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1. Introduction

Nowadays stress is a normal part of everyday living and the physiological and behavioral consequences of exposure to stressful situations have been extensively studied for decades. The neuroendocrine stress response is a necessary mechanism but disrupts homeostatic process and it is subserved by a complex system located in both the central nervous system (CNS) and the periphery. Stressor-induced activation of the hypothalamus-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis and the sympathetic nervous system (SNS) results in a series of neural and endocrine adaptations known as the "stress response" or "stress cascade." The stress cascade is responsible for allowing the body to make the necessary physiological and metabolic changes required to cope with the demands of a homeostatic challenge. In recent years, evidence has suggested that stress responses are not only under control of the CNS but are influenced by peripheral tissue, outside of the classical HPA axis. Corticotrophin-releasing hormone (CRH) is a central component of the HPA axis and is an important coordinator of the systemic stress response with subsequent modulation of the inflammatory response. In peripheral sites, cutaneous CRH and CRH-receptor1 (CRH-R1) is believed to regulate various functions of the skin that are important for local homeostasis. Common inflammatory skin disorders such as atopic dermatitis and psoriasis exhibit decreased barrier function and recent studies suggest that the complex response of epidermal cells to barrier disruption may aggravate, maintain, or even initiate such conditions.

2. Overview of the stress system

2.1 Historical context

The concept of stress is as old as medical history itself, dating back at least to the time of Hippocrates who referred both to the suffering associated with disease (pathos) and to the toil (ponos) — the fight of the body to restore itself to normalcy (Hippocrates, 1923). In more recent history, both Walter Cannon (Cannon, 1939) and Claude Bernard (Bernard, 1949) described the ability of all organisms to maintain a constancy of their internal milieu or homeostasis. 70 years ago Hans Selye, the pioneer of contemporary stress research, first described the General Adaptation Syndrome (GAS) as a chronological development of the
response to stressors when their action is prolonged (Selye, 1936). Therefore as pointed out for the first time by Hans Selye in Nature in 1936, stress or ‘noxious agents’ initiate a reaction in the body, which he called the ‘general adaptation syndrome’ (GAS). Selye distinguished three stages that the body passes when responding to stress in the GAS: 1) the first stage is an ‘alarm reaction’, in which the body prepares itself for ‘fight or flight’; 2) the second stage of adaptation (provided the organism survives the first stage), is one in which a resistance to the stress is built; and 3) finally, if the duration of the stress is sufficiently long, the body enters a stage of exhaustion, a sort of aging, due to ‘wear and tear’.

2.2 Stress system & homeostasis

Life exists by maintaining a complex dynamic equilibrium or homeostasis that is constantly challenged by intrinsic or extrinsic adverse forces, the stressors (Chrousos et al., 1992). Stress has been defined in many ways. To the physicist, the term refers to a force, strain or pressure applied to a system. However, when the stress response is excessive or in inappropriate, it disrupts physiological homeostasis and body function and contributes to disease production (Burchfield, 1979). Although the stress response of the body is meant to maintain stability or homeostasis, long-term activation of the stress system can have a hazardous or even lethal effect on the body. For example it increases the risk of obesity, heart disease, depression, and a variety of other illnesses (Selye, 1998). According to Hans Sely, mental, psychologic or sociologic and metabolic stressors (Kvetnansky et al., 2009) tall the stable internal environment of the body, that may contribute directly to the production of disease or it can contribute to the development of certain behaviors that increases the risk of disease. The process that counteracts this disruption and maintains homeostasis is termed allostasis. Allostasis activates a wide range of both general and specific physiological systems and behavioral coping mechanisms. The amount of work carried out during allostasis is termed the allostatic load and represents the cost(s) to the animal of responding to the stimulus. Over the past decade, these terms have been introduced to human stress research to differentiate between adaptation, allostasis and the end result, homeostasis, with the aim of producing a measurement of allostatic load that can be used to compare the effects of a wide range of stimuli. Beyond the “flight-or-fight” response to acute stress, there are events in daily life that produce a type of chronic stress and lead over time to wear and tear on the body (“allostatic load”). Yet, hormones associated with stress protect the body in the short-run and promote adaptation (“allostasis”).

2.3 Stress system: Response & adaptation

2.3.1 Transient adaptation: Allostasis

Physiologic systems operate within a dynamic range of steady states and maintain internal balance, or homeostasis, in terms of blood pH and electrolyte concentration. When physical or psychologic stressors challenge the body, there is activation of sympathoadrenal and adrenocortical responses that promote adaptation and survival in the short term. This has been referred to as allostasis. For example, during exercise or emotional responses, there is transient activation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenocortical (HPA) and sympathoadernomedulary (SAM) systems, resulting in the elevation of blood pressure, heart rate, and circulating catecholamines and glucocorticoids. The patterns of autonomic, neuroendocrine, and behavioral responses vary with the type of stress, the different
perceptions of stress by the subject, the extent of control on the stressful stimulus, and the active or passive coping mechanisms in response stress (Benarroch 2006). Stressor-induced activation of the HPA axis and the SAM results in a series of neural and endocrine adaptations known as the "stress response" or "stress cascade." The stress cascade is responsible for allowing the body to make the necessary physiological and metabolic changes required to cope with the demands of a homeostatic challenge (Miller et al., 2002). The strongest stressors produce specific and nonspecific responses. The specific stress responses alter an individual to the presence of the stressors, which involve neuroendocrine responses such as increased autonomic nervous system activity (Tsigos et al., 2005) (Gold et al., 1998). When faced with excessive stress, whether physical or emotional, a subject's adaptive responses attain a relatively stereotypic nonspecific nature, referred to by Selye as “the general adaptation syndrome.” We now know that the adaptive responses have some specificity toward the stressor that generates them, which, however, is progressively lost as the severity of the stressor increases. The adaptive response of an individual to stress is determined by a multiplicity of genetic, environmental and developmental factors (Chrousos et al., 1992) and prenatal life, infancy, childhood and adolescence are critical periods characterized by increased vulnerability to stressors (Charmandari et al., 2005).

2.3.2 Regulation of the stress response

The orchestrated interplay of several neurotransmitter systems in the brain underlies the characteristic phenomenology of behavioral, endocrine, autonomic and immune responses to stress (Chrousos, 1998). Stress mediators such as adrenocorticotropic hormone, adrenaline and noradrenaline are subsequently released in specific patterns, reflecting the degree of HPA, adrenomedullary, and sympathetic nervous system activation (Goldstein et al., 2008). All stress responses are centrally integrated in the paraventricular nucleus (PVN) of the hypothalamus (Herman et al., 1997 and 2008) and the adrenal glands are their major peripheral effectors (Goldstein et al., 2008). Hypophysiotropic CRH neurons of the PVN are well known to serve as the origin of the final common pathway of glucocorticoid secretion. The powerful and far reaching action of these steroids (including effects upon metabolic, inflammatory, immune functions and on mood and behavior) has led to intensive investigation into regulatory mechanisms controlling glucocorticoid secretion (Cullinan et al., 2000). This hypothalamic neurohormone (CRH) plays a central role in the regulation of the HPA-axis, i.e., the final common pathway in the stress response. The activation of CRH neurons, increasing both adrenocorticotropic hormone (ACTH) biosynthesis and the best marker in ACTH which reaches a maximum in the first hour, which cortisol is highest during the second hour of stress (Dobson et al., 2000). ACTH may play a crucial, perhaps direct, role in the regulation of catecholamine biosynthetic enzymes in sympathetic nervous system, especially during stress. CRH-R1 is the most abundant subtype found in the anterior pituitary and is also widely distributed in the brain (Wong et al., 1994). Other possible factors that may regulate CRH1 receptor mRNA expression in the PVN of rats are catecholamine and glucocorticoids. Regarding catecholaminergic regulation, studies show that brainstem hemi section, which damaged the ascending noradrenergic bundle at least, attenuated the immobilization stress-induced increase in CRH1 receptor mRNA ipsilaterally in the PVN. This previous finding may reflect up-regulation of CRH1 receptor mRNA in the PVN by noradrenergic input from brainstem nuclei, such as the locus coerulus (LC), during stress (Fig.1)(Makino et al., 2002).
Fig. 1. Multiple feedback loops activating CRH systems during chronic stress. Stress initially activates the hypothalamic CRH system (i.e., CRH in the PVN), resulting in the hypersecretion of glucocorticoids from the adrenal gland. In addition, the psychological component of the stressor stimulates the amygdaloid CRH system (i.e., CRH in the central nucleus of the amygdala). Glucocorticoids exert GR-mediated negative feedback effects on the biosynthesis and release of CRH in the PVN and ACTH in the anterior pituitary (AP) directly or indirectly through the brainstem catecholaminergic nuclei such as the LC, resulting in the termination of stress-induced HPA axis activation. In the chronic phase of stress, down-regulation of GR in the PVN and other brain structures such as the LC fails to restrain hyperfunction of the HPA axis. Increased CRH in the PVN also induces a putative ultra short positive feedback effects on its own biosynthesis through up-regulation of PVN CRHr-1. The persistent activation of the HPA axis further up-regulates the amygdaloid CRH system involved in the expression of fear and anxiety, and the amygdala may have stimulatory effects on the HPA axis. Thus, the hypothalamic and the amygdaloid CRH systems cooperatively constitute stress-responsive, anxiety-producing neurocircuity during chronic stress (Makino et al., 2002).

3. Overview of the HPA axis

3.1 Historical context of HPAC

In 1936, Hans Selye reported a historic series of studies on severe stress in rats. Exposure to bacterial infection, toxic chemicals, and other life threatening insults consistently caused
adrenal gland enlargement with high levels of corticosterone secretion, atrophy of the immune organs, and gastric ulcers. All three components of this nonspecific stress response are caused by prolonged activation of corticosteroids in the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis (HPAC), resulting in secretion of stress levels of ACTH and glucocorticoids. In spite of these harmful effects, glucocorticoids in normal levels are necessary for sustaining life (Munck et al., 1984). Here we discuss the key elements of the HPA axis and the neuroendocrine response to systemic and local stress.

3.2 HPA axis-CRH (homeostatic balance)

CRH, synthesized in the PVN of the hypothalamus, represents the main driving force controlling HPA axis activation, the major hormone system responsible to maintain homeostatic balance in response to stressful stimuli (Tsitsos et al., 1994).

3.2.1 HPA axis & CRH: Response to systemic stress

The HPA axis originates from the CRH neurons in the parvocellular subdivision of the PVN of hypothalamus, while the sympathetic nervous system is under the regulation of brainstem locus coeruleus (LC), clustered with noradrenaline neurons. Morphological and immunocytochemical studies have demonstrated that reciprocal projections exist between PVN–CRH neurons and LC–NE neurons, forming a CRH–NE–CRH loop, which plays an important role in the stressful responses (Maier, 2003) (Pacak et al., 1998) (Pacak et al., 1995). Central CRH, via glucocorticoids and catecholamines, inhibits the inflammatory reaction, while directly secreted by peripheral nerves CRH stimulates local inflammation (immune CRH) (Tsitsos et al., 2002). The gene for CRH is expressed, not only in the brain, but also in extracranial tissues, (Orth, 1992) (Owens et al., 1991) including normal mammalian skin (Slominski et al., 1995) (Slominski et al., 1993) (Ermak et al., 1997) (Slominski et al., 1998). It has been proposed that an equivalent to the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis functions in mammalian skin, in response to local stress (aSlominski et al., 1996).

3.2.2 HPA axis & CRH: Response to local stress

It has been known for several years that the CRH/ POMC skin system fulfils analogous (pro-opiomelanocortin) functions to the HPA stress axis. CRH is the central trigger of HPA axis, and together with related peptides urocortin I-III that are the most important elements of the body response to stress. These elements regulate behavioral, autonomic, endocrine, reproductive, cardiovascular, gastro-intestinal, metabolic and immune systemic functions (Aguilera et al., 2001) (Grammatopoulos et al., 2002). Other actions of CRH include local immunomodulatory (predominantly proinflammatory) effects (Karalis et al., 1991) (Slominski et al., 2003), differing from a central immunosuppressive activity (through the HPA axis) (Chrousos 1995). Moreover, expression of CRH and regulated activity of CRH receptor type 1 (CRH1) can also play an important role in regulation of local stress response in peripheral tissues including skin, gastrointestinal tract or reproductive system. In humans, expression of at least eight variants of CRH1 mRNA (α, β, c, d, e, f, g and h) was detected and alternative splicing was found to be regulated by diverse physiological and
pathological factors including: growth conditions, onset of labor during pregnancy or exposure to ultraviolet irradiation (Michal et al., 2010). Of note, locally produced CRH can directly regulate steroid hormone production by adrenals and gonads. Furthermore, CRH in the immune cells can induce production and release of POMC derived ACTH and beta-endorphin peptides. In vertebrates these peptides interact with membrane-bound CRH-R1 and CRH-R2 (Grammatopoulos et al., 2002) (Hillhouse et al., 2002). Both receptor types belong to the group II subfamily of G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs). In human skin, CRH-R1 is the major receptor, expressed in both epidermal, dermal and subcutis with CRH-R1α being the most prevalent isoform. The CRH-R2 gene was expressed solely in hair follicle keratinocytes and papilla fibroblasts, whereas CRH-R2 antigen was localized predominantly in hair follicles, sebaceous and eccrine glands, muscle and blood vessels (Slominski et al., 2004). A hair follicle is a typical stress-responding mini organ with a peculiar immune system. The proximal epithelium of an anagen hair follicle is known to be an area of immune privilege within the hair follicle immune system, whose collapse may be crucial for the pathogenesis of alopecia areata (Christoph et al., 2000).

3.3 HPA axis–immune system interactions

It has been known for several decades that stress, whether inflammatory, traumatic or psychological, is associated with concurrent activation of the HPA axis. In the early 1990s, it also became apparent that cytokines and other humoral mediators of inflammation are potent activators of the central stress response, constituting the afferent limb of a feedback loop through which the immune/inflammatory system and the CNS communicate (Chrousos 1995). All three inflammatory cytokines, tumor necrosis factor-(TNF), interleukin-1β and interleukin-6 (IL-6) can cause stimulation of the HPA axis alone, or in synergy with each other (Chrousos, 1995) (Tsigos et al., 1997). There is evidence to suggest that IL-6, the main endocrine cytokine, plays the major role in the immune stimulation of the axis, especially in chronic inflammatory stress. Some of the activating effects of cytokines on the HPA axis may be exerted indirectly by stimulation of the central catecholaminergic pathways. Conversely, activation of the HPA axis has profound inhibitory effects on the inflammatory/immune response because virtually all the components of the immune response are inhibited by cortisol. Alterations of leukocyte traffic and function, decreases in production of cytokines and mediators of inflammation, and inhibition of the latter's effects on target tissues are among the main immuno-suppressive effects of glucocorticoids (Chrousos, 1995) (Elenkov, 1999).

3.4 HPA: The field of psychoneuroimmunology

Studies on stress-associated immune dysregulation have interested scientists and clinicians in the field of psychoneuroimmunology (PNI). This field focuses on the interactions among the central nervous system (CNS), the endocrine system and the immune system, and the impact these interactions have on health. Modulation of the immune response by the CNS is mediated by a complex network of signals that function in bi-directional communication among the nervous, endocrine and immune systems. HPA and SAM axes are the two major pathways through which immune function can be altered. The efferent sympathetic/adrenomedullary system apparently participates in a major fashion in the
interactions of the HPA axis and the immune/inflammatory reaction by being reciprocally connected with the CRH system, by receiving and transmitting humoral and nervous immune signals from the periphery, by densely innervating both primary and secondary lymphoid organs, and by reaching all sites of inflammation via the postganglionic sympathetic neurons. When activated during stress, the autonomic system exerts its own direct effects on immune organs, which can be immunosuppressive, or both immunopotentiating and antiinflammatory. CRH secreted by postganglionic sympathetic neurons at inflammatory sites has proinflammatory properties (immune CRH); one of its key actions is to degranulate mast cells (Elenkov, 1999).

4. Overview of skin

4.1 Skin (epidermal barrier homeostasis)

The epidermis and its array of appendages undergo ongoing renewal by a process called homeostasis. Stem cells in the epidermis have a crucial role in maintaining tissue homeostasis by providing new cells to replace those that are constantly lost during tissue turnover or following injury (Blanpain et al., 2009). A homeostatic process involved in the maintenance of an internal steady state within a defined tissue of an organism, including control of cellular proliferation and death (apoptosis) and control of metabolic function. Mammalian epidermis is a stratified epithelium that retains the ability to self renew under both homeostatic and injury conditions by maintaining a population of mitotically active cells in the hair follicles and innermost basal layer (Niemann et al., 2002) (Ito et al., 2005). The basic mechanisms and signalling pathways that orchestrate epithelial morphogenesis in the skin have been designed for protective effect of this organ. The stratum corneum is the outermost of the 5 layers of the epidermis and is largely responsible for the vital barrier function of the skin. The physical barrier localized primarily in the stratum corneum and consists of protein-enriched cells (corneocytes with cornified envelope and cytoskeletal elements, as well as corneodesmosomes) and lipid-enriched intercellular domains. The nucleated epidermis, with its tight, gap and adherens junctions, additional desmosomes and cytoskeletal elements, also contributes to the barrier. Lipids are synthesized in the keratinocytes during epidermal differentiation and are then extruded into the extracellular domains, where they form lipid-enriched extracellular layers (Jensen et al., 2009). Activation of HPA axis with release of stress neuropeptides is essential for biological homeostasis and responses to external and internal challenges (Lotti et al., 1999) (Slominski et al., 1996).

4.2 Skin – Neuroendocrine organ

More than ten years ago a comprehensive model of the skin acting as neuroendocrine organ has been proposed (Milstone et al., 1988) (Slominski et al., 2000). For example, the skin synthesizes vitamin D, which enters the circulation and, upon activation, exerts profound metabolic and endocrine effects (Kragballe et al., 1996). Although the concept is still evolving, it relies on the skin capacity to communicate with the central system and to regulate global homeostasis through local production and/or systemic release of classical hormones, neuropeptides, neurotransmitters and biological regulators (Slominski et al., 2000).
4.3 Skin – Local stress (neuroendocrine activity)

Skin as a neuroendocrine organ, is a relatively new addition to the field of cutaneous biology; it combines concepts from immunology, endocrinology, and neurobiology to unravel the multidirectional communications between brain, the endocrine and immune systems, and peripheral organs (Blalock, 1989) (Pennisi, 1997) (Turnbull et al., 1999). In this regard, the skin has a unique role because of its location, size, and relative functional diversity. Moreover, cutaneous signals sent to neuroendocrine centers may play modulatory roles, although peripheral intraorgan or inter systemic communications are also necessary to maintain global and local homeostasis. Thus precise stress-response coordination is an additional cutaneous function that appears to be served by locally expressed neuroendocrine activities (Slominski et al., 2000) (Slominski et al., 2001).

4.4 Skin – Stress neuropeptides

CRH/CRH-R1 system: Is it a cutaneous HPA system?

Slominski and colleagues have extensively documented the nature of peripheral CRH, its receptors and their distribution in human and murine skin. They confirmed that skin stress-response system was coordinated by a local cutaneous HPA axis-like system. They demonstrated that CRH, its receptors, the related neuropeptide urocortin and pro-opiomelanocortin-derived peptides are expressed locally in normal skin, normal cycling hair follicle epithelium, benign and malignant melanocytic lesions and non-melanoma skin cancer (Slominski et al., 2004). Corresponding functional receptors (CRH-R) in the same cells confirm paracrine or autocrine modes of action. In human skin, CRH-R1 mediates most phenotypic effects of CRH (Slominski et al., 2001) while the main adnexal location of CRH-R2 indicates a role for this receptor in hair cycling (Kauser et al., 2006). Then a localized circuit regulates the peripheral functions of cutaneous CRH/CRH-R1, and the aberrant expression of CRH/CRH-R1 in the skin disturbs the local homeostasis and leads to abnormal differentiation and proliferation in keratinocytes. Because of the aberrant terminal differentiation of keratinocytes, psoriatic plaques have scale on the surface, which breaks in the protective barrier (Bowcock et al., 2005). However, dysfunction of keratinocytes may decrease CRH/CRH-R1 expression because of disharmony in differentiation and proliferation of keratinocytes. Zhou et al., in 2009 found a significant detuning CRH/CRH-R1 system in psoriatic lesions, which suggests that an aberrant cutaneous HPA system might take part in the pathogenesis of psoriasis, especially the formation of plaque. Thus, they hypothesize that a cutaneous CRH/CRH-R1 system might be aberrant in lesions of psoriasis. The detuning of CRH/CRH-R1 regulation might contribute to the formation of plaque in psoriasis (zhou et al., 2009) (Slominski et al., 2005) (Fig. 2).

POMC is a prohormone that produces various bioactive peptides via a series of enzymatic steps in a tissue-specific manner, including ACTH, α-melanocyte stimulating hormone (α-MSH), and β-endorphin. POMC is expressed not only in the pituitary gland, but also in a variety of non-pituitary organs, including the skin (Millington 2006). The production of POMC peptides in keratinocytes and melanocytes was found to be under regulatory control (Schauer et al., 1994) being stimulated by UVB, selected cytokines, and by disease processes (Slominski et al., 1996c, 1998, 1993a, 1993b) (Chakraborty et al., 1996) (Winzen et al. 1996) (Wakamatsu et al., 1997).
Fig. 2. The skin SNS are mediated via production of CRH and POMC peptides, and modulated by the local skin immune system (SIS). Signals originating in the latter and represented by proinflammatory cytokines perhaps stimulate production of CRH and POMC peptides. In turn, the signals generated by the interaction of CRH, ACTH, MSH, and β-endorphin, with their corresponding receptors, counteract the effect of local stress (Slominski et al., 2006).

4.5 Skin – The field of psychoneuroimmunology

Studies have shown that stress diminishes vaccine responses, exacerbates viral and bacterial pathogenesis, slows wound healing and alters autoimmune diseases (McCabe et al., 2000) (Padgett et al., 1998) (Teunis et al., 2002) (Dowdell et al., 1999). Because lymphocytes, monocytes or macrophages and granulocytes, exhibit receptors for many neuroendocrine products of the HPA and SAM axes, such as cortisol and catecholamines, which can cause changes in cellular trafficking, proliferation, cytokine secretion, antibody production and cytolytic activity. These studies have demonstrated that stress hormones inhibit the trafficking of neutrophils, macrophages, antigen-presenting cells, natural killer (NK) cells and T and B lymphocytes, suppress the production of proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines, downregulate the production of cytokines necessary for the generation of...
adaptive immune responses and impair effector functions of macrophages, NK cells and lymphocytes. For example, treatment of peripheral blood leukocytes (PBLs) with catecholamines in vitro results in the suppression of interleukin-12 (IL-12) synthesis and an increase in IL-10 production (Elenkove et al., 1996). Data from both human and animal studies show that the connections between the neuroendocrine system and immune system provide a finely tuned regulatory system required for health. However, the immune cells and cytokines influencing keratinocyte function play a major role in the development and pathogenesis of psoriasis.

5. Overview of psoriasis

5.1 Psoriasis – Genetic

It is generally accepted that the genetic background for psoriasis susceptibility is pivotal for the appearance of the symptoms. Intensive family studies since the early 1950s and linkage analysis studies pointed out several genetic loci that play a role in psoriasis (Bhalerao et al., 1998). In the last decade, a molecular biology approach emerged to identify abnormally expressed genes and proteins contributing to psoriasis (Jackson et al., 1999) (Chen et al., 2000). Two major genes under investigation are IL12B on chromosome 5q, which expresses interleukin-12B; and IL23R on chromosome 1p, which expresses the interleukin-23 receptor, and is involved in T cell differentiation. T cells are involved in the inflammatory process that leads to psoriasis. These genes are on the pathway that ends up upregulating tumor necrosis factor-α and nuclear factor κB, two genes that are involved in inflammation (Nestle et al., 2009). Genome-wide association studies have also identified several new genomic loci, and compelling evidence has shown an interaction between the HLA-C and ERAP 1 loci, implicating pathways that integrate epidermal barrier dysfunction with innate and adaptive immune dysregulation in psoriasis (Strange et al., 2010).

5.2 Psoriasis – Keratinocytes

Psoriasis is a chronic inflammatory disease characterized by epidermal keratinocytic hyperproliferation and abnormal differentiation (Abdou et al., 2008). The upper most layer of skin, the epidermis, consists primarily of keratinocytes (>90% of all epidermal cells) (Sun et al., 1979). The keratin intermediate filament network is responsible for the extremely high keratinocyte stiffness and resilience. This could manifest into the rugged protective nature of the human epidermis (Lulevich et al., 2010). Therefore, keratinocytes form an effective barrier to the entry of protein antigens, chemical irritants, and infectious agents in to the body (Fuchs 1995), all while resisting environment stress, external pressure, and sheer force. The trigger of the keratinocyte response is thought to be activation of the cellular immune system, with T cells, dendritic cells and various immune-related cytokines and chemokines implicated in pathogenesis (Lowes et al., 2007).

5.2.1 Keratinocytes – Dendritic & T cells

Researchers have identified many of the immune cells involved in psoriasis, and the chemical signals they send to each other to coordinate inflammation. The immune system consists of an innate immune system, and an adaptive immune system. In the innate system, immune cells have receptors that have evolved to target specific proteins and other antigens
which are commonly found on pathogens. In the adaptive immune system, immune cells respond to proteins and other antigens that they may never have seen before, which are presented to them by other cells. The immune cells, such as dendritic cells (Dendritic cells are present in tissues in contact with the external environment, such as the skin: Once activated, they migrate to the lymph nodes where they interact with T cells and B cells to initiate and shape the adaptive immune response) and T cells, move from the dermis to the epidermis, secreting chemical signals, such as tumor necrosis factor-α, interleukin-1β, and interleukin-6, which cause inflammation, and interleukin18, 22 which causes keratinocytes to proliferate (Banchereau et al., 1998) (Nestle et al., 2009). Recent studies indicate that various cytokines play an essential role in the induction and maintenance of psoriatic lesion.

5.2.2 Keratinocytes – Cytokines

Various inflammatory cytokines and growth factors have been shown to be strongly induced in keratinocytes in psoriatic lesion. Although it is thought that the induction of cytokine production is the consequence of the activation of infiltrating immune cells rather than a triggering factor for the inflammatory process (Lowes et al., 2007). Three types of cytokines elaborated by keratinocytes are of particular interest in this context: growth factors for keratinocytes, endothelial cells and neutrophil-attracting chemokines. Several growth factors are able to induce keratinocyte proliferation and have been found to be highly expressed in lesional psoriatic epidermis. Transforming growth factor α (Elder et al., 1989) (Addison et al., 2010) and amphiregulin-epidermal growth factor (Cook et al., 1992) have been shown to induce epidermal proliferation and reproduce some aspects of the psoriatic phenotype when expressed in epidermal keratinocytes in transgenic animals (Cook et al., 1999) (Vassar et al., 1991). The epidermal growth factor (EGF) receptor ligand amphiregulin (AREG) has been implicated as an important autocrine growth factor in several epithelial malignancies and in psoriasis, a hyperproliferative skin disorder. In vitro, in vivo and clinical studies are well established the role of growth factors and neuropeptides in cutaneous innervation and there is substantial evidence that sensory neuropeptides contribute to the development of psoriasis (Saraceno et al., 2006).

5.2.3 Keratinocytes & peripheral CRH/CRH-R1

CRH is a central component of the local HPA axis, which has a functional equivalent in the skin. The ability of CRH to activate mast cells may explain its proinflammatory actions and the pathophysiology of certain skin conditions, which are precipitated or exacerbated by stress, such as atopic dermatitis, eczema, psoriasis, and urticaria (Theoharides et al., 1998). Mast cells are derived from stem cells in the bone marrow and migrate into tissues where they are prominently located just below the dermal–epidermal junction; they mature, depending on the tissue, under the influence of stem cell factor (SCF), interleukin 3 (IL-3), IL-4 and IL-9 (Wedemeyer et al., 2000). Mast cell infiltration and/or proliferation in the skin can be triggered by SCF released from fibroblasts and other immune cells, nerve growth factor (NGF) released from nerve endings, or RANTES (regulated on activation, normal T cells, expressed and secreted) (Conti et al., 1998) . Mast cells can also secrete SCF (de Paulis et al., 1999) and NGF (Xiang et al., 2000), thus affecting their own growth and activation (Gagari et al., 1997). The cytokines expressed by mast cells are primarily pro-inflammatory or are necessary for innate immunity [e.g. IL-1, IL-6, IL-8 and
tumor necrosis factor \( \alpha \) (TNF-\( \alpha \)) (Wedemeyer et al., 2000). Human mast cells were recently shown to be particularly rich in both CRH and the structurally related peptide urocortin (Ucn) (Kempuraj et al., 2004) and express multiple CRH receptor isoforms which suggests autocrine actions of CRH (Cao et al., 2003).

### 5.2.4 Keratinocytes – CRH & Mast cells

Skin and hypothalamic mast cells appear to have important physiological functions as sensors of stressful events with bidirectional regulation of the HPA axis; a local increase of the levels of CRH or Ucn in extracranial tissues under stress could adversely affect different disease states (Theoharides et al., 1998). Hypothalamic mast cells are located close to nerve endings that contain CRH and can be activated by acute stress (Rozniecki et al., 1999). Acute stress can trigger mast cell degranulation (Singh et al., 1999) and increased the number of skin mast cells and also can worsened delayed hypersensitivity, effects blocked by pretreatment with a CRH receptor antagonist (Kaneko et al., 2003). Neuropeptides can also activate mast cells in a receptor-independent manner by activating G proteins directly. Regardless of the mechanism of activation, mast cell-derived vasoactive, pro-inflammatory and neurosensitizing molecules could act on keratinocytes, endothelial cells or nerve endings to liberate additional molecules and lead to chronic inflammation and neuropathic hypersensitivity or pain. The Kempuraj et al., findings indicate that mast cells are not only the target, but also a potential source of CRH and Ucn that could have both autocrine and paracrine functions, especially in allergic inflammatory disorders (Kempuraj et al., 2004), atopic dermatitis and psoriasis exacerbated by stress (Theoharides et al., 2004).

### 5.2.5 Keratinocytes – CRH & Stress

The study of Mitsuma et al., in 2001 showed that CRH induces the proliferation of keratinocytes via interaction with CRH receptors (Mitsuma et al, 2001) and it may indicate the possible correlation of the proliferation of keratinocytes and the degree of stress. Therefore, activation of the stress system, via the direct and indirect effects of CRH, might affect the susceptibility of an individual to certain autoimmune, allergic, infectious, inflammatory or neoplastic diseases (Arbiser et al, 1999). The biological effects of CRH have been shown to include the inhibition of keratinocyte proliferation and regulation of adhesion molecules and cytokines (Slominski et al, 2000)(Pisarchik et al., 2001)(Quevedo et al, 2001)(Zbytek et al, 2002). Dysregulation of the HPA and SAM systems has been proposed as one possible underlying cause of stress-induced flares of psoriasis (Heller et al., 2011).

### 5.3 Psoriasis & stress

Generally, in normal individuals, stress elevates stress hormones (i.e., increases cortisol levels). However, according to available studies, exposure to stress in psoriatic patients has been associated with diminished HPA responses and upregulated sympathetic adrenomedullary (SAM) responses (Richards et al., 2005). Evers et al., found psoriasis patients had significantly lower cortisol levels at moments when daily stressors are at peak levels. The study also reported that psoriasis patients with overall high levels of daily stressors exhibited lower mean cortisol levels, as compared to psoriatrics with overall low levels of daily stressors (Evers et al., 2010) (Zangeneh et al., 2008). These blunted HPA...
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axis and elevated SAM system responses to stress may be crucial in better understanding the inflammatory characteristics of psoriasis, particularly in stress-responders. For instance, decreased secretion of cortisol and increased levels of epinephrine (Zangeneh et al., 2008) and norepinephrine may stimulate the release of mast cells, affect skin barrier function, and upregulate proinflammatory cytokines, which could thereby maintain or exacerbate psoriasis severity (Evers et al., 2010). Some authors have commented that this decreased cortisol response may be similar to how psoriasis flares with steroid withdrawal, as evidenced by the well known phenomena of steroid-induced psoriasis rebound (Richards et al., 2005).

5.3.1 Psoriasis & steroidogenic capabilities of keratinocytes

Glucocorticoids are essential for maintaining barrier competency, as exemplified in GR−/− mouse, where loss of GR function led to incomplete epidermal stratification, hyperproliferation and abnormal differentiation (Bayo et al., 2008). In addition, the cortisol analogue dexamethasone has been shown to acutely influence expression of genes regulating cell proliferation, differentiation, apoptosis and inflammation in primary human keratinocytes (PHK) (Elias 2005) (Stojadinovic et al., 2007). Accordingly, cortisol (hydrocortisone) is regarded as the most potent therapy for many inflammatory skin conditions including psoriasis and atopic dermatitis. Keratinocytes contain an abundance of cholesterol, the precursor to all steroids, as they are capable of synthesizing cholesterol de novo (Menon et al., 1985). Additionally, the cholesterol transporter, steroidogenic acute regulatory (StAR) protein has been identified in human epidermis by immunofluorescence histochemistry (Slominski, et al., 2004) (Tuckey 2005). Evers's study in 2010 is the first longitudinal study of patients with psoriasis to show a relationship between cortisol levels and daily stressors, these results suggest that patients who continuously experience higher levels of daily stressors are characterized by persistently lower cortisol levels and might thus be more vulnerable to the effects of stress on their disease (Everse et al., 2010). Hannen et al., in 2011 demonstrated that primary human Keratinocytes (PHK) express all the elements required for cortisol steroidogenesis and metabolite pregnenolone through each intermediate steroid to cortisol. They showed that normal epidermis and cultured PHK express each of the enzymes (CYP11A1, CYP17A1, 3giatanol, CYP21 and CYP11B1) that are required for cortisol synthesis. Collectively these data show that PHK are capable of extra-adrenal cortisol synthesis, which could be a fundamental pathway in skin biology with implications in psoriasis and atopic dermatitis (Hannen et al., 2011).

5.3.2 Psoriasis & stress axis

HPA axis is a critical adaptive system that maximizes survival potential in the face of physical or psychological challenge. The principal end products of the HPA axis, glucocorticoid hormones, act on multiple organ systems, including the brain, to maintain homeostatic balance. The brain is a target of stress, and the hippocampus is the first brain region, besides the hypothalamus, to be recognized as a target of glucocorticoids (Zangeneh et al., 2009). There is increasing evidence that the experience of stressful events is associated with the course of chronic inflammatory skin diseases. Buske-Kirschbaum et al., reported attenuated responsiveness of the HPA axis and further, an increased reactivity of the SAM system to stress in patients suffering from atopic dermatitis (AD) (Buske-Kirschbaum et al.,
Psoriasis (Buske-Kirschbaum et al., 2010). It has been indicated that the redistribution of leukocytes in response to acute stress is mediated by the SAM, since adrenalectomy or blockade of β-adrenergic receptors has been found to mitigate this effect (Dhabhar et al., 1995) (Engler et al., 2004). It is widely accepted that the SAM system represents a major immunoregulatory system that controls various aspects of immunity (Sanders et al., 2002).

5.3.3 Psoriasis & SAM system: Aspect of psychoneuroimmunology

It has been suggested that a dysfunctional sympathoadernomedulatory (SAM) system may increase the risk of an aberrant immune response, especially under stressful conditions when the system is activated. In fact, altered leukocyte distribution to acute stress, for example, increased numbers of NK cells, monocytes, CD4+ and CD8+ cells have been reported in psoriasis patients (Schmid-Ott et al., 2001). Under non-pathological conditions, this process may optimize immunoprotection in the case of wounding or infection. However, in the psoriatic patient, leukocyte trafficking to the (chronically inflamed) skin has been found to be a major step in the development of psoriatic eruption (Mehlis et al., 2003). Thus, the finding of a stress-induced increase of leukocyte trafficking with a potentially increased influx of leukocytes into the skin could be of clinical significance, and could at least partly explain the often observed stress-induced exacerbation of psoriatic lesions. However, there is growing evidence that T cell mediated autoimmune processes and action of proinflammatory cytokines cause hyperproliferation of keratinocytes and assume the psoriatic phenotype (Krueger et al., 2005). When exposed to psychosocial stress, psoriasis patients showed increased monocyte and (activated) T cell number when compared to healthy controls. Further, a shift towards a TH1-derived cytokine profile could be identified. These findings suggest that in psoriasis patient's stress may change immune functions towards a pathological relevant immune profile which could explain the often observed aggravation of psoriatic plaques in psoriasis patients under stressful conditions. Just as in many dermatologic conditions, psoriasis appears to worsen with stress in a significant segment of patients. For example, more than half of patients with psoriasis retrospectively report having experienced stressful life events before an exacerbation of the disease (Gupta et al., 1989) (Fortune et al., 1998). Studies report that the proportion of psoriasis patients who are “stress responders” ranges from 37% to 78% (Picardi et al., 2001).

5.3.4 Psoriasis & “stress responders”

Does stress cause or exacerbate psoriasis?

The answer is both, because the stress response disrupts physiological homeostasis and body function and contributes to disease production (Burchfield, 1979). This disruption of physiological homeostasis in the skin barrier is the trigger and stressors may contribute directly to the production of psoriasis disease or it contributes to the development of stress behavior, which increases the risk of disease. Stress has been indicated as a trigger in many dermatologic conditions and with each of these conditions, one encounters both patients who experience a close chronologic association between stress and exacerbation of their skin disease, and patients for whom their emotional states seem to be unrelated to the natural course of their cutaneous disorder. These two groups are considered “stress responders”
and “non-stress responders,” respectively (Koo 1995). Psoriasis itself can serve as a stressor for patients. Psoriasis can be a disfiguring skin disease causing social stigma. Accordingly, patients often suffer significant interpersonal and psychological distress. Patients commonly experience difficulties in social interactions, especially in meeting new individuals and forming romantic relationships. In general, most patients demonstrate adverse psychological consequences, including poor self-esteem, anxiety, depression, and for some, even develop suicidal ideation (Russo et al 2004). As psoriasis can cause considerable stress for patients and increased levels of stress are likely to exacerbate psoriasis, the disease process, thus, becomes a self-perpetuating, vicious cycle (Kimball et al., 2005). Therefore, treatment considerations for psoriasis stress responders should integrate methods of psychotherapy and pharmacotherapy that can decrease stress.

6. References

Blalock JE. Molecular basis for bidirectional communication between the immune and the neuroendocrine systems. Physiol Rev. 1989; 69:1–32.
Buske-Kirschbaum A, Ebrecht M, Hellhammer DH. Blunted HPA axis responsiveness to stress in atopic patients is associated with the acuity and severity of allergic inflammation Brain, Behavior, and Immunity 2010; 24: 1347-1353.


We hope you enjoy and find the information provided in this book useful in your research or practice. We urge that you continue to keep abreast of the new developments in psoriasis and share your knowledge so that we may advance treatment and cures of psoriasis.

How to reference
In order to correctly reference this scholarly work, feel free to copy and paste the following:
