

We are IntechOpen, the world's leading publisher of Open Access books Built by scientists, for scientists

5,300

Open access books available

130,000

International authors and editors

155M

Downloads

Our authors are among the

154

Countries delivered to

TOP 1%

most cited scientists

12.2%

Contributors from top 500 universities



WEB OF SCIENCE™

Selection of our books indexed in the Book Citation Index
in Web of Science™ Core Collection (BKCI)

Interested in publishing with us?
Contact book.department@intechopen.com

Numbers displayed above are based on latest data collected.
For more information visit www.intechopen.com



A Review of Hydrogen-Natural Gas Blend Fuels in Internal Combustion Engines

Antonio Mariani, Biagio Morrone and Andrea Unich
 Dept. of Aerospace and Mechanical Engineering - Seconda Università degli Studi di Napoli
 Italy

1. Introduction

In the last ten years, the number of natural gas (NG) vehicles worldwide has rapidly grown with the biggest contribution coming from the Asia-Pacific and Latin America regions (IANGV, 2011). As natural gas is the cleanest fossil fuel, the exhaust emissions from natural gas spark ignition vehicles are lower than those of gasoline-powered vehicles. Moreover, natural gas is less affected by price fluctuations and its reserves are more evenly widespread over the globe than oil. In order to increase the efficiency of natural gas engines and to stimulate hydrogen technology and market, hydrogen can be added to natural gas, obtaining Hydrogen - Natural Gas blends, usually named as HCNG.

This chapter gives an overview of the use of HCNG fuels in internal combustion engines. The chemical and physical properties of hydrogen and natural gas relevant for use in internal combustion engines are described. Then a survey on the impact of hydrogen on natural gas engine performance and emissions is presented with reference to research activities performed on this field.

2. Data reduction

In this section the main physical quantities used in this chapter are presented and discussed.

The stoichiometric air-fuel ratio on mass basis (AFR_{stoich}), defined in equation 1, is the mass of air needed to fully oxidize 1 kg of fuel, while AFR is the ratio between air and fuel mass flow rates, equation 2. The ratio between the actual AFR and the AFR_{stoich} , is the relative air-fuel ratio, equation 3. If $\lambda > 1$ the mixture is *lean* and the oxidation takes place with excess of air respect to the stoichiometric amount; for λ values lower than 1 the mixture is *rich*, and the fuel oxidation is not complete. The ratio $1/\lambda$ is defined as the equivalence ratio ϕ , equation 4.

$$AFR_{stoich} = \left(\frac{m_a}{m_f} \right)_{stoich} \quad (1)$$

$$AFR = \frac{m_a}{m_f} \quad (2)$$

$$\lambda = \frac{AFR}{AFR_{stoich}} \quad (3)$$

$$\phi = \frac{1}{\lambda} \quad (4)$$

Equation 5 defines the indicated mean effective pressure (imep), an engine parameter which evaluates the work obtained by an engine cycle, $\oint p dV$, divided by the engine displacement. The Coefficient of Variation of imep, COV_{imep} , is the ratio of the standard deviation of the indicated mean effective pressure and the average imep over a representative number of cycles, equation 6.


$$imep = \frac{1}{V_d} \oint p dV \quad (5)$$

$$COV_{imep} = \frac{\sigma_{imep}}{imep_{avg}} \quad (6)$$

In case the effect of mechanical efficiency has to be taken into account, the brake mean effective pressure (bmep) is considered. In 4-stroke engines, the bmep is calculated from the torque measured at the engine shaft, according to equation 7:

$$bmep = \frac{T \cdot 4\pi}{V_d} \quad (7)$$

The stoichiometric reaction equation of a methane-hydrogen blend reads as:



where $\alpha + \beta = 1$. The quantities α and β represent the mole per each species in the blend, and it is immediate to observe that the reduction of the C/H ratio, compared to pure methane, brings about a theoretical reduction of the CO_2 .

The burning velocity represents a main property for the combustion characteristics of the fuels and is defined as the velocity at which unburned gases move through the combustion wave in the direction normal to the wave surface (Glassman & Yetter, 2008). The laminar burning velocities can be obtained using the following equation 9 (Mandilas et al., 2007) being S_s the unstretched flame speed, ρ_b and ρ_u the burned and unburned gas densities. Equation 10 relates the unstretched flame speed, the stretched flame speed S_n , the stretch rate κ and the Markstein length L_b .

$$u_l = S_s \frac{\rho_b}{\rho_u} \quad (9)$$

$$S_s - S_n = \kappa L_b \quad (10)$$

The stretch rate κ is calculated from the position of the flame front, $R = R(t)$, with the following equation 11 (Chen, 2009):

$$\kappa = \frac{1}{R} \frac{dR}{dt} \quad (11)$$

The Markstein length characterizes the variation in the local flame speed due to the influence of external stretching and determines the flame instability with respect to preferential diffusion (Markstein, 1964).

3. Natural gas

The main natural gas constituent is methane and the composition is strictly dependent on the origin gas field. Table 1 shows the composition of a natural gas sample obtained by the Italian distribution network, determined by means of gas chromatographic analysis.

Natural gas has been widely investigated as fuel for road vehicles because of its lower impact on the environment than gasoline and more widespread resources.

Constituent	Composition [% vol.]
Methane	88.98
Ethane	6.85
Propane	1.27
Butane	0.24
Pentane	0.04
Hexane	0.003
Nitrogen	0.96
Carbon dioxide	1.61

Table 1. Example of natural gas composition.

Ristovski et al. (2004) performed an experimental activity on a passenger car converted to operate either on gasoline or on compressed natural gas (CNG). Fuelling the engine by CNG, both regulated (CO, NO_x and HC) and unregulated emissions (PAHs and formaldehyde) were lower than gasoline.

Prati, Mariani, Torbati, Unich, Costagliola & Morrone (2011) tested a bifuel passenger car fuelled alternatively by gasoline and natural gas on a chassis dynamometer over different driving cycles, in order to evaluate the effects of fuel properties on combustion, exhaust emissions and engine efficiency. The results showed that gasoline produced CO emissions higher than NG over the real world Artemis driving cycles, as a consequence of mixture enrichment during load transients. A detailed description of the driving cycles is reported in Barlow et al. (2009). Over the type approval New European Driving Cycle (NEDC), NG involved higher HC emissions compared to gasoline as a consequence of the higher light-off temperature for the catalytic oxidation of CH₄, which is the major constituent of HC when the vehicle is fuelled by NG, while there were no differences over the Artemis driving cycles which were performed after a warming up conditioning of the vehicle. NO_x emissions were higher for gasoline over all the test cycles. CO₂ emissions for CNG showed a reduction between 21% and 29% over the tested driving cycles as a consequence of the reduced carbon content of the fuel and the lower fuel consumption on mass basis. A 5% fuel consumption reduction, expressed in MJ/km, is observed over the NEDC for the CNG respect to gasoline, while for the Artemis the reduction ranges between 10% and 22%. The higher gasoline consumption is the consequence of the mixture enrichment during transients. Particulate emissions referred to gasoline were higher than NG ones over the NEDC and comparable over the Artemis. Particle number observed was also higher for gasoline, with the exception of the Artemis Motorway.




Fig. 1. Flame speeds of methane and iso-octane versus equivalence ratio ϕ (Mandilas et al., 2007).

One of the drawbacks of the NG fuel is the laminar burning velocity lower than gasoline, as shown in Figure 1 (Mandilas et al., 2007) requiring, as a consequence, a higher spark advance.

4. Hydrogen production and storage

4.1 Hydrogen production

The production of hydrogen is an important aspect since it is not present as a free chemical species in nature. Hydrogen can be produced in several ways, but reforming from fossil fuels or partial oxidation and electrolysis are the most employed from an industrial point of view.

The electrolysis consists in splitting the water molecule in hydrogen and oxygen as indicated in the next reaction equation:



If the energy for water electrolysis is provided by renewable energy sources, hydrogen production is an environmental friendly process, without green-house gas emissions. Two main types of industrial electrolysis units are used today, which differ in the type of electrolyte adopted. The first type of electrolyzers is characterised by an alkaline aqueous solution of 25 – 35% in weight of potassium hydroxide (KOH) to maximise the ionic conductivity, in which the hydroxide ions (OH^-) are the charge carriers (Ulleberg, 2003). In the second type

of electrolyzers the electrolyte is an ion conducting membrane that allows H^+ ions to be transported from the anode to the cathode side to recombine forming hydrogen. They are known as Proton Exchange Membrane (PEM) electrolyzers (Barbir, 2005). However, water electrolysis powered by renewable energy sources is not competitive considering the current energy costs but it may become more economical in the future (Bartels et al., 2010).

The nowadays most economical sources of hydrogen are coal and natural gas, with significant experience in the operation of these types of plants, which will continue to be built and operated. The fuel reforming is a process in which hydrocarbon fuels, such as natural gas, are converted into a hydrogen-rich reformat gas. A reformer accomplishes the task by thermo-chemically processing hydrocarbon feedstock in high temperature reactors with steam and/or oxygen. Effective reformers should efficiently produce pure hydrogen with low pollutants emission. The methane steam reforming global reaction is reported as an example in the following reaction 13.



The reformat gas is composed of 40% – 70% hydrogen by volume and carbon monoxide, carbon dioxide, water, nitrogen and traces of other compounds. The water-gas shift conversion removes CO and increases hydrogen content. Shift step takes place at high temperatures of about 350 – 480°C, followed by a low-temperature shift (180 – 250°C).

4.2 Hydrogen storage

Hydrogen has been recognized as an ideal energy carrier but it has not yet been widely employed in the transportation sector. The lack of an efficient storage prevents its application, in particular as fuel for transportation. Because of the low density of hydrogen at ambient conditions, it is a challenge to store enough energy on-board to allow for an acceptable vehicle range. The density can be increased by pressurizing or liquefying hydrogen. High-pressure gaseous hydrogen, up to 700 bars, is considered a potential safety hazard due to problems of material resistance. For vehicle application, cylinders are made of composite fibre due to weight considerations. Indeed, tanks add a relevant weight to the vehicle, much greater than the stored fuel, which is the 3% of the total weight (cylinder plus fuel) for a 700 bars approved system (Sørensen, 2005).

Liquid hydrogen storage requires refrigeration to a temperature of about 20 K, and the liquefaction process requires at least 15.1 MJ/kg. The on-board storage pressures for the liquid hydrogen are only slightly above the atmospheric, with typical values around 6 bars. The vessel for storing liquid hydrogen consists of several metal layers separated by highly insulating materials. The main drawback is the hydrogen boil-off from the storage caused by the need to control tank pressures by venting valves. Boil-off usually starts after a dormancy period and then proceeds at a level of 3% – 5% per day (Sørensen, 2005).

As an alternative, even more challenging options have been proposed and investigated. Most attention is paid to storage in solid materials and especially metal hydrides. Here, hydrogen gas is fed to a tank containing a metal powder and is absorbed as hydrogen atoms in the metals crystal lattice to form a metal hydride. In metal hydrides, hydrogen can be stored with energy densities up to 15000 MJ/m³, higher than that of liquid hydrogen, which is 8700

MJ/m³ (Sørensen, 2005). The main disadvantage, however, is the weight of the storage alloys. Furthermore refuelling times are affected by absorption rates.

Other storage options are under investigation but still at prototypal stage (Bakker, 2010).

5. HCNG blends

Table 2 compares the main physical properties for pure fuels, methane and hydrogen. In the same table, LHV represents the Lower Heating Value of the fuel, AFR is the air-to-fuel ratio and LHV_{stoich, mix} [MJ/Nm³] is the volumetric lower heating value for a stoichiometric air-fuel mixture.

	CH ₄	H ₂
Adiabatic flame temperature of stoichiometric mixtures [K]	2210	2400
Flammability limits in air at 25°C and 1 bar [% vol.]	5.0-15	4.0-75
Minimum ignition energy in air at $\phi = 1$ and 1 bar [mJ]	0.47	0.02
LHV [MJ/kg]	50.0	120.3
LHV _{vol} [MJ/Nm ³]	35.3	10.6
AFR _{stoich}	17.2	34.3
LHV _{stoich, mix} [MJ/Nm ³]	3.351	3.143

Table 2. CH₄ and H₂ properties (Glassman & Yetter, 2008).

Table 3 shows the main fuel characteristics of natural gas and hydrogen-natural gas blends with 10% (HCNG10), 20% (HCNG20) and 30% (HCNG30) of hydrogen in volume. The volumetric hydrogen content is calculated according to equation 14.

$$H_2[\%vol.] = \frac{V_{H_2}}{V_{NG} + V_{H_2}} \quad (14)$$

The volumetric Lower Heating Value is the fuel energy per unit volume, so it is a measure of the energy that can be stored in the fuel tank. It is 7% lower than NG for HCNG10, 14% for HCNG20 and 21% for HCNG30. LHV_{stoich, mix}, which is proportional to the engine power output, is negligibly affected by hydrogen addition.

	Natural Gas	HCNG10	HCNG20	HCNG30
H ₂ [% vol.]	-	10	20	30
H ₂ [% energy]	-	3.2	7.0	14.4
LHV [MJ/kg]	45.3	46.2	46.7	48.5
LHV _{vol} [MJ/Nm ³]	36.9	34.3	31.7	29.2
AFR _{stoich}	15.6	15.8	16.1	16.4
LHV _{stoich, mix} [MJ/Nm ³]	3.375	3.367	3.358	3.349

Table 3. NG and HCNG fuel properties.

5.1 Combustion characteristics

Since hydrogen laminar combustion speed is about eight times greater than methane, it provides a reduction of combustion duration when mixed with natural gas in small concentrations. Many studies have been carried out to measure the flame speed of hydrogen-methane air mixtures at different hydrogen concentrations and equivalence ratios. Ilbas et al. (2006) performed the measurements at ambient temperatures with hydrogen-methane blends up to 100% hydrogen.




Fig. 2. Flame speed of different fuels versus equivalence ratio ϕ (Ilbas et al., 2006).

Figure 2 shows the flame speed for methane and a 50% hydrogen-methane blend plotted versus the equivalence ratio. The maximum flame speed for the blend is 0.69 m/s while the maximum for methane is 0.39 m/s for an equivalence ratio $\phi = 1.1$. The flammable regions were also widened as the hydrogen content increased in the mixtures.

Figure 3, where the flame speed is plotted versus hydrogen content, shows the non-linear dependence of this property on hydrogen percentage.

Mandilas et al. (2007) performed experiments in a spherical stainless steel vessel at initial temperatures up to 600 K and initial pressures up to 1.5 MPa to study the effects of hydrogen addition on laminar and turbulent premixed methane-air flames. The burning velocity, u_l , was found using equation 9. Methane can be ignited for $0.6 \leq \phi \leq 1.3$, with the peak burning velocity occurring at $\phi = 1.0$. The addition of H₂ extends the ignition limits to the range $0.5 \leq \phi \leq 1.4$ and increases the values of u_l at lean equivalence ratios, while u_l does not increase for rich equivalence ratios. The authors also compared the turbulent velocity u_{tr} for methane and a blend with 30% of hydrogen. As in the laminar case, the addition of hydrogen




Fig. 3. Flame speeds versus hydrogen content in methane-hydrogen blends at $\phi = 1$ (Ilbas et al., 2006).

extends the ignition limits and higher u_{tr} values, in particular at lean air-fuel mixtures, are attained compared to methane.

A comparison of results obtained by several authors for the unstretched laminar burning velocity versus the equivalence ratio, for HCNG20, is shown in Figure 4 (Miao et al., 2009). It is observed in any case that the maximum flame speed is attained at $\phi \cong 1.1$ with values around 0.5 m/s.

5.2 The impact of HCNG blends on engine efficiency and exhaust emissions

The reduction of combustion duration promoted by hydrogen addition results in increased engine efficiency respect to natural gas and enhances combustion stability, reducing cycle-by-cycle variation. Nagalingam et al. (1983) proved that the high burning rate of HCNG blends requires an ignition timing lower than natural gas to obtain the Maximum Brake Torque (MBT).

The MBT spark advance versus the hydrogen content, shown in Figure 5 (Karim et al., 1996), is noticeably affected by hydrogen addition, in particular for very lean air-fuel mixtures. The plot shows that for blends containing significant amount of hydrogen, small adjustments to the ignition timing are needed when the equivalence ratio is changed.

The engine efficiency can be increased fuelling the engine by HCNG blends. Sierens & Rosseel (2000) developed a fuel system which supplies hydrogen-natural gas mixtures in variable proportion to the engine. For low brake mean effective pressures high efficiency can be achieved by increasing the hydrogen content reducing throttling losses. The authors




Fig. 4. Unstretched laminar burning velocity u_l versus the equivalence ratio ϕ for HCNG20 (Miao et al., 2009).

found that 10% hydrogen increases engine efficiency moderately whereas 20% hydrogen gives negligible extra benefit, as shown in Figure 6.

Recently, Ma et al. (2010) investigated the effect of high hydrogen volumetric content, up to 55%, on the performance of a turbocharged lean burn natural gas engine. The authors found that the addition of hydrogen significantly extends the lean limit, decreases burn duration and yields higher thermal efficiency. The plot of the engine efficiency versus λ , Figure 7, shows a negative trend in engine efficiency for natural gas for λ values greater than 1.3, while the blend with the higher hydrogen content shows positive trend up to $\lambda = 1.6$.

The increased hydrogen/carbon ratio and engine efficiency bring a reduction of CO₂ emissions. By the way, as a consequence of a faster combustion, higher temperature are attained in the combustion chamber, increasing NO_x emissions in HCNG fuelled engines compared to natural gas, for a given equivalence ratio ϕ . NO_x can be kept down and engine efficiency further improved if the engine is run with lean mixtures or adopting EGR at stoichiometric air-fuel ratio.

Sierens & Rosseel (2000) found the maximum NO_x emissions at a relative air-fuel ratio $\lambda = 1.1$. For higher λ values, the reduction in heat of combustion available for the charge mixture reduces the temperature and NO_x as a consequence, as shown in Figure 8. However, such conditions cause an increase in THC emissions, as shown in Figure 9.

Hoekstra et al. (1995) obtained very low NO_x emissions operating with HCNG blends close the lean limit, significantly extended compared with natural gas. Besides, the excellent anti




Fig. 5. Spark timing for maximum indicated power output versus hydrogen content (Karim et al., 1996).

knock qualities of natural gas are not undermined by the presence of relatively small amounts of hydrogen in the blend (Karim et al., 1996).

The effect of hydrogen on the lean limit, here defined as the λ value at which the COV_{imep} attains 10%, is shown in Figure 10 (Ma et al., 2010), with values of 1.2 for NG, 2.1 for HCNG30 and 2.5 for HCNG55.

The impact of hydrogen addition to natural gas on cycle-by-cycle variations have been investigated in many scientific activities and the results showed that the coefficient of variation in maximum pressure and in indicated mean effective pressure are reduced with increasing hydrogen content, both with lean air-to-fuel ratio as well described by Ma et al. (2008) in Figure 11 and Wang et al. (2008) and with large exhaust gas recirculation ratio values, Figure 12 (Huang et al., 2009).

Numerical simulations have also been used to predict performance and emissions of internal combustion engines fuelled by HCNG blends.

Figure 13 shows the predicted fuel consumption in terms of energy per kilometer [MJ/km] over the NEDC versus the hydrogen content (Mariani et al., 2011). Stoichiometric air-to-fuel ratio was considered for each fuel in order to assure an efficient exhaust after-treatment adopting a three-way catalyst. Exhaust gas recirculation was investigated (instead of ultra lean mixture) with the aim at improving engine efficiency and reducing NOx emissions respect to undiluted charge. In fact, HCNG blends combustion properties are particularly suitable for EGR, assuring a stable combustion even if the charge is diluted (Hu et al., 2009).




Fig. 6. Engine efficiency versus relative air-fuel ratio λ for different fuels (Sierens & Rosseel, 2000).

MBT ignition timing has been adopted for all fuels and operating conditions investigated. Fuel consumption is reduced as the hydrogen content increases due to the positive effect on average engine efficiency over the driving cycle, with values 2.5%, 4.7% and 5.7% lower than NG for HCNG10, 20 and 30 respectively. Fuel consumption is further reduced adopting 10% EGR for HCNG blends, with values 5.4%, 6.6% and 7.7% lower than NG for HCNG10, 20 and 30 respectively. NO_x emissions, expressed in g/km over the driving cycles, are reported in Figure 14. Adding hydrogen higher in-cylinder temperatures are attained as a consequence of a faster combustion, resulting in increased NO_x emissions with values 3.6%, 10.7% and 19.7% higher than NG for HCNG10, HCNG20 and HCNG30 respectively. The use of EGR results in lower NO_x emissions with respect to the case without EGR, with values about 85% lower than CNG for each HCNG fuel.

6. Real-life cases of HCNG use

HCNG blends can be distributed by the present natural gas refuelling stations, providing them with a mixing equipment in order to obtain blends with the selected hydrogen content. The system must operate to assure a high accuracy of hydrogen percentage because the fuel composition influences engine performances hence requiring customized engine calibration. In particular, the increased combustion velocity requires a reduction of the ignition advance as the hydrogen concentration increases to obtain the maximum engine torque. Furthermore, the fuel supply system should be calibrated to compensate the variation of fuel properties caused by hydrogen addition. In fact, present natural gas vehicles requires stoichiometric air-fuel ratio to obtain a high conversion efficiency of HC, CO and NO_x emissions in the three-way




Fig. 7. Engine efficiency versus relative air-fuel ratio λ for different fuels (Ma et al., 2010).




Fig. 8. NOx emissions versus relative air-fuel ratio λ (Sierens & Rosseel, 2000).




Fig. 9. Hydrocarbon emission versus relative air-fuel ratio λ (Sierens & Rosseel, 2000).




Fig. 10. Lean limit versus hydrogen content in the blend (Ma et al., 2010).




Fig. 11. COV_{imep} versus relative air-fuel ratio λ for NG and HCNG blends (Wang et al., 2008).




Fig. 12. COV_{imep} versus EGR for NG and HCNG blends (Huang et al., 2009).




Fig. 13. Predicted fuel consumption versus hydrogen content over the NEDC (Mariani et al., 2011).

catalytic converter. HCNG fuels can be used in lean burn engines or with high EGR rates at stoichiometric conditions, exploiting their excellent combustion properties, with positive impact on engine efficiency and low exhaust emissions.

Finally, the use of HCNG fuel can stimulate the development of the hydrogen technologies and market which are, nowadays, the main practical problems preventing it to be implemented.

Many research projects have been performed in the past and others are still going on to assess the potential benefits coming by using HCNG fuels in real-life applications. The U.S. Department of Energy Advanced Vehicle Testing Activity (AVTA) teamed with Electric Transportation Applications (ETA) and Arizona Public Service (APS) to develop a hydrogen pilot plant, where hydrogen is produced by means of PEM electrolyzer and is dispensed to vehicles that operate with different HCNG blends with hydrogen ranging from 0% to 100%. The project demonstrated the safety of operating vehicles on hydrogen and the reduction of exhaust emissions attainable with hydrogen and HCNG fuelled vehicles compared to gasoline (Francfort & Karner, 2006).

A hydrogen production plant with HCNG dispenser have been built in Malmö, Sweden, for project to improve engine efficiency and reduce emissions of a bus fleet (Ridell, 2006).

In Italy, public transportation companies of Regione Emilia Romagna and the ENEA research center are involved in experimental tests to evaluate fuel consumption and exhaust emissions of buses for urban transport service, Figure 15 (Genovese et al., 2011).




Fig. 14. Predicted NOx emissions versus hydrogen content over the NEDC (Mariani et al., 2011).



Fig. 15. Urban bus tested with HCNG blends (Genovese et al., 2011).

Regione Lombardia, Fiat Research Center, Sapio, CNR-Istituto Motori and Seconda Università degli studi di Napoli are involved in a project to test a passenger car fuelled by HCNG blends, varying the hydrogen content, in order to assess the impact of hydrogen addition to natural gas on combustion, exhaust emissions and fuel consumption, over different driving cycles, Figure 16 (Prati, Costagliola, Torbati, Unich, Mariani, Morrone & Gerini, 2011).

The authors of this review have designed and built an high accuracy mixing equipment to produce HCNG blends with imposed hydrogen content. The device is developed on the occasion of a project which involves the research group of the Seconda Università degli Studi di Napoli, the Neapolitan Transportation Company (CTP), NA-MET, the company managing the NG bus fleet and ECOS srl, an enterprise which develops CNG fuelling stations.



Fig. 16. Fiat Panda HCNG tested in the laboratory of Istituto Motori-CNR (Prati, Costagliola, Torbati, Unich, Mariani, Morrone & Gerini, 2011).

7. Conclusion

Natural gas is employed as fuel since it is the cleanest fossil fuel with exhaust emissions from natural gas vehicles lower than those of gasoline-powered vehicles. Some of its drawbacks can be mitigated by enriching it with hydrogen to produce the so called hydrogen-natural gas blends.

The laminar flame speed of methane is lower than the gasoline one and the addition of hydrogen, which presents a laminar flame speed about eight times that of methane, significantly improves this main combustion property.

In the past years, many authors have proved both experimentally and numerically that the HCNG blends improve engine efficiency and reduce CO₂ emissions because of the reduced C/H ratio and fuel consumption. NO_x emissions are, instead, larger than NG because of the higher in-cylinder temperature attained, for a given equivalence ratio. Anyway, the use of lean AFR or the EGR definitely reduces NO_x emissions and bring about an extra increase in engine efficiency. The good combustion patterns of HCNG blends help to keep low HC emissions.

8. Acknowledgements

This work has been supported by a PRIST 2008 grant by the Seconda Università degli studi di Napoli, together with a 2011 research grant funded by the Seconda Università degli studi di Napoli.

9. Nomenclature


AFR	Air-fuel ratio [$\text{kg}_{air}/\text{kg}_{fuel}$]
avg	Average
CA	Crank angle [$^{\circ}$]
COV	Coefficient of variation
BTDC	Before top dead center
EGR	Exhaust gas recirculation
HCNG	Hydrogen-natural gas blend
imep	Indicated mean effective pressure [Pa]
LHV	Lower heating value [MJ/kg or MJ/Nm ³]
MAP	Manifold absolute pressure
MBT	Maximum brake torque
NG	Natural gas
NEDC	New European driving cycle
NO _x	Nitrogen oxides
PAH	Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons
R	Flame front position [m]
rpm	Revolutions per minute
S	Flame speed [m/s]
t	Time [s]
T	Torque [N m]
THC	Total unburned hydrocarbon
u_l	Unstretched laminar burning velocity [m/s]
V	Volume [m ³]
WOT	Wide open throttle
Greek symbols	
α	Mole number of NG [mol]
β	Mole number of hydrogen [mol]
Δh_r	Enthalpy of reaction [kJ/mol]
κ	Stretch rate [1/s]
λ	Relative air-fuel ratio [-]
ϕ	Equivalence ratio [-]
σ	Standard deviation
Subscripts	
a	Air
b	Burned
d	Displacement
f	Fuel
l	Laminar
mix	Mixture
n	Stretched
s	Unstretched
stoich	Stoichiometric
tr	Turbulent
u	Unburned
vol	Volumetric

10. References

- Bakker, S. (2010). Hydrogen patent portfolios in the automotive industry - the search for promising storage methods, *Int. J. of Hydrogen Energy* 35: 6784–6793.
- Barbir, F. (2005). Pem electrolysis for production of hydrogen from renewable energy sources, *Solar Energy* 78: 661–669.
- Barlow, T., Latham, S., McCrae, I. & Boulter, P. (2009). *A reference book of driving cycles for use in the measurement of road vehicle emissions*.
URL: <http://www.dft.gov.uk/pgr/roads/environment/emissions/ppr-354.pdf>
- Bartels, J. R., Pate, M. B. & Olson, N. K. (2010). An economic survey of hydrogen production from conventional and alternative energy sources, *Int. J. of Hydrogen Energy* 35: 8371–8384.
- Chen, Z. (2009). Effects of hydrogen addition on the propagation of spherical methane/air flames: A computational study, *Int. Journal Hydrogen Energy* 34: 6558–6567.
- Francfort, J. & Karner, D. (2006). Hydrogen ice vehicle testing activities, *SAE paper* (2006-01-0433).
- Genovese, A., Contrisciani, N., Ortenzi, F. & Cazzola, V. (2011). On road experimental tests of hydrogen/natural gas blends on transit buses, *Int. J. of Hydrogen Energy* 36: 1775–1783.
- Glassman, I. & Yetter, R. A. (2008). *Combustion*, fourth edn, Academic press, San Diego.
- Hoekstra, R., Collier, K., Mulligan, N. & Chew, L. (1995). Experimental study of a clean burning vehicle fuel, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* 20: 737–745.
- Hu, E., Huang, Z., Liu, B., Zheng, J. & Gu, X. (2009). Experimental study on combustion characteristics of a spark-ignition engine fueled with natural gas hydrogen blends combining with egr, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* 34: 1035–1044.
- Huang, B., Hu, E., Huang, Z., Zheng, J., Liu, B. & Jiang, D. (2009). Cycle-by-cycle variations in a spark ignition engine fuelled with natural gas-hydrogen blends combined with egr, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* 34: 8405–8414.
- IANGV (2011). Natural gas vehicle statistics.
URL: www.iangv.org
- Ilbas, M., Crayford, A., Yilmaz, I., Bowen, P. & Syred, N. (2006). Laminar-burning velocities of hydrogen-air and hydrogen-methane-air mixtures: An experimental study, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* 31: 1768–1779.
- Karim, G. A., Wierzba, I. & Al-Alousi, Y. (1996). Methane-hydrogen mixtures as fuels, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* 21: 625–631.
- Ma, F., Wang, M., Jiang, L., Chen, R., Deng, J., Naeve, N. & Zhao, S. (2010). Performance and emission characteristics of a turbo charged cng engine fueled by hydrogen-enriched compressed natural gas with high hydrogen ratio, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* 35: 6438–6447.
- Ma, F., Wang, Y., Liu, H., Li, Y., Wang, J. & Ding, S. (2008). Effects of hydrogen addition on cycle-by-cycle variations in a lean burn natural gas spark-ignition engine, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* 33: 823–831.
- Mandilas, C., Ormsby, M., Sheppard, C. & Woolley, R. (2007). Effects of hydrogen addition on laminar and turbulent premixed methane and iso-octane air flames, *Proceedings of the Combustion Institute* 31: 1443–1450.

- Mariani, A., Morrone, B. & Unich, A. (2011). Numerical evaluation of internal combustion spark ignition engines performance fuelled with hydrogen - natural gas blends, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* p. doi:10.1016/j.ijhydene.2011.10.082.
- Markstein, G. (1964). *Nonsteady Flame Propagation*, Pergamon Press.
- Miao, H., Jiao, Q., Huang, Z. & Jiang, D. (2009). Measurement of laminar burning velocities and markstein lengths of diluted hydrogen-enriched natural gas, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* 34: 507–518.
- Nagalingam, B., Duebel, F. & Schmillen, K. (1983). Performance study using natural gas, hydrogen-supplemented natural gas and hydrogen in avl research engine, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* 8: 715–720.
- Prati, M. V., Costagliola, M. A., Torbati, R., Unich, A., Mariani, A., Morrone, B. & Gerini, A. (2011). Combustion analysis of a spark ignition engine fuelled with natural gas-hydrogen blends, *WHTC* .
- Prati, M. V., Mariani, A., Torbati, R., Unich, A., Costagliola, M. A. & Morrone, B. (2011). Emissions and combustion behavior of a bi-fuel gasoline and natural gas spark ignition engine, *SAE Int. Journal of Fuels and Lubricants* 4: 328–338.
- Ridell, B. (2006). Malmö hydrogen and cng/hydrogen filling station and hythane bus project, *WHEC* .
- Ristovski, Z., Morawska, L., Ayoko, G., Johnson, G., Gilbert, D. & Greenaway, C. (2004). Emissions from a vehicle fitted to operate on either petrol or compressed natural gas, *Science of the Total Environment* 323: 179–194.
- Sierens, R. & Rosseel, E. (2000). Variable composition hydrogen/natural gas mixtures for increased engine efficiency and decreased emissions, *Journal of Engineering for Gas Turbines and Power* 122: 135–140.
- Sørensen, B. (2005). *Hydrogen and Fuel Cells*.
- Ulleberg (2003). Modeling of advanced alkaline electrolyzers: a system simulation approach, *Int. J. of Hydrogen Energy* 28: 21–33.
- Wang, J., Chen, H., Liu, B. & Huang, Z. (2008). Study of cycle-by-cycle variations of a spark ignition engine fuelled with natural gas-hydrogen blends, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* 33: 4876–4883.

IntechOpen



Fossil Fuel and the Environment

Edited by Dr. Shahriar Khan

ISBN 978-953-51-0277-9

Hard cover, 304 pages

Publisher InTech

Published online 14, March, 2012

Published in print edition March, 2012

The world today is at crossroads in terms of energy, as fossil fuel continues to shape global geopolitics. Alternative energy has become rapidly feasible, with thousands of wind-turbines emerging in the landscapes of the US and Europe. Solar energy and bio-fuels have found similarly wide applications. This book is a compilation of 13 chapters. The topics move mostly seamlessly from fuel combustion and coexistence with renewable energy, to the environment, and finally to the economics of energy, and food security. The research and vision defines much of the range of our scientific knowledge on the subject and is a driving force for the future. Whether feasible or futuristic, this book is a great read for researchers, practitioners, or just about anyone with an enquiring mind on this subject.

How to reference

In order to correctly reference this scholarly work, feel free to copy and paste the following:

Antonio Mariani, Biagio Morrone and Andrea Unich (2012). A Review of Hydrogen-Natural Gas Blend Fuels in Internal Combustion Engines, *Fossil Fuel and the Environment*, Dr. Shahriar Khan (Ed.), ISBN: 978-953-51-0277-9, InTech, Available from: <http://www.intechopen.com/books/fossil-fuel-and-the-environment/a-review-of-use-of-hcng-fuels-in-internal-combustion-engines>

INTECH
open science | open minds

InTech Europe

University Campus STeP Ri
Slavka Krautzeka 83/A
51000 Rijeka, Croatia
Phone: +385 (51) 770 447
Fax: +385 (51) 686 166
www.intechopen.com

InTech China

Unit 405, Office Block, Hotel Equatorial Shanghai
No.65, Yan An Road (West), Shanghai, 200040, China
中国上海市延安西路65号上海国际贵都大饭店办公楼405单元
Phone: +86-21-62489820
Fax: +86-21-62489821

© 2012 The Author(s). Licensee IntechOpen. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

IntechOpen

IntechOpen