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Misdiagnosis and Mistreatment for Osteosarcoma: Analysis of Cause and Its Strategy

Yao Yang and Lin Feng
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1. Introduction

Objective To investigate various kinds of causes and consequences for misdiagnosis and mistreatment of osteosarcoma (OS). Methods The data from 94 patients with osteosarcoma undergoing misdiagnosis and mistreatment were collected and their clinical causes and consequences were analyzed retrospectively. Results The causes contributing to the misdiagnosis among 94 patients, including that patients delayed to consult physician (36 cases, 38.3%), patients underwent medical misdiagnosis (34 cases, 36.17%) and both of components occurred simultaneously (24 cases, 25.53%). The average duration of misdiagnosis was 72.15 d, 7.34 d and 100.68 d, respectively. 28 cases (29.79%) accepted traditional Chinese medicine therapy, 22 (23.40%) cases were treated by incorrect operation. Perhaps there were 56 patients (59.57%) losing limb-salvage procedure opportunity, 16 patients (17.02%) had recurrence after limb-salvage operation, 40 patients (42.55%) underwent metastasis induced by misdiagnosis and mistreatment. Conclusion the main cause of misdiagnosis and mistreatment of OS is that doctors, patients and their parents have deficient professional knowledge of OS. CT and MRI examinations are the effective to prevent the misdiagnosis of early OS. The probability of all kinds of diagnoses should be estimated sufficient, the therapeutic protocol should be made consummately and any other treatment procedures should not be taken blindly for atypical cases before question or biopsy.

Osteosarcoma is the most common bone cancer occurred by teenage, and its onset is more occult, higher malignant, and rapid progress, and even early stage lung metastases may occur. In recent years, the integrated treatment including chemotherapy, limb-salvage and technology-based reconstruction is developed rapidly, which is not only improving the survival of patients, but also the quality of life. However its efficacy, quality of life and survival mainly depend on the treatment of clinical stage. But it is found practically that various kinds of causes and consequences for misdiagnosis and mistreatment of osteosarcoma, which lead some patients to amputate and even metastasis of lung and other organ. Therefore, here are the analysis and treatment strategies for the 94 patients with osteosarcoma undergoing misdiagnosis and mistreatment.
2. Materials and methods

2.1 Case choice

The data from 94 patients with pathological diagnosis, osteosarcoma undergoing misdiagnosis and mistreatment from department of Medical Oncology, Sixth People’s Hospital of Shanghai Jiaotong University from January, 2002 to December, 2004 were collected and their clinical causes and consequences were analyzed retrospectively. Among these 94 patients, the youngest is 5 years old, the eldest is 54 years old and the average is 21.48 years old; male is 62 cases and female is 32 cases; primary lesions in the distal femur is 40 cases (42.6%), proximal tibia is 28 cases (29.8%), proximal humerus is 10 cases (10.6%), proximal femur is 6 cases (6.4%) and distal humerus, distal ulna, calcaneus, iliac crest and maxilla is 2 cases respectively, 10 cases totally; according to Enneking & Wolf (1996), stage IIB below is 20 cases (21.3%), stage IIB is 36 cases (38.3%), stage IIB above is 18 cases (19.1) and unknown stage is 20 cases (21.3%).

2.2 Measure of remedial treatment

Most patients had received confirmation of Pathology again in our hospital and meantime accepted MRI for localized lesions, CT for chest, bone scan for whole body, B-mode ultrasound for abdominal and pelvic and serum LDH and AKP check. Chemotherapy before operation was 56 cases, who accepted HD-MTX-CFR,IFO,E-ADM,DDP intravenous chemotherapy 3 times and limb-salvage patients should add E-ADM+DDP intravenous chemotherapy. Chemotherapy after operation was 92 cases (2 case has given up chemotherapy). Among these 90 cases, there were 88 patients operated in our hospital, including 34 cases limb-salvage (40.5%) with 20 cases allograft (23.8%), 4 cases autologous bone graft (4.8%) and 10 cases artificial joint replacement (4.4%) and 10 cases amputation (11.1.%) in our hospital and 2 case amputation outside.

3. Result

3.1 Causes of misdiagnosis

Table 1 shows the causes of misdiagnosis for these 94 cases. The factor contribute to misdiagnosis of patients was mainly due to the mild and not typical character of the early clinical symptoms of osteosarcoma. Patients delayed to consult physician because of the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reasons of misdiagnosis</th>
<th>Cases</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patients</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motion injury</td>
<td>36(28.30)</td>
<td>26(27.66)</td>
<td>10(10.64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual delay</td>
<td>16(17.02)</td>
<td>12(12.77)</td>
<td>4(4.25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20(21.28)</td>
<td>14(14.89)</td>
<td>6(6.39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doctors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual delay</td>
<td>34(36.17)</td>
<td>24(25.53)</td>
<td>10(10.64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Misdiagnosis by X-ray</td>
<td>18(19.15)</td>
<td>12(12.77)</td>
<td>6(6.38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Misdiagnosis by pathology</td>
<td>12(12.77)</td>
<td>10(10.64)</td>
<td>10(2.13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4(4.25)</td>
<td>2(2.13)</td>
<td>2(2.13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both</td>
<td>24(25.53)</td>
<td>12(12.77)</td>
<td>12(12.77)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. The analysis of the reasons of misdiagnosis in 47 cases of osteosarcoma [n(%)]

www.intechopen.com
intense of study and work which is 21.28%, and the other reason is about 12.77% because of the misunderstanding of the motion injuries particularly men’s. The factor contribute to patients underwent medical misdiagnosis is 36.17% including the misdiagnosis of motion injuries or arthritis particularly men’s. The factor contribute to the physicians’ delay is 19.15% including the delay of the X-ray examination for patients in time. The misdiagnosis of the X-ray examination is 12.77% for the X-ray examination is lack of the typical X-ray for osteosarcoma at the same time CT and MRI examination haven’t been taken. Among these 47 patients, the misdiagnosis contribute to both patients and physicians is 24 cases (25.53%) and the average misdiagnosis time reached to 100.68 d, and significantly higher than the patients or physician individually.

3.2 Consequent analysis and mistreatment

Table 2 analyzed amputation, local recurrence and distant metastasis contribute to misdiagnosis and mistreatment. Among these 94 patients, the ratio of amputation was 56 cases (59.57%) including 44 local amputated cases at a late stage of disease; the ratio of distant metastasis was 40 cases (42.55%) including 30 distant metastasis cases before treatment and 10 cases after operation, and 8 cases reached CR after chemotherapy; the ration of recurrence after operation is 16 cases (17.02%) including 6 re-amputated cases after bone graft and 4 amputated cases because of local recurrence. 28 cases (29.79%) of misdiagnosis treated as massage, TCM herbs cupping and other traditional Chinese medicine treatment. 22 cases (23.40%) took incorrect surgical procedure, and 4 cases (14.25%) took intra-articular infection with antibiotic up to 3 weeks.

Table 2. The analysis of consequences of misdiagnosis or mistreatment in 94 cases of osteosarcoma [n (%)]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consequences of misdiagnosis or mistreatment</th>
<th>case</th>
<th>misdiagnosis</th>
<th>Mistreatment with misdiagnosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Factor of patient</td>
<td>Factor of doctor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amputation</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>24(25.53)</td>
<td>20(21.28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distant metastasis</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>16(17.02)</td>
<td>14(14.89)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recurrence after operation</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>8(8.51)</td>
<td>6(6.38)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Discussion

Osteosarcoma at the early stage has no typical clinical symptom and the most common symptom is the local pain, redness and/or mild dysfunction similar to injury. As osteosarcoma occurs in younger’s metaphysis with joint particularly in lower limb joints. Those male adolescents who like sports and their parents are likely to mistake the osteosarcoma as motion injuries. In addition, most of young patients had heavy burden of learning and lack of the medical knowledge of this disease was the main cause of misdiagnosis. Our study showed that the misdiagnosis due to the patients is 38.30% especially the misdiagnosis of the male adolescents is 27.06%, and the average misdiagnosis
time is 72.15 days. Author thought that it was important to enhance the osteosarcoma knowledge disseminate, and if the pain and red around joints has occurred for one week particular at night whatever with or without motion and injured history, X-ray should be taken timely in the hospital that has the diagnosis conditions for osteosarcoma. If there is any doubt, CT or MRI examinations should be taken in time.

The misdiagnosis due to the doctors is 36.17%, and the average misdiagnosis day is 57.34 d. Most of the doctors especially the doctors from primary hospitals should pay more attention to the clinical symptom of osteosarcoma. When some patients had the typical clinical symptom as treatment, doctors should not be still subjective to misdiagnose as injury or other benign tumors without any imaging. Reviewing 12 osteosarcoma patients with X-ray as treatment, author found that almost cases were lack of the typical osteosarcoma’s features for the most X-ray with only small range of bone destruction or Codman triangle. Daylight occurred rarely and another misdiagnosis of x-ray contributed to poor X-ray rates, poor light conditions, incorrect camera position and perspective and other technical factors in the primary hospital. Analyzing 4 cases of pathological misdiagnosis, the main factor was less needle biopsy material or the material from tumor necrosis zone without tumor cells. In addition to educate the knowledge of osteosarcoma to all level of physicians, the combination among clinic, imaging and pathology should be emphasized. Although X-ray clearly shows the size of the tumor lesions and bone destruction and periosteal reaction, it is short of sensitivity and only up to 30% of bone destruction can be detected. So X-ray examination cannot check osteosarcoma at the early stage. CT and MRI examinations have more resolution than X-ray, and they are the prior methods to exam osteosarcoma. They can show the tumor size, location and the relationship to normal tissue more clearly. Furthermore they provide the most important evidence to osteosarcoma clinical stage, surgical approach\textsuperscript{2,3}. Author thought those osteosarcoma suspected in clinic should be checked routine lateral X-ray compared with the healthy side. If necessary, an experienced physician should be asked to observe the focus repeatedly under the lesion site. The suspicious cases should be taken CT or MRI examination and that is the most effective method to prevent misdiagnosis. It is worth mentioned that the biopsy-negative patients who are diagnosed as osteosarcoma probable by clinic and imaging should not be biopsied repeatedly, to avoid artificial compartment open, clinical stage from stage IIA to IIB and cutting tumor cells metastasis. Those cases that are difficult to diagnose should be frozen biopsy before surgery by experienced physicians. Mistreatment is in the premise of misdiagnosis.

The misdiagnosis and mistreatment of osteosarcoma at the early stage commonly occur in China. Misdiagnosis is relative to patients, relatives and doctors and mistreatment is the responsibility of the doctors’. Among 94 cases, 28 patients had massage, TCM herbs cupping and other traditional Chinese medicine treatments before diagnosing osteosarcoma; 18 patients should be operated as local tumor has violated the outdoor and soft tissue; 10 patients had distant metastasis; 22 patients (23.40%) has taken surgery in local as benign tumors or injury; 2 patient has the indications for limb salvage of the proximal humerus osteosarcoma as stage IIB, who has freed the line shoulder surgery; 4 patients has been treated with antibiotics for arthritic.

Overtreatment is another question. Neoadjuvant chemtherapy increased survival in patients without metastasis, however, the patient who benefit from neoadjuvant
Misdiagnosis and Mistreatment for Osteosarcoma: Analysis of Cause and Its Strategy

Chemotherapy are those had good histopathological response to chemotherapy. Therefore, it’s important to develop an effective method to evaluate tumor response to chemotherapy, so that we can choose right patient to surgery and avoid overtreatment. Fluorine-18-fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography with computed tomography (PET-CT) is now widely used with promising results in the initial diagnosis, staging, and detection of recurrence in many kinds of cancer. Furthermore, some studies revealed that the change of 18F-FDG uptake after chemotherapy is associated with pathological response, providing a good option to noninvasively monitor effectiveness of treatment. We meta-analyzed eleven studies comprising 233 patients. By analyzing two parameters of PET: SUV (standardized uptake value) or TBR (tumor to background ratios), we found that the ratio of TBR after treatment (TBR2) to TBR before treatment (TBR1) (TBR2/1), the SUV after therapy (SUV2) and the ratio of SUV after treatment (SUV2) to SUV before treatment (SUV1)(SUV2/1) have relatively good predicting performance (Table 3, Figure 1a,b,c, unpublished data). Therefore, if we want to make decision that when the patient should undergo surgical treatment after chemotherapy to avoid overtreatment, the PET-CT scan might be the choice.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Studies</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Positive LR(95% CI)</th>
<th>Q</th>
<th>Negative LR(95% CI)</th>
<th>Q</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TBR2:1</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>4.26(2.01-9.04)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.11 (0.03-0.36)</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUV2</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>3.56(1.80-7.0)</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>0.56(0.39-0.80)</td>
<td>5.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUV2:1</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>1.90(1.27-2.85)</td>
<td>15.13</td>
<td>0.47(0.34-0.66)</td>
<td>7.54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Likelihood ratios for the association between PET and histological response to chemotherapy (unpublished).

---
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Fig. 1. The summary receiver operating characteristic (SROC) curves for TBR2:1 (a), SUV2 (b) and SUV2:1 (c) on a per-patient basis. Each solid circle represents each study in the meta-analysis. The size of the circle indicates the study size. The *Q indexes estimate for TBR2:1, SUV2 and SUV2:1 were 0.6859, 0.7055 and 0.7030 respectively. AUC: area under the curve; SE, standard error. (unpublished).
It is analyzed retrospectively that all misdiagnosed patients have the limb-salvage instructions by X-ray film before treatment. Lung was the distant metastasis location for 40 case and almost cases hadn’t taken Chest CT as treatment. Data of our study shows mistreatment not only delay the best time of treatment, but also stimulate the growth of tumor cell directly and leading to osteosarcoma cells partial or/distant metastasis. Reviewing previous data, it is commonly misdiagnosed malignancy as carcinoid or inflammatory disease with Chinese medical treatment or surgical debridement and bone graft, and the main reason of misdiagnosis is the lack of clinical knowledge by physicians. Our study indicates the diagnosis of osteosarcoma should combine clinic, imaging and pathology as practice. For those atypical clinical manifestations or bone destruction of X-ray not clearly for early diagnosis, clinical physicians should read X-ray film carefully and even enhance the relationship with radiated and pathological doctor. Before treatment or surgery, clinical physicians should estimate all kinds of diagnosis and develop comprehensive treatment program, and Chinese medicine treatment can be considered after completely getting rid of bone cancer. If the diagnosis of osteosarcoma has been clearly, chest CT should be taken to avoid distant metastasis and develop treatment program before surgery. In addition, those patients of extremity osteosarcoma that has the indication for limb salvage as stage IIA, in the premise of preoperative chemotherapy, direct surgery may affect life quality of patients and the long-term survival may not be improved further.
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This book is aimed at quickly updating the reader on osteosarcoma, a dreaded primary bone cancer. Progress in management of osteosarcoma has been slow after the evolution of chemotherapy and limb salvage surgery. Research is now directed towards identifying molecular targets for systemic therapy. Availability of chemotherapy drugs and low cost implants in developing world have allowed limb salvage surgery to develop. This book looks at current basic knowledge on osteosarcoma and some of the developments in research which have the potential to change the prognosis.
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