a diagnostic marker.

**Figure 1.** General schematic illustrating the structure of alpha-1-acid glycoprotein.

Although the concentration of AGP alone is not diagnostic for a particular pathological condition, the altered glycosylation of AGP (microheterogeneity) in different diseases, provides an alternative biomarker target. These alterations have the potential to be markers for particular diseases and also disease progression. The major form of heterogeneity, type I, is associated with a reduction in the number of branches on the oligosaccharide chains [4, 16-17]; the minor form (type II) concentrates on the composition of the oligosaccharide chains namely the extent of fucosylation and sialylation of the five oligosaccharide chains [18].

There is potential for the existence of $10^5$ different glycoforms of AGP due to the huge structural variability provided mainly by the presence of glycans. However in normal, non-pathological conditions there are only 12-20 expressed, each exhibiting various degrees of branching, fucosylation and sialylation [18]. The number of feasible glycoforms is reduced because the asparagines (Asn) residues are selective for the type of glycans they express in terms of the degree of branching. The first and second Asn sites (Asn 15 and 38) prefer to harbour bi-antennary glycans whilst Asn 15 will not bind a tetra-antennary chain and Asn 38 never binds fucosylated glycans. Conversely, Asn 75 and Asn 85 prefer more branched glycans; in fact site Asn 75 never carries bi-antennary chains and Asn 85 usually expresses the greatest degree of α1,3-fucosylation [16]. This increased branching could explain why only these two sites potentially carry a tetra-antennary chain with more than one fucose residue [8]. It has been found that the majority of AGP glycans have a tri/tetra-antennary structure (85-90%) and the remaining 10-15% is bi-antennary glycans [19]. Sialic acid can be bound α2-3 or α2-6 on terminal galactose residues; fucose can be bound α1-3 to an external N-acetylgalactosamine and also by α1-6 and α1-2 to core N-acetylgalactosamine and galactose residues respectively [8]. The extent of fucosylation in a healthy population varies with 30% [8] to 40% [20] containing no fucose.
Altered glycosylation of AGP has been widely studied in a number of pathophysiological conditions. Decreased branching of the five glycans of AGP has been demonstrated in acute inflammation while an increase is associated with chronic inflammation [21-23]. Chronic inflammatory states such as those found in patients with RA are characterised by an increase in branching [24, 25], increased fucosylation of the chains [26-31] and increased sialic acid content [32-33]. The expression of the antigen sialyl lewis X (SLe\(^X\)) has been found to increase on AGP oligosaccharides [20, 25-26] at any one of the 5 sites of glycosylation [27]. SLe\(^X\) is normally expressed on white blood cells and aids through binding to the endothelial ligand, E-Selectin, the extravasation of leukocytes into tissues to mount their inflammatory response. De Graaf and colleagues [20] proposed that the increased expression of this antigen on AGP represents a negative feedback response during inflammatory conditions. Further work by Jørgensen et al. [26] using a microtitre cell-protein binding assay discovered that SLe\(^X\)-containing AGP expressed in patients with RA was able to inhibit binding of SLe\(^X\) presenting cells to E-Selectin. Thus, during inflammatory states such as those in RA, the abnormally glycosylated form of AGP has the ability to competitively bind to E-Selectin and inhibit leukocyte extravasation exerting an overall anti-inflammatory effect. Highly branched structures have also been noted in individuals with liver disease, along with increased fucosylation of these chains [34-36]. Increased concentrations of AGP were found in the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) of patients with multiple sclerosis (MS) compared to disease free individuals [37] and in the plasma/serum of patients with burns injuries [38]. It is known that various factors act to influence AGP glycosylation including the congenital disorders [28], pregnancy [29, 33], drug or glucocorticoid use [39], and oral contraceptive use [40].

Investigations into the heterogeneity of AGP in cancer have found an increase in bi-antennary glycan content with increased fucosylation and sialylation. An early study by [41] reported that the major microheterogeneity of AGP from malignant, benign and normal groups was significantly different from each other. When compared with normal controls, sera from patients with inflammatory lung disease (benign group) exhibited an increase in tri-and tetra-antennary glycans and in sera from lung cancer patients (malignant group) there was an increase in bi-antennary expression. This work was contradicted the following year in an investigation [42] which repeated the study including an evaluation of the microheterogeneity of AGP in colorectal cancer and reported that there was no significant difference in the microheterogeneity of AGP from malignant and non-malignant inflammatory disease. Evidence to support the cancer-induced expression of bi-antennary glycans on AGP detailed by Hansen and colleagues was provided by [43] who reported a similar finding on AGP from the ascitic fluid of liver cancer patients.

Reports of changes in the minor microheterogeneity of AGP from studies of the oligosaccharide content of the chains in cancer have been detailed. Early studies [44-45] observed an increase in the sialic acid content of cancer AGP glycans compared to normal controls. A more recent study by Hashimoto et al. [46] on AGP branching and fucosylation in cancer concluded that changes in microheterogeneity could be used as a marker of carcinoma progression and prognosis. Patients with advanced stages of the disease who
displayed highly branched glycans with a high fucose content for a significant duration post-surgery were associated with poor prognosis whereas, patients who did not display this increase in branching and fucosylation were likely to have a good, more reassuring prognosis. When highly branched and fucosylated glycans were present a prolonged period after surgery, a poor prognosis was associated. Similar analyses can be associated with surgery and other traumas in general [47].

2. Problem statement
The majority of studies [reviewed in 7-9] have concluded that, although increased levels of AGP have been detected in the plasma of patients with breast cancer, this concentration is largely unrelated to disease progression. The prognostic value of AGP glycosylation in breast cancer is still largely unproven. Although the limited research to date has discovered structural differences in the glycosylation in AGP isolated from different cancers and early and advanced stages of the same cancer, very few studies have looked at breast cancer samples. We hypothesise that alpha-1-acid glycoprotein (AGP), which is a common constituent in all blood, could be a diagnostic marker for early breast cancer.

3. Application area
There is a critical need for information regarding a reliable biomarker that could be used to predict the onset, severity, progression and prognosis of breast cancer. The identification of alterations in AGP glycosylation specific to individual stages of breast cancer could, through being altered to the “normal” healthy AGP glycosylation pattern, result in the development of a diagnostic test based on AGP glycosylation for the onset, progression and/or prognosis of breast cancer.

4. Aims of study
To determine whether specific alterations in the glycosylation pattern of alpha-1-acid glycoprotein (AGP) could be diagnostic for the for the onset, progression and/or prognosis of breast cancer.

5. Study design and methodology (Figure 2)
5.1. Patient samples
Breast cancer can occur as a non-malignant or malignant tumour. Non-malignant cancers can be further subdivided into ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS) and lobular carcinoma in situ (LCIS). In situ refers to the state and location of the breast epithelial cells in that they have gone through malignant transformation and are now proliferating but remain at the site of origin and do not penetrate the basement membrane into surrounding tissues. DCIS and LCIS are not at risk of metastatic spread as there are no blood vessels or lymphatics in the epithelial layer of the breast [48]. The two most common types of invasive or malignant
breast cancer are Invasive ductal carcinoma (IDC) and Invasive lobular carcinoma (ILC). Approximately 75% of breast cancers are IDC with ILC accounting for a further 10%. The remaining 15% of diagnosed invasive breast cancers are made up of rare types such as mucinous, medullary, tubular, and papillary. Blood samples were taken from non-fasting patients with consent by Calgary Laboratory Services. The blood samples were drawn into 5mL Red Top tubes and processed within 30 minutes. During processing, the blood samples were spun for 30 minutes to separate them into components. The samples were stored at -80 degrees Celsius. The patient details are given in Table 1.

Figure 2. The design of the study to determine the glycosylation patterns of AGP in breast cancer
Table 1. Demographic and clinical details of patients used in study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Her2</th>
<th>Malignant</th>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>ER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>Benign proliferative breast disease</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>DCIS (+ invasive ductal carcinoma)</td>
<td>neg.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>Benign proliferative breast disease</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>Invasive duct carcinoma</td>
<td>neg.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>Invasive duct carcinoma</td>
<td>neg.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Invasive duct carcinoma</td>
<td>neg.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>Benign proliferative breast disease</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Malignant phyllodes tumor.</td>
<td>neg.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>DCIS (+ invasive ductal carcinoma)</td>
<td>neg.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>Invasive duct carcinoma</td>
<td>pos.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>Invasive duct carcinoma</td>
<td>neg.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>Invasive duct carcinoma</td>
<td>neg.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Invasive ductal carcinoma</td>
<td>pos.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>Invasive duct carcinoma</td>
<td>neg.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Biphasic fibroepithelial lesion</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>Benign proliferative breast disease</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Invasive duct carcinoma</td>
<td>pos.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>Invasive duct carcinoma</td>
<td>neg.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>Invasive duct carcinoma</td>
<td>pos.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.2. Materials

5.2.1. AGP Isolation

Polyethylene glycol (PEG 3350), the low pressure chromatographic material (Cibacron Blue 3GA, Q-sepharose and Red Sepharose CL-6B), potassium thiocyanate, sodium acetate, sodium chloride and Trizma base were purchased from Sigma (Poole, UK). Bio-Rad, Hemel Hampstead, UK, provided the poly-prep disposable 10ml columns. HPLC-grade water and the Centricon YM10 centrifugal filter device were purchased from Rathburn Chemicals (Walkerburn, UK) and Millipore (Bedford, USA) respectively.

5.2.2. High pH anion exchange chromatography monosaccharide analysis

HPAEC was carried out on a DX600 system supplied by Dionex (Camberley, UK), consisting of a GP50 gradient pump and an ED40 electrochemical detector, controlled with PeakNet software via a Dell OptiPlex GX110 personal computer. Separation of monosaccharides was achieved using a CarboPac PA-100 (250x4mm) and guard column (50x4mm). HPLC grade water was purchased from Rathburn Chemicals, Walkerburn, UK, and sodium hydroxide 50% w/v was obtained from BDH, Poole, UK. The monosaccharide standards and Dowex-50W were purchased from Sigma, Poole, UK. HPLC grade trifluoroacetic acid was purchased from Perbio Science, UK Ltd, Talenhall, UK.
5.2.3. Oligosaccharide analysis

PNGase F and buffers (NP40 and NE buffer G7) were supplied by New England BioLabs (New England, USA). HPAEC was carried out on a DX500 system supplied by Dionex (Camberley, UK), consisting of a GP40 gradient pump and an ED40 electrochemical detector, controlled with PeakNet software via a Vtech personal computer. Separation of monosaccharides was achieved using a CarboPac PA-100 (250x4mm) and guard column (50x4mm). HPLC grade water was purchased from Rathburn Chemicals, Walkerburn, UK, and sodium hydroxide 50% w/v was obtained from BDH, Poole, UK. Sodium acetate was purchased from Sigma (Poole, UK).

5.3. Methods

5.3.1. Polyethylene Glycol (PEG) precipitation

First, PEG 3350 was added to each plasma sample to a final concentration of 40% w/v in a microcentrifuge tube. This was then mixed vigorously for ten minutes before being stored overnight at 4ºC. Subsequently the mixture was centrifuged at 14,000 rpm for 30 minutes. The supernatant was removed to a clean eppendorf and frozen until required, with the pellet being discarded.

5.4. Low pressure chromatography to isolate AGP

A disposable BioRad low-pressure chromatography column (10ml) was packed to a bed volume of approximately 6ml with the appropriate chromatographic resin. Several volumes of the appropriate Elution buffer (Table 2) was washed through the column using a Pharmacia LKB peristaltic pump at a flow rate of 0.5ml/min, with the change in UV absorbance at 280nm being detected using a Pharmacia LKB optical unit. Peak fractions were collected in 15ml disposable centrifuge tubes and dried under vacuum to approximately 2ml between stages, using a centrifugal evaporator. 2-3ml of the PEG-precipitated serum sample is first applied to a Cibacron blue column, to which the bilirubin site of albumin binds. The remaining soluble proteins are eluted with 0.05M Tris/0.1M potassium chloride/0.02% Azide (pH7.0) elution buffer while the albumin is removed from the column with 0.5M potassium thiocyanate. One peak is collected upon elution. After drying the peak is next applied to the ion-exchange column Q-sepharose. This divides the eluate from the previous column into three fractions; α1-antitrypsin, AGP and transferrin. A column gradient of 0.075-0.5M sodium chloride (pH 6.5) is utilised, and the second peak, which contains AGP, is collected. The column is regenerated between samples by washing the column with 0.075M NaCl buffer until a flat baseline is observed. The AGP is then, after again drying to 2-3ml, applied to a column containing the Red sepharose resin in order to remove any trace proteins that may remain. The AGP in the sample is eluted with a 0.03M sodium acetate elution buffer and collected, while the bound proteins are removed with 1M sodium chloride. The AGP peak is then dried to 2ml in preparation for desalting.
5.5. Desalting

In The AGP peak from red sepharose was subsequently desalted using centrifugal ultrafiltration. 2mL of the sample was added to a Centricon centrifugal filter device. This removes any salt in the solution and also acts to concentrate the AGP. The centrifcons were spun at 4000 rpm until the filtrate had passed entirely through the membrane into the filtrate vial. To ensure complete removal of salt from the sample 1ml of HPLC water was added to the sample reservoir and spun as before. The centrricon was then inverted and spun for 10 minutes at 1000 rpm, transferring the concentrate into the collection vial. The desalted glycoprotein is then transferred to an eppendorf and dried down to completion using a centrifugal evaporator.

5.6. High pH Anion Exchange Chromatography (HPAEC)

5.6.1. Preparation of monosaccharides: Acid Hydrolysis of AGP

Approximately 50µg of isolated AGP was hydrolysed using 100µl 2M trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) and 50µl 4M hydrochloric acid in a reacti-vial then sealed with a Teflon disc. The reacti-vial was then placed on a heating block pre-heated to 100ºC for four hours in order to reach optimum hydrolysis. The monosaccharides were separated from the peptide fragments by eluting the hydrolysate down a Dowex-50W cation exchange column. A Pasteur pipette was plugged with glass wool and loaded with the resin to a bed volume of 1ml. Each AGP sample was added to a separate column and washed through with HPLC water. The neutral monosaccharides were washed through and collected, then dried to completion in preparation for analysis.

5.6.2. Monosaccharide analysis

Monosaccharide analysis was carried out using a DX600™ system. Separation of the monosaccharides, as their oxyanions, was performed at pH 13 on a CarboPac PA-100 column. The monosaccharides were resolved using an isocratic elution with 30mM NaOH, at a flow rate of 0.5ml/min for 35 minutes. The column was regenerated after each run with 0.5M NaOH for 5 minutes, prior to equilibration with 20mM NaOH for a further

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chromatography resin</th>
<th>Buffer</th>
<th>Desorption</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cibacron Blue</td>
<td>50mM Trizma/0.1mM KCl/0.02% Azide at pH 7.0</td>
<td>500mM KSCN/50mM Trizma/0.1mM KCl/0.02% Azide pH 7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q-sepharose fast flow</td>
<td>20mM Trizma/75mM NaCl pH 6.5</td>
<td>Equilibrium buffer: 20mM Trizma/75mM NaCl pH 6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red sepharose CL-6B</td>
<td>30mM NaOAc</td>
<td>1M NaCl/30mM NaOAc</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Buffers for each stage of low-pressure chromatography
10 minutes. Detection of monosaccharides was by pulsed amperometric detection (PAD) at the following pulse potentials: 0 sec: E=0.05V; 0.29sec: E=0.05V; 0.49sec: E=0.05V; 0.50sec: E=0.05V; 0.51sec: E=0.6V; 0.6sec: E=0.6V; 0.61sec: E=-0.6V; 0.65sec: E=-0.6V; 0.66sec: E=0.05V (Figure 3).

**Figure 3.** The triple pulsed waveform used in pulsed amperometric detection

An internal standard (IS) of 2-deoxy-D-galactose was utilised to determine the elution position of the monosaccharides by dividing the elution time of the IS by the time of the unknown peak. The calculated ratio can then be compared to known standards.

**5.6.3. HPAEC-PAD oligosaccharide analysis**

The required amount (50-100µg) of AGP was reconstituted in 100 μL of HPLC grade water. The AGP solution was subsequently denatured through boiling for one hour. To the denatured AGP 10 µL of NP-40, 10 µL of NE Buffer G7 and 100U of PNGase F were added. PNGaseF cleaves the oligosaccharide chains from the AGP peptide moiety. The enzymatic reaction was allowed to proceed overnight while incubated at 37C. Following incubation the solution was subjected to cold ethanol precipitation. 1ml of cold ethanol was added to each sample and then centrifuged at 10000rpm for 10 minutes which pelleted the peptide fractions and left the soluble oligosaccharides in the supernatant. Thus the supernatant was removed and dried to completion.
Analysis of the enzymatically released oligosaccharides was performed using a DX500™
system. Similar to monosaccharide analysis, oligosaccharides are eluted as their oxyanions,
formed in the highly alkaline environment. Resolution is achieved using a CarboPac PA-100
column packed with a micro-pellicular resin. The column was equilibrated for 10 minutes
with 10% 1M sodium hydroxide, 5% 1M sodium acetate and 85% HPLC grade water.
Following equilibration a linear gradient was developed over 40 minutes to a final eluant
composition of 10% sodium hydroxide, 20% sodium acetate and 70% water. These
conditions were maintained for 5 minutes before the column was regenerated for ten
minutes with 50% sodium hydroxide and 50% water. The pulsed amperometry was
performed as described for the monosaccharide analysis.

6. Results

This investigation studied AGP from 19 breast cancer sufferers with either malignant or
non-malignant forms of breast cancer in term of the monosaccharide composition of the
oligosaccharide chains and the oligosaccharide fingerprint of the intact chains themselves.
Under alkaline conditions, the hydroxyl groups of a monosaccharide, either individually
or as part of a sequence, are ionised to varying degrees such that they exist as negatively
charged oxyanions. The varying location of the OH groups results in slight differences in
the pKa value (ranging from 12-14) of individual monosaccharides under these
conditions. These differences in ionisation, which are unique both with respect to
individual monosaccharides and also cumulatively in terms of a sequence of
monosaccharides, can be exploited in chromatographical terms using the anion exchange
stationary phases and the electrochemical detection (based on pulsed potentials)
components of high pH anion exchange chromatography (HPAEC). For monosaccharide
analysis, an alkaline environment of 0.03M sodium hydroxide ionises monosaccharides in
the hydrolysate and results in the formation of oxyanions unique to individual
monosaccharides. The interaction of the charged oxyanions with the strong anion-
exchange CarboPac™ column and the slight differences in relative pKa values aids the
chromatographic separation of individual monosaccharides. The monosaccharide
compositions were determined, after acid hydrolysis, using high pH anion exchange
chromatography (HPAEC). The results obtained are summarised in Table 3 and a typical
HPAEC profile is shown in Figure 4.

The monosaccharide composition of the AGP oligosaccharide chains was found to differ
between normal, non-malignant and malignant groups. Of all the samples containing
fucose, the malignant breast cancer group displayed the highest average level of this
monosaccharide compared to the normal and non malignant breast disease group. Only the
malignant breast cancer population had N-acetylgalactosamine present; this
monosaccharide is not usually found in AGP and was completely absent from the normal
samples analysed in the study. The graphs in Figures 5-7 illustrate the statistical significance
of the fucose and Nacetylgalactosamine composition of AGP with respect to malignancy.
### Table 3

The monosaccharide compositions of oligosaccharide chains from AGP, isolated from healthy volunteers, patients with non malignant breast cancer and patients with malignant breast cancer, determined using high pH anion exchange chromatography.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Galactose</th>
<th>Fucose</th>
<th>GalNAc</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Benign proliferative breast disease</td>
<td>14.12</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>DCIS (+ invasive ductal carcinoma)</td>
<td>15.58</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Benign proliferative breast disease</td>
<td>14.57</td>
<td>.98</td>
<td>.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Invasive duct carcinoma</td>
<td>16.22</td>
<td>3.12</td>
<td>2.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Invasive duct carcinoma</td>
<td>17.33</td>
<td>4.12</td>
<td>3.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Invasive duct carcinoma</td>
<td>16.47</td>
<td>2.95</td>
<td>1.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Benign proliferative breast disease</td>
<td>14.29</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Malignant phyllodes tumor</td>
<td>18.29</td>
<td>4.56</td>
<td>3.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>DCIS (+ invasive ductal carcinoma)</td>
<td>15.98</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Invasive duct carcinoma</td>
<td>17.78</td>
<td>4.01</td>
<td>3.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Invasive duct carcinoma</td>
<td>17.56</td>
<td>3.93</td>
<td>3.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Invasive duct carcinoma</td>
<td>19.91</td>
<td>6.02</td>
<td>4.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Invasive ductal carcinoma</td>
<td>18.84</td>
<td>5.10</td>
<td>4.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Invasive duct carcinoma</td>
<td>19.76</td>
<td>5.77</td>
<td>4.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Biphasic fibroepithelial lesion</td>
<td>11.75</td>
<td>.76</td>
<td>.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Benign proliferative breast disease</td>
<td>13.95</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Invasive duct carcinoma</td>
<td>19.82</td>
<td>5.52</td>
<td>4.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Invasive duct carcinoma</td>
<td>17.43</td>
<td>4.19</td>
<td>3.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Invasive duct carcinoma</td>
<td>19.64</td>
<td>5.59</td>
<td>4.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* units in mol/mol AGP

---

*Figure 4*. The separation of a mixture of monosaccharides by high pH anion exchange chromatography.
Figure 5. Fucose Composition of AGP with Respect to Malignancy

Figure 6. N-acetylgalactosamine Composition of AGP with Respect to Malignancy
Figure 7. Galactose Composition of AGP with Respect to Malignancy

The monosaccharides fucose and N-acetylgalactosamine are not normally found on AGP oligosaccharide chains; however, both were found to be present in plasma from breast cancer patients. Most importantly, the presence of N-acetylgalactosamine appears to correlate with the presence of malignancy (Figure 6). While fucose appears to be present in small levels in patients with benign proliferative breast disease and in increased levels in malignant breast disease (Figure 5), N-acetylglactosamine appears to be present only in ductal carcinoma in-situ (DCIS) and invasive carcinoma. Each AGP molecule has five oligosaccharide chains, and each chain can have two, three, or four branches. Given that each branch contains a galactose molecule (refer to Figure 1), a higher degree of branching can be identified by a higher level of galactose. Therefore, increased galactose levels appear to be indicative of increased Malignancy (Figure 7). The AGP oligosaccharide profiles differed between the normal, non-malignant and malignant breast cancer groups.

The flexibility of HPAEC is illustrated by the fact that it also allows the efficient separation of oligosaccharide chains on the basis of their size, formal charge, monosaccharide composition and intra-chain linkages [49]. A major advantage over other techniques is that the HPAEC of oligosaccharides requires no prior derivatisation and can detect to picomole sensitivity. The separation of oligosaccharide structures using HPAEC is based primarily on the negative charge present on the oligosaccharides due to the presence of terminal sialic acid residues. The greater the number of sialic acid residues, the greater the negative charge. This leads to a stronger interaction between the oligosaccharide and the resin in the HPAEC
analytical column. The application of a sodium acetate gradient causes the displacement of oligosaccharides, thus residues with a greater charge require a higher acetate concentration to cause displacement. Therefore, for example, a trisialylated oligosaccharide structure is retained longer than a bisialylated structure. Thus there is a positive correlation between the degree of negative charge and the elution time. Within charge bands, structures can be further separated on the basis of size i.e. a biantennary, bisialylated structure will be retained less than a triantennary, bisialylated oligosaccharide.

On a more subtle level the separation of oligosaccharides within each charge band is determined by the sequence of monosaccharides which form the chain and also the linkages between them. The involvement of hydroxyl groups in glycosidic bonds between monosaccharides can alter the charge of the sequence. Therefore oligosaccharides with the same monosaccharides but differing in one linkage can be separated using this technique.

The analysis of oligosaccharides is qualitative, unlike monosaccharide analysis which is both qualitative and quantitative, allowing the pattern of oligosaccharides to be determined [49-50]. The separation of a standard library of the oligosaccharide chains of AGP is shown in Figure 8. In the profile, each peak corresponds to a single oligosaccharide chain. The sialylated portion of oligosaccharide chains, such as those found in AGP, are separated into distinct charge bands based on the number of terminal sialic acids.

Figure 8. A HPAEC separation of a commercially available library of AGP oligosaccharides illustrating their separation into charged bands based on the number of sialic acid residues present at the chain terminus.
The greater the number of sialic acids, the greater the overall negative charge resulting in a longer retention time. Typically bisialylated oligosaccharides elute between 20 and 30 minutes; trisialylated, 30 to 40 minutes and tetrasialylated 40-50 minutes (Figure 8). The oligosaccharide profiles for the AGP oligosaccharides from the clinical samples analysed in this study are shown in Figure 9.

![Figure 9. Representative oligosaccharide profiles of AGP, isolated from healthy volunteers (purple line), non malignant breast cancer (green line), malignant breast cancer (red line) were separated using high pH anion exchange chromatography and compared with a standard library of AGP oligosaccharides (black line).](image)

The oligosaccharide profiles of AGP oligosaccharides from healthy volunteers, non malignant breast cancer and malignant breast cancer are comparable with the standard library (Figure 8) in that they both display peaks in the bi-, tri- and tetra-sialylated regions. Although qualitatively similar, the profiles for the breast cancer populations are noticeable for the earlier elution of the comparable peak regions. This reduction in retention is indicative of the presence of fucose on the oligosaccharide chains [49-50] which reinforces the results of the monosaccharide compositional analysis. Additionally, the two cancer populations appear to have fewer tetra-sialylated chains (elute 40-50 minutes) even if the presence of fucosylation is taken into account.

7. Further research

The oligosaccharide chains of each AGP in this study were released and analysed by high pH anion exchange chromatography [Figure 8] and showed differences that could be diagnostic and the basis of future research. Monosaccharide compositional analysis using HPAEC has highlighted the differences that exist between normal and breast disease
Alpha-1-Acid Glycoprotein (AGP) as a Potential Biomarker for Breast Cancer

Populations. Specifically the presence of fucose, galactose and N-acetylgalactosamine appears to be correlated with breast cancer malignancy. The presence of N-acetylgalactosamine in the malignant population, together with its absence from the healthy and non-malignant populations suggests that the modification could form the basis of a serum biomarker for breast cancer malignancy with potential prognostic utility. HPAEC also provides useful information about the oligosaccharide structures present on AGP and allows the development of an oligosaccharide ‘fingerprint’ for each cohort of breast cancer patients.

8. Conclusion

Glycosylated molecules play major roles in oncogenesis, but their potential as cancer biomarkers remains unclear. Historically the reasons were twofold. Firstly, the large heterogeneity of structures arising from the cellular biosynthesis of glycans were not able to be detected and resolved by the analytical technology available at that point in time. Secondly, the definitive diagnosis of cancerous disease normally required invasive techniques. These two hindrances have been addressed through the development of techniques such as high pH anion exchange chromatography (HPAEC) which now allows the sensitive (to picomole level) determination of glycosylated structures including linkage isomers of oligosaccharide chains. The solution to the second problem could lie in the development of tests based on the presence, in biological fluids, of glycosylated molecules which are disease-specific markers.

Alpha-1-acid glycoprotein is a prominent example of a molecule in which alterations in the structure of the surface oligosaccharides has provided some potential in the diagnosis and prognosis of physiological and pathophysiological conditions and determination of the effectiveness of treatments. These have been a vast number of studies concerned with quantifying the levels and characterising the microheterogeneity of AGP in a number of diseases [Reviewed in 7-9]. Variation in the branching of the chains, levels of fucose, sialic acid and the presence or absence of antigens such as sialyl Lewis X are commonly reported and represent the potential exploitation of AGP heterogeneity as prognostic and diagnostic markers of disease [7].

In spite of major advances in detection and treatment, deaths from breast cancer are still high therefore there is a very definite requirement for the identification of a breast cancer specific biomarker to indicate the onset of the disease. Breast cancer is the most common cancer in women and currently diagnosis is reliant upon mammography and invasive techniques such as biopsy. There is no serum biomarker currently used in clinical practice for the detection and diagnosis of breast cancer. The discovery of a breast cancer-specific biomarker whose presence and/or altered expression in the serum precedes the appearance of a malignant mass would allow the development of a non-invasive serologic test and provide an invaluable screening tool in the assessment of high risk individuals.
The current study has identified that the quantitative and qualitative changes in AGP glycosylation observed among patients with malignant and non-malignant breast cancer may in fact, provide the basis of a serum biomarker with potential prognostic utility. The monosaccharide and oligosaccharide composition of the AGP glycosylation was found to differ between normal, non-invasive and invasive groups. From our results, fucose, N-acetylgalactosamine and galactose could be used as a screening test for early detection of breast cancer, and also as markers of breast carcinoma progression or “tumour load”, as changes in the levels of the substances correlate with disease progression. Most importantly, N-acetylgalactosamine could be used as a marker for the presence of malignancy in the breast, as N-acetylgalactosamine is present only in the plasma of patients with malignant breast lesions. The implications of the use of N-acetylgalactosamine as a marker for the presence of breast malignancy is profound; a definite diagnosis of breast carcinoma could be carried out by simple blood tests.

The current standard method of diagnosing early stages of breast cancer is mammography which, along with other imaging technology, requires expensive instrumentation. The use of blood tests to diagnose breast cancer would obviously have numerous advantages over mammography, and would prove to be an invaluable tool in the fight against breast cancer. The use of blood tests based on differences in AGP glycosylation for diagnosing breast cancer could be done inexpensively using ELISA. Mammography requires radiologists to review images, while screening for AGP markers can be performed by laboratory technicians with a high degree of automation. Mammography suffers from a relatively high degree of false diagnoses, while screening for N-acetylgalactosamine appears to diagnose breast cancer with 100% accuracy. Breast cancer has a high rate of survival if it is detected in its early stages. Unfortunately, large segments of the at-risk female population do not receive mammograms regularly, as a result of lack of access to mammography facilities, high cost, discomfort caused by the procedure, and potential or perceived risks of radiation exposure. The factors preventing women from receiving mammograms regularly would not be present with blood tests. Small blood samples could be taken from patients with minimal discomfort and cost in local medical centres. These samples could then be sent to large, centralised testing facilities for processing. The improved accessibility and convenience of this strategy over mammography would result in a greater segment of the at-risk female population receiving regular breast cancer screening, which would result in more early diagnoses, and therefore higher survival rates.

The use of blood tests that employ AGP alterations as markers of breast cancer has obvious commercial applications. These blood tests would likely become routine diagnostic procedures like the prostate-specific antigen (PSA) test. The potential market value of a breast cancer blood test would be comparable to that of the PSA test, which is about $1.5 billion (USD) per year in the United States alone. Clearly, on an international scale, blood tests for diagnosing breast cancer would have a huge market value. In the event that AGP alterations are found to be markers of other cancers, the international market value of AGP-based blood tests would likely double or triple, reaching as high as $20 billion (USD) per year.
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