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1. Introduction

Nuclear power stations are electrical energy stations that use nuclear fission reaction as a source of heat to produce energy. Most of the world’s nuclear steam supply systems for generating electricity are based on water cooled and moderated systems of which the most common design are the Light Water Reactors (LWR) varieties: Pressurized Water Reactor (PWR) and the Boiling Water Reactor (BWR). In the construction of these reactors nickel-based alloy 600 and its associated alloys 82 and 182 weld metals were initially selected because of their ability to withstand a variety of severe operating conditions involving corrosive environment, high temperatures, high stresses, and combinations thereof (Gomez-Briceño & Serrano, 2005, Peng, et al., 2007).

Alloy 82 and 182 weld metals are widely used to join austenitic stainless steel to low alloy steel components of PWRs. However, after many years of plant operation, those materials showed susceptibility to stress corrosion cracking (SCC). Since 1994, cracks and leaks have been discovered in about 300 welds of nickel-based alloys 82 and 182 at different PWR plant primary coolant system locations (Scott, 2004, Scott & Meunier, 2007).

Despite many studies have been performed on the SCC behavior of nickel-based alloys the mechanisms are still not well understood. It was observed that the variables that influence the SCC susceptibility of alloy 182 weld metal are quite similar to those associated for nickel-based alloy 600 such as cold work, alloy metallurgical factors, applied or residual stresses, and environmental factors including primary water hydrogen partial pressure, water temperature and chemistry, (Rebak & Szklarska-Smialowska, 1996, Rebak & Hua, 2004).

Actions to mitigate the SCC have been undertaken comprising changes in the chemical environment (optimizing dissolved hydrogen levels, zinc addition and noble metal chemical addition). The use of water chemistry optimization is attractive because many or all components can benefit. In view of the successful application of water chemistry on SCC mitigation in BWR reactors and its system wide benefit, mitigation by adjusting the primary water chemistry in PWR reactors has being considered (Andresen, et al., 2005).
Hydrogen gas is added to the primary circuit coolant at concentrations usually ranging between 25 and 50 cm$^3$ H$_2$·kg$^{-1}$H$_2$O at standard temperature and pressure (STP). This concentration range is sufficient to inhibit radiolysis of water and thereby minimize corrosion of structural materials (Garbett, et al., 2000, Lima et al., 2011). Besides this, dissolved hydrogen (DH) might affect the SCC behavior of nickel-based alloys. Many experimental studies (Morton et al., 1999, Totsuka, et al., 2000, Attanasio & Morton, 2003) have indicated that the crack growth rate varies with the DH concentration at a given temperature.

In this chapter it is proposed to present the initial results of an evaluation of the dissolved hydrogen influence on the SCC susceptibility of the nickel-based weld alloy 182 using slow strain rate tensile tests (SSRT) conducted at Nuclear Technology Development Centre – CDTN/CNEN.

1.1 Dissimilar metal Weld in pressurized water reactors

A weld between different metals is known as dissimilar metal weld (DMW) and is used in western LWR plants. In Westinghouse and French nuclear power plants design the most important application of this type of weld is between low alloy steel nozzles to austenitic stainless steel pipelines. Typical locations are J-groove welds of nickel alloy 600 vessel head penetrations, pressurizer penetrations and instrument nozzles. In addition, they are used in butt welds in RPV and steam generator inlet and outlet nozzles, pressurizer surge line, safety and relief valve nozzles (Figure 1) (Banford & Hall, 2003, King, 2005, Seifert, et al., 2008).

![Fig. 1. Alloy 82 and 182 butt welds locations in PWR Westinghouse design plants (King, 2005).](www.intechopen.com)
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called safe end, between the two dissimilar materials (Figure 2). Nickel-based alloy 82 or 182 is generally used as weld metal material in the buttering layer and in the J-groove weld (IAEA, 2003, Miteva & Taylor, 2006).

Fig. 2. Dissimilar metal weld variations of the Westinghouse plant design, (a) weld with a safe end and (b) weld without a safe end (Miteva & Taylor, 2006).

The use of safe end avoids making of DMW on site, during the component installation in the nuclear power plant. The transition piece is welded to low alloy steel nozzles in the manufacturer’s shop under controlled conditions, so the subsequent weld to connect the component is a conventional similar weld that can be made on site. The use of the buttering layer is an alternative way to accommodate the differences in the composition and properties of the two base metals, such as the melting point, coefficient of thermal expansion and to make difficult the migration of undesirable alloying elements from base metal to weld metal. Buttering can also be used to alleviate the requirements of postweld heat-treatment (PWHT) (King, 2005, Davis, 2006).

In the Westinghouse plant design the dissimilar metal welds are made in three steps. In the first stage a buttering layer is applied to the low alloy steel with a final thickness varying between 5 and 8 mm. The layer is applied by gas tungsten arc process (GTAW) with nickel-based alloy 82 and 182 as weld metal. The second stage consists of the stress relief heat treatment and the machining of the buttered surface to obtain the weld edges. The temperature and the duration of the heat treatment depend on the thickness of the low alloy steel component and the number of buttering layers. Usually the stress relief heat treatment is undertaken at temperatures from 580ºC. In the third stage the buttered low alloy steel is welded to austenitic stainless steel by the GTAW process with nickel-based alloy 82 for the root pass. The joint is completed with nickel-based alloy 182, using the shielded metal arc process (SMAW) (Fallatah et al., 2002, Miteva & Taylor, 2006).

The use of pre-heating is recommended for materials with a high level of carbon equivalent and components of high thickness. Pre-heating encourages a decrease in the cooling speed, which reduces the likelihood of martensite forming in the heat affected zone (HAZ) of the low alloy steel and reduces the occurrence of hot cracking in the materials involved. Heat treatment after welding may or may not take place (Schaefer, 1979, Kou, 2003, Miteva & Taylor, 2006).
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1.2 Stress corrosion cracking in pressurized water reactors

Environmentally assisted cracking (EAC) in the form of stress corrosion cracking (SCC) is one of the most critical kinds of damage experienced in nuclear power plants. It is a potentially critical issue concerning safety of plant operation and plant life extension (American Society of Metals [ASM], 2006). SCC phenomenon consists of a degradation process resulting from a combined and synergistic interaction of aggressive environment, tensile stresses and susceptible material, as well as the time for the phenomenon to occur. This phenomenon has been reported in dissimilar metal welds in various nuclear power plants all over the world. Various studies carried out since the 1980s have demonstrated that nickel-based alloys 82 and 182 are susceptible to the SCC phenomenon (Andresen et al., 2002, Fukumura & Totsuka, 2010). In the end of the year 2000, three PWRs experienced cracking concerned to dissimilar metal welds between the main austenitic stainless steel primary circuit piping and the outlet pressure vessel nozzles, believed to be stress corrosion cracking, of major primary circuit welds made from nickel-based alloy 82 or 182. (Pathania et al., 2002 Amzallag et al., 2002, Banford & Hall, 2005, Alexandreanu et al., 2007).

In the case of susceptible material the main factors that influence the SCC susceptibility of nickel-based alloy 600 and its associated alloys 82 and 182 weld metals are chemical composition, microstructure and heat treatment of the material. The levels of carbon and chromium are important variables when evaluating the chemical composition. Data from laboratories have been demonstrated that the increase of chromium content correlates with decreasing in the SCC susceptibility (White, 2004, White, 2005). The grain size and the presence and location of inclusions and precipitates are also relevant variables in the evaluation of materials susceptibility to this phenomenon. The presence of continuous or semicontinuous chromium carbides at the grain boundaries and the absence of these carbides in the grain matrix tend to increase the resistance of nickel-based alloys to SCC susceptibility. Various models have been proposed to explain this effect, but the reasons for this beneficial effect are not completely understood. (Rebak, et al., 1993, Aguillar et al., 2003, Tsai et al., 2005, Andresen & Hickling, 2007).

Two principal sources of tensile stress are able to cause the SCC phenomenon – the tensile stresses resulting from the operating conditions (pressure, temperature and mechanical load) and the residual stresses resulting from the original fabrication process, such as welding. The tensile stresses that exist during an operation are taken into account when planning nuclear power stations and must comply with the specific standards and codes (American Society of Mechanical Engineering [ASME], 2004). However, high residual stresses may be created during the manufacturing and welding processes. The residual stresses that arise from welding may be higher than the operating stresses and tend to be dominant driving force behind the crack growth. It is the combination of operating condition stresses and residual stresses that lead the occurrence of the SCC phenomenon (Sedricks, 1990, ASM, 1992, Speidel & Magdowski, 2000, Gorman, et al., 2009).

Among all of the factors that affect SCC susceptibility, the effect of environmental conditions is particularly important. The concentrations of oxygen and hydrogen, the corrosion potential, temperature and the pH balance of the solution play an important role.
in this process. The SCC phenomenon is a thermally activated process and can be represented by the Arrhenius law. Places which the operating temperatures are high develop cracks more rapidly than regions where the operating temperatures are lower. It has also been observed that crack growth rates of nickel-based alloys and weld metals in simulated PWR primary water environments generally increase with increasing temperature (Nishikawa et al., 2004, Lu et al., 2008, Schwartzman et al., 2009).

1.3 Stress corrosion cracking in pressurized water reactors mitigation process

The mitigation of primary water stress corrosion cracking is defined as a adoption of remedial measures to reduce the frequency and/or to delay the stress corrosion crack initiation and/or propagation. The term mitigation does not mean completely elimination or prevention of the phenomenon, imply only in the control of the variables that affect the SCC susceptibility (Scott; Meunier, 2007).

The methodologies developed to prevent and to mitigate primary water SCC of the nickel-based alloy 600 and its associated alloys 82 and 182 weld metals include:

Materials Replacement: replacement in the new nuclear power plants of nickel-based alloy 600 and alloys 82 and 182 components for materials more resistant to SCC, nickel-based alloy 690 and its associated alloys 52 and 152 weld materials, has been considered. This remedial measure sometimes can be unavoidable in nuclear power plants currently in operation due to the high cost and difficulties in relation to the operation of this modification (security issues due to the high radiation level of the components) (King, 2005).

Surface Treatment: consist in a process that reverse the unfavorable residual stress field, leaving a compressive stresses on the surface. The presence of the compressive stress inhibits initiation and propagation of SCC. Among this method can be cited: weld overlay, induction heating stress improvement and mechanical stress improvement process, (Giannuzzi, et al., 2004).

Water Chemistry Changes: mitigation can be obtained by implementing changes to the operating environment that reduces the material’s susceptibility to SCC. Among this method can be cited: addition of zinc, reduction of operating temperature and control of the dissolved hydrogen concentration (Andresen, Hickling, 2007).

In recent years, it became evident that the dissolved hydrogen concentration added to the PWR primary circuit coolant can influence the nickel-based alloy stress corrosion cracking susceptibility by changing the thermodynamic equilibrium of nickel oxide (NiO) phase formation (Morton et al., 2001, Takiguchi et al., 2004). Given the fact that the corrosion potential of nickel-based alloys in deareted water is controlled by the \( \text{H}_2/\text{H}_2\text{O} \) reaction, which represents two related reactions: oxidation (\( 2\text{H}^+ + 2\text{e}^- \leftrightarrow \text{H}_2 \)) and reduction (\( \text{H}_2 + 2\text{OH}^- \leftrightarrow 2\text{H}_2\text{O} + 2\text{e}^- \)), and the line that representing this reaction is parallel to the metal/metal oxide (Ni/NiO) phase boundary (Figure 3) variations in the dissolved hydrogen concentrations can shift the corrosion potential making that this potential reach values close to the Ni/NiO phase transition (Attanasio & Morton, 2003, Andresen et al., 2008).
Testing conducted by Attanasio and Morton (Attanasio & Morton, 2003) at various aqueous DH levels and temperatures has shown that a maximum in crack growth rate (CGR) occurs for nickel-based alloys in proximity to the Ni/NiO phase transition. Because the Ni/NiO boundary change with temperature the hydrogen level required to transit Ni/NiO boundary is not fixed. For nickel alloys at 325°C the peak in crack growth rate occurs at a hydrogen content of about 10 cm\(^3\) H\(_2\) (STP).kg\(^{-1}\) H\(_2\)O. As hydrogen concentration is increased from low values the growth rate begins to increase and then decrease, as shown schematically in Figure 4 (Andresen et al., 2005, Andresen et al., 2008).
Although many laboratory studies have been performed, the mechanistic basis for the effect of the primary water dissolved hydrogen on the susceptibility of nickel-based alloys to SCC is still not yet completely understood.

2. Effect of dissolved hydrogen on stress corrosion cracking of nickel weld alloy 182

2.1 Experimental

The alloy 182 material was retrieved from a J groove weld joint that was made by joining two thick plates (ASTM A-508 class 3 – 130 x 300 x 36 mm and AISI 316L – 130 x 300 x 31 mm) with alloy 182, thus forming a dissimilar metal weld. The two base metals were used as received, AISI 316L in the rolled condition and ASTM A-508 class 3 in the forged condition. Five passes of buttering were applied on the ASTM A-508 class 3 plate by Gas Tungsten Arc Welding (GTAW) with Alloy 82 wire (AWS A5.14 ENiCr-3). The thickness of the buttering layer was about 8 mm. A chamfer was machined on the buttered side and the plate was subjected to a post weld heat treatment at 600°C for 2 hours to relieve the residual stresses. The final weld joint was produced by three root passes by GTAW with alloy 82 filler and thirty-seven weld passes by Shielded Metal Arc Welding (SMAW) with an alloy 182 shielded electrode (A5.11 ENiCrFe-3). The chemical composition of both base metals and filler wires is shown in Table 1. Figure 5 shows the microstructure of the alloy 182 weld joint.
Table 1. Chemical composition of the base and filler metals (wt%). (Fe – Bal.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metal</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>Mn</th>
<th>Si</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>Cr</th>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>Nb</th>
<th>Ti</th>
<th>Mo</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>316L</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>2.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A508</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>182</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>6.16</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>70.3</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 5. Microstructure of Alloy 182 weld joint.

The finished weld joint (from now on referred to as Alloy 182 weld) was not heat-treated. It was submitted to nondestructive tests such as dye penetrant and radiographic tests, and no weld defects were revealed. Figure 6 shows a schematic of the weld design. The key welding parameters are summarized in Table 2.

Fig. 6. Schematic of the weld design (dimensions in mm).

Table 2. Welding parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Weld Pass</th>
<th>Process</th>
<th>Filler Metal</th>
<th>Electrode Size (mm)</th>
<th>Current (A)</th>
<th>Voltage (V)</th>
<th>Travel Speed (mm/s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Buttering</td>
<td>GTAW</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>90 – 130</td>
<td>17.5 – 18</td>
<td>1.8 – 3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 - 3</td>
<td>GTAW</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>126 – 168</td>
<td>20 – 22</td>
<td>1 – 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 - 37</td>
<td>SMAW</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>22 – 26</td>
<td>1 – 3.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The influence of dissolved hydrogen on SCC susceptibility of the specimens was assessed by means of slow strain rate tensile (SSRT) test. The SSRT tests were carried out in accordance with ASTM G 129-95 standard (ASTM [American Society for Testing and Materials], 1995). The applied strain rate was $3 \times 10^{-7}$ s$^{-1}$, which is an adequate strain rate to promote SCC of Alloy 182 weld in PWR primary water environments (Totsuka et al., 2003).

Specimens for the stress corrosion tests were taken from the Alloy 182 weld in the longitudinal direction using electro discharge machining (EDM). They were machined into tensile specimens with 25 mm gauge length and 4 mm gauge diameter (Figure 5), in conformity with ASTM G49-2000 and ASTM E8-2000 standards (ASTM, 2000a, ASTM, 2000b). Prior to the test, the specimens gauge length surface was polished with #2000 silicon carbide (SiC) paper, degreased with acetone in an ultrasonic cleaner, washed with distilled water and finally dried in air. All the tests were performed at an open circuit potential ($E_{OCP}$) and the specimens were exposed to the environment for at least 24 hours (h) before applying load to stabilize the surface oxide layer. Three testing were performed for each condition studied.

The SCC tests were conducted in 1.5 L type AISI 321 stainless steel autoclave, with a high temperature water circulation system. The facility was designed for SCC testing in simulated PWR or BWR environments. It is equipped with a servohydraulic loading system controlled by displacement or load. The displacement is measured by a linear variable differential transformer (LVDT) and the load is measured by a load cell. The autoclave is heated externally by an electric oven controlled continually by a proportional-integral-
differential (PID) system. During the execution of the tests, on-line measurements of load, displacement, temperature, pressure, conductivity and oxygen concentration are taken. A software application developed in the LabVIEW environment is responsible for acquiring the data and for their graphic representation. Figure 8 shows a photo of the installation.

Fig. 8. Photo of the installation of SCC tests.

The susceptibility to SCC was evaluated by means of the ductility parameters obtained from the stress-strain curves and the fracture surface analyses. The fracture surfaces of the samples were examined using scanning electron microscope (SEM). All results were compared to baseline tests conducted in inert medium (N\textsubscript{2} – nitrogen gas) at the same strain rate. The ratio of time to failure at test condition to baseline (t\textsubscript{solution}/t\textsubscript{N2}) and the ratio of elongation at test condition to baseline (\varepsilon\textsubscript{solution}/\varepsilon\textsubscript{N2}) were used as parameters to measure the degree of SCC susceptibility. In general, ratios near 100 indicate higher ductility and less susceptibility to SCC (ASTM, 1995, Nace [National Association of Corrosion Engineers], 2004).

The SCC susceptibility was also estimated using SSRT crack growth rate, which is defined as:

\[
\text{SSRT crack growth rate} = \text{Crack length} \times \frac{\text{SCC fracture ratio}}{\text{fracture time}}
\]

The influence of dissolved hydrogen on Alloy 182 weld stress corrosion cracking behavior was also studied using electrochemical technique. For this purpose, open circuit potential (E\textsubscript{OCP}) versus time measurements were performed in 325°C simulated PWR chemistry at hydrogen levels of 2, 10, 25 and 50 cm\textsuperscript{3} (STP) H\textsubscript{2}.kg\textsuperscript{-1} H\textsubscript{2}O. Specimens were cut from the Alloy 182 weld in the longitudinal direction using electro discharge machining (EDM). They were machined into cylindrical specimens (5 mm diameter and 10 mm length) were mechanically ground to a surface finishing equivalent to # 600 SiC paper.
The open circuit potential ($E_{OCP}$) was measured after about 500 h of exposure. Measurements were conducted in a conventional three electrode cell. The electrochemical composition consisted of the working electrode, an yttrium stabilized zirconia (YSZ) electrode filled with a mixture of Ni/NiO powder and a platinum counter electrode (Figure 9). A conversion factor of $-0.800 \text{ mV}$ taken from Bosch’s work (Bosch et al., 2003) for the temperature and pH conditions of the test was used to convert the measured values to hydrogen electrode potential values ($E_{SHE}$). The measurements were performed using a potentiostat system.

Fig. 9. (a) Electrodes disposition in autoclave, A: work electrode, B: platinum counter electrode, C: yttrium stabilized zirconia (YSZ) reference electrode and (b) detail of yttrium stabilized zirconia (YSZ) reference electrode.

2.2 Results and discussion

The open circuit potential measurements results of Alloy 182 weld in 325°C simulated PWR chemistry at hydrogen levels of 2, 10, 25 and 50 cm$^3$ (STP) H$_2$.kg$^{-1}$ H$_2$O are given in Figure 10. It is important to note that at 10 cm$^3$ (STP) H$_2$.kg$^{-1}$ H$_2$O the potential took a longer time to stabilize, the $E_{OCP}$ was considered stabilized when no significant variation was observed.
Fig. 10. OCP measurements of Alloy 182 weld in 325°C simulated PWR chemistry at hydrogen levels of 2, 10, 25 and 50 cm$^3$ (STP) H$_2$.kg$^{-1}$ H$_2$O.

Figure 11 (a) shows the $E_{OCP}$ x pH diagram of nickel at 300°C in pure water obtained from EPRI’s report (Andresen & Hickling, 2007) and Figure 11 (b) shows the relationship between the corresponding $E_{OCP}$ values obtained in this work for Alloy 182 weld in PWR environment as a function of the test solution pH (pH = 7) and the dissolved hydrogen level.

Fig. 11. (a) Potential x pH diagram of nickel at 300°C in pure water (Andresen & Hickling, 2007), (b) detail of the corresponding $E_{OCP}$ values obtained for Alloy 182 weld in PWR environment to the line of Ni/NiO transition as a function of the pH of test solution (pH-7) and the dissolved hydrogen level.
It is observed that at 10 cm³ H₂ (STP).kg⁻¹ H₂O the potential is closer to the Ni/NiO phase transition and at 50 cm³ H₂ (STP).kg⁻¹ H₂O the potential is far away. Studies conducted for the nickel based alloys 600 and X-750 in pure water have shown that the influence of dissolved hydrogen on the SCC susceptibility may be related by the extent that the corrosion potential deviates from the potential that corresponds to the transition of Ni/NiO (ΔECP_Ni/NiO). A maximum in SCC susceptibility is observed in a narrow region near the Ni/NiO phase transition (Totsuka et al., 2002, Attanasio & Morton, 2003, Andresen et al., 2008).

Table 3 shows the values of ΔECP_Ni/NiO obtained in PWR solution at 325°C and concentrations of dissolved hydrogen of 2, 10, 25 and 50 cm³ H₂ (STP).kg⁻¹ H₂O. In this table the value of ΔECP_Ni/NiO for 10 cm³ H₂ (STP).kg⁻¹ H₂O was considered zero because of the proximity of the transition line Ni/NiO. The present result is in accordance with the thermodynamic model proposed by Attanasio and Morton (Attanasio & Morton, 2003). According to this model the concentration of DH at 325°C, which corresponds to this transition, is approximately 10 cm³ H₂ (STP).kg⁻¹ H₂O.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Environment</th>
<th>E_{OCP} (mV_SHE)</th>
<th>ΔECP_{Ni/NiO} (mV_SHE)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2 (1)</td>
<td>-717</td>
<td>-18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 (1)</td>
<td>-735</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 (1)</td>
<td>-756</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 (1)</td>
<td>-776</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. E_{OCP} and ΔECP_{Ni/NiO} values obtained for Alloy 182 weld in PWR environment at 325°C as a function of the pH of test solution (pH-7) and the dissolved hydrogen level. (1) cm³ H₂ (STP)/kg H₂O.

The stress-strain curves obtained from SSRT for Alloy 182 weld in the DH levels and in the baseline conditions are presented in Figure 12. Table 4 summarizes the mechanical properties and Table 5 shows the results of time to failure ratio and elongation ratio of the specimens under the five different environments.

**Fig. 12. Stress - Strain curves of Alloy 182 weld obtained from SSRT at 325°C, at strain rate of 3x10⁻⁷ s⁻¹ in PWR primary water condition with 2, 10, 25 and 50 cm³ H₂ (STP).kg⁻¹ H₂O and baseline (N₂ gas).**
### Table 4. Mechanical Properties obtained from SSRT test at 325°C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Environment</th>
<th>Yield Strength (MPa)</th>
<th>Ultimate Tensile Strength UTS (MPa)</th>
<th>Plastic Strain to Failure E (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Range</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Range</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline N₂ (gas)</td>
<td>347 - 399</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>610 - 616</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2(1)</td>
<td>385 - 391</td>
<td>388</td>
<td>537 - 575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10(1)</td>
<td>380 - 390</td>
<td>385</td>
<td>490 - 547</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25(1)</td>
<td>345 - 391</td>
<td>368</td>
<td>524 - 536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50(1)</td>
<td>380 - 416</td>
<td>398</td>
<td>605 - 618</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Time to failure and elongation ratios obtained from SSRT test at 325°C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Environment</th>
<th>Time to Failure (Hours)</th>
<th>$T_{s, \text{solution}} / T_{s,N₂}$ (%$%$)</th>
<th>$E_{s, \text{solution}} / E_{s,N₂}$ (%$%$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Range</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Range</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1) cm³ H₂ (STP)/kg H₂O. Baseline N₂ (gas)</td>
<td>367 - 418</td>
<td>391</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2(1)</td>
<td>317 - 336</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10(1)</td>
<td>197 - 240</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25(1)</td>
<td>266 - 298</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50(1)</td>
<td>367 - 401</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note that at 10 cm³ H₂ (STP),kg⁻¹ H₂O there was a reduction in the resistance limit and ductility of the material, the ultimate tensile strength was 21% lower than the baseline. This reduction was attributed to the SCC process that led to the weakness of the material. It can also be seen that the specimens exposed at 10 cm³ H₂ (STP),kg⁻¹ H₂O presented the elongation and time to failure ratios lower than 100% indicating an effect of the environment on the material behavior and a higher susceptibility to SCC. At 50 cm³ H₂ (STP),kg⁻¹ H₂O these values are close to 100% indicating the lower susceptibility to SCC. Figure 13 shows the SEM micrographs of the fracture surfaces of Alloy 182 weld tested in nitrogen gas at 325°C. The fracture surface was completely ductile with extensive shear parts.

![Fig. 13. SEM micrographs of Alloy 182 weld fractured surface tested at 325°C in baseline (N₂ gas) and at strain rate of 3x10⁻⁷ s⁻¹ (a) overview (b) detail (c) fraction of fracture.](www.intechopen.com)
The respective SEM micrographs of Alloy 182 weld tested at 2, 10, 25 and 50 cm$^3$ H$_2$ (STP).kg$^{-1}$ H$_2$O are shown in Figures 14 to 17. All surfaces exhibit ductile fracture in the middle of the specimen and areas of brittle fracture at the edges, indicating crack initiation by SCC. The fracture mode was intergranular. The intergranular stress corrosion cracking (IGSCC) facets reached an average depth of 836 µm, 1300 µm, 1040 µm and 573 µm for 2, 10, 25 and 50 cm$^3$ H$_2$ (STP).kg$^{-1}$ H$_2$O, respectively. The area of intergranular fracture decreased from 3.33 mm$^2$ to 0.43 mm$^2$ when the DH concentration in test solution increased from 10 to 50 cm$^3$ H$_2$ (STP).kg$^{-1}$ H$_2$O. These results are consistent with the stress-strain curves obtained in SSRT, which indicates a higher susceptibility to SCC at 10 cm$^3$ H$_2$ (STP).kg$^{-1}$ H$_2$O.

Fig. 14. SEM micrographs of Alloy 182 weld fractured surface of SSRT at 325ºC in PWR primary water with 2 cm$^3$ H$_2$ (STP).kg$^{-1}$ H$_2$O and at strain rate of 3x10$^{-7}$ s$^{-1}$ (a) overview (b) detail of ductile fracture (c) detail of IGSCC fracture failure (d) fraction of fracture.
Fig. 15. SEM micrographs of Alloy 182 weld fractured surface of SSRT at 325°C in PWR primary water with 10 cm$^3$ H$_2$ (STP).kg$^{-1}$ H$_2$O and at strain rate of $3\times10^{-7}$ s$^{-1}$ (a) overview (b) detail of ductile fracture (c) detail of IGSCC fracture failure (d) fraction of fracture.

Fig. 16. SEM micrographs of Alloy 182 weld fractured surface of SSRT at 325°C in PWR primary water with 25 cm$^3$ H$_2$ (STP).kg$^{-1}$ H$_2$O and at strain rate of $3\times10^{-7}$ s$^{-1}$ (a) overview (b) detail of ductile fracture (c) detail of IGSCC fracture failure (d) fraction of fracture.
Fig. 17. SEM micrographs of Alloy 182 weld fractured surface of SSRT at 325°C in PWR primary water with 50 cm$^3$ H$_2$ (STP).kg$^{-1}$ H$_2$O and at strain rate of 3x10$^{-7}$ s$^{-1}$ (a) overview (b) detail of ductile fracture (c) detail of IGSCC fracture failure (d) fraction of fracture.

As shown in Figure 18, extensive secondary cracks in the gauge section were observed in the sample that was exposed to 10 cm$^3$ H$_2$ (STP).kg$^{-1}$ H$_2$O in direct contrast with the absence of secondary cracking observed at 50 cm$^3$ H$_2$ (STP).kg$^{-1}$ H$_2$O. According to Brown and Mills (Brown & Mills, 2003) the presence of secondary cracks on the gauge sections of the test samples are also indicative of the weakness of the material attributed to the SCC process, also indicating increased SCC susceptibility of Alloy 182 weld at 10 cm$^3$ H$_2$ (STP).kg$^{-1}$ H$_2$O.

Fig. 18. Gauge section of the specimens tested in the four different environments.
Table 6 shows a summary of the crack growth rate for the four DH conditions calculated using Equation (1) and Figure 19 shows the relationship between dissolved hydrogen and crack growth rate obtained for concentrations of 2, 10, 25 and 50 cm$^3$ H$_2$ (STP).kg$^{-1}$ H$_2$O.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DH cm$^3$ H$_2$ (STP)/kg H$_2$O</th>
<th>Deepest Crack (mm)</th>
<th>$\Delta$IGSCC (%)</th>
<th>$T_{\text{failure}}$ (h)</th>
<th>Crack Growth Rate (mm/s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>1.3 x 10$^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>5.0 x 10$^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>2.1 x 10$^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>2.9 x 10$^{-8}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6. SSRT crack growth rate in 325°C PWR primary water.

Fig. 19. Relationship between dissolved hydrogen and crack growth rate for Alloy 182 weld in a PWR primary water with obtained for concentrations of 2, 10, 25 and 50 cm$^3$ H$_2$ (STP).kg$^{-1}$ H$_2$O at 325°C.

It is observed that there is a maximum of the crack growth rate (CGR) plot at 10 cm$^3$ H$_2$ (STP).kg$^{-1}$ H$_2$O and that this CGR maximum is 17 times higher than at 50 cm$^3$ H$_2$ (STP).kg$^{-1}$ H$_2$O. The present results are consistent with the $\Delta$ECP$_{\text{Ni/NiO}}$ values obtained in this work. It was observed that at 10 cm$^3$ H$_2$ (STP).kg$^{-1}$ H$_2$O the potential measured is near Ni/NiO phase transition, also indicating a higher susceptibility to SCC. While at 50 cm$^3$ H$_2$ (STP).kg$^{-1}$ H$_2$O the potential measured is well into the nickel metal regime, showing lower SCC susceptibility.
The present results suggest that in the normal range for operating PWRs (25–50 cm$^3$ H$_2$ (STP).kg$^{-1}$ H$_2$O) the influence of hydrogen content on SCC was important. The crack growth rate at 25 cm$^3$ H$_2$ (STP).kg$^{-1}$ H$_2$O was 7 times higher than at 50 cm$^3$ H$_2$ (STP).kg$^{-1}$ H$_2$O. This result is consistent with that reported by Andresen et al., and Moshier, Paraventi for this range of hydrogen level (Andresen et al., 2009, Moshier & Pavarenti, 2005).

Although the crack growth rates obtained in this work have been the result of testing and evaluating the susceptibility to SCC using the technique of SSRT, They are in agreement with studies by Andresen et al., Moshier, Paraventi, and Dozaki et al. (Andresen et al., 2008, Moshier & Pavarenti, 2005, Dozaki, et al., 2010) who used the constant load test (which require a period of time of about six months to be performed).

3. Conclusion

In the present study, a DMW with alloy 182 was made to reproduce a weld joint of a PWR pressurizer nozzle. The susceptibility of Alloy 182 weld to SCC in PWR primary water was studied in four levels of DH, 2, 10, 25 and 50 cm$^3$ H$_2$ (STP).kg$^{-1}$ H$_2$O. From this study the following remarks can be made:

The OCP measurements enabled the quantification of the location of the nickel/nickel oxide (Ni/NiO) phase transition line for the Alloy 182 weld metal in PWR primary water at temperature of 325ºC. It was observed that the transition Ni/NiO occurs at potentials close to -740 mV.

Whereas the influence of dissolved hydrogen in the Alloy 182 weld susceptibility to SCC can be described by the extent that the corrosion potential deviates from of the potential that corresponding to the transition of Ni/NiO ($\Delta$ECP$_{Ni/NiO}$) results indicated that a greater resistance of this material to SCC occurs at 50 cm$^3$ H$_2$ (STP).kg$^{-1}$ H$_2$O, followed by 2, 25 and 10 cm$^3$ H$_2$ (STP).kg$^{-1}$ H$_2$O.

The methodology developed using The SSRT test in assessing the Alloy 182 weld SCC susceptibility reproduced the same order of magnitude of the results obtained in constant load test, demonstrating its feasibility for obtained the CGR of this alloy in PWR primary water in less time and cost.

Within the normal range for operating PWRs (25–50 cm$^3$ H$_2$ (STP).kg$^{-1}$ H$_2$O) the influence of hydrogen content on SCC was significant. The crack growth rate at 25 cm$^3$ H$_2$ (STP).kg$^{-1}$ H$_2$O was 7 times higher than at 50 cm$^3$ H$_2$ (STP).kg$^{-1}$ H$_2$O. It is well known from literature data that the stability of oxides formed on nickel-based alloys at high temperature water is influenced by the closeness to the Ni/NiO transition line. In this study it was observed that at 50 cm$^3$ H$_2$ (STP).kg$^{-1}$ H$_2$O the potential is more cathodic and at this condition the measured potential is away from the Ni/NiO phase boundary. These results suggest less SCC susceptibility in DH content of 50 cm$^3$ H$_2$ (STP).kg$^{-1}$ H$_2$O. In view of that, control of dissolved hydrogen can be an effective countermeasure for the mitigation of SCC in PWR primary water.
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