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1. Introduction

Cable-driven manipulators, referred to as the overhead crane and rotary crane are widely used in the manufacturing and construction industries in order to move heavy objects as illustrated in Fig. 1. Cable-driven manipulators are relatively simple form, with multiple cables attached to a mobile platform or end-effector. The end-effector may be equipped with various attachments, including hooks, cameras, robotic grippers and so on. Cable-driven manipulators have several advantages over rigid-link mechanisms, including the following: 1) remote location of motors and controls; 2) rapid deployability; 3) potentially large workspaces; 4) high load capacity; 5) reliability (Borgstrom et al., 2009; Zi et al., 2008). For the preceding reasons, cable-driven manipulators have received attention and have been recently studied since 1980s (Behzadipour & Khajepour, 2005; Ghasemi et al., 2008; Motoji, 2004; Oh & Agrawal, 2005; Pham et al., 2006).

Fig. 1. Crane-type cable manipulator.
Cable-driven manipulators can be classified as either incompletely restrained or completely restrained (Bosscher & Ebert-Uphoff, 2006). Cable-driven manipulators are underconstrained if it relies on gravity to determine the pose (position and orientation) of the end-effector, while they are completely restrained if the pose of the end-effector is completely determined by the lengths of the cables. As you know, dynamics is a huge field of study devoted to studying the forces required to cause motion. In order to accelerate the robot from rest, glide at a constant end-effector velocity, and finally decelerate to a stop, a complex set of torque functions must be applied by the joint actuators (Craig, 2005). The motivation for this paper comes directly from the design, mechanics analysis, and control of completely restrained cable-driven manipulators (CRCM) with 3 Degrees of Freedom (DOF). As demonstrated in (Anupoju et al., 2005), servomechanism dynamics constitute an important component of the complete robotic dynamics. Therefore, the dynamics of the servomotors and its gears must be modeled for further control design. However, the literature on the CRCM system including the actuator dynamics is sparse.

CRCM systems are multivariable in nature. The control of the multivariable systems is a complicated problem due to the coupling that exists between the control inputs and the outputs, and the multivariable systems are nonlinear and uncertain, therefore, their control problem becomes more challenging (Chien, 2008; Yousef et al., 2009). In order to achieve a high-precision performance, the controller of the CRCM must effectively and accurately manipulate the motion trajectory. It is well known that up until now, a conventional proportional-integral-derivative (PID) controller has been widely used in industry due to its simple control structure, ease of design, and inexpensive cost (Reznik et al., 2000; Visioli, 2001). However, the CRCM is a multivariable nonlinear coupling dynamic system which suffers from structured and unstructured uncertainties such as payload variation, external disturbances, etc. As a result, the PID controller cannot yield a good control performance for this type of control system. For dealing with nonlinear effects, various control algorithms have been proposed. Among them, adaptive control and fuzzy logic system algorithm draw much attention due to the applicability for typically highly nonlinear systems (Chang, 2000; Soyguder & Alli, 2010; Su & Stepanenko, 1994). The idea of fuzzy set and fuzzy control is introduced by Zadeh in an attempt to control systems that are structurally difficult to model (Feng, 2006; Zadeh, 1965). Fuzzy controllers have been well accepted in control engineering practice. The major advantages in all these fuzzy-based control schemes are that the developed controllers can be employed to deal with increasingly complex systems to implement the controller without any precise knowledge of the structure of entire dynamic model. As a knowledge-based approach, the fuzzy controller usually depends on linguistics-based reasoning in design. However, even though a system is well defined mathematically, the fuzzy controller is still preferred by control engineers since it is relatively more understandable whereas expert knowledge can be incorporated conveniently. Recently, the fuzzy controller of nonlinear systems was studied by many authors and has also been extensively adopted in adaptive control of robot manipulators (Chen et al., 1996; Labiod et al., 2005; Purwar et al., 2005; Yoo & Ham, 1998). It has been proven that adaptive fuzzy control is a powerful technique and being increasingly applied in the discipline of systems control, especially when the controlled system has uncertainties and highly nonlinearities (Yu et al., 2011).

This chapter is organized as follows. First, the mechanical system is designed in Section 2. Then, modeling and analysis of the cable-driven manipulator are described in Section 3. Section 4 presents the developed systematic approach for the adaptive fuzzy controller.
design. Results and discussions are presented in Section 5. Finally, concluding remarks are provided in Section 6.

2. Mechanical design

The CRCM suspends an end-effector (clog) by four cables and restrains all motion degrees of freedom for the object using the cables and gravitational force when the end-effector moves within the workspace. In the design, of each cable in the CRCM, one end is connected to the end-effector, the other end rolls through a pulley fixed on the top of the relative pillar and then is fed into a servo mechanism, with which cable length can be altered. The design of CRCM follows a built-up modular system, as illustrated in Fig.2. The system comprises several components: servo motor, belt pulley drive mechanism, speed reducer, girder, windlass, cable pillar, cable, end-effector, and so on.

Reliability, long-distance transmission, high speed and precision are paramount for the CRCM design. The structure of the CRCM is shown in Fig.3, and the end-effector is driven by four sets of servomechanism. Belts are looped over pulleys. In a two pulley system, the belt can either drive the pulleys in the same direction. As a source of motion, a conveyor belt is one application where the belt is adapted to continually carry a load between two distant points. Typically, gears and elastic drive belts are applied to transmit motion.

![Fig. 2. Model of the CRCM.](image)

3. Modeling and analysis

A simple schematic of the CRCM representing the coordinate systems is shown in Fig. 4. With the bottom of the pillar corresponding to the point $B_3$ as the origin, a Cartesian coordinate system is established. The end-effector is predigested as a particle whose location coordinates are $A(x, y, z)$, and the distance between each pulley center whose coordinates are $B_i(x_i, y_i, z_i)$ and the end-effector is $l_i (i = 1, 2, 3, 4)$. Four pillars have the same height and are arrayed in a rectangular on the ground, whose deformation in movement is ignored. In order to simplify the calculation, the cable is treated as a kind of massless rigid body, which has no deformation, and can only sustain tension.

![Image](image)
Fig. 3. Mechanical structure of the CRCM

Fig. 4. Structure model of the CRCM
The relationship between the cable length \( l_i \) and the end-effector location \( A(x, y, z) \), can be easily obtained as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
    l_1 &= \sqrt{(x - x_1)^2 + (y - y_1)^2 + (z - z_1)^2} \\
    l_2 &= \sqrt{(x - x_2)^2 + (y - y_2)^2 + (z - z_2)^2} \\
    l_3 &= \sqrt{(x - x_3)^2 + (y - y_3)^2 + (z - z_3)^2} \\
    l_4 &= \sqrt{(x - x_4)^2 + (y - y_4)^2 + (z - z_4)^2}
\end{align*}
\]

(1)

The forward kinematic equations can be found by solving (1) for \( (x, y, z) \), which results in the following:

\[
\begin{align*}
    x &= (l_1^2 - l_2^2 + x_2^2 - x_1^2) / 2(x_2 - x_1) \\
    y &= (l_2^2 - l_3^2 + y_2^2 - y_3^2) / 2(y_3 - y_2) \\
    z &= -(l_1^2 - (x - x_1)^2 - (y - y_1)^2 + z_1)
\end{align*}
\]

(2)

As the pillars are arrayed in a rectangular on the base, according to the geometric relationship, the geometric constraint of the cable length is calculated as

\[
l_1^2 + l_2^2 = l_3^2 + l_4^2
\]

(3)

The general dynamic equations of motion can be derived from the Lagrangian method. In the model, the end-effector is assumed to act as a point mass and the cable is treated as a kind of massless rigid body. As a result, the kinetic energy, \( K \), and the potential energy, \( P \), of the end-effector can be written in Cartesian coordinates as

\[
K = \frac{1}{2} m(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)
\]

(4)

\[
P = m g z
\]

(5)

where \( m \) is mass of end-effector, \( g \) is acceleration of gravity.

The cable lengths, \( l_1 \), \( l_2 \), \( l_3 \) and \( l_4 \), are directly controlled by rotating the winch to reel the cable in or let it out, therefore, it is desirable to regard the cable lengths as the variables. By substituting the forward kinematic equations (2) into the kinetic energy and potential energy shown in Eqs. (4) and (5), respectively, the Lagrange’s Equation can be written in the following form

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\partial K}{\partial q_i} \right) - \frac{\partial K}{\partial q_i} + \frac{\partial P}{\partial \dot{q}_i} = Q_i
\]

(6)

where and the variables, \( q_i \) (for \( i = 1, 2, 3, 4 \)), the generalized forces, \( Q_i \), respectively, can be expressed as
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The windlass torques, \( \tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3, \) and \( \tau_4 \), are the control inputs \( r_1, r_2, r_3 \) and \( r_4 \), is the radius of the windlass.

Given the equations of motion shown above, using the assumptions along with various substitutions and algebraic manipulations of the CRCM derived, the dynamic equation of the CRCM can be expressed as

\[
\begin{align*}
D(q)\ddot{q} + C(q, \dot{q}) + \tau_e &= \tau \\
\end{align*}
\]

where \( D(q) \in \mathbb{R}^{4 \times 4} \) is the inertia matrix which is symmetric positive define, \( C(q, \dot{q}) \in \mathbb{R}^{4} \) is a nonlinear Coriolis/centripetal/gravity vector terms, \( \tau_d \in \mathbb{R}^{4} \) represents the disturbance which is bounded, and \( \tau \in \mathbb{R}^{4} \) is the input torque vector with \( \tau = [\tau_1 \tau_2 \tau_3 \tau_4]^T \). The 4x4 matrix \( D(q) \) and the 4x1 vector \( C(q, \dot{q}) \) will be referred to as \( D \) and \( C \) respectively. The details of these expressions will be omitted for the sake of brevity.

The dynamic model is presented in two parts: one is directed to the structural model (CRCM) above and the other is related to the actuator dynamics (servo mechanism). We have already developed mechanics equations of the drive transmission system (Zi et al., 2009), and briefly outline here. The extendable actuator of each subsystem of the CRCM system is comprised of an alternating current (AC) servomotor & drive unit, belt pulley drive mechanism, two-level cycloid-gear speed reducer, and windlass. To simplify matters, here without regard to the belt pulley drive mechanism, the next step servomechanism model is developed. Without going into details, the servomechanism dynamic model is briefly described by the following formulation,

\[
\begin{align*}
K_{li}U_C &= I_{mi} \frac{d^2 \theta_{mi}}{dt^2} + K_o \frac{d \theta_{mi}}{dt} \\
\tau_{bi} &= n_i(K_{li}U_C - K_o \frac{d \theta_{mi}}{dt} - I_{mi} \frac{d^2 \theta_{mi}}{dt^2}) \\
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \tau_{bi} \) (for \( i = 1,2,3,4 \)) is the torque of the windlass; \( n_i \) is the gear ratio; \( U_C \) is control voltage ; \( U_C \) and \( K_o \) are positive constant, respectively; \( I_{mi} \) denotes the moment of inertia of the motor; \( I_{mi} \) is the equivalent moment of inertia including motor, speed reducer, flywheel and windlass, and \( \theta_{mi} \) is the rotor angular position.

The driving force of cable \( T_i \) (for \( i = 1,2,3,4 \)) can be expressed as

\[
\begin{align*}
K_{li}U_C &= I_{mi} \frac{d^2 \theta_{mi}}{dt^2} + K_o \frac{d \theta_{mi}}{dt} \\
T_i &= \frac{\tau_{bi}}{\tau_i} = \frac{n_i}{\tau_i}(K_{li}U_C - K_o \frac{d \theta_{mi}}{dt} - I_{mi} \frac{d^2 \theta_{mi}}{dt^2})
\end{align*}
\]
In which, \( T = [T_1, T_2, T_3, T_4]^T \); \( r_i \) is the radius of the windlass, (for \( i = 1, 2, 3, 4 \)). For more details on the specification of the drive transmission system, refer to (Zi et al., 2009).

The nominal model of CRCM including servomechanism dynamics is described by the following formulation

\[
\begin{align*}
K_{ui}U_C &= J_{mi} \frac{d^2 \theta_{mi}}{dt^2} + K_{mr} \frac{d \theta_{mr}}{dt} \\
\tau_{bi} &= n_i(K_{ui}U_C - K_{mr} \frac{d \theta_{mr}}{dt} - J_{mi} \frac{d^2 \theta_{mr}}{dt^2}) \\
D(q) \ddot{q} + C(q, \dot{q}) + \tau_d &= \tau_{bi}
\end{align*}
\]  

(12)

It is also well known that there is a dual relation between externally applied wrench on the end-effector and the cable tensions required to keep the system in equilibrium. The above dynamic model is valid only for \( T_i > 0 \), i.e., the cables are in tension. Clearly, the equation (12) is a non-homogeneous linear quaternary equations. The solution of the equations will be multiple. For the sake of this, the suitable solution is found through MATLAB software based on the pseudo-inverse method.

4. Adaptive fuzzy control

In general, a fuzzy logic system consists of four parts: the knowledge base, the fuzzifier, the fuzzy inference engine, and the defuzzifier. There are many different choices for the design of fuzzy system if the mapping is static. In this study, we consider a MIMO fuzzy logic system (Liu, 2008; Yoo & Ham, 2000). Supposing the fuzzy logic system performs a mapping from fuzzy sets in \( U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \) to fuzzy sets in \( V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m \), where \( U = U_1 \times \ldots \times U_n \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \), \( U_i \in \mathbb{R} \) (for \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \)), \( V = V_1 \times \ldots \times V_m \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m \), \( V_j \in \mathbb{R} \) (for \( j = 1, 2, \ldots, m \)). For a MIMO system, the fuzzy knowledge base consists of a collection of fuzzy IF–THEN rules in the following form

\[
R^{(l)} : \text{IF } x_i \text{ is } F_i^{(l)} \text{ and...and } x_n \text{ is } F_n^{(l)} \text{ THEN } y_1 \text{ is } C_1^{(l)} \text{ and...and } y_m \text{ is } C_m^{(l)}
\]

(13)

where \( x = [x_1, \ldots, x_n]^T \in U \) and \( y = [y_1, \ldots, y_m]^T \in V \) are the input and output vectors of the fuzzy system, respectively, \( F_i^{(l)} \) and \( C_i^{(l)} \) (for \( l = 1, 2, \ldots, M \)) are linguistic variables, and \( M \) is the number of fuzzy rules. Based on the fuzzy inference engine working on fuzzy rules, the defuzzifier maps fuzzy sets in \( U \) to a crisp point in \( V \).

The output of the fuzzy control system with singleton fuzzifier, product inference engine, center average defuzzifier is in the following form (Yoo & Ham, 2000)

\[
y_j = \frac{\sum_{l=1}^{M} y_j \left( \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mu_{F_i^{(l)}}(x_i) \right)}{\sum_{l=1}^{M} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mu_{F_i^{(l)}}(x_i) \right)}
\]

(14)
where \( y_j \in R \) (for \( j = 1, 2, \ldots, m \)) is a crisp value at which the membership function \( \mu_{C_j} \) for output fuzzy set reaches its maximum, and \( \mu_{C_j}(x_i) \) is the membership function of the linguistic variable \( x_i \), defined as

\[
\mu_{C_j}(x_i) = \exp\left[-\frac{(x_i - \bar{x}_j)^2}{\sigma^2}\right]
\]

(15)

where \( \bar{x}_j \) and \( \sigma \) are respectively, the mean and the deviation of the Gaussian membership function. The fuzzy control system inputs are composed of the five linguistic terms: NB (Negative Big), NO (Negative Medium), SS (Zero), PO (Positive Medium), and PB (Positive Big).

As the fixed nonlinear mapping in the hidden layer, \( \varepsilon(x) \) is defined as

\[
\varepsilon_i(x) = \prod_{j=1}^{n} \mu_{C_j}(x_i)
\]

\[
= \sum_{j=1}^{M} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{n} \mu_{C_j}(x_i) \right)
\]

(16)

In order to maintain the consistent performance of the fuzzy control system in situations where there is uncertainty variation, the fuzzy control system should be adaptive. Therefore, (14) can be rewritten as

\[
y_j = \theta_j^T \varepsilon(x)
\]

(17)

where \( \varepsilon(x) \) = \([\varepsilon_1(x), \ldots, \varepsilon_M(x)]^T \) \( \in R^M \) is the fuzzy antecedent function vector, and

\[
\theta_j = \left[ \bar{y}_j, \ldots, \bar{y}_j \right]^T \in R^M
\]

is the center of the fuzzy subset \( C_j \).

In the following analysis, it will be assumed that the dynamic model of the robot manipulator to be controlled is well known, and all the state variables can be measurable. The control system requirements for the CRCM are similar to those of almost all manipulators. In order to follow the desired continuously differentiable and uniformly bounded trajectory \( q_d \) and keep the tracking error \( e(t) = q - q_d \) approach zero, a sliding surface, \( s \), is defined in the stable state space (Liu, 2008). The most common sliding surface is chosen as follows

\[
s = \dot{e} + \lambda e
\]

(18)

where \( \lambda \) is a positive definite design parameter matrix.

Now introduce the variable \( q_r \), and define

\[
\dot{q}_r(t) = \dot{q}_d(t) - \lambda e(t)
\]

(19)
Then Eq. (18) can be rewritten as

\[ s = \dot{q} - \dot{q}_r \]  \hspace{1cm} (20)

Let us consider the Lyapunov function candidate

\[ V(t) = \frac{1}{2} \left( s^T D s + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{\Theta}_i^T \Gamma_i \dot{\hat{\Theta}}_i \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (21)

where \( \hat{\Theta}_i = \Theta_i^* - \Theta_i \) (for \( i = 1, 2, 3, 4 \)) is the parameter vector, \( \Theta_i^* \) is the ideal parameter, and \( \Gamma_i \) is a positive definite diagonal matrix.

To prove the negative definition of \( \dot{V}(t) \), the time derivative of (21) is given as follows

\[ \dot{V}(t) = -s^T (D\dot{q}_r + C + \tau_d - \tau) + \sum_{i=1}^{4} \hat{\Theta}_i^T \Gamma_i \dot{\hat{\Theta}}_i \]  \hspace{1cm} (22)

where \( \tau_d \) is nonlinear function. Since the disturbance is related to the position and velocity signal, \( \tau_d \) can be written in the form of \( F(q, \dot{q}) \). Hence, Eq. (22) can be rewritten as

\[ \dot{V}(t) = -s^T (D\dot{q}_r + C + F - \tau) + \sum_{i=1}^{4} \hat{\Theta}_i^T \Gamma_i \dot{\hat{\Theta}}_i \]  \hspace{1cm} (23)

It is considered that the fuzzy logic compensation control is to approach just for the external disturbance, and the fuzzy logic system \( F(\hat{q}, \hat{\dot{q}} | \hat{\Theta}) \) for the CRCM system is defined as

\[ \hat{F}(\hat{q}, \hat{\dot{q}} | \hat{\Theta}) = \Theta_i^T \varepsilon(q, \dot{q}) \]  \hspace{1cm} (24)

where \( \varepsilon(q, \dot{q}) \) is fuzzy basis function (for \( i = 1, 2, 3, 4 \)).

From the previous results, the control law is given as follows

\[ \tau = D(q)\dot{q} + C(q, \dot{q})\hat{F}(\hat{q}, \hat{\dot{q}} | \hat{\Theta}) - K_D s \]  \hspace{1cm} (25)

where \( K_D = \text{diag}(K_i) \), \( K_i > 0 \) (for \( i = 1, 2, 3, 4 \)), and \( \hat{F}(\hat{q}, \hat{\dot{q}} | \hat{\Theta}) \) can be written as

\[ \hat{F}(\hat{q}, \hat{\dot{q}} | \hat{\Theta}) = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{F}_1(q, \dot{q} | \hat{\Theta}) \\ \hat{F}_2(q, \dot{q} | \hat{\Theta}) \\ \hat{F}_3(q, \dot{q} | \hat{\Theta}) \\ \hat{F}_4(q, \dot{q} | \hat{\Theta}) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \Theta_1^T \varepsilon(q, \dot{q}) \\ \Theta_2^T \varepsilon(q, \dot{q}) \\ \Theta_3^T \varepsilon(q, \dot{q}) \\ \Theta_4^T \varepsilon(q, \dot{q}) \end{bmatrix} \]  \hspace{1cm} (26)
The fuzzy approximation error is defined as

\[ w = F(q, \dot{q}) - \hat{F}(q, \dot{q} | \hat{\Theta}) \]  

(27)

Substituting Eqs. (25)-(27) into Eq. (23), the following equation can be derived

\[ \dot{V}(t) = -s^T \left( D\dot{q} + C + F - r \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{4} \Theta_i^T \Gamma_i \dot{\Theta}_i \\
= -s^T \left( \Theta_i^T \epsilon(q, \dot{q}) + w + K_D \delta \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{4} \Theta_i^T \Gamma_i \dot{\Theta}_i \\
= -s^T K_D \delta - s^T w + \sum_{i=1}^{4} \Theta_i^T \Gamma_i \dot{\Theta}_i - s \Theta_i^T \epsilon(q, \dot{q}) \]  

(28)

Then, the adaptive law is defined as

\[ \dot{\Theta}_i = -\Gamma_i^{-1} s \epsilon(q, \dot{q}) \]  

(29)

Since the minimum approximation error, \( w \), can be sufficiently small through designing the fuzzy logic system with enough rules, and satisfying \[ \sum_{i=1}^{4} \Theta_i^T \Gamma_i \dot{\Theta}_i - s \Theta_i^T \epsilon(q, \dot{q}) = 0 \]. In addition, \( K_D > 0 \). Consequently, we get

\[ \dot{V}(t) = -s^T K_D \delta - s^T w < 0 \]  

(30)

Based on Lyapunov stability theory, and the result of Eq. (30), it is shown that the closed-loop system is asymptotically stable, and the scheduled control object can be realized.

5. Results and analysis

In order to justify the dynamic modeling the CRCM, we performed a series of simulations. This section presents two motion cases of the end-effector for dynamic simulation. A simulation for the dynamic model of the CRCM was carried out by Matlab 7.0 software. Some parameters of the CRCM are given as follows: the height of the pillar is 2 m, Pillars \( B_1 \sim B_4 \) are distributed evenly on the vertices of a square, with the side length of 2 m, and the quality of the end-effector is 5 kg. The acceleration of gravity \( g \) is 9.8 \( m/s^2 \).

The spatial circle trajectory can be expressed as

\[
\begin{align*}
    x &= 1 + 0.3 \times \cos(0.2\pi t) \\
    y &= 1.5 + 0.3 \times \sin(0.2\pi t) \\
    z &= 1
\end{align*}
\]  

(31)

And the spatial helical trajectory is as follows
Fig. 5 displays the workspace of the end-effector of the CRCM. The spatial helical following trajectory and the spatial circle following trajectory of the end-effector are shown in Fig. 6 and Fig. 9, respectively.

\[
\begin{align*}
    x &= 0.5 + 0.3 \cos(0.1 \pi t) \\
    y &= 0.5 + 0.3 \sin(0.1 \pi t) \\
    z &= 0.2 + 0.05 t
\end{align*}
\]  

Fig. 5, Fig. 6, Fig. 7, Fig. 8, Fig. 10 and Fig. 11 show the changes in length and the tension of the cables in the two different trajectories tracking, respectively. As can be seen in Figs. 6-11, the above
formulation tracks the planned trajectory relatively well. From the above simulation results, it can be concluded that the dynamic modeling is justified.

Fig. 7. Changes in length of cable for the helical motion.

Fig. 8. Changes in tension of cable for the helical motion.
Fig. 9. Following trajectory of the end-effector.

Fig. 10. Changes in tension of cable for the circle motion
In order to assess the performance of the adaptive fuzzy control system of the CRCM, simulations in spatial circle trajectory motion have been performed. The initial length configuration of the cables of the CRCM is given as \( q(0) = [1.32 \ 1.71 \ 2.22 \ 1.93]^T \), and the other consequent parameters are initialized to zero. The nonlinearity \( F(q, \dot{q}) \) is estimated by using five Gaussian fuzzy sets for \( q \) and \( \dot{q} \), which is constructed, as shown in Fig 12. The disturbance vector is \( \tau_d = [15 \sin(20t) \ 10 \sin(20t) \ 10 \sin(20t) \ 15 \sin(20t)]^T \). The design parameters of the controller are determined as \( \lambda = 10 \), \( \Gamma = 0.001 \), \( K_D = 250I \), and \( I \) is a \( 4 \times 4 \) matrix. The resulting fuzzy set must be converted to a signal that can be sent to the process as a control input. Based on S-Function, the Simulink model of the CRCM is shown in Fig 13.

Figs. 14 and 15 display the trajectory tracking of the end-effector of the CRCM, respectively. From Fig. 14, the above formulation tracks the planned trajectory relatively well. Figs. 16 and 17 illustrate the position trajectory and the position errors of the end-effector in \( x, y, z \) directions, respectively. The changes in length and the length trajectory tracking errors of the cables \( l_1, l_2, l_3, l_4 \) are shown in Fig. 18 and Fig. 19, respectively. In Figs. 16 and 18, the desired trajectory is indicated in a red solid line, and the actual output is in a blue dash line, and from Fig. 16 and Fig. 18, it can be seen that the actual and desired trajectories almost overlap each other.
Fig. 12. Membership function of input variables.

Fig. 13. Simulink model of the CRCM.
Fig. 14. Following trajectory of the end-effector.

Fig. 15. Following trajectory of the end-effector
Fig. 16. Position trajectory of the end-effector in x, y and z directions.

Fig. 20 displays the disturbance $\tau_d$ and its compensator, and the control input torques of the windlass are shown in Fig. 21. From the simulation results, it may be concluded that the adaptive fuzzy control strategy can achieve a favourable control performance and has high robustness.

Fig. 17. Position errors of the end-effector in x, y and z directions.
Fig. 18. Length tracking of the cables $l_1, l_2, l_3, l_4$

Fig. 19. Length tracking errors of the cables $l_1, l_2, l_3, l_4$
Fig. 20. The disturbance $\tau_d$ and its compensator.

Fig. 21. The control input torques of the windlass $\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3, \tau_4$

6. Conclusion

Cable parallel manipulators are a class of robotic mechanisms whose simplicity of design, light weight and ability to support large loads make them useful in many industrial and military settings. This chapter presented in detail a 3-DOF, 4-cable CRCM for its adaptive
fuzzy control system design and analysis. The mechanical system is designed, and the
dynamic formulation of the electromechanical coupling system for the CRCM is studied on
the basis of the Lagrange’s Equation and equivalent circuit of the servo mechanism, and the
inverse kinematic problem and inverse dynamics problem of the CRCM system is resolved
on condition that operation path of the end-effector has been planned. Computational
examples are provided to demonstrate the validity of the model developed. In addition,
according to the established dynamic equation of the CRCM, an adaptive fuzzy control
system is designed to track a given trajectory. Based on Lyapunov stability analysis, we
have proved that the end-effector motion tracking errors converge asymptotically to zero.
Simulation results are presented to show the satisfactory performance of the adaptive fuzzy
control system. This will make the CRCM used in the more precision production field such
as part assembly. Future work will be devoted to the experimental validation of the control
system.
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