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Neurological Manifestations in Behcet Disease

E. Ait Ben Haddou, A. Benomar, W. Regragui, M. Yahyaoui
Departement of Neurology B and Neurogenetic, Hopital des Specialites, Rabat, Mohammed V Souissi University, Faculty of Medicine of Rabat, Morocco

1. Introduction
Behçet disease is a multisystem disease of unknown cause in which an inflammatory perivasculitis can arise in almost any tissue (Sakane et al., 1999). Neurologic involvement in Behçet disease was first reported by Knapp in 1941. It represented between 4-49% of manifestations of BD (Haghighi et al., 2005). There is one of the most serious causes of long term morbidity and mortality in Behçet’s Disease. As well as, involvement of the central nervous system occurs late in the course of the disease (10-25%) (Ildan et al., 1996; Nakasu et al., 2001). It is caused by primary neural parenchymal lesions or is secondary to major vascular involvement (Adnan et al, 2009). Treatment of the most cases of NB should be given as infusion of intravenous methyl prednisolone followed by a slowly tapering course of oral steroids. (Adnan et al., 2000). Immunosuppressives agents should be used in its severity forms of NB such as meningoencephalitis, deep veins thrombosis and myelopathy. In this Review, we summarise and describe the clinicals manifestations of neurobehcet disease.

2. Epidemiology
Epidemiological, Clinical characterization and pattern of neurological involvement of Behcet’s disease have been reported in different series (Hentati et al., 1993; bohleqa et al., 1993; nakamura et al., 1994; Farah et al,1998; Kidd et al.,1999; Akman et al.,1999; Alfahad et al.,1999). Retrospective and prospective studies found that the prevalence of Neurobehcet disease (NBD) is very variable. Neurologic involvement were occured in 2-49% of cases, other authors estimated their prevalence of 3-50% of cases (Borhani et al., 2009). The age of onset of NBD is usually 20-40 years with an average age of 39 years. In two the last decade, Neurological complications have also been described in children. (Adnan et al., 2005; Araji et al., 2009).

The highest incidence is in the Middle East, the Mediterranean basin, and the Far East regions, but it is rare in Europe and North America. The frequency was 13% in men and 5-6% in women. Neurological manifestations commonly develop a few years after the onset of the other systemic features of BD; The mean duration between onset of BD and development of NBD ranged from 3 to 6 years. (Siva et al., 2001). However, neurological syndrome might coincide or come in advance (~6% of patients) with other systemic
symptoms features of BD, in that case diagnosis can be difficult and causes ambiguity with other systemic diseases (Akman et al., 1999).

3. Classification

There are two categories of neurological involvement in BD that have been generally accepted: parenchymal involvement and non-parenchymal involvement, also called cerebral angio-Behcet’s syndrome. The most syndromes often involved in NBD were meningoencephalitis, myelopathy and deep veins thrombosis which presented 80% in retrospective series (Tohme et al., 2008)

4. Neuropathology

NBD is considered as an inflamatory perivasculitis disease, in an acute meningoencephalitis the most common neuropathologic findings are Severe and acute inflammation consisting mainly of lymphocytic, eosinophils, macrophages, and neutrophilic infiltrations of the perivascular spaces and parenchyma. These lesions can lead to necrotizing and disseminated encephalitis involving the basal ganglia, brainstem, cerebellum and diencephalon.

In the progressive phase, authors found the inflammatory infiltration of lymphocytes and cytokines remains and cuts down. (Hirohata et al., 2008; Heo et al., 2008; Hadfi et al., 1996; Arai et al., 2006; Scardamaglia et al., 2001).

5. Clinical characteristics

In order to the accumulated data, it’s now obvious that it is necessary to handle parenchymal CNS involvement and non parenchymal CNS involvement in Behçet’s disease separately.

5.1 Parenchymal CNS involvement

About 70 à 80% CNS involvement

First of all, Subacute meningoencephalitis accounts for 75% of cases in parenchymal NBD, which performs adverse symptoms, depending on the topography of lesions. It’s and often associated with exacerbation of the systemic features of BD, including fever, malaise, orogenital ulcers, skin lesions, or uveitis (Akman et al., 2003; Joseph et al., 2007). The onset of signs is in the most cases acute or subacute. More rarely, the onset is chronic (Akman et al., 1999). Onset is usually with an attack of headache, hemiparesis and / or gradual behavioural changes. The symptoms and signs are taking a few days to attempt Summit and during several weeks, rely on depending on the extent of the lesion and on how rapidly treatment is initiated. However, spontaneous remissions of symptoms could be taken before initiation of therapy as it has been reported (Hirohata et al., 2007). Different syndromes might be encountered during the course of parenchymal NBD. The patient may frequently show brainstem signs, including pyramidal bilateral and cebellar syndromes, ophthalmoparesis and cranial neuropathy. Moreover, there is evidence for additional cerebral or spinal cord involvement like a day time sleeping with or without hyperphagia and which might be indicative of hypothalamic dysfunction (Wechsler et al., 2002; Houmana et al., 2009)
Second a progressive form of the disease which represents 10% of cases of NBD, including, a worsening subcortical dementia, were usually accompanied by ataxia.

Third, symptoms and signs suggestive of cerebral hemispheric involvement include encephalopathy, hemiparesis, Hemisensory loss, seizures, dysphasia, and mental changes with cognitive dysfunction and psychosis.

Fourth, symptoms and signs of spinal cord involvement include pyramidal signs in the limbs, sensory level dysfunction, and, commonly, sphincter dysfunction.

Finally, asymptomatic (silent) parenchymal NBD is diagnosed if there are no neurological symptoms, but neurological signs on examination (usually pyramidal signs). Recognition of these clinical syndromes might help clinicians dealing with BD to predict the pattern of involvement. They might also help neurologists to remember BD in the differential diagnosis of a patient who presents with one of these neurological syndromes. In addition, other clinical syndromes might arise in BD, and although uncommon, it is important that these syndromes are recognized.

5.1.1 Epilepsy
Neuro-BD-related epileptic disorder has been previously reported in a large series of Behçet disease. Generalized seizures are the predominant type (Aykutlu et al., 2002). Convulsions were observed in 2 - 5% of large series (Al-Fahad et al., 2001). Behçet’s syndrome may present with partial seizure and epilepsia partialis continua (Aktekin et al., 2006). Partial seizures were a presenting feature of NBD in one report (Chroni et al., 2008).

5.1.2 Brain tumour
Since the first described case in 1987; Focal necrotic lesions in the cerebral cortex; only a few cases have been published. (Litvan et al., 2005; Matsuo et al., 2005; Appenzeller et al., 2005; Kosters et al., 2006; Bennett et al., 2004; Park et al., 2002). The regions usually affected are, brainstem, diencephalon, basal ganglia, and internal capsule. The clinical presentation depends on the localizations of brain tumors; usually include intracranial hypertension syndrome and/or impaired of consciousness. These lesions cause a diagnosis problem with the brain tumor and a multiple metastatic tumors.

5.1.3 Movement disorders
Extrapyramidal manifestations which are indicating basal ganglion excessive dysfunction, are rare in most series of patients with NBD.

5.1.4 Acute meningeal syndrome
Aseptic meningitis commonly exists with many parenchymal CNS manifestations of BD. The signs and symptoms are relatively common in parenchymal NBD. However, isolated meningitis might only rarely be the presenting feature of NBD (Kidd et al., 1999; Akman et al., 1999; Al-Fahad et al., 1999).

5.1.5 Optic neuropathy
Optic neuropathy is rare in BD, it has been described only in0.4% of the main series of behçet disease. It can be bilateral and can be recurrent over many years. The severity of the
visual loss and its recovery can be very variable, even in the same patient (Voros et al., 2006; Tarzi et al., 2005).

5.1.6 Spinal cord involvement
Involvement of the spinal cord as part of the diffuse type of parenchymal NBD pattern is rare, represent 11% as reported by the most studies, thurly the spinal cord is commonly found to be involved in autopsy series of Behcet’s disease. More frequently a combination of spinal cord symptoms with other syndromes of parenchymal NBD, However isolated acute transverse myelitis is an exceptional presentation of NBD (Joseph, 2007). Most commonly patients presented with sensory-motor symptoms, sphincter and/or sexual dysfunction evolving over days. Although spinal cord involvement has a n worse prognosis compared with other types of p-NBD. The myelopathy can have a severe primary progressive course, a secondary progressive course after initial attack(s), or an acute attack with severe residual sequela after attacks (Houman et al., 2007; Moskau et al., 2003). 14% had predominantly spinal cord involvement (10 with isolated spinal cord involvement and 13 with additional brainstem and/or hemispheric involvement. The cervical and/or dorsal areas can be involved (Kocer, 1999; Kizilkilic, 1998; Tunc et al., 2003; Calguneri et al., 2005).

5.2 Non-parenchymal NBD
5.2.1 Cerebrovascular involvement
Also called cerebral angio-Behcet’s syndrome, encompassing mainly those instances with dural sinus thrombosis. Cerebrovascular complications include strokes, carotid aneurysm formation and cerebral venous thrombosis (Hadfield et al., 1996; Tunc et al., 2004; B’chir-Hamzaoui et al., 2009)

5.2.1.1 Cerebral venous thrombosis
In 1959, with headache and bilateral papilloedema. CVT constituted about 18% of NBD cases in the studies included, it’s reported to affect men more often than women and occurs at an earlier age. Clinical onset is acute or subacute in most patients. Chronic onset is less frequent. Patient has an intracranial hypertension. a focal deficits, seizures and /or consciousness impairment (Yesilot et al., 2006; Bousser et al., 2007; Akman et al., 1999).

The superior sagittal sinus is the most common site of thrombosis, followed by transverse sinuses, deep cerebral veins and cavernous sinuses, respectively (Mossadeq et al., 2004).
Deep cerebral vein thrombosis is a specific clinical pattern of neuroBehcet. The clinical features of Rosenthal or internal cephalic vein thrombosis predominate. The clinical presentation is highly suggestive of diagnosis confirmed by Imaging ; it’s a mesencephalic diencephalitis syndroma combining hemiparesis, hemiataxie and / or movement disorders and ophthalmoplegia by damage of III (Mossadeq et al., 2004).

5.2.1.2 Ischemic stroke and cerebral vasculitis
are unusual manifestations during the course of BD, it was reported in1-5% of major series in the literature (1999; Al-Araji et al., 2003; Al-Fahad et al., 1999; Barros et al., 2007; Benamour et al., 2006; Borhani-Haghighi et al., 2006; Houman et al., 2007; Joseph et al., 2007; Monaco et al., 2006; Turker et al., 2008).
5.2.1.3 Aneurysm formation
Aneurysm formation is common in the visceral arteries in BD, but extremely rare in the intracranial vasculitis may be involved in the etiology of intracranial aneurysms in patients with BD (Kaku et al., 2007).

5.2.2 Intracranial hypertension
Hypertension manifests as an acute or, more commonly, subacute evolution of headache (92%), papilledema (80%), focal neurologic deficits (32%), seizures (20%), sixth-nerve palsy (16%) and/or altered consciousness (8%). Intracranial hypertension with or without cerebral vein thrombosis appears in 11–35% of all patients. Dural sinus thrombosis, more frequently the superior sagittal sinus; is the main cause of raised intracranial pressure. In the other side, intracranial without sinus thrombosis or MRI abnormalities have been reported in NBD (Pamire et al., 1981; Akman et al., 1996).

5.3 Others
5.3.1 Psychiatric and cognitive disorders
Psychiatric or cognitive symptoms might be the earliest presentation of NBD, patient can have a dementia as a sole presentation of the disease. Patients have usually a special pattern of cognitive decline in with impaired memory, attention, and frontal lobe functions, and poor motivation and personality change, by contrast with relatively preserved linguistic, arithmetic, visuospatial, abstraction, and problem-solving abilities (Akman et al., 1999).
Psychosomatic symptoms, such as anxiety and depression, are the most commonly encountered, in BD. These symptoms are mostly related to the underlying systemic disease, fatigue, functional deterioration, and sociological handicap, but are only rarely due to direct involvement of the CNS (Taner et al., 2007). Behavioural changes were common; where as major psychiatric symptoms were less common in large series (Akman et al., 1999; Al-Fahad et al., 1999; Siva, 2001).
It is possible that psychiatric symptoms could be the first manifestation of the disease. To our knowledge, this is the first case report of Neuro-Behçet’s Syndrome that presents with an acute psychotic attack (Orhan, 2009).
Abnormal cognitive performances were present in 87.8% of the patients, with the most commonly and most severely affected function being long term memory for both verbal and visual modalities, followed by attention, and executive functioning, whereas only 9% of BD patients also showed cognitive deficits in visuospatial functions (Roberto, 2004) found that the main cognitive domain. Previous papers reporting cognitive dysfunction in BD patients included participants with neurological involvement (Akman et al., 1999; Oktem et al., 1999).
However the recent studies reported that BD patients without overt neurological involvement are more likely to have cognitive impairment (Al-Arajiet al., 2009; Wechsler et al., 2002; Taner et al., 2007).

5.3.2 Peripheral nervous system involvements
Peripheral nervous system manifestations are relatively rare in BD. Isolated cases of peripheral neuropathy or myopathy have been reported in Behçet’s disease, they are essentially a case of multiple neuropathies, sensorimotor peripheral neuropathy, neuropathy autonomic dysfunction a Guillain Barré syndrome and hearing loss (Namer et al., 1987). Causes of
sensorineural hearing loss include central Causes of sensorineural hearing loss include central and peripheral nerve disorders. In particular, sensorineural hearing loss due to peripheral neuropathy was reported to be very rare (AK, 2004). Few series have described the clinical manifestations (Namer et al., 1987; Worthmann et al., 1996; Ben Ghorbel et al., 2005). Muscle involvement seems to be exceedingly rare in adults but more common in children. Patients present with a myositis, occasionally localised, that can be detected by electromyography. The rarity of these reports should encourage clinicians to investigate extensively for alternatives before accepting BD as the diagnosis.

5.3.3 Headache
The study has revealed a very high prevalence of headache in an unselected population of patients with Behçet’s syndrome. The majority of headaches is of neurovascular type and the prevalence of visual or sensory aura is higher than that seen in the general population of migraine sufferers (Kidd et al., 2006). Headache is the most common neurological symptom in patients with BD, and occurs in about 70% of patients. The frequency of headache in BD was similar to the prevalence of headache Headache syndromes (e.g., migraine and tension-type headache) affect about 50% of patients with BD, and account for 70% of all causes of headaches in BD. The characteristics of these primary symptoms in BD did not differ when compared with a headache clinic population (Aykutlu et al., 2006).

The features of this headache varied between migraine, migraine like, and tension type (Borhani-Haghighi et al., 2008; Aykutlu et al., 2006; Saip et al., 2005). The most widely accepted criteria for the diagnosis of BD are the International BD Study Group criteria (panel 1).
6. Diagnosis

The diagnosis of neurological involvement in BD is done mainly by clinical means; the ancillary investigations noted below help to suggest alternatives, and especially infective complications of treatment, but there is no diagnostic test for NBD (Adnan et al., 2009). No validated criteria for the diagnosis of NBD exist. Blood count and biochemical screening is used to identify the nature and severity of the systemic disorder and to identify signs of a superimposed infective complication. (Yazici et al., 2007).

6.1 Blood test

Blood count and biochemical screening is used to identify the nature and severity of the systemic disorder and to identify signs of a superimposed infective complication (Yazici et al., 2007). Erythrocyte sedimentation rate has been found to be associated with disease activity.

HLA type B51 has been reported to be present in 60–70% of Turkish and Japanese patients, although in only 10–20% of European patients (Borhani et al., 2009). Patients with HLAB51 have a six-time increased risk of BD, and the disease is usually more severe in such patients (Yazici et al., 2007).

In the case of cerebral venous thrombosis (CVT), a thrombophilia screen should be undertaken. Early reports suggested a higher prevalence of antiphospholipid antibodies and factor V Leiden mutations, but these findings have not been confirmed (Adnan et al., 2009).

6.2 Cerebrospinal fluid (CSF)

CSF constituents are altered in around 70–80% of patients with parenchymal complications. CSF protein is modestly raised in most cases, sometimes to over 1 g/dL, and oligoclonal bands are usually absent (Akman et al., 1999). The CSF cell count is often prominently raised. (Borhani et al., 2008; Houman et al., 2007).

In Hamzaoui et al. study, elevated CSF levels of IL-15 were seen in patients with NBD in comparison with the patients with non-inflammatory neurological disease (Hamzaoui et al., 2006).

CSF levels of some major pro-inflammatory (IL-6, 8, TNF- and interferon) and anti-inflammatory (IL-10) cytokines in patients with different subtypes of NBD, In Niino et al. study, the concentration of macrophage migration inhibitory factor in CSF was significantly elevated in patients with NBD compared with control group and correlated well with CSF cell count (Niino et al., 2000).

Significance of elevation of interleukin 6 in patients with parenchymal NBD was found (A. Borhani et al., 2009).

The level of interleukin-6 dropped when the disease activity subsided. These changes were in parallel with IgM isotype of anticardiolipin antibodies (Wong et al., 1992). In Hirohata et al. study 11 patients with progressive NBD showed significant elevation of CSF interleukin-6 activities in comparison with patients with active BD without progressive neurological manifestations.

Serum interleukin-6 activities of these groups did not revealed significant difference (Hirohata et al., 1997).

CSF interleukin-6 levels were used as a parameter for investigation of response of six patients with NBD to low dose methotrexate.
After 12 months trial CSF interleukin-6 levels were significantly decreased. However six months after discontinuation of methotrexate, all patients showed significant exacerbation evidenced by a decrease in verbal intelligence quotients along with the marked elevation of CSF interleukin 6 (Hirohata et al., 1998).

6.3 MRI

However, there were still limitations in differential diagnosis with tumor, abscess, multiple sclerosis, and other vasculitis (Joseph et al., 2007; Miller et al., 1987; Litvan et al., 1987; Mnif et al., 2006; Neudorfer et al., 1993; Imoto et al., 2002; Tuzgen et al., 2002; Bennet et al., 2004; A. Tourba et al., 2011).

In neuroBehçet’s disease, the most common site was brainstem and the next most common sites were basal ganglia and white matter.

Cerebellar lesion was reported in a few cases (Kocer et al., 1999; Jae-Hyeok et al., 2008). Patients with a more diffuse meningoencephalitis show hyper intense T2 lesions within the subcortical white matter of the temporal, frontal, and hypothalamic regions, but the scan might also be normal.

Patients with spinal cord involvement show a single lesion, which might look like a demyelinating plaque, but might extend over two or three segments.

Venous sinus thrombosis is readily seen on magnetic resonance venography and brain CT venography. Patients who present with idiopathic intracranial hypertension have normal imaging studies (Akman et al., 2003; Coban et al., 1999; Siva et al., 2009).

7. Treatment

The severe nature of the neurological involvement in BD obligates the innovation of markers of disease activity or prognosis that can be determined early in the disease course (Guls et al., 2008). There have no controlled or comparative trials of treatment of any aspect of neurological involvement in BD. Based on scattered evidence, corticosteroids and immunosuppressive have proved effective in improving clinical symptoms of NBD. Remission can be defined as complete or nearly complete absence of symptoms.

Corticosteroids should be given as infusions of intravenous methylprednisolone: 1g IV daily for 3 to 5 days followed by prednisone 0.5 to 1 mg/kg/d for acute attacks. Therefore prevention treatment for early relapses consists of prednisone which must be very gradually tapered over 2 to 3 months (Siva et al., 2000), followed by a slowly tapering course of oral steroids (Adnan et al., 2009).

However, there is controversy about the choice of immunosuppressant therapy as an adjuvant to corticosteroids; immunosuppressive agents or tumor necrosis antagonists should be used at the same time or later depends on the nature of the disease, its severity, the response to steroids, and whether the patient has had previous attacks. In the retroversives series, immunosuppressive therapy not be used until the second attack occurs, or if the disease is aggressive. Similarly, no treatment trial has been undertaken CVT.

For dural sinus thrombosis associated with Behçet disease, concurrent use of corticosteroids and anticoagulants is suggested (Bank et al., 1984). Either intravenous unfractionated heparin or subcutaneous low-molecular-weight heparin can be used (Siva et al., 2001).
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Some neurologists do not use anticoagulants at all, choosing instead to give steroids and immunosuppressants alone. Other neurologists prefer to use anticoagulants, but recent data show that immunosuppressants are underused (Adnan et al., 2009).

In the literature, several immunosuppressive agents were previously reported, such as Azathioprine, Cyclophosphamide, Chlorambucil, thalidomide and Methotrexate. In most series, the most used immunsuppressant were Azathioprine and methotrexate. Cyclophosphamide (CPM) is only reported in only sporadic cases, and used in second intention (Homan et al., 2008; Hatemi et al., 2008; Kotter et al., 2005). More recently, some immunomodulators such as interferon, infliximab and thalidomide have been used successfully in treating some cases of Neurobehçet, but their effectiveness has been proven with any controlled study (Siva et al., 2009).

In the study by O'Duffy et al., Chlorambucil with or without corticosteroids was more effective than corticosteroids alone for meningoencephalitis or recurrent meningitis (O'Duffy et al., 1984). In the Hirohata et al study, low-dose methotrexate reduced the CSF interleukin-6 level and seemed to ameliorate the course of neuropsychiatric manifestations (Hirohata, 1998).

For patients with parenchymal Neurobehçet’s disease without any poor prognostic factor, Azathioprine or methotrexate and corticosteroids are recommended as the first line treatment. For high risk patients, intravenous Cyclophosphamide and corticosteroids are recommended (Borhani et al., 2009).

8. Prognosis

Neurobehçet’s disease has a high mortality rate, up to 25% within the first year after developing neurological manifestations (Wolf et al., 1965).

Most patients who have an acute parenchymal inflammatory episode recover well after steroid treatment. Retrospective series from 10–15 years ago reported a mean of 20–30% of patients with residual neurological impairments, and a high 10-year mortality of 10%. However, non-parenchymal CNS involvement is prominently associated with a better prognosis than parenchymal CNS involvement (Wechsler et al., 1992; Akman-Demir et al., 1996; Siva et al., 1997). It should be noted that certain factors influence the course and prognosis of Neurobehçet cases. I previous reported the most important of these is the correlation between the acute-stage CSF findings and the clinical cours (Akman-Demir et al., 1996) normal CSF at the acute stage is associated with a better prognosis, stable course and less disability, while high cellular and/or protein content is significantly associated with a worse prognosis (Gułsen Akman-Demir et al., 1999)

9. Conclusion

Neurological involvements are actually classified in two categories; a parenchymal and none parenchymal diseases. Their manifestations come many years after the outset of BD. The diagnosis is based according to the international criteria of a group study of Behçet disease.

Moreover, clinical manifestations are critical and should be diagnosed earlier. The most serious involvements are parenchymal and vascular diseases because they engage vital and functional prognosis.
Therefore intensive therapy based on corticosteroids anticoagulation therapy and immunosuppressive only guarantees of improving their prognosis.
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