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1. Introduction

Bicuspid aortic valve (BAV) disease is the most common congenital cardiovascular malformation with prevalence of 1-2% in the human population (Hoffman, 1990; Hoffman & Kaplan, 2002). Current clinical and scientific studies reveal that bicuspid aortic disease is not a simple valve condition. It increasingly appears to be a genetically based connective tissue disorder. It has been reported that a molecular abnormality in the extracellular matrix may lead to abnormal cell differentiation during valvulogenesis; however, the exact mechanism remains unclear (Nataatmadja et al., 2003; Eisenberg et al., 1995; Fedak et al., 2002). An important problem is that the aorta of patients with BAV is not normal in strength or size. BAV is frequently associated with other cardiovascular malformations, including aortic root dilatation, aortic stenosis, coarctation of the aorta, and ventricular defects. Although symptoms often manifest in adulthood, there is a wide spectrum of presentations ranging from severe disease detected in utero to asymptomatic disease in old age. Complications can include aortic valve stenosis or incompetence, endocarditis, aortic aneurysm formation, and aortic dissection. Two large contemporary series have demonstrated that the life expectancy in adults with normally functioning BAV is not shortened when compared with that of the general population whereas age and severity of disease were associated with primary cardiac events (Michelena et al., 2008; Tzemos et al., 2008). The risk of aortic dilatation and aortic dissection is higher in patients with BAV than in the general population. In most of patients with BAV, symptoms and physical findings often are absent for many years, whereas the clinical consequences in patients with BAV are associated with regurgitation, endocarditis, and aortic aneurysm and dissection. Endocarditis is an important complication for patients with BAV. It occurs particularly in patients with regurgitant or obstructive valves, although the risk of endocarditis may also be high also in hemodynamically stable patients. Prior studies reported significant mortality in patients with infected BAV. However, the last ACC/AHA guidelines recommend that antibiotic prophylaxis is not indicated in young patients and adolescents with BAV (Nishimura et al., 2008). Patients with moderate valvular dysfunction and normal left ventricular dimensions should be systematically monitored using echocardiography. In addition hypertension should be carefully followed by a cardiologist or cardiac surgeon with specific interest in this valve pathology.

Adequate oral hygiene and antibiotic prophylaxis during dental procedures or when a poor cardiac condition is present are important for preventing endocarditis. Surgery is indicated for severe valvular dysfunction, symptomatic patients, and aortic dilatation.
This article addresses the embryology, genetic, pathophysiology, clinical presentation, diagnostic procedures, and therapeutic strategies for BAV. In this chapter we will present some cases with BAV with different prognoses from our clinic.

2. Embryology

The cardiac structure is evident from the second week of gestation, whereas separation of the heart into four chambers is completed during the sixth and seventh weeks of gestation, resulting in separated systemic and pulmonary circulation. The process of aortic valve morphogenesis begins from the cardiac cushions located in the ventricular outflow tract of the primary heart tube. The pathogenesis of BAV is still unclear. Studies in a Syrian hamster model with a high prevalence of BAV reported that fusion of the right and the left valve cushions is a key factor in the formation of BAVs (Sans-Coma et al., 2006). A previous study suggested that BAV is a consequence of the anomalous behavior of cells derived from the neural crest because BAV often is associated with congenital aortic arch malformations and other neural crest-derived systems (Kappetein et al., 1991). Other studies suggest that extracellular matrix proteins may affect the initiation of cell differentiation during valvulogenesis, while a molecular abnormality in this process may lead to the formation of abnormal cusps (Eisenberg et al., 1995; Fedak et al., 2002).

These abnormalities cause the fusion of two cusps and lead to one larger cusp; therefore, the BAV usually includes two unequally sized cusps, the presence of a central raphe, and smooth cusp margin (Figure 1). A previous clinicopathologic study of a large group surgically excised congenital BAVs showed that rapheal position was between the right and left cusp in 86% of cases (Sabet et al., 1999). An anomalous origin of coronary arteries depends on the spatial orientation of the two cusps. When the orientation of the cusps is anteroposterior, the coronary arteries originate from the anterior sinus or if cusps laterolateral oriented the right coronary artery originate from the common trunk and right Valsava’s sinus (Schang et al., 1975). An anomalous origin of coronary arteries may be associated with myocardial hypoperfusion and angina pectoris.

![Diagram of aortic valve with different cusps and raphe positions](https://www.intechopen.com)

Fig. 1. The morphologic pattern of BAV. (a): Fusion of the right-coronary and left-coronary leaflets. (b): Fusion of the right-coronary and non-coronary leaflets. (c): Fusion of the left-coronary and non-coronary leaflet. RCA, right coronary artery. LCA, left coronary artery. The red dotted line indicate the raphal position.
3. Genetics of the bicuspid aortic valve

BAV is a complex congenital disease; therefore, its etiology remains unclear, but genetic factors have been proposed. Most BAVs occur as an isolated congenital defect; however, a study on 41 families with a family member having surgically corrected BAV reported the prevalence of BAV to be at least 14.6% (Emanuel et al., 1978). A much more recent study in 50 probands with BAV concluded that the heritability (h) of BAV was 89%, and suggested that in this population, BAV is almost entirely genetic (Cripe et al., 2004). Two studies have identified genomic regions responsible for cardiovascular congenital disease associated with BAV. The first genetic cause of BAV is Anderson syndrome, which is reported to be a result of mutations in the KCNJ2 gene, whereas it clinically presents as ventricular arrhythmias, periodic paralysis, and scoliosis (Andelfinger et al., 2002). Another study in a large family with autosomal-dominant aortic valve disease diagnosed using genome-wide linkage analysis suggests that NOTCH1 gene mutations mapped to chromosome 9q-34 are responsible for the early developmental defect in the aortic valve (Garg et al., 2005). These studies are very important for understanding the complex etiology of congenital valvular disease, and they may help us to develop novel therapeutic strategies for preventing and treating BAV.

4. Congenital cardiovascular syndromes associated with bicuspid aortic valve

4.1 Coarctation of the aorta

It has been reported that BAV is presented in > 50% of patients with coarctation of the aorta (COA) (Duran et al., 1995). Patients with COA and BAV are reported to have more severe disease associated with aortic stenosis, aortic regurgitation, and aortic aneurysm. The risk of dissection of the aorta and death is greater when COA and BAV are comorbid (Abbott, 1928). Microfibrilar proteins such as fibrillin-1 may be deficient during valvulogenesis, which could reduce the structural integrity both in the aortic valve and aorta. Aortic dysregulation is associated with increased activity of metalloproteinases, which may have impact on fibrillin-1 (McMillan et al., 1997).

4.2 Turner syndrome

Turner syndrome characterized by a defect in or the absence of one X chromosome. Except for gonadal dysgenesis, cardiovascular defects are commonly present in this group of patients. Clinical research on patients with Turner Syndrome reports that BAV is present in 30% of cases, that over 95% of BAV result from fusion of the right and left coronary leaflets, and that aortic ascending diameters are significantly greater in this group of patients (Miller et al., 1983; Sachdev et al., 2008).

4.3 Patent ductus arteriosus

Patent ductus arteriosus is usually obliterated during the first month of life (Mitchell, 1957; Reller et al., 1988; Mandorla et al., 1990; Lim et al., 1992). Patent ductus arteriosus is usually present in pediatric patients with BAV and may be associated with hand anomalies (Gelb et al., 1999).

4.4 Williams syndrome

Williams Syndrome is arteriopathy characterized by supravalvular aortic stenosis which may be associated with COA, renal artery stenosis, and arterial hypertension. Williams
syndrome has also been associated with complete atrioventricular septal defect (Nakamoto et al., 2003), and is reported to be commonly present in patients with BAV (Sugayama et al., 2003; Hallidie-Smith & Karas, 1988).

4.5 Ventricular septal defect
Ventricular architecture may be characterized by complex malformation during embryogenesis involving both septation and valve formation. Ventricular septal defects are often associated with BAV and other complex congenital malformations (Oppenheimer-Dekker et al., 1985). BAV is reported to be present in up to 30% of adult patients with small ventricular septal defects (Neumayer et al., 1988). However, BAV may also be associated with large ventricular septal defects and poor clinical outcome (Berisha et al., 2009).

5. Pathophysiology
Valve leaflet morphology and orientation are competent for pathophysiology of left heart. Adhesions of commissures and cusp calcifications predisposes to eventual stenosis, hemodynamically presented by different pressures between left ventricle (LV) and the ascending aorta during the systolic and diastolic period. Aortic stenosis is the most common complication of BAV, whereas aortic regurgitation is reported to be present in 13% of cases (Sabet et al., 1999). Turbulent flow along the abnormal structure of the BAV leads to fibrotic changes and stenotic progression. The pressure differences in stenotic patients with BAV are important because deviation from normal flow can cause important changes, in both the LV and the ascending aorta. High LV pressure in BAV increases wall stress, which results in the generation of concentric ventricle hypertrophy. Previous studies suggest that mechanical stimuli of myocytes can induce ventricular hypertrophy by specific gene expression, possibly via protein kinase C activation (Komuro et al., 1991, 1999). Progressively over time, the LV becomes more hypertrophic and less compliant, which contributes to a reduction of LV function. At this point, reduced stroke volume and cardiac output may lead to congestive heart failure. Post-stenotic dilatation may be a result of prolonged and severe aortic stenosis. Abnormal flow along the wall of the aorta can vibrate the vessel wall at different frequencies (Boughner & Roach, 1971), and may directly modulate elastin, whereas histopathological findings in congenital cardiac syndromes show medial degeneration and decreased fibrillin-1 in the aortic wall (Nataatmadja et al., 2003); however, the exact mechanism causing aortic dilatation in patients with BAV is unclear. BAV may be associated with abnormal coronary arteries or the coronary ostium may be stenotic (Roberts, 1970), while angina pectoris is reported to be present in patients with severe aortic stenosis and without coronary disease (Julius et al., 1997). Wall stress during systole and diastole, and impaired LV relaxation in severe aortic stenosis, reduces the coronary flow reserve and causes subendocardial underperfusion; however, the exact mechanisms of angina pectoris in BAV are not very clear.
Aortic regurgitation in patients with BAV is a result of cusp prolapse, fibrotic retraction, or dilatation of the sinotubular junction. BAV tends to become progressively more stenotic or regurgitant over time, and the valve becomes the site of infective endocarditis. Endocarditis is a result of turbulent flow, which induces chronic abrasion and abscess formation. Endocarditis can cause valve destruction and lead to severe aortic incompetence associated with a poor clinical outcome.
6. Clinical presentation

The clinical presentation of patients with BAV can vary from severe valve disease in infancy to asymptomatic valve disease in old age. Disease is more severe and has poor clinical outcomes in infants with BAV comorbid with aortic stenosis (Hastreiter et al., 1963; Moller et al., 1966).

Symptoms are a result of valvular stenosis, regurgitation, endocarditis, and aortic complications such as dilatation and dissection. Symptoms associated with aortic stenosis are angina pectoris, syncope, and congestive heart failure. Stenosis is more rapid if the aortic cusps are asymmetrical or anteroposteriorly oriented (Ward, 2008). Angina pectoris occurs in patients with severe aortic stenosis and in those who do not have coronary artery disease; it may be a result of ventricular hypertrophy.

Syncope is another common symptom in patients with BAV. Syncope reflects the cerebral hypoperfusion caused by the inability to increase stroke volume during physical activity. The most common complication of aortic stenosis is congestive heart failure symptomatically presented with dyspnea, which is a result of combined diastolic and systolic dysfunction caused by elevated afterload and increased filling pressures.

Aortic regurgitation reported to be more common in young patients and caused by prolapse of the greater cusp, which may associated with aortic root dilatation. Although young patients with BAV often are asymptomatic, echocardiographic studies show that 47% of this group of patients have some degree of incompetence (Michelena et al., 2008). Aortic regurgitation in patients with BAV carries an increased risk of endocarditis. If a patient with BAV complians of fever, weakness, and chest pain, endocarditis may be present. Endocarditis occurs in 10-30% of patients with BAV and can lead to valve perforation or destruction (Ward, 2008).

Aortic dilatation and dissection can be echocardiographically diagnosed in patients with minimal valvular dysfunction even when they are asymptomatic; therefore, the risk of aortic dissection in patients with BAV may be higher than clinical presentation. Aortic dilatation may be progressive and often requires surgical correction (Duran et al., 1990; Sabet et al., 1999).

The clinical presentation in patients with BAV and presence of other cardiac congenital defects depends from structural complexity of the heart. In patients with COA, the presence of hypertension increases the risk of aortic dissection, considering that congenital abnormalities of the aortic wall are also involved. Patient may complain of chest pain, hoarseness, and respiratory difficulties. In adult patients with interventricular septal defects, the clinical presentation depends on the size of the defect area and the grade of aortic stenosis. If the interventricular defect is small, the patient may be asymptomatic, but when the interventricular defect is large, cardiac output will decrease and Eisenmenger syndrome will develop. Eisenmenger syndrome clinically presents with central cyanosis and shortness of breath during physical activity. However, during that the BAV becomes thicker, more fibrotic, and more calcified, and if is not surgically corrected cardiac output will decrease dramatically and may lead to cardiac death.

Two large recent series reported that clinical course of unoperated patients with BAV depends on age, stenosis, and aortic incompetence (Michelena et al., 2008; Tzemos et al., 2008). The severe aortic stenosis, and severe aortic incompetence in older patients increases the risk of primary cardiac events including cardiac death. Both these studies suggest that intervention on the basis of early symptoms or incipient cardiac dysfunction may decreases the mortality of patients with BAV.
7. Diagnosis

7.1 Physical examination

A physical examination is very helpful for evaluating the complications of BAV. Munt et al. (1999) reported that the amplitude of the pulse in the carotid artery was a significant predictor of outcome in patients with valvular aortic stenosis. If stenosis is present, the arterial pulse is small or weak and rises slowly, described as “parvus et tardus”. The cardiac pulse at the apex initially is normal; however, arterial pulse is delayed and reduced in amplitude if evaluated by palpation of the carotid artery. Auscultatory findings are best heard in the left second intercostal space. The S1 usually is normal but sometimes may be associated with ejection click. The S2 is soft, and when aortic stenosis is present, S2 occurs simultaneously with P2. In aortic stenosis, an ejection systolic murmur is heard in the left second intercostal space but may also be transmitted to the carotid arteries. If aortic incompetence is present, a diastolic murmur of aortic regurgitation may be heard.

7.2 ECG

The ECG changes are not specific in patients with BAV: left ventricular hypertrophy, atrial enlargement, and arrhythmias may be present.

7.3 Echocardiography

The most important diagnostic method for first detecting and evaluating complications in patients with BAV is echocardiography. A transthoracic echocardiogram (TTE) considered the method of choice for evaluating valvular structure, calcifications, vegetations, cardiac chamber structure, and ejection fraction. Doppler methods are the most common techniques used for evaluating valvular regurgitation. For BAV associated with stenosis, mean gradient and maximal flow velocity should be measured, but when regurgitation is present, the effective regurgitant area (ERO) and Doppler jet size should be evaluated (Quinones, et al., 2002; Zoghbi et al., 2003). Based on recommendations for evaluating the severity of native valvular regurgitation with two-dimensional and Doppler echocardiography, aortic regurgitation is classified as mild, moderate, and severe (Zoghbi et al., 2003). In 2006, ACC/AHA published guidelines for the management of patients with valvular disease in which aortic stenosis classified as mild, moderate, or severe (Table 1). For asymptomatic patients with aortic stenosis, echocardiography is recommended for evaluating disease progression. In asymptomatic patients, TTE recommended: every year for severe aortic stenosis, ever 1-2 years for moderate aortic stenosis and every 3-5 years for mild aortic stenosis (Bonow et al., 2006). Transesophageal echocardiography (TEE) is also very

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Mild</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Severe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jet velocity (m per second)</td>
<td>&lt; 3.0</td>
<td>3.0-4.0</td>
<td>&gt; 4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean gradient (mmHg)</td>
<td>&lt; 25</td>
<td>25-40</td>
<td>&gt; 40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valve area (cm²)</td>
<td>&lt; 1.5</td>
<td>1.0-1.5</td>
<td>&lt; 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valve area index (cm per m²)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Table 1. Classification of the severity of aortic stenosis
important for evaluating the aortic valve and thoracic aorta, whereas the sensitivity and specificity of multiplane technique for assessing aortic valve morphology is high (Alegret et al., 2005; Espinal et al., 2000).

7.4 Other diagnostic procedures
In patients with poor acoustic window, cardiac magnetic resonance (MR) and multidetector computed tomography (CT) are useful for measuring the aortic valve area and is an alternative method to echocardiography in selected cases (Shelton et al., 2003; Pouleur et al., 2007). MR imaging is an essential method for diagnosing COA and root aneurism.

8. Endocarditis prophylaxis
The most common bacteria that causes the formation of perivalvular abscess is Staphylococcus aureus. Indications for antibiotic prophylaxis in patients with BAV are before procedures expected to produce bacteremia; however, new ACC/AHA guidelines recommend that antibiotic prophylaxis is no longer indicated for preventing of infective endocarditis in adolescents and young adults with native heart valve disease (Nishimura et al., 2008). This committee concluded that infective endocarditis prophylaxis for dental procedures is reasonable only for patients with cardiac conditions associated with a high risk of adverse outcomes from infective endocarditis (Table 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedures</th>
<th>Antibiotics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dental :</td>
<td>Amoxicillin, Ampicillin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manipulation of gingival tissue</td>
<td>Penicillin allergic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manipulation of periapical region of teeth</td>
<td>Clindamycin, Cefalexin, Cefadroxil, Cefazolin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perforation of oral mucosa</td>
<td>Azithromycin, Clarithromycin, Clindamycin</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Prophylaxis is not recommended for patients who undergo a:**
- Genitourinary procedure
- Gastrointestinal procedure

Table 2. Recommendations for antibiotics for endocarditis prophylaxis before procedures

9. Treatment
When to surgically treat asymptomatic patients with BAV remains controversial. Sometimes aortic stenosis correlates poorly with clinical presentation; however, if it is combined with regurgitation, symptoms might be present. The risk of sudden death in asymptomatic adult patients with severe aortic stenosis is reported to be less than 1% per year (Pellika et al., 2005). Bonow et al., (2007) and Iung B et al. (2003) reported that valve replacement is not recommend for asymptomatic patients; however, current practice guidelines recommended aortic valve replacement in patients with reduced left ventricular systolic function (EF< 50%) without other explanation even when they are asymptomatic (Table 3).
Class I
1. Indicated for symptomatic patients with severe aortic stenosis
2. Indicated for severe aortic stenosis and undergoing CABG
3. Indicated for severe aortic stenosis and undergoing surgery on the aorta and other heart valves
4. Indicated for severe aortic stenosis and LV dysfunction EF less than 0.50

Class II b
1. Asymptomatic with severe aortic stenosis and abnormal response to exercise
2. Asymptomatic Adults with severe aortic stenosis and rapid progression
3. Mild aortic stenosis, undergoing CABG, rapid progressions
4. Asymptomatic with extremely severe aortic stenosis (when mortality ≤1%)

Class II a
1. Indicated for moderate aortic stenosis and undergoing CABG or surgery of the aorta and other heart valves

Class III
1. AVR is not recommended for preventing sudden cardiac death in patients who have non of the findings listed in IIa and IIb

CABG, coronary artery bypass graft. AVR, aortic valve replacement; Bonow et al. (2006).

Table 3. Indications for aortic valve replacement in patients with aortic stenosis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Indications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Class I</td>
<td>Indicated for symptomatic patients with severe aortic stenosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Indicated for severe aortic stenosis and undergoing CABG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Indicated for severe aortic stenosis and undergoing surgery on the aorta and other heart valves</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Indicated for severe aortic stenosis and LV dysfunction EF less than 0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class II b</td>
<td>Asymptomatic with severe aortic stenosis and abnormal response to exercise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Asymptomatic Adults with severe aortic stenosis and rapid progression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mild aortic stenosis, undergoing CABG, rapid progressions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Asymptomatic with extremely severe aortic stenosis (when mortality ≤1%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Evaluation and Treatment of Dilated Ascending Aorta in patients with Bicuspid Aortic Valve ACC/AHA 2006 Guidelines for the management of patients with valvular heart disease

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Indications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Class I</td>
<td>Patients with bicuspid aortic valve and dilatation of the aortic root or ascending aorta (diameter &gt; 4.0 cm) should undergo serial evaluation of aortic root/ascending aorta size and morphology by echocardiography, CMR or CT on a yearly basis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Surgery to repair the aortic root or replace the ascending aorta is indicated in patients with BAV if the diameter of aortic root or ascending aorta is greater than 5.0 cm or if the rate of increase in diameter is 0.5 cm per year or more.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class IIa</td>
<td>It is reasonable to give b-adrenergic blocking agents to patients with BAV and dilated aortic roots (diameter &gt; than 4.0 cm) who are not candidates for surgical correction and who do not have moderate to severe AR.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CMR imaging or cardiac CT is reasonable in patients with BAV when aortic root dilatation is detected by echocardiography to further quantify severity of dilatation and involvement of the ascending aorta.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In patients with BAV undergoing AVR because of severe AS or AR, repair of the aortic root or replacement of the ascending is indicated if the diameter of the aortic root or ascending aorta is greater than 4.5 cm.

CMR= cardiac magnetic resonance, CT= computed tomography. Bonow et al. (2006).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk factor</th>
<th>EuroSCORE Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age (years)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 60</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60-64</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65-69</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70-74</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-79</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80-84</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85-89</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90-94</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;95</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic pulmonary disease</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extracardiac arteriopathy</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurological dysfunction</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous cardiac surgery</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum creatinine &gt; 200 µM/L</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active endocarditis</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Critical preoperative state</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unstable angina</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LV dysfunction</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEF 30-50%</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEF &lt; 30%</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recent MI &lt; 90 days</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary hypertension, PSAP&gt;60mmHg</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major cardiac procedure other than CABG</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgery of thoracic aorta</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post- infarct septal rupture</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LVEF=left ventricular ejection fraction, MI=myocardial infarction, CABG=coronary artery bypass grafting  
Roques et al. (1999).  
Table 5. Score risk classification in the EuroScore
Elective surgery in patients with severe stenosis can prevent sudden cardiac death, and irreversible cardiac damage, and decrease operative risk. Coady et al., (1997) reported that the growth rate for the ascending segment of the aorta is 0.1 to 0.15 cm per year; therefore, older patients and those who have hypertension must be carefully followed-up and should be informed about the symptoms of aortic dissection. According to the ACC/AHA guidelines, patients with a dilated aortic root or an ascending aorta > 5.0 cm, or if the rate of increase in diameter > 0.5cm per year, should undergo simultaneous AVR and ascending aortic replacement (Table 4).

AVR carries a high risk for adverse events and poor clinical outcome when associated with LV dysfunction. In patients with functional class NYHA III-IV after aortic replacement, the one year mortality increased especially in patients with aortic stenosis (Rothenburger et al., 2003). Based on factors predicting operative mortality, which have been identified form large series of patients undergoing heart-valve surgery, The Task Force on the Management of Valvular Heart Disease of the European Society of Cardiology proposed risk classification scores for this group of patients (Vahanian et al., 2007) (Table 5).

For high-risk patients to undergo conventional novel methods including aortic balloon valvulotomy or transfemoral valve implantation may be helpful. This method reduced one-year mortality from 50.7% in the standard therapy group to 30.7% in transcatheter aortic-valve implantation group (Leon et al., 2010). A patient considered inoperable should be treated orally with angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors, diuretics, and digitalis. In patients with depressed LV associated with pulmonary congestion and atrial fibrillation, diuretics and digitalis may be used with the understanding that in some cases intensive hemodynamic monitoring is needed. Patients with aortic root dilatation > 4.0cm who are not candidate for surgical treatment should be given β-adrenergic blocking agents.

10. Case presentations

Case 1

History
- 42- year-old man referred for chest pain and shortness of breath.
- No previous cardiovascular disease
- CAD risk factors present: smoking, hypertension.

Physical examination
- BP: 140/80 mmHg, Hr: 64/min
- Arterial pulse is small and rises slowly, “parvus et tardus”
- Heart rhythm regular, fourth heart sound (S4), systolic murmur heard at the base of the heart Gr. 4/6

Other diagnostic procedures
- ECG: sinus rhythm, Hr: 64/min, biphasic T in D2, D3, AVF, ST segment depression for 0.5mm in V5 and V6 with biphasic T.
- TTE: cardiac chambers with normal dimensions, EF: 55%, aortic valve is bicuspid with vertical commissure, cusps are unequal, aortic valve area: 1.85cm², V mean: 131 cm/s, VTI: 41.9cm, Mean pressure gradient: 8 mmHg, aorta: 2.90 cm, (Figure 2 and 3).
- TEE: Bicuspid aortic valve with vertical commissure, cusps are unequal, aortal regurgitation (Figure 4).
- Coronary angiography: normal coronary arteries.
Fig. 2. TTE: (a) Parasternal short axis view: BAV during systole, (b) Parasternal short axis view: aortic valve area

Fig. 3. TTE: (a) Normal hemodynamic parameters, (b) Parasternal long axis view: Aortic diameter
Fig. 4. TEE: (a) Short axis view: BAV during systole, (b) Short axis: BAV during diastole, (c) Aortic regurgitation

Comments
- Aortic valve replacement was not indicated in this patient because has no more symptoms, valve area is 1.85cm², aortic dimensions are normal, and LV systolic function is normal at rest (EF> 50)

Treatment
- Prescribed ACE inhibitor, endocarditis prophylaxis therapy, recommended serial evaluation using echocardiography.

Case 2

History
- 57- year- old man referred for chest pain, shortness of breath and syncope.
- No previous cardiovascular disease.
- No CAD risk factors present.

Physical examination
- BP: 120/80 mmHg, Hr: 85/min.
- Arterial pulse in apex is normal while in carotid artery is small.
- Heart rhythm irregular, soft systolic murmur heard at the base of the heart and radiated at the apex.

Other diagnostic procedures
- ECG: atrial fibrillation, Hr: 85/min, ST segment depression for 0.5mm in DI, AVL, V2 -V6.
- TTE: Left cardiac chambers enlarged especially LA, EF: 45%, aortic valve is artificial, Vel: 1.82m/s, PG: 13.2mmHg, incipient dilatation of aorta (Figure 5).

Treatment
- Before surgical treatment: prescribed β-adrenergic blocking agents, anti-angina drugs, endocarditis prophylaxis therapy and recommended surgical correction of BAV.
- After surgical treatment: β-adrenergic blocking agents, anticoagulation therapy, recommended serial evaluation using echocardiography.
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Fig. 5. TTE: (a) Artificial aortic valve (parasternal short axis view), (b) Apical five chamber view, (c) and (d) Parasternal long axis view: incipient aortic dilatation and LA enlargement

Comments
- In this patient we recommended aortic valve replacement because he was symptomatic for several months, he had aortic regurgitation, incipient aortic dilatation, decreased systolic function at rest (EF> 45%).
- After surgical treatment, patient remained stable and without any postoperative complication.
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Much has evolved in the field of aortic valve disease because of the increase in knowledge in the last decade, especially in the area of its management. This book “Aortic Valve” is comprised of 18 chapters covering basic science, general consideration of aortic valve disease, infective endocarditis, aortic sclerosis and aortic stenosis, bioprosthetic valve, transcatheter aortic valve implantation and a special section on congenital anomalies of the aortic valve. We hope this book will be particularly useful to cardiologists and cardiovascular surgeons and trainees. We also believe that this book will be a valuable resource for radiologists, pathologists, cardiovascular anesthesiologists, and other healthcare professionals who have a special interest in treating patients with aortic valve disease. We are certain that information in this book will help to provide virtually most new areas of aortic valve disease that will be employed in the current era.
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