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1. Introduction

Developmental disorder of the hip (DDH) is the most frequent disease of this joint. It is manifested by dysplasia, subluxation or luxation in childhood period and arthrosis in adults. Early degenerative changes of the hip occur at the location of disordered anatomy and biomechanics already in youth, while in the childhood age they are mostly asymptomatic (Ando & Gotoh, 1990). DDH mostly occurs in females, even 4-10 times more often than in males. Also, the disease is unevenly expanded, both ethnically and geographically, but also according to different habits in regard to the nursing care of neonates and infants. For example, the disease does not develop among the Bantu colored population, while in Canadian Indians it is very frequent rating even 12.3%, which is related to their habit of nursing babies in narrow wooden cradles. In those Indians who do not practice it the frequency is considerably lower (1.2%) (Vukasinovic et al., 1994, 2004).

In our regions data on the frequency of DDH vary between 0.5-34.8%, depending on the regional customs in nursing children, but also on the method applied in the diagnostics of the disease; clinically it is the lowest, radiographically higher, and ultrasonographically the highest (Klisic et al., 1984). Former classical term of the disease was “congenital dislocation of the hip”, which, over the years became unsustainable, since it has been disclosed that the disease is not congenital, but that it often develops after birth under the influence of environmental factors. In addition, it does not always involve a total dislocation, but only disturbed interrelationship among the joint surfaces of the hip. Although the former term can be still found in the literature, today the term “developmental disorder of the hip” is accepted worldwide (Klisic, 1989).

2. Etiopathogenesis and pathoanatomy of DDH

To understand the problem faced by the arthroplastic surgeon in treating a high luxation of the hip in adults, it is necessary to be acquainted with etiopathogenesis of the disease, because it is in a direct association with its pathoanatomic substrate.

After numerous years of research, attitudes of the leading world experts on this disease have been mostly brought in accordance (Ando & Gotoh 1990; Cherney & Westin 1989; Klisic et al. 1984, 1989; Vukasinovic & Bascarevic, 2004).
There is the predominating opinion that two groups of etiological factors contribute to the development of DDH; endogenous and exogenous (mechanical). (Vukasinovic & Djoric, 1994; Vukasinovic & Bascarevic, 2004).

Endogenous factors involve acetabular dysplasia, increased anteversion of the femoral neck and head, as well as joint laxity. Beside primary acetabular dysplasia, which is one of the causes of the disease, the so called secondary acetabular dysplasia is also mentioned, which develops due to hip dislocation itself. Although a lax joint capsule can be generalized, as for example when associated with Ehlers-Danlos syndrome, in DDH it is mostly only elongated in the superior-posterior portion, thus secondary, caused by luxation instead of being its major cause. (Vukasinovic & Djoric, 1994; Vukasinovic & Bascarevic, 2004).

Exogenous (mechanical) factors involve the basis of the so called mechanical theory which has been obtaining a rising number of supporters as it can explain the frequency of luxations in first pregnancies, pelvic positions, Cesarean section, high birth weight, oligohydramnion and fetopelvic disproportion. In addition, hip luxation due to DDH is often associated with other deformities of the feet, knees, neck (torticollis) and other. (Vukasinovic & Djoric, 1994; Vukasinovic & Bascarevic, 2004).

Exogenous (mechanical) factors can affect the fetus intrauterine, during delivery and postnatally. The intrauterine factors mostly involve three luxating fetal positions, while the postnatal ones above all refer to traditional baby diapering with bent knees and extended legs. Not only can such a position induce a spontaneous reduction of unstable joint, but it can also provoke the development of luxation. The mechanical factors occurring during birth can be discarded today, because even in newborns the hips are so stable that rough manual manipulation will sooner cause femoral head epyphysiolysis or diaphyseal fracture than hip luxation. It is considered that action of two factors is necessary for DDH to develop; a specific position of the femur involving the head which is not orientated toward the base of the acetabulum and expulsion force pushing the baby’s head out of the acetabulum. (Vukasinovic & Djoric, 1994; Vukasinovic & Bascarevic, 2004).

In short, DDH pathogenesis features the following: a predisposing base representing unstable genetic factors, above all acetabular dysplasia and capsular laxity, while the determinant mechanical factors exert pressure on the great trochanter in one of the luxating fetal positions. This can explain the growing incidence of bilateral luxations in pelvic births; forces act on both great trochanters, i.e. isolated left-sided luxations in normal birth (baby head down presentation with left turned back); the maternal promontorium exerting pressure on the great trochanter. (Vukasinovic & Djoric, 1994; Vukasinovic & Bascarevic, 2004).

Pathological changes involve all structures of the joint, while the pathoanatomical substrate differs depending on the degree of disorder. The acetabulum changes in depth during physiological development becoming the shallowest in the perinatal period. Spherical formation (joint congruency) is caused by the presence and pressure of the femoral head. In DDH unfavorable intra-articular relationships lead to acetabular anterior and superior deficiency, as well as its anteversion. Consequently, the acetabulum becomes ovoid or even triangle-like insufficiently covering the femoral head. It is mostly dilated, but can be also completely undeveloped, narrowed due to the lack of
developmental stimulation caused by the absent head in the joint. (Vukasinovic & Djoric, 1994; Bascarevic & Vukasinovic, 2004).

The *limbus* gradually flattens and thickens first in the superoposterior portion. Gradually, a groove is formed enabling the head to slide out of the joint. It is partially grown together with the capsule and is lacking in some areas. In subluxations it is everted, while in full luxations it is inverted and interpolated between the head and the acetabulum. (Vukasinovic & Djoric, 1994; Bascarevic & Vukasinovic, 2004).

*The ligamentum teres* differs depending on the severity of disorder ranging from normal and occasionally hypoplastic, elongated and hypertrophic, up to fully atrophic in luxations.

*The femoral head* can be differently formed, from normal - spherical to flattened and deformed with limbal impression. It is most often decreased with highly expressed fovea. (Vukasinovic & Djoric, 1994; Bascarevic & Vukasinovic, 2004).

*The femoral neck* is usually shortened with increased anteversion. However, it can even be normal, but also retroverted. The collo-diaphyseal angle is usually normal, but can be also decreased or conversely increased.

*The capsule of the joint* is thickened and mostly lax, but can be also tightened. With the progression of luxation, it becomes lengthened and narrowed in the empty space between the head and the acetabulum resuming the form of a sand-clock. It grows adhering to the bones that form the acetabulum, thus impeding reduction. (Vukasinovic & Djoric, 1994; Bascarevic & Vukasinovic, 2004).

*The ligamentum transversum* is most frequently strong and thickened and additionally narrows the already dysplastic acetabulum. Its position is the only constant one in the pathological anatomy of the hip in high luxations and represents the major and occasionally the only orientation point in the attempt to find the true acetabulum in the total arthroplasty of the hip. (Bascarevic & Vukasinovic, 2004).

*Muscular motion starters* are also changed, particularly the iliopsoas; its tendon is short and thickened, while short external rotators are hypotrophic, but also shortened. However, the major hip abductors, primarily the gluteus medius, although hypotrophic, are not shortened and do not create resistance before reduction. In addition, after arthroplasty and induction of the necessary muscular length (close to physiological) they show unusual vitality in the restitution of strength and function of joints. (Vukasinovic & Djoric, 1994; Bascarevic & Vukasinovic, 2004).

Finally, when we speak of high luxations of the hip in the light of alloarthroplasty, attention should be turned to the fact that DDH is not the only condition causing high luxation of the hip in adults. Hip trauma, juvenile rheumatism, neonatal sepsis and some other similar conditions can also cause established hip luxation that can be resolved only when treated by a total hip arthroplasty (Besset et al., 2003; Betz et al., 1990; Choi et al., 1990; Learmonth et al., 1989; Marie & Haynes 1993; Ruddlesdin et al., 1986; Young-Hoo et al., 2009).

### 3. Classification of high hip dislocation in adults

In the literature there are several classifications that determine high hip dislocation in adults. *Crowe* classification of hip dislocation describes four stages, depending on the
position of the femoral head within the joint. The first three stages define the progressive migration of the head to the level of subluxation, while the fourth stage is luxation (Crowe et al., 1979).

According to the classification by Eftekhar the problem of the developmental disorders of the hip is viewed through acetabular changes. Four stages are also described; A/ slightly widened and dysplastic acetabulum with milder deformation of the head, B/ intermediately positioned false acetabulum, C/ high position of the false acetabulum, and D/ high non-weight bearing dislocation with the femoral head that has never been in contact with the iliac bone (Eftekhar 1978).

The most modern and up-to-now the best classification recommending total arthroplasty in relation to joint deficiency was given by Hartofilakidis et al. in 1996, according to which the condition was viewed as acetabular dysplasia, low dislocation and high dislocation (Hartofilakidis et al., 1996).

4. Treatment of high hip dislocation in adults

Treatment of the developmental disorder of the hip should be initiated at the moment of the disease detection, and the best results are achieved in the earliest childhood. At that period various forms of non-operative and operative treatments by biological surgeries are possible to be applied. (Vukasinovic & Djoric, 1994; Vukasinovic & Bascarevic, 2004). However, if the treatment is initiated only after the onset of arthrosis or under the conditions of high non-weight bearing hip luxation, operative treatment modalities are only reduced to total hip arthroplasty.

The operative procedure is most challenging because of changed anatomical correlations. The topographic correlation of muscles initiators of the hip, joint capsule and neurovascular elements are changed and unstable thus making the operative approach very difficult. The identification of bone structures, primarily of the true acetabulum, is also not at all easy (Lai et al., 1996, 2005; Charnley & Feagin 1973; Crowe et al., 1979; Dunn & Hess, 1976; Eskelinen et al., 2006; Hartofilakidis et al., 1998, 2004, 2008; Holinka et al., 2010; Paavilainen et al., 1990).

The ligamentum transversum is the only reliable parameter determining, not only the height and center of the artificial hip, but also the anteversion (flexion) position of the acetabular ring.

The proximal part of the femoral bone canal is very narrow, with markedly increased anteversion. The acetabular bone mass is underdeveloped, while the bone is insufficiently firm. The joint capsule is elongated, inelastic and thickened, while the surrounding muscles are without any strength, shortened and grown to the capsule. The neurovascular structures are shortened and dislocated from their anatomic positions (Carrlson et al., 2003; Holinka et al., 2010; Paavilainen et al., 1990).

In the past there were numerous unsuccessful attempts to perform total hip arthroplasty in adults at the location of high luxation caused by developmental disorder. Exactly due to excessively changed anatomic characteristics of the acetabulum and proximal femur, the operative procedure and the entire surgical concept are most complicated. Therefore, some authors consider high hip luxation as a contraindication for total arthroplasty (Figs. 1, 2, 3).
Fig. 1a. A 35-year old male after acetabular osteosynthesis performed due to traumatic dislocation of the right hip with superior and posterior acetabular wall fracture.

Fig. 1b. The same patient, six month later high dislocation of the hip occured due to failed osteosynthesis. Full absence of superior and posterior acetabular walls. Leg length discrepancy was 48 mm.
Fig. 1c. The same patient, three months after total arthroplasty of the hip, with the osteoplasty of the superior and posterior acetabular walls by solitary bone grafts from the bone bank. Restitution of leg length by positioning the acetabular component into the physiological hip rotation center.

Fig. 2a. A 20-year old female with bilateral secondary arthrosis of the hips due to juvenile rheumatoid arthritis. Bilateral hip subluxation with various disorders of collo-diaphyseal femoral angle.
Fig. 2b. The same patient, one year after bilateral total arthroplasty of the hip with restitution of rotation centers.

Fig. 3a. A 30-year old female with bilateral high dislocation of the hips after multiple surgeries due to neonatal sepsis.
4.1 Surgical procedures

The literature reports many operative techniques for total arthroplasty of the hip in patients with high dislocation. All agree on one point; the center of joint rotation should be restored, meaning that the acetabular component of the implant must be positioned in the primary paleo-acetabulum. This is the only way to expect good joint function, being the only mode to establish the physiological distance between the ends of the muscular initiators of hip motion, thus enabling their full strength. However, there is no full agreement as to the preparation and positioning of the proximal femur at the required level of the primary acetabulum (Carrlson et al., 2003; Crowe et al., 1979; Dunn & Hess, 1976; Eskelinen et al., 2006; Hartofilakidis et al., 1996, 1998, 2004; Holinka et al., 2010; Lai et al., 1996, 2005; Paavilainen et al., 1990).

Many surgical techniques have been described by authors discussing the type and modes of femoral abbreviation osteotomies. Some of them are performed through the very trochanteric mass (midtrochanteric), while others are done below it (subtrochanteric), with various forms of resection osteotomies with or without fixation of the proximal fragment or great trochanter. Some authors achieve the adequate position of the femur in correlation to paleo-acetabulum by extrafocal distraction after the resection of the joint. Arthroplasty is to follow as a new operative technique, where the entire operative procedure is performed in
two acts. (Carrlson et al., 2003; Eskelinen et al., 2006; Hartofilakidis et al., 1996; Holinka et al., 2010; Lai et al., 1996, 2005; Paavilainen et al., 1990).

4.1.1 Total hip arthroplasty with iliofemoral distraction in high hip dislocation

As a part of total arthroplasty, the surgical technique applied to achieve the reduction of a high luxation of the hip without using femoral osteotomy is a relatively rare operative procedure. In the literature it was described by Lai et al. in 1996 and 2005, and then by Holinka et al. in 2010 (Carrlson et al., 2003; Eskelinen et al., 2006; Holinka et al., 2010; Lai et al., 1996, 2005).

The leading idea of this surgical procedure is based on the hypothesis that operative procedures with femoral abbreviation osteotomies, regardless of the type, could generally leave a considerable leg-length discrepancy, as well as muscular weakness of hip motion starters. The authors found a back-up for this notion in the articles by Crowe et al., Charnley et al., Dunn et al., Hartofilakidis et al., Paavilainen et al., Eskelinen et al., and others (Charnley & Feagin 1973; Crowe et al., 1979; Dunn & Hess, 1976; Eskelinen et al., 2006; Hartofilakidis et al., 1998; Paavilainen et al., 1990).

The operative procedure is performed in two acts. Namely, total arthroplasty is preceded by iliofemoral distraction with the Wagner’s apparatus positioned in the ilium and distal femur. Before the distraction, it is necessary to perform subcutaneous adductor tenotomy of the hip, while femoral head resection with a total soft tissue release can be done before the distraction (Holinka et al.) or in the second act, as a part of total arthroplasty (Lai et al.). The distraction is performed gradually, 2-5 mm daily with regular X-ray check-ups and follow-up of neurocircular status of extremities, until the required joint reduction is achieved, bringing the femoral small trochanter into the acetabular teardrop level. During this period the patient is kept in bed. The second surgical act is performed after a few weeks involving removal of the Wagner’s distraction apparatus and total arthroplasty of the hip.

The principles of total arthroplasty with positioning of the acetabular ring into the paleo-acetabulum and the stem in the femoral channel are standard. (Carrlson et al., 2003; Holinka et al., 2010; Lai et al., 1996, 2005; Paavilainen et al., 1990).

Such a surgical procedure is very complicated and requires long-term hospitalization and inactivity of the patient. The fact itself that in achieving the goal, total arthroplasty of the hip, requires two operative acts makes it twice riskier for the patient. In addition, the positioning of an extrafocal external apparatus in the area of the hip before arthroplasty might be as such problematic, because of exposing bone tissue to external environment over a long period of time. Strong Schantz screws in the femoral diaphysis can also present areas of decreased biomechanical resistance, particularly as known that the femur is anyway dysplastic in high luxations. Problems can also arise intraoperatively if there is extensive femoral anteversion. Namely, the positioning of a stem of standard geometry under such conditions could prove impossible to perform in the correction of overextensive anteversion. A solution could found in using special stems of small dimensions and/or modular geometry; however, this does not solve the problem of the residual anterior torsion of the femoral neck.

All this is probably the reason why such operative procedure is rarely described in the literature.
4.1.2 Total hip arthroplasty with femoral abbreviation osteotomies

Today there are basically two operative techniques for total arthroplasty of the hip in highluxations with femoral abbreviation osteotomies, and both are based on osteotomies in the subtrochanteric region.

One technique involves resection of a larger part of the trochanter mass, hence the entire metaphysis and a portion of diaphysis, with preservation of the great trochanter with abductor attachments, while by other operative procedures resection osteotomy is performed only on the diaphysis below the small trochanter, with the metaphysis remaining preserved.

Femoral abbreviation osteotomies in total arthroplasty of the hip, particularly when treating high luxations due to DDH, have been described by numerous authors (Dunn et al., 1976; Crowe et al., 1979; Paavilainen et al., 1990, 1993; Hartofilakidis et al., 1996, 1998, 2004, 2008; Papagelopoulos et al., 1996; Numair et al., 1997; Carlsson et al., 2003). The original operative technique by Paavilainen et al. has been slightly modified by Carlsson et al., but basic postulates have remained the same. After exposing the hip through the posterolateral approach, abbreviation osteotomy of the proximal femur is performed at two levels, horizontal and sagittal. The diaphysis is osteotomized transversally 7-9 cm below the top of the great trochanter, and then osteotomy of the proximal fragment at the sagittal level is performed, thus leavening abductor attachments of the hip, primarily of the gluteus medius in the lateral part, which basically represents the great trochanter. The remaining portion of the proximal fragment is removed, i.e. if necessary it can be used as a graft. The conical stem of small dimensions is implanted into the distal fragment, namely directly into the femoral diaphysis. After having positioned the acetabular component of the endoprosthesis, the remaining proximal fragment is lowered onto the proximal portion of the diaphysis and fixed with screws and/or wire serclages. Walking with partial weight-bearing is immediately allowed, while full weight-bearing can be allowed after two months (Paavilainen et al., 1990, 1993; Carlsson et al., 2003).

This operative procedure has the advantage over those described above primarily because arthroplasty of the hip is performed in one act. Hospital stay is much shorter, with more rapid rehabilitation and without any significant limitation as to weight-bearing. Although osteotomy of the proximal femur is done, the function of the gluteus medius remains preserved. Rather, its strength is reinstituted with the distalization of the trochanter attachments.

Theoretically, the problem of this operative procedure might be non-healing of the great trochanter dislocated onto the diaphysis, however, authors have reported no such incidence in any of the cases. Also, theoretically, a delayed problem of potential revisional surgery might present a full loss of the metaphyseal bone of the proximal femur. The necessity to use a slightly more specific dysplastic stems, i.e. the impossibility of standard femoral implants (due to absent metaphyseal) does not present a true problem if taken into consideration a relatively small number of patients with such a condition.

At the Institute of Orthopaedic Surgery "Banjica" in Belgrade, high dislocation of the hip in adults is treated by transverse subtrochanteric abbreviation femoral osteotomy and, of course, a mandatory implantation of the acetabular component into the primary acetabulum. The operative technique was developed in 1984, however, over the time it
has undergone minor modifications. The essence has remained identical, only some details were changed regarding the extent of capsular excision and the mode of femoral osteotomy. Namely, today we do not excise the entire joint capsule, although it is cautiously prepared and freed from attached muscles, while the stability of the femoral segments is achieved by the adapted transverse osteotomy and implantation of the standard stem with distal rotational stability. Also, over the last 11 years, with the emergence of new implants, above all hemispheric press-fit acetabular rings, the need for acetabuloplasty, which was almost regularly applied at the time of screw ring usage, nowadays is almost nonexistent (Radojevic & Zlatic, 1990; Tabak et al., 2003; Zlatic et al., 1990).

The relaxation of the neuromuscular elements is achieved by the postoperative positioning of the leg with the hip and the knee in flexion which is individually adapted to each patient. Gradual stretching is done during the next days and last on average for 2-3 days. Only then the patient is allowed to stand up and to begin walking. Individually tolerant weight bearing on the operated leg is immediately allowed. Discarding the crutches is also done on individual basis, above all depending on the patient’s capability to achieve a stable weight bearing within a given time. Certainly, osteotomy bone consolidation and the incorporation of endoprosthetic components also contribute to the decision on when walking supports could be discarded. Thromboembolic profilactic therapy is applied with low molecular weight heparine from the day of surgery until elapsed 35 postsurgical days (Fig. 4).

Fig. 4a. A 35-year old female with bilateral high dislocation of the hips due to DDH.
Fig. 4b. The same patient, nine months after total arthroplasty of the right hip. Good bone consolidation after four centimetres shortening subtrochanteric osteotomy.

Fig. 4c. The same patient, three months after left hip arthroplasty with abbreviation subtrochanteric osteotomy. Leg length equality established. Bone consolidation in progress, femoral stem stable.
5. Conclusion

As can be seen, all previously described operative techniques for high hip luxation treatment with total hip arthroplasty are reliable in hands of experienced surgeons. According to our opinion both operative techniques with femoral abbreviation osteotomies have significant advantages over two-act operative procedures. The theoretical advantage of the latter described operative technique, with diaphyseal subtrochateric resection, over the former ones, with diaphyseal and metaphyseal resection, could be in the preservation of the bone metaphysis of the proximal femur with the possibility to use the standard midcoated implants without distal ingrowing and without additional fixation. On the other hand, the advantage of the former technique could refer to the healing of osteotomized fragments. Namely, a potentially delayed healing, and even non-healing of diaphyseal and metaphyseal bones in the subtrochanteric region is more probable than the fusion of firmly fixed great trochanter to the diaphysis.
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