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1. Introduction

The bipedal structure is one of the most versatile ones for the employment of walking robots. The biped robot has almost the same mechanisms as a human and is suitable for moving in an environment which contains stairs, obstacle etc, where a human lives. However, the dynamics involved are highly nonlinear, complex and unstable. So it is difficult to generate human-like walking motion. To realize human-shaped and human-like walking robots, we call this as humanoid robot, many researches on the biped walking robots have been reported [1-4]. In contrast to industrial robot manipulators, the interaction between the walking robots and the ground is complex. The concept of the zero moment point (ZMP) [2] is known to give good results in order to control this interaction. As an important criterion for the stability of the walk, the trajectory of the ZMP beneath the robot foot during the walk is investigated [1-7]. Through the ZMP, we can synthesize the walking patterns of humanoid robot and demonstrate walking motion with real robots. Thus ZMP is indispensable to ensure dynamic stability for a biped robot. The ZMP represents the point at which the ground reaction force is applied. The location of the ZMP can be obtained computationally using a model of the robot. But it is possible that there is a large error between the actual ZMP and the calculated one, due to the deviations of the physical parameters between the mathematical model and the real machine. Thus, actual ZMP should be measured to realize stable walking with a control method that makes use of it.

In this chapter, actual ZMP data throughout the whole walking phase are obtained from the practical humanoid robot. And evolutionary inductive self-organizing network [8-9] is applied. So we obtained natural walking motions on the flat floor, some slopes, and uneven floor.

2. Evolutionary Inductive Self-organizing Network

In this Section we will depict the evolutionary inductive self-organizing network (EISON) to be applied to the practical humanoid robot. Firstly the algorithm and its structure are shown and evaluation to show the usefulness of the method will be followed.
2.1 Algorithm and structure

The EISON has an architecture similar to feed-forward neural networks whose neurons are replaced by polynomial nodes. The output of the each node in EISON structure is obtained using several types of high-order polynomial such as linear, quadratic, and modified quadratic of input variables. These polynomials are called as partial descriptions (PDs). The PDs in each layer can be designed by evolutionary algorithm. The framework of the design procedure of the EISON [8-9] comes as a sequence of the following steps.

[Step 1] Determine input candidates of a system to be targeted.
[Step 2] Form training and testing data.
[Step 3] Design partial descriptions and structure evolutionally.
[Step 4] Check the stopping criterion.
[Step 5] Determine new input variables for the next layer.

In the following, a more in-depth discussion on the design procedures, step 1~step 5, is provided.

Step 1: Determine input candidates of a system to be targeted

We define the input variables such as $x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \ldots, x_n$, related to output variables $y_i$, where $N$ and $i$ are the number of entire input variables and input-output data set, respectively.

Step 2: Form training and testing data.

The input-output data set is separated into training ($\text{tr}$) data set and testing ($\text{te}$) data set. Obviously we have $\text{tr} + \text{te} = n$. The training data set is used to construct an EISON model and the testing data set is used to evaluate the constructed EISON model.

Step 3: Design partial descriptions (PD) and structure evolutionally.

When we design the EISON, the most important consideration is the representation strategy, that is, how to encode the key factors of the PDs, order of the polynomial, the number of input variables, and the optimum input variables, into the chromosome. We employ a binary coding for the available design specifications. We code the order and the inputs of each node in the EISON as a finite-length string. Our chromosomes are made of three sub-chromosomes. The first one is consisted of 2 bits for the order of polynomial (PD), the second one is consisted of 3 bits for the number of inputs of PD, and the last one is consisted of $N$ bits which are equal to the number of entire input candidates in the current layer. These input candidates are the node outputs of the previous layer. The representation of binary chromosomes is illustrated in Fig. 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bits in the 1st sub-chromosome</th>
<th>Order of polynomial (PD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>00</td>
<td>Type 1 – Linear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
<td>Type 2 – Quadratic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Type 3 – Modified quadratic</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Relationship between bits in the 1st sub-chromosome and order of PD.

The 1st sub-chromosome is made of 2 bits. It represents several types of order of PD. The relationship between bits in the 1st sub-chromosome and the order of PD is shown in Table 1. Thus, each node can exploit a different order of the polynomial.
The 3rd sub-chromosome has N bits, which are concatenated a bit of 0’s and 1’s coding. The input candidate is represented by a 1 bit if it is chosen as input variable to the PD and by a 0 bit it is not chosen. This way solves the problem of which input variables to be chosen. If many input candidates are chosen for model design, the modeling is computationally complex, and normally requires a lot of time to achieve good results. In addition, it causes improper results and poor generalization ability. Good approximation performance does not necessarily guarantee good generalization capability. To overcome this drawback, we introduce the 2nd sub-chromosome into the chromosome. The 2nd sub-chromosome is consisted of 3 bits and represents the number of input variables to be selected. The number based on the 2nd sub-chromosome is shown in the Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bits in the 2nd sub-chromosome</th>
<th>Number of inputs to PD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>000</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>010</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>011</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Relationship between bits in the 2nd sub-chromosome and number of inputs to PD.

Input variables for each node are selected among entire input candidates as many as the number represented in the 2nd sub-chromosome. Designer must determine the maximum number in consideration of the characteristic of system, design specification, and some prior knowledge of model. With this method we can solve the problems such as the conflict between overfitting and generalization and the requirement of a lot of computing time.
The relationship between chromosome and information on PD is shown in Fig. 2. The PD corresponding to the chromosome in Fig. 2 is described briefly as Fig. 3.

Fig. 2 shows an example of PD. The various pieces of required information are obtained from its chromosome. The 1st sub-chromosome shows that the polynomial order is Type 2 (quadratic form). The 2nd sub-chromosome shows two input variables to this node. The 3rd sub-chromosome tells that $x_1$ and $x_6$ are selected as input variables. The node with PD corresponding to Fig. 2 is shown in Fig. 3. Thus, the output of this PD, $\hat{y}$, can be expressed as (1).

$$\hat{y} = f(x_1, x_6) = c_0 + c_1 x_1 + c_2 x_1 x_6 + c_3 x_1^2 + c_4 x_6^2 + c_5 x_1 x_6,$$

where coefficients $c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_5$ are evaluated using the training data set by means of the standard least square estimation (LSE). Therefore, the polynomial function of PD is formed automatically according to the information of sub-chromosomes.

Fig. 2. Example of PD whose various pieces of required information are obtained from its chromosome.

Fig. 3. Node with PD corresponding to chromosome in Fig. 2.

Step 4: Check the stopping criterion.
The EISON algorithm terminates when the 3rd layer is reached.

Step 5: Determine new input variables for the next layer.
If the stopping criterion is not satisfied, the next layer is constructed by repeating step 3 through step 4.
The overall design procedure of EISON is shown in Fig. 4. At the beginning of the process, the initial populations comprise a set of chromosomes that are scattered all over the search space. The populations are all randomly initialized. Thus, the use of heuristic knowledge is minimized. The assignment of the fitness in evolutionary algorithm serves as guidance to lead the search toward the optimal solution. Fitness function with several specific cases for modeling will be explained later. After each of the chromosomes is evaluated and associated with a fitness, the current population undergoes the reproduction process to create the next generation of population. The roulette-wheel selection scheme is used to determine the members of the new generation of population. After the new group of population is built, the mating pool is formed and the crossover is carried out. The crossover proceeds in three steps. First, two newly reproduced strings are selected from the mating pool produced by reproduction. Second, a position (one point) along the two strings is selected uniformly at random. The third step is to exchange all characters following the crossing site. We use one-point crossover operator with a crossover probability of $P_c$ (0.85). This is then followed by the mutation operation. The mutation is the occasional alteration of a value at a particular bit position (we flip the states of a bit from 0 to 1 or vice versa). The mutation serves as an insurance policy which would recover the loss of a particular piece of information (any simple bit). The mutation rate used is fixed at 0.05 ($P_m$). Generally, after these three operations, the overall fitness of the population improves. Each of the population generated then goes through a series of evaluation, reproduction, crossover, and mutation, and the
procedure is repeated until a termination condition is reached. After the evolution process, the final generation of population consists of highly fit bits that provide optimal solutions. After the termination condition is satisfied, one chromosome (PD) with the best performance in the final generation of population is selected as the output PD. All remaining other chromosomes are discarded and all the nodes that do not have influence on this output PD in the previous layers are also removed. By doing this, the EISON model is obtained.

2.2 Fitness function for EISON

The important thing to be considered for the evolutionary algorithm is the determination of the fitness function. The genotype representation encodes the problem into a string while the fitness function measures the performance of the model. It is quite important for evolving systems to find a good fitness measurement. To construct models with significant approximation and generalization ability, we introduce the error function such as

$$E = \theta \times PI + (1 - \theta) \times EPI$$

(2)

where $\theta \in [0,1]$ is a weighting factor for PI and EPI, which denote the values of the performance index for the training data and testing data, respectively, as expressed in (5). Then the fitness value is determined as follows:

$$F = \frac{1}{1+ E}$$

(3)

Maximizing F is identical to minimizing E. The choice of $\theta$ establishes a certain tradeoff between the approximation and generalization ability of the EISON.

2.3 Evaluation of the EISON

We show the performance of our EISON for well known nonlinear system to see the applicability. In addition, we demonstrate how the proposed EISON model can be employed to identify the highly nonlinear function. The performance of this model will be compared with that of earlier works. The function to be identified is a three-input nonlinear function given by (4)

$$y = (1 + x_1^{15} + x_2^{15} + x_3^{15})^2$$

(4)

which is widely used by Takagi and Hayashi [10], Sugeno and Kang [11], and Kondo [12] to test their modeling approaches.

40 pairs of the input-output data sets are obtained from (4) [14]. The data is divided into training data set (Nos. 1-20) and testing data set (Nos. 21-40). To compare the performance, the same performance index, average percentage error (APE) adopted in [10-14] is used.

$$APE = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left| \frac{y_i - \hat{y}_i}{y_i} \right| \times \text{100}$$

(5)

where $m$ is the number of data pairs and $y_i$ and $\hat{y}_i$ are the $i$-th actual output and model output, respectively.

The design parameters of EISON in each layer are shown in Table 3. The simulation results of the EISON are summarized in Table 4. The overall lowest values of the performance index, PI=0.188 EPI=1.087, are obtained at the third layer when the weighting factor ($\theta$) is 0.25.
Parameters | 1st layer | 2nd layer | 3rd layer
---|---|---|---
Maximum generations | 40 | 60 | 80
Population size ($w$) | 20 (15) | 60 (50) | 80
String length | 8 | 20 | 55
Crossover rate ($P_c$) | 0.85 | | |
Mutation rate ($P_m$) | 0.05 | | |
Weighting factor: $\theta$ | 0.1–0.9 | | |
Type (order) | 1–3 | | |

Table 3. Design parameters of EISON for modeling.

$w$: the number of chosen nodes whose outputs are used as inputs to the next layer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Weighting factor</th>
<th>1st layer</th>
<th>2nd layer</th>
<th>3rd layer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PI</td>
<td>EPI</td>
<td>PI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>6.8199</td>
<td>5.7845</td>
<td>2.3895</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>6.8199</td>
<td>5.7845</td>
<td>0.8535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>6.8199</td>
<td>5.7845</td>
<td>1.6324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>6.8199</td>
<td>5.7845</td>
<td>1.9092</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>6.8199</td>
<td>5.7845</td>
<td>2.5083</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Values of performance index of the proposed EISON model.

Fig. 5 illustrates the trend of the performance index values produced in successive generations of the evolutionary algorithm when the weighting factor $\theta$ is 0.25. Fig. 6 shows the values of error function and fitness function in successive evolutionary algorithm generations when the $\theta$ is 0.25. Fig. 7 depicts the proposed EISON model with 3 layers when the $\theta$ is 0.25. The structure of EISON is very simple and has a good performance.
Values of the error function and fitness function with respect to the successive generations ($\theta = 0.25$).

Fig. 6. Values of the error function (E) and fitness function (F) with respect to the successive generations ($\theta = 0.25$).

![Graph showing error function and fitness function](image)

(a) error function (E)  
(b) fitness function (F)

Fig. 7. Structure of the EISON model with 3 layers ($\theta = 0.25$).

Fig. 8 shows the identification performance of the proposed EISON and its errors when the $\theta$ is 0.25. The output of the EISON follows the actual output very well.

Table 5 shows the performance of the proposed EISON model and other models studied in the literature. The experimental results clearly show that the proposed model outperforms the existing models both in terms of better approximation capabilities (PI) as well as superb generalization abilities (EPI).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>APE</th>
<th>PI (%)</th>
<th>EPI (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GMDH model [12]</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuzzy model [11]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 2</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FNN [14]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 1</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 2</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 3</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GD-FNN [13]</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EISON</td>
<td>0.188</td>
<td>1.087</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Performance comparison of various identification models.
3. Practical Biped Humanoid Robot

3.1 Design

Biped humanoid robot designed and implemented is shown in Fig. 9. The specification of our biped humanoid robot is depicted in Table 6. The robot has 19 joints and the height and the weight are about 445mm and 3000g including vision camera. For the reduction of the weight, the body is made of aluminum materials. Each joint is driven by the RC servomotor that consists of a DC motor, gear, and simple controller. Each of the RC servomotors is mounted in the link structure. This structure is strong against falling down of the robot and it looks smart and more similar to a human.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Height : 445mm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weight</td>
<td>3kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPU</td>
<td>TMS320LF2407 DSP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actuator (RC Servo motors)</td>
<td>HSR-5995TG (Torque : 30kg cm at 7.4V)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degree of freedom</td>
<td>19 DOF (Leg+Arm+Waist) = 2<em>6 + 3</em>2+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power source</td>
<td>Battery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actuator</td>
<td>AA Size Ni-poly (7.4V, 1700mAh )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control board</td>
<td>AAAA size Ni-poly (7.4V, 700mAh)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6. Specification of our humanoid robot
Fig. 9. Designed and implemented humanoid robot.
Front and side view of 3D robot and its practical figures are shown in Fig. 10. Block diagram of the biped walking robot and its electric diagram of control board and actuators are also shown in Figs. 11 and 12, respectively. Implemented control board and its electric wiring diagram schematic is presented in Fig. 13.
3.2 Zero moment point measurement system

As an important criterion for the stability of the walk, the trajectory of the zero moment point (ZMP) beneath the robot foot during the walk is investigated. Through the ZMP, we can synthesize the walking patterns of biped walking robot and demonstrate walking motion with real robots. Thus ZMP is indispensable to ensure dynamic stability for a biped robot.
The places of joints in walking are shown in Fig. 14. The measured ZMP trajectory data to be considered here are obtained from 10 degree of freedoms (DOFs) as shown in Fig. 14. Two DOFs are assigned to hips and ankles and one DOF to the knee on both sides. From these joint angles, cyclic walking pattern has been realized. This biped walking robot can walk continuously without falling down. The zero moment point (ZMP) trajectory in the robot foot support area is a significant criterion for the stability of the walk. In many studies, ZMP coordinates are computed using a model of the robot and information from the joint encoders. But we employed more direct approach which is to use measurement data from sensors mounted at the robot feet.

The type of force sensor used in our experiments is FlexiForce sensor A201 which is shown in Fig. 15. They are attached to the four corners of the sole plate. Sensor signals are digitized by an ADC board, with a sampling time of 10 ms. Measurements are carried out in real time.
The foot pressure is obtained by summing the force signals. By using the force sensor data, it is easy to calculate the actual ZMP data. Feet support phase ZMPs in the local foot coordinate frame are computed by equation 6

\[
p = \frac{\sum f_i r_i}{\sum f_i}
\]

where \( f_i \) is each force applied to the right and left foot sensors and \( r_i \) is sensor position which is vector.

4. Walking Pattern Analysis of the Humanoid Robot

The walking motions of the biped humanoid robot are shown in Figs. 16-18. These figures show series of snapshots in the front views of the biped humanoid robot walking on a flat floor, some slopes, and uneven floor, respectively. Fig. 16 gives the series of front views of this humanoid robot walking on a flat floor. In Fig. 17 depict the series of front views of this humanoid robot going up on an ascent. Fig. 18 shows another type of walking of biped humanoid robot, which is walking motion on an uneven floor.

Fig. 16. Side view of the biped humanoid robot on a flat floor

Fig. 17. Side view of the biped humanoid robot on an ascent.
Fig. 18. Side view of the biped humanoid robot on an uneven floor.

Experiments using EISON was conducted and the results are summarized in tables and figures below. The design parameters of evolutionary inductive self-organizing network in each layer are shown in Table 7. The results of the EISON for the walking humanoid robot on the flat floor are summarized in Table 8. The overall lowest values of the performance indices, 6.865 and 10.377, are obtained at the third layer when the weighting factor (\( \theta \)) is 1. In addition, the generated ZMP positions and corresponding ZMP trajectory are shown in Fig. 19. Table 9 depicts the condition and results for the actual ZMP positions of our humanoid walking robot on an ascent floor. We can also see the corresponding ZMP trajectories in Fig. 20, respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>1st layer</th>
<th>2nd layer</th>
<th>3rd layer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maximum generations</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population size ((w))</td>
<td>40:(30)</td>
<td>100:(80)</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>String length</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crossover rate ((P_c))</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mutation rate ((P_m))</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weighting factor: (\theta)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type (order)</td>
<td>1~3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7. Design parameters of evolutionary inductive self-organizing network.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Walking condition</th>
<th>Layer</th>
<th>MSE (mm)</th>
<th>(x)-coordinate</th>
<th>(y)-coordinate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>slope (deg.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0°</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9.676</td>
<td>18.566</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7.641</td>
<td>13.397</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6.865</td>
<td>10.377</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 8. Condition and the corresponding MSE are included for actual ZMP position in four step motion of our humanoid robot.
Fig. 19. Generated ZMP positions and corresponding ZMP trajectories (0°).

5. Concluding remarks
This chapter deals with advanced humanoid robot based on the evolutionary inductive self-organizing network. Humanoid robot is the most versatile ones and has almost the same mechanisms as a human and is suitable for moving in an human’s environment. But the dynamics involved are highly nonlinear, complex and unstable. So it is difficult to generate human-like walking motion. In this chapter, we have employed zero moment point as an important criterion for the balance of the walking robots. In addition, evolutionary inductive self-organizing network is also utilized to establish empirical relationships between the humanoid walking robots and the ground and to explain empirical laws by incorporating them into the humanoid robot. From obtained natural walking motions of the humanoid robot, EISON can be effectively used to the walking robot and we can see the synergy effect humanoid robot and evolutionary inductive self-organizing network.
Fig. 20. Generated ZMP positions and corresponding ZMP trajectories (10°).
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For many years, the human being has been trying, in all ways, to recreate the complex mechanisms that form the human body. Such task is extremely complicated and the results are not totally satisfactory. However, with increasing technological advances based on theoretical and experimental researches, man gets, in a way, to copy or to imitate some systems of the human body. These researches not only intended to create humanoid robots, great part of them constituting autonomous systems, but also, in some way, to offer a higher knowledge of the systems that form the human body, objectifying possible applications in the technology of rehabilitation of human beings, gathering in a whole studies related not only to Robotics, but also to Biomechanics, Biomimetics, Cybernetics, among other areas. This book presents a series of researches inspired by this ideal, carried through by various researchers worldwide, looking for to analyze and to discuss diverse subjects related to humanoid robots. The presented contributions explore aspects about robotic hands, learning, language, vision and locomotion.
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