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1. Introduction

Intravascular Ultrasound (IVUS) is an invasive grey scale tomographic imaging modality providing cross-sectional images of the vessel wall. The reflected or scattered ultrasound signal received at the transducer is converted to a voltage. This voltage is known as radiofrequency data or backscattered signal. The time delay and amplitude of these emitting pulses provides 256 such backscattered signals or A-scans to produce one image. For IVUS imaging, high ultrasound frequencies typically centred between 25-50 MHz are used. The size of conventional IVUS catheter is 2.9 and 3.5 Fr and has a typical pullback speed of 0.5 mm/s and a frame rate of 30 images per second. At 30 MHz the wavelength is 50 μm, which yields a spatial resolution of >150μm allowing detail evaluation of the blood vessel wall.

IVUS imaging is complementary to coronary angiography and allows the simultaneous assessment of lumen and components of the vessel wall. In the IVUS image the catheter is in the centre of the image surrounded by vessel lumen, the three layers of the vessel and surrounding structures (Figure 1). It can assess plaque geometry including plaque burden and size, luminal area, longitudinal extent of the disease, circumferential extent of the

Fig. 1. IVUS of normal coronary artery
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plaque, arterial remodelling and plaque vulnerability. Therefore IVUS provides detailed insight to the anatomy of plaque burden and allows the interventional cardiologist to adapt an optimal strategy for percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) and subsequently assess the success of this strategy. In this chapter we will describe the potential applications of IVUS for every day use in the catheterization laboratory.

2. Clinical applications of IVUS

2.1 Assessment of vessel size for stent selection and ischemia evaluation

Standard coronary angiography is intrinsically limited to evaluate three-dimensional anatomical coronary cross sectional area due to planar silhouette imaging. In addition, the plaque burden, its delineation and constituents cannot be assessed by coronary angiography. With angiography, the severity of stenosis is assessed by minimal lumen diameter at the lesion site, in comparison with an adjacent normal appearing reference. However, it is well documented that atherosclerosis is diffuse in nature and may appear normal in a small calibre coronary artery with concentric plaque (Grondin, Dyrda et al. 1974; Roberts and Jones 1979). IVUS provides a complete 360 degrees tomographic view that allows accurate lumen measurements. In fact direct comparison of atherosclerotic disease by angiography and IVUS are frequently discrepant often as a result of eccentric plaque. (Figure 2) IVUS studies have clearly demonstrated that there is no correlation between the size of the atheroma and the size of the lumen (Topol and Nissen 1995). This difference can be explained by positive (expansive) remodelling where lumen size is maintained due to plaque accumulation within the vessel wall and resulting vessel wall expansion. It is claimed that these positive plaques are more unstable and vulnerable to rupture than negative (constrictive) plaques and also responsible for in-stent restenosis (ISR) following coronary intervention (Schoenhagen, Ziada et al. 2000).

![Fig. 2. IVUS images of A) concentric plaque and B) eccentric plaque](image-url)
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In addition to accurate stent sizing, IVUS can be used to evaluate lesion lumen area to predict the presence or absence of myocardial ischemia and/or significant coronary stenosis. There was strong correlation between lesion lumen area of < 4.0 mm² on IVUS and positive stress myocardial perfusion SPECT in seventy native coronary lesions (Nishioka, Amanullah et al. 1999). In another study, IVUS guided deferral of coronary intervention of 248 lesions with luminal area of >4 mm² resulted in clinical rates of 4.4% and target lesion revascularisation (TLR) of 2.8% at 12 month follow up. (Abizaid, Mintz et al. 1998).

2.2 Assessment of restenosis

Following coronary intervention, the formation of neointimal hyperplasia is mainly responsible for ISR (Figure 3). There is strong correlation with between late lumen loss and the degree of in-stent neointimal growth (r=0.98) (de Jaegere, Mudra et al. 1998; Hoffmann, Mintz et al. 1998). Although drug eluting stents (DES) has significantly reduced the incidence of neointimal proliferation, the rate of ISR still remains around 10-15%.

![Fig. 3. IVUS image of in-stent restenosis](image-url)

One of the main factors for ISR is stent underexpansion (Figure 4). IVUS can detect vessel stent subtleties not apparent by coronary angiography. In addition to assessing plaque geometry, IVUS can be used to achieve complete stent apposition and adequate geometric expansion within the stented segment. IVUS studies have demonstrated that incomplete stent and vessel wall apposition, residual stenosis and irregular eccentric lumen in the stented segment was present in almost 88% of the patients despite achieving an optimal angiographic result (Nakamura, Colombo et al. 1994). Clinical trials have shown that patients who have their coronary intervention guided by IVUS have larger post procedure stent areas and significant reductions in TLR as compared to angiography-guided PCI alone (de Jaegere, Mudra et al. 1998; Schiele, Meneveau et al. 1998; Fitzgerald, Oshima et al. 2000; Sonoda, Morino et al. 2004; Hong, Mintz et al. 2006) In addition to detecting stent...
underexpansion, IVUS can also assist in achieving optimal stent expansion, exclude stent edge dissections and plaque protrusion (Hong, Jeong et al. 2008).

2.3 Guidance for left main stem intervention
Coronary interventions of unprotected left main coronary artery (UPLM) with bare metal stents in the 1990s were associated with high rates of revascularization (25% to 30%) due to restenosis (Black, Cortina et al. 2001; Park, Hong et al. 2001; Takagi, Stankovic et al. 2002). Although the use of DES for UPLM PCI have significantly reduced the rate of revascularization as compared with bare metal stents (BMS), the long term outcomes remain less favourable. Most of the poor outcomes following UPLM PCI relates to distal bifurcation intervention, which is a technically complex procedure. The current American College of Cardiology (ACC)/American Heart association (AHA) practice guidelines for PCI categorize UPLM stenting as a class IIb indication or IIa indication in selected patients without co-existing multivessel disease. At present there is a paucity of randomized control trial data comparing Coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG) to PCI in patients with UPLM. The best available evidence comes from the SYNTAX trial (SYNergy between Percutaneous Coronary Intervention with TAXus and Cardiac Surgery), which randomly assigned 1800 patients with either UPLM (n=705) or multivessel CAD not involving the left main stem (n=1095) (Ong, Serruys et al. 2006). The major adverse cardiac or cerebrovascular events were not significantly different between the CABG and PCI groups (13.7 vs 15.8%). However, the rate of revascularization was significantly higher in those treated with PCI (11.8 vs 6.5 %). One of the main limitations of the SYNTAX trial was felt to be the lack of use of IVUS for UPLM in the PCI group.
While the European Society of Cardiology guidelines give IVUS-guided stenting of the UPLM a Class IIb recommendation we feel IVUS interrogation of the UPLM pre and post intervention should be used in all cases. Not least because IVUS interrogation has been demonstrated to, frequently prove angiographic assessment of the UPLM as inaccurate. This obviously may have significant impact on patient management resulting in either erroneous
treatment of a lesion which may appear severe angiographically but in fact has minimal plaque burden or failure to treat lesions which are mis-labelled as mild-to-moderate (Mintz and Maehara 2009).

Moreover, IVUS is an ideal method for confirming the presence of significant left main disease and also for guiding selection of stent size, assessing the presence and extent of calcification and especially evaluating the distal left main vessel and its branches. IVUS assessment pre and post left main intervention is very important to evaluate larger lumen area of the ostial and midshaft left main and adequate post dilatation post stenting. In comparison to native coronary vessels, a minimal luminal area of <6.0 mm² is a commonly used threshold for significant left main disease (Sano, Mintz et al. 2007). While there are no randomized trials to inform practice in this area, registry data has shown a trend toward reduced mortality in IVUS guided UPLM PCI.(Park, Kim et al. 2009)

2.4 Guidance for Chronic Total Occlusion (CTO) intervention

CTOs are the most complex lesions that are considered for percutaneous coronary revascularization. PCI of CTO results in symptomatic improvement, improved left ventricle function and reduction in adverse remodelling. In addition recanalization of CTO leads to long-term survival benefit and avoidance of bypass surgery (Melchior, Doriot et al. 1987; Ivanhoe, Weintraub et al. 1992; Chung, Nakamura et al. 2003; Cheng, Selvanyagam et al. 2008). The number of CTO interventions has risen gradually due to better operator experience, technical improvements, and newer procedural techniques (these include contra-lateral coronary injection, “parallel” wire techniques, subintimal tracking and re-entry (STAR), retrograde approach with control antegrade retrograde tracking (CART), reverse CART). Despite these advances, the success rate of CTO interventions remains low (<60%) (Di Mario, Werner et al. 2007), largely due to difficulty crossing the occlusion with the guidewire and entering the true distal lumen beyond the occlusion (Safian, McCabe et al. 1988; Kinoshita, Katoh et al. 1995). In most instances of failed CTO intervention, the guidewire enters the false lumen (subintimal space) at the site of occlusion, often making it impossible to re-enter the true lumen (Figure 5). CTO interventions can be performed via an antegrade approach or retrograde approach using septal collaterals (Surmely, Tsuchikane et al. 2006).

IVUS studies provide insights into the anatomy of the CTO lesion. In one report IVUS demonstrated presence of calcium mostly across the side branch take-off, especially in abrupt-origin CTOs (Fujii, Mintz et al. 2006). This anatomical variance can explain the preferential entry of the guidewire into the side branch at the point of occlusion. The use of IVUS for CTO interventions can be extremely useful especially in ensuring that the guidewire is positioned within the coronary lumen (true or false), and also helps to identify the optimal entry point within the CTO cap (Ochiai, Ogata et al. 2006). IVUS can help to avoid subintimal stenting during CTO intervention as this has been reported to result in stent thrombosis and stent mal-apposition due to the formation of multiple aneurysms (Erlich, Strauss et al. 2006; Tsujita, Maehara et al. 2009). IVUS imaging for CTO can also detect vessel wall haematoma, dissection and small perforations that are not detected by routine coronary angiography. Further advances in IVUS technology especially the development of ‘forward facing IVUS’ will significantly improve our anatomical understanding of the chronically occluded lumen. Although IVUS guided intervention of chronic occlusions may enhance procedural outcome, the current use of this technology for CTO intervention is limited only to experienced operators.
2.5 Guidance for bifurcation lesion intervention
PCI of bifurcation lesions have been associated with lower procedural success rate and worse clinical outcome than when used to treated non-bifurcation lesions. This is largely related to the complexity of the bifurcation lesions, significant anatomical variation of the bifurcation lesion, and lack of standard guidelines for the treatment of bifurcation lesions. The current therapeutic strategies for bifurcation lesions have mainly stemmed from personal clinical experience of the operators. (Suzuki, Angiolillo et al. 2007). In recent years there has been better understanding of bifurcation lesions especially with use of DES, acceptance of provisional stenting (acceptance of a suboptimal result in a small side branch SB), specific treatment of bifurcation lesions with a two-stent strategy and increasing use of final kissing balloon. The use of IVUS for bifurcation lesions can provide valuable information especially in anatomical evaluation of plaque burden, plaque location, angle assessment, lumen size of main branch [MB] and [SB]. In addition post PCI, IVUS can assist in evaluation of plaque shift, change in carina angle, dissection, and above all optimal stent deployment (Costa, Mintz et al. 2005). We feel that IVUS guided optimization of the bifurcation lesion post intervention, especially the ostium of a large side branch will enhance long term outcome of these technically challenging subset of lesions.

2.6 Vulnerable plaque assessment
The composition of atherosclerotic plaque is heterogeneous by nature and contains 1) fibrocellular components (extracellular matrix and smooth muscle cells), 2) lipid-cellular components (crystalline cholesterol and cholesterol esters mixed with macrophages), 3) thrombotic components (platelets and fibrin) and 4) calcium (Figure 6) (Fuster, Badimon et al. 1992; Fuster, Badimon et al. 1992; Stary 2000; Virmani, Kolodgie et al. 2000). Vulnerable plaques that result in rupture have been now well described as thin cap fibroatheroma (TCFA).
Ultrasound provides some information about the morphological features of atherosclerotic plaque. The American College of Cardiology Clinical Expert Consensus Document for IVUS imaging has described that a vulnerable plaque can appear as an ‘echolucent’ plaque (Mintz, Nissen et al. 2001). Frequently these echolucuent plaques have a prominent echogenic border at the lumen-intima interface. This may correspond to the TCFA. However, it should be emphasized that the fibrous cap of TCFA is <65 μm and therefore could not be detected using this modality. Imaging IVUS provides limited insight into the chemical composition of the plaque and is dependent on simple interpretation of acoustic reflections. Lipid-laden echolucent plaques can be detected with a sensitivity of between 78% and 95% and specificity of 30% (Mallery, Tobis et al. 1990; Potkin, Bartorelli et al. 1990). Furthermore, reduced echogenicity may also be observed in large necrotic zones, intramural hemorrhage or a thrombus.

Echodense plaques have an intermediate echogenicity between echolucent and highly echogenic calcified plaques. The echodensity of plaques correlate well with plaque fibrosis in histological studies. The identification of echolucent plaques is subjective and no prospective clinical trials are available. In an IVUS based study, echolucent plaque was identified in 31 patients from a total of 144 patients. Of these 31 patients, 23 patients (74%) presented with unstable angina. Plaque rupture was confirmed by injecting contrast with subsequent filling of the plaque cavity on IVUS (Ge, Haude et al. 1995). In another study, IVUS images of 114 coronary lesions with <50% stenosis were recorded and followed up for two years (Yamagishi, Terashima et al. 2000). The patients who developed Acute coronary syndrome during the follow up were identified and events correlated with large echolucent zones in the eccentric plaques at the time of their first IVUS study. These plaques had histological features similar to vulnerable plaques and were associated with increased risk of instability. In addition, IVUS can also identify vulnerable plaques at high risk of rupture in vessels with adaptive remodelling (von Birgelen, Klinkhart et al. 2001).

IVUS has excellent blood penetration and in the absence of calcium is able to visualize and calculate plaque area, volume and eccentricity. The use of IVUS to identify TCFA and lipid pool is at present limited. It suffers from signal attenuation and geometric effects that result in different backscatter signal properties from similar tissues due to differences in tissue
orientation and position relative to the imaging transducer. Ultra-high frequency catheters can be employed to achieve high resolution and visualize subluminal fibrous cap, while low-resolution components can be used for better penetration of the plaque to assess plaque components.

The conventional IVUS image undergoes considerable processing such as envelope detection, time-gain compensation, and logarithmic compression to create real-time imaging. However, this processing significantly reduces the ability to characterize the imaged tissue, the echogenicity of the imaged tissue is difficult to repeat and interpret quantitatively. The reflected unprocessed ultrasound signal in the form of A-scan or individual backscattered signals (256 A-scans for an image) is called the radiofrequency data and it is the analysis of these individual A-scans that holds potential for tissue characterization. This imaging modality is known as IVUS virtual histology (IVUS-VH) or IVUS radiofrequency (IVUS-RF).

*Ex-vivo* studies have demonstrated that raw backscattered ultrasound signal allows a more detailed analysis of the vessel components with scope to identify different tissue morphology (Moore, Spencer et al. 1998). IVUS-VH uses spectral analysis of radiofrequency data to construct tissue maps that classify plaque into four major components (fibrous [labeled green], fibrolipidic [labeled greenish-yellow], necrotic core [labeled red] and calcium [labeled white]) which were correlated with a specific spectrum of the radiofrequency signal and assigned color codes (Nair, Kuban et al. 2001). In a clinical study, IVUS-VH was used to investigate the presence of IVUS-derived thin cap fibroatheroma (IDTCA) in non-culprit, non-obstructive (<50%) lesions in 55 patients who presented with acute coronary syndrome (Rodriguez-Granillo, Garcia-García et al. 2005). The axial resolution of the IVUS-VH is between 100 to 150 μm and therefore in this study the authors assumed that the absence of visible atheroma tissue overlying a necrotic core would suggest a cap thickness of below 100 to 150 μm and used the absence of such tissue to define a thin fibrous cap. In this study, IDTCA was defined and identified as a lesion with a necrotic core ≥10% without evident overlying fibrous tissue and percent atheroma volume (PAV) ≥40%. In this study significantly higher prevalence of IDTCA was observed in patients with Acute coronary syndrome in comparison with controls [(3.0 IQR 0.0 to 5.0 vs. 1.0 IQR 1.0 to 2.8) p=0.018]. The large multicenter PROSPECT trial (700 patients with Acute coronary syndrome) looked at long-term outcomes of non-culprit lesions (based on IVUS-VH) at the time of Percutaneous coronary intervention of the culprit lesions. The investigators reported that only 11 percent of the patients had high event rate (i.e. 17%) in association with thin-capped fibroatheromas with minimal luminal area (MLA) ≤4 mm² and plaque burden ≥70%. Although high-risk focal sites can be detected with IVUS-VH, the predictive power (significantly higher numbers of vulnerable plaques than clinical events) of vulnerable plaque to cause a clinical event remains low.

IVUS-RF data acquisition and real-time processing with three-dimensional imaging and spectral analysis is a potential tool to assess vulnerable plaque in *vivo*. This imaging tool provides detailed volumetric assessment of the histological components of the plaque in *vivo* and therefore may represent a unique technique to identify the vulnerable plaque in future.

3. Conclusion

Over the past decade there has been a significant technological advance in cardiovascular imaging that has changed the way we assess coronary atherosclerosis and approach
coronary intervention. IVUS is a validated clinical tool that allows precise evaluation of angiographically stenotic lesions helping guide the cardiologist’s approach to revascularisation and assess complications and sub-optimal results post-procedure. In addition it provides important information regarding non-critical but vulnerable plaque which is not appreciable by traditional coronary angiography. In an era of more complex and ambitious coronary intervention IVUS is a vitally important addition to the interventionalist’s armamentarium. In this chapter we have briefly described the various potential uses of IVUS and their clinical application.
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Intravascular ultrasound (IVUS) is a cardiovascular imaging technology using a specially designed catheter with a miniaturized ultrasound probe for the assessment of vascular anatomy with detailed visualization of arterial layers. Over the past two decades, this technology has developed into an indispensable tool for research and clinical practice in cardiovascular medicine, offering the opportunity to gather diagnostic information about the process of atherosclerosis in vivo, and to directly observe the effects of various interventions on the plaque and arterial wall. This book aims to give a comprehensive overview of this rapidly evolving technique from basic principles and instrumentation to research and clinical applications with future perspectives.

How to reference
In order to correctly reference this scholarly work, feel free to copy and paste the following:
