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1. Introduction

Medial compartment knee osteoarthritis is not uncommon and high tibial osteotomy (HTO) was described for the first time more than 50 years ago (Jackson, JP & Waugh, W 1961; Judet, R & Dupuis, JF & Honnard, F 1964; Merle d’Aubigné, R & Ramadier, JO 1961). Nowadays, it remains a good option (Coventry, MB & Ilstrup, DM & Wallrichs, SL 1993; Hernigou, Ph & Medevielle, D & Debye, J 1987; Jenny JY & Tavan, A & Jenny, G, et al 1998; Lerat, JL 2000; Lootvoet, L & Massimono, A & Rossillon, R, et al 1993; Papachristou, G & Pleassa, S & Sourlas, J & Levidiotis, C & Chronopoulos, E & Papachristou, C 2006; Rinonapoli, E & Mancini, GB & Corvaglia, A, et al 1998; Saragaglia, D & Blaysat, M & Inman, D & Mercier, N 2010; Yasuda, K & Majima, T & Tsuchida, T, et al 1992) despite the large expansion of total knee replacement (TKR) or the revival of unicompartmental knee prosthesis boosted by the less-invasive surgery concept. Ideally, HTO is indicated for active patients who are less than 65 years of age with moderate arthritis (narrowing joint line up to 100% without any bone wear or joint instability). Nevertheless, special attention should be paid to joint line orientation which can be distorted by excessive over or under correction which will eventually lead to earlier failure (Saragaglia, D & Blaysat, M & Inman, D & Mercier, N 2010) due to obliquity of joint line (Fig. 1). This oblique joint line corresponds to an excessive valgus of the tibial mechanical axis (Babis, GC & An, KN & Chao, E. YS, et al 2002). Moreover, varus knee deformity may be a result of both tibial and femoral deformities. Correction of combined (femoral and tibial) varus deformity at the tibia level by (3° to 6°) to achieve a good clinical result may worsen the obliquity of knee joint line.

We have considered combined femoral and tibial osteotomy as a solution to avoid excessive joint line obliquity. However, prior to the advent of computer navigation this was only performed on a limited basis because of the difficulty in obtaining an accurate mechanical axis in relation to joint line plane.

Chaussard, et al 2001; Saragaglia, D & Roberts, J 2005; Saragaglia, D & Rubens-Duval, B & Chaussard, C 2007; Hakki, S & Saleh, K & Bilotta, V et al) we used the principles of computer-assisted surgery for double level osteotomy (DLO) hoping to increase the accuracy of this difficult procedure. Our experience is based on 42 DLO performed between August 2001 and June 2010, out of 370 computer-assisted knee osteotomies for genu varum deformities (11.3%). We will present first, the preoperative radiological assessment, the computer-assisted operative procedure and the indications of HTO, DLO and distal femoral osteotomy (DFO). Then we will present the rationale behind this way of thinking and our results.

Fig. 1. Although varus deformity of the left knee was corrected the joint line remained in excessive valgus. This will lead to early failure HTO in this case.
2. Radiological assessment

Preoperatively Standing AP, lateral, and 45 degree PA weight bearing (Rosenberg) views are obtained. In addition, it is essential to obtain AP long leg standing x-rays to assess the hip knee ankle (HKA) angle for preoperative planning. Ramadier’s protocol (Ramadier, JO & Buard, JE & Lortat-Jacob, A, et al 1982) allows these measurements to be reproducible pre and postoperatively. This protocol can be described as follows: first, to determine accurately the frontal plane by looking for a true lateral view of the knee which is obtained when the posterior margins of the condyles are superimposed; secondly, to turn 90° around the knee the image intensifier to obtain an accurate long leg AP standing view, the x-ray being perpendicular to the frontal plane; finally, to draw the footprint on a cardboard in order to reproduce the same rotation of the lower leg pre and postoperatively. Using this cardboard by placing the foot in the print, it is easy to do the same view as much as one wants. The long leg film is critical since the deformity may not be visible on standard knee films (Fig. 2a and 2b). One must measure the HKA angle, the medial distal femoral mechanical angle (MDFMA) and the medial proximal tibial mechanical angle (MPTMA)(Fig. 3a and 3b) in order to plan the level of the osteotomy femoral, tibial, or both. (Fig 3c)

Fig. 2. a: Standard non weight bearing knee films does not show the significant varus deformity this patient has.
Fig. 2. b: The weight bearing long films serve many purposes: 1 - It shows significant varus deformity in comparison to figure 2a of the same patient. 2 - Quantitative measurements can be made of the severity of varus deformities in relation to the mechanical axis. 3 - Determines where the joint line is. 4 - Quantifies the deformity whether its femoral, tibial, or both.
Fig. 3. a: 42 year old female with what seems to be mild tibia varus deformity

Grading of osteoarthritis is performed typically using the modified Ahlbäck classification (Saragaglia, D, Roberts, J 2005) (grade I, < 50% joint space narrowing; grade II, 50-100%; grade III, 100% narrowing without any bone wear; grade IV, bone wear but no lateral instability; grade V, bone wear with lateral compartment degeneration with or without posterolateral subluxation).
Fig. 3. b: Pre-operative long weight bearing x-ray in the same patient as 3a showing 6° of femoral and 6° of tibial varus deformity. Correction of one bone will not restore the joint line.
Fig. 3. c: Post operative x-ray film showing correction of both femoral and tibial varus deformity with restoration of the joint line and correction of varus deformity to a 2°-3° valgus angle.
3. Surgical technique

3.1 Opening wedge HTO computer-navigated

The software is a derivative of the one used for TKA which has been fully described elsewhere (Picard, F & Leitner, F & Raoult, A et al 1999; Hakki, S & Saleh, K & Bilotta, V, et al.) (Orthopilot® Navigation System, B-Braun-Aesculap, Tuttlingen, Germany). The same principal of real time acquisition of the rotation centre of the hip, knee and ankle centres and of the anatomical landmarks at the level of the knee joint line and ankle is applied. They allow the mechanical axis of the lower limb to be shown dynamically on the computer screen, i.e. the axis of the lower limb to be seen both pre and post osteotomy and to check if the pre-planned correction has been established.

The rigid body markers are fixed percutaneously at the level of the distal femur and proximal tibia allowing acquisition of the centres of the hip, knee and ankle (Fig.4). The lower limb mechanical axis then appears on the screen and can be compared with the pre-operative radiological goniometry.

Fig. 4. Computer navigation is used to accurately quantify the deformity in all range of motion of the left knee.

A 5 to 6 centimeter long incision is made on the medial upper end of the tibia just at the level of the anterior tuberosity of the tibia. The pes anserinus is incised just above the gracilis tendon and a retractor is placed against the postero medial corner of the tibia (Fig.5).
Then, the superficial medial collateral ligament is released from its tibial insertion to allow an adequate opening of the osteotomy.

Fig. 5. Incision is made proximal to the tracker of the tibia at the level of tibia tuberosity. Note: pes anserinus is incised just above the gracilis tendon.

The HTO is then performed 3cm below the level of the medial joint line, the level of which is confirmed by placing an intra-articular needle. The osteotomy is directed at the fibula head, keeping the saw as horizontal as possible to avoid fracturing the lateral tibial plateau. With the aid of 2 Pauwels osteotomes inserted along the tract of the saw cut, the tibia is placed into valgus (Fig.6).

These are then replaced by a metal spacer, which is inherently stable and allows the amount of correction to be accurately checked. If there was 8° of varus one would try a 10-11 mm spacer and check to make sure an appropriate hypercorrection is produced real time on the computer screen (Fig. 7). If this is insufficient we try a thicker spacer and the reverse if the correction is too great.

The metallic spacer is then replaced with a bio-absorbable $\beta$ Tricalcium phosphate wedge (Biosorb®R, SBM, Lourdes, France) of the desired thickness (Fig.8), and the intervention completed by plating the proximal tibia (Fig.9 and 10 a,b,c). Then the accuracy of the osteosynthesis is checked with the image intensifier and the wound is closed.
Fig. 6. The superficial part of medial collateral ligament is released to allow opening of the wedge osteotomy.

Fig. 7. The real time, exact degree of varus correction is shown on the screen. This will help surgeons to avoid over or under correction of varus deformities.
Fig. 8. The exact size of bio-absorbable spacer is determined by computer navigation reading.

Fig. 9. Plate and screws are used to maintain the correction at the desired angle as determined by the computer. Long film Röntgenogram may also be used to confirm alignment.
Fig. 10. a: Preoperative standard left knee film showing varus deformity of left knee.
Fig. 10. b: Weight bearing long film determined that the varus deformity is only in the tibia. Joint line and femur were anatomical.
3.2 Computer-assisted double level osteotomy (Fig. 11 a,b,c)

The first stage is essentially the same as for an HTO: percutaneous insertion of the rigid body markers (high enough not to hamper the femoral osteotomy and low enough on the other level to avoid interfering with the tibial osteotomy), followed by kinematic acquisition...
of the hip centre, middle of the knee and tibio-tarsal joints in order to find the mechanical axis of the lower limb.

Fig. 11. a: A Pre-operative x-ray film of left knee, femoral and tibia varus deformity. Measurements show the degree of deformity in each bone needing to be corrected.
The Role of Osteotomy in the Correction of Congenital and Acquired Disorders of the Skeleton

Fig. 11. b: Pre-op x-ray film of the right knee, femoral and tibia varus deformity. Measurements show the degree of deformity in each bone needing to be corrected.
The second stage consists of making the femoral closing osteotomy in the distal femur (in general a 5-6° alteration is made, although sometimes more in congenital femoral varus) and fixing it in position with a T-plate (AO/ Synthes). A lateral approach with elevation of the vastus lateralis is chosen, to allow the location of the proximal tip of the trochlea. The track of the osteotomy lies proximal to the trochlea and is directed obliquely from proximal lateral to distal medial femoral cortex (Fig.12). A wedge of bone is then excised from the distal femur with a 4-5 mm lateral base, corresponding to a 5-6° correction (Fig.13). The osteotomy is then fixed with the T-plate after placing the femur into valgus manually (Fig. 14). Once this stage is reached the mechanical axis is rechecked so the required correction at the level of the tibia can be calculated in order to achieve the pre-operative objectives. Then the wound is closed on a drain. The last stage is to perform the HTO exactly in the fashion described earlier. The definitive axis is then displayed on the computer screen and the osteosynthesis is checked with the image intensifier.

### 3.3 Computer-assisted distal femoral osteotomy

The procedure is the same as described previously and we prefer to make a closing wedge osteotomy rather than an opening one because of the difficulty to get good stability after plating the distal femur.
Fig. 12. Intra operation view of the direction of osteotomy proximal to trochlea aiming obliquely to distal medial femoral cortex.
Fig. 13. Excision of 4-5 mm wedge of femur correspond to almost $5^\circ \text{ to } 6^\circ$ of deformity correction allowing 1-2 mm of saw play to avoid overcorrection.

Fig. 14. Intra operation view showing correction of femoral varus deformity and maintaining it with a T-Plate.
4. Postoperative management

The patient can stand up the day after the operation and walk with two crutches. Partial weight bearing is allowed for 4-6 weeks when performing an HTO and 10-12 weeks when performing DLO. Full range of motion is regained quickly after HTO and after 6-8 weeks for DLO, because of the distal femoral osteotomy which slows down rehabilitation. However, being an extra articular procedure, postoperative arthrofibrosis does not occur.

5. Indications

The best indication for osteotomy is a non-sedentary patient with a low arthritis grade (Coventry, MB & Ilstrup, DM & Wallrichs, SL 1993; Yasuda, K & Majima, T & Tsuchida, T et al 1992) and below 60 to 65 years. In some cases (very active patients under the age of 50 years) we have performed double level osteotomy for grade 4 and 5 with a good result but this is far from being the rule.

6. Discussion

When should double level osteotomy be performed? If we consider the “normal” mechanical axis of the lower limb as described by Kapandji (Kapandji, IA 1974) and later taken up by Hungerford and Krackow (Hungerford, DS & Krackow, KA 1985) it should be 180° with an MDFMA of 93° and an MPTMA of 87° resulting in a joint line perfectly parallel to the ground. However this assumption is not confirmed in case of osteoarthritis with varus deformity because, in unpublished series of senior author (D.S.) of 89 TKR, we found an MDFMA of 93° in only 43.8% of the cases. It was at 90° in 33.7% of the cases, below 90° in 13.5%, and above 93° in 9%.

Thus, before performing high tibial osteotomy, it is crucial to have high quality and reproducible full-length AP radiographs of the lower limb, according to a specific protocol. The HKA angle, the MDFMA and the MPTMA should be determined on this goniometry (Fig.3a and 3b). Lateral instability testing has become less important than it once was, being that since the indications for osteotomy in this setting have become rare. In case of femoral valgus (MDFMA > 90°), it is illogical to perform a femoral osteotomy because we do not want to create in the femur, the error, we are trying to avoid in the tibia. If the femur is in varus or at 90°, we think, we should proceed with a femoral osteotomy to achieve an MDFMA of around 93° (93° +/- 2°), and then complete it with a tibial osteotomy to achieve an HKA angle of 182° +/- 2°. In our experience, to overcorrect more than this, may jeopardize satisfactory results (Fig. 3c). Overcorrection, whether femoral or tibial, can distort the anatomy and lead to a much more complicated revision TKR. However we think a longer follow-up is needed to prove overcorrection by +/-2° is enough for a lasting good result. If the tibia is not in varus (MPTMA over 88°), we should perform a femoral osteotomy specially if the femur is at 90° or in varus or contraindicate any osteotomy if it leads to joint line obliquity of more than 5°. If we strictly adhere to these criteria, indications for double level osteotomy will likely increase with the development of navigation systems, since as mentioned earlier, femurs in varus are not rare, and more so, those at 90°.

Combined distal femoral and proximal tibial osteotomy in the treatment of genu varum is technically difficult. Little has been said about this technique in the literature and we
could find only one paper reporting on 24 patients (29 knees) operated on with a conventional technique (two closing wedge osteotomies) (Babis GC, An KN, Chao E. YS, et al, 2002). The mean preoperative HKA angle was 193.3° (which is 13.3° of varus) and they used a computer-aided analysis of the mechanical status of the knee for preoperative planning. This was limited to preoperative evaluation, and the reliability of the preoperative radiographic evaluation was not assessed. The results showed a mean postoperative HKA angle of 176.9° (169.4° to 184.9°). They had a residual varus in 2 cases (4.6° and 4.9°) and an over correction of more than 4° in 10 cases and more than 6° in 5. One knows an under correction may lead to failure of the operative procedure and a too much overcorrection to discomfort.

The difficulty of the technique comes from the fact once the first osteotomy is performed, whether femoral or tibial, landmarks change and the ability to achieve a satisfactory alignment with the second osteotomy becomes challenging in the absence of reliable intraoperative landmarks. Martres et al (Martres, S & Servien, E & Aït Si Selmi, T, et al 2004) suggested performing this operation in two different stages to improve its accuracy and reproducibility. It is also justified to consider complication occurring at both osteotomy sites could lead to disastrous result. On the other hand, every surgeon operating on osteoarthritic knees should be aware of the risk of malunion in the proximal tibia, for a procedure often considered temporary, particularly when performing an isolated HTO. In fact every osteotomy in a young adult is susceptible to lead subsequently to a TKR, and thus it is essential to plan ahead for the iterative surgery called revision.

Computer-assisted surgery allows controlling of the femoro-tibial axis (HKA angle) at every step of the procedure and thus makes it more accurate. Our first results (Saragaglia, D & Pradel, PH & Picard, F 2004) showed in a comparative cohort study of computer-assisted versus conventional HTO, a 96% reproducibility in achieving a mechanical axis of 184°±/-2° in the computer navigated group versus 71% in the conventional osteotomy group (p<0.0015). In another prospective series including 16 cases of DLO (Saragaglia, D & Rubens-Duval, B & Chaussard, C 2007) we showed 87.5% success in reaching our pre-operative goal for HKA angle, and 100% success in reaching the desired MPTMA (90° +/- 2°), which in terms of performance is remarkable. At the femoral level, results were less accurate (75% of MDFMA at 95° +/-2°). This could be related to the closing wedge osteotomy, which is less straightforward. Moreover, with the Orthopilot® device (kinematic model without pre-op imaging), controlling the MDFMA and the MPTMA following the two osteotomies is not possible because a large arthrotomy would be required to identify and palpate specific landmarks similar to the ones used for TKA.

Thus the only navigated parameter is the HKA angle; the others are calculated from pre and post-operative X-Rays using the most rigorous planning. Regarding mid-term clinical and radiological results of DLO we reviewed recently 42 cases operated on between August 2001 and June 2010 that is with a mean follow up of 46+/-27 months (12-108). The mean Lyshölm-Tegner score (Tegner, Y & Lysholm, J & Lysholm, M & Gillquist, J 1986) improved from 41.2 +/- 8.9 points (22-69) to 83.3 +/- 7.5 points (62-91) and the KOOS score (Roos, EM & Roos, HP & Ekdahl, C & Lohmander, LS 1998) was 95.1 +/- 3.2 points (89-100). 40 Patients were satisfied (22) or very satisfied (18) of the result. The radiological results showed the preoperative goal was reached in 92.7% of the cases for the HKA angle and in 88.1% for the...
MPTMA, with only one case over 93°. The mean HKA angle was 181.83° +/- 1.80° (177°-185°), the mean MPTMA of 89.71° +/- 1.72° (85°-93°) and the mean MDFMA of 92.76° +/- 2.02° (89°-97°). No patient was revised to total knee arthroplasty.

Finally, despite our trust in opening wedge osteotomies, we think, at the femoral level, one should perform a closing wedge osteotomy to avoid excessive lengthening of the limb when performing DLO (double opening) and also to avoid a less stable osteosynthesis.

7. Conclusion
Young patient genu varum deformity can be corrected by high tibial valgus osteotomy, but it is not the sole way to do. The indication is based on an accurate and reproducible radiological protocol including at least standing AP long leg X-ray. One must measure not only the HKA angle but also the medial distal femoral mechanical angle (MDFMA) and the medial proximal tibial mechanical angle (MPTMA). These measures will guide the surgeon to choose the best indication. When the MDFMA is in valgus (93° or more) and the MPTMA in varus (below 88°) the best one is HTO. When the MDFMA is in varus (90° or less) and the MPTMA in varus (below 88°) the best indication is DLO. Finally, when the MDFMA is in varus and the MPTMA above 88° the best indication is DFO. This way of thinking should avoid too much oblique joint line, which is a difficult condition when performing revision to TKA.
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This book demonstrates specific osteotomy techniques from the skull to the hallux. The role of osteotomy in the correction of deformity is under appreciated in part because of the ubiquitous nature of joint replacement surgery. It should be remembered, however, that osteotomy has a role to play in the correction of deformity in the growing child, the active young adult, and patients of any age with post-traumatic deformity limiting function and enjoyment of life. In this text we bring you a number of papers defining specific problems for which osteotomy is found to be an effective and lasting solution. I hope you find it useful.
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