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1. Introduction

Colorectal carcinoma ranks third in frequency among all cancers. With regards to cancer related mortality, colorectal carcinoma is known as the second cause (Levin et al., 2003). This condition accounts for 10% of all cancer related mortalities in women and men. Overall, lifetime risk for the development of colorectal carcinoma is still 5%. (Eddy, 1990) The well-known risk factors are age, inheritance, inflammatory bowel disease, and environmental and dietary factors. Despite these risk factors, any individual factors could not be demonstrated in 75-80% of cases. Nevertheless, it is reported that most of the colorectal cancers originate from polyps.

Colonic polyps are described as overgrowths of colonic mucosa regardless of histological findings (Van Dan, 1995). Vast majority of colorectal carcinomas originate from adenomatous polyps. This theory called adenoma-carcinoma sequence has been widely accepted (Hawk & Levin, 2005). Colonic polyps are divided as: non-neoplastic, which has no risk or low risk of developing cancer (hamartomatous, inflammatory, hyperplastic); and neoplastic which has low risk of developing cancer (tubular adenoma, villous adenoma, tubulovillous adenoma). Histopathological analysis has an important place in the discrimination of polyps (Erdem et al., 2005; Netzer et al., 1998). The size of the polyps has an important role for the occurrence of invasive cancer (Altparmak et al., 2001). Small polyps with size less than 1 cm have a low rate of developing invasive cancer. However, this rate increases, as the polyps get larger (Su et al., 2005; Yamaji et al., 2004). Colonic polyps are overgrowths with a slow progress that carry a small risk of malignant transformation. However, colonic polyps constitute an important predisposition to colon cancer, and therefore these neoplasms should be removed when detected.

Understanding the fact that development of colorectal carcinoma starts with mucosal lesions, the visualization of colon, early diagnosis of the lesions and the initiation of treatment have gained much importance. The determination of precancerous adenomatous polyps and cancer at an early stage with screening reduces mortality and morbidity associated with colon cancer (Chao et al., 2004; Van Dan, 1995). Thus, American Cancer Association emphasized the importance of screening in colon cancer (Jemal et al., 2002). It is possible to detect and treat polyps at a very early stage with various screening methods. This feature different from some other types of cancer enables prevention or early
management of colorectal cancer (Eddy, 1990; Towler et al., 1998). Colonoscopy is the gold standard in the diagnosis of colorectal polyps (Roberts-Thomson et al., 2008). The most important advantage of this method is that it may also be used for treatment while being used in diagnosis. However, conventional colonoscopy has a serious complication risk of perforation, even if this risk is less than 1%. If any intervention was performed, the complication rate may increase up to 5%. (Consolo et al., 2008; Waye et al., 1992)

2. Virtual colonoscopy

In the last few years, the early diagnosis and cure rates of this condition is rather increased with the aid of screening methods. Digital rectal examination, occult blood test in stool, flexible sigmoidoscopy, double contrast barium enema and colonoscopy are among the routine screening methods of colorectal cancer. The American Cancer Society has included colorectal cancer screening in their guidelines. Screening the whole colon for colorectal cancer called as “total colon examination” is substantially emphasized in these guidelines. In association with this procedure, conventional colonoscopy, and double contrast barium enema are widely performed (Byers et al., 1997; Levin et al., 2003). Inadequate colon cleaning and air insufflations, and missing small polyps between the mucosal folds are among the limitations of double contrast barium enema. Flexible endoscopy has important advantages, such as high sensitivity in the diagnosis of colorectal polyps and opportunity of taking a biopsy (Chao et al., 2004; Colucci et al., 2003; Van Dam, 1995). It has taken the place of double contrast barium enema because of its superior efficiency. Despite its efficacy in the evaluation and treatment of colonic pathology, colonoscopy also has disadvantages such as being invasive, risk of perforation and hemorrhage, low patient tolerance, sedation requirement, perforation risk, and conditions in which the evaluation can not be properly finished (Anderson et al., 1992; Consolo et al., 2008; Detsky, 2001; Kim et al., 2007; White et al., 2009).

Patient intolerance is among the most important problems with the existing screening methods. With the technological developments in computed tomography (CT) systems, this problem has led the emergence of computed tomographic colonography (CTC) also termed as “virtual colonoscopy” technique (Hock et al., 2011). The American Cancer Society has described virtual colonoscopy as a promising screening technique in 1997 (Byers et al., 1997). Indeed, the idea of virtual colonoscopy has been initiated with the discovery of computed tomography (CT) by Godfrey Hounsfeld in 1973 (Hounsfield, 1973). Following that, virtual colonoscopy was used in patients who cannot tolerate the conventional colonoscopy procedure. (Bakir et al., 2004; Ferrucci, 2001; Labianca & Merelli, 2010; White et al., 2009). The positive results regarding patient tolerance with this minimally invasive technique confirmed this idea.

In the first step, two-dimensional (2D) high-resolution images in the axial plane are obtained with this screening technique. Then, three-dimensional (3D) images are constructed similar to conventional colonoscopy by digital software systems. After adequate colonic distention is ensured, CT examination is performed, preferentially with multi-detector systems. Data acquisition is performed first in the supine, and afterwards in the prone position. Imaging in two different positions, enables mobilization of the feces and fluid to the dependent wall and increases the accuracy of the CTC procedure. The multi-detector systems with higher resolution, significantly decreased scan time (within a single breath-hold) and thinner collimation have improved the sensitivity and specificity of CTC. Thin collimation is a must.
for an adequate CTC examination, in order to obtain high-quality three-dimensional images and multi-planar reformats. Another important point is that the scan should be completed in a single breath-hold period; otherwise motion artefacts might decrease the resolution (Pickhardt, 2007; Mang et al., 2007; Tolan et al., 2007; Wu et al., 2011)

3. Preparation and technique

Bowel cleansing and preparation is an important step of this procedure. There are a few recommendations for bowel cleansing. It is well known that adequate patient education and bowel preparation increase the sensitivity of this technique significantly. In the presence of an adequately cleansed bowel, the residual stool particles may result in false positive interpretation. Unlike colonoscopy, the residual fluid cannot be aspirated during the CTC examination. Therefore, the presence of fluid may cause in false negative results. Since the first introduction of CTC into the imaging practice, bowel preparation techniques have been changed and modified. Fecal and fluid tagging methods have been developed in addition to bowel cleansing, which have been shown to increase the accuracy of this examination (Taylor et al., 2003). Approximately 24-48 hours before the examination, patients are informed to start a clear liquid diet. Bowel cleansing is generally performed using various agents such as sodium phosphate, polyethylene glycol, fleet enemas (phosphasoda) or magnesium citrate. In general, while phosphasoda is preferred in younger patients, polyethylene glycol is used in older age in order to avoid side effects (Bielen et al., 2003).

Adequate distention of the colon should be achieved for a high-quality CTC and accurate interpretation. In order to perform colonic distention, a rectal tube is inserted just before the examination. Prior to the rectal tube insertion, digital rectal examination should be performed and then the tube is inserted in the left decubitus position. Foley catheters and rectal tubes can be used for this purpose. It has been reported that catheters as thin as 20 F are adequate for optimal distention. The large caliber balloon rectal catheter is generally used in barium studies. However, it was not recommended due to the increased risk of colonic perforation. Both room air and CO\textsubscript{2} are suitable to use during colonic insufflation. Using room air with a plastic handheld insufflator is practical and cost-effective and most centers use this method. On the other hand, some authors prefer the use of CO\textsubscript{2}, with the suggestion that it is rapidly absorbed from colonic mucosa with less post-procedure patient discomfort. The insufflation of CO\textsubscript{2} or compressed air can be performed by manual means or automatically with an insufflator. The use of an insufflator enabling the control of both the intra-rectal pressure and the volume of gas delivered, is generally preferred because of its safety. The amount of gas delivered depends on the colonic length of the patient and the competency of ileocecal valve. After the insufflation is performed, a scout image of the abdomen is obtained, to evaluate the need for more insufflation. (Fig 1). During the insufflation process, antispasmodic agents may be administered to reduce the discomfort and spasms and to provide better distention. Yet, there is no consensus about the use of anti-spasmodic agents, and some authors disagree the use of these agents routinely, since they believe that administration of these agents increases the patients discomfort and may cause side effects. In most of the centers, antispasmodylitics are not used routinely, but indicated when marked patient discomfort occurs (Bielen et al., 2003; Mang et al., 2007; Pickhardt et al 2003; Taylor et al., 2003; Tolan et al., 2007). After this procedure, the colonic pathway is determined (Fig 2)
Fig. 1. After the insufflation is performed, a scout image of the abdomen is obtained, to evaluate the need for more insufflation
Fig. 2. Virtual colonoscopic pathway view of colon. (H: Head, F:Foot, R:Right, L:Left, A: Anterior, P:Posterior)
4. Advantages and disadvantages of virtual colonoscopy

Virtual colonoscopy has many advantages such as the evaluation of extracolonic structures and moving inside the colon with a “fly-through” view as if a conventional colonoscopy examination. (Kim et al., 2007; Macari et al., 2011; Pedersen et al., 2003; Pescatore et al., 2000; Pickhardt et al., 2011; Pilch-Kowalczyk et al., 2004; Roberts-Thomson et al., 2008; Sutherland et al., 2011; White et al., 2009). Virtual examinations carry the advantages including being relatively noninvasive, safe, and acceptable by clinicians (Ekci & Yildirim, 2009; Johnson & Dackman, 2000; Leksowski et al., 2011; McHugh et al., 2011; Vining, 1996). All these advantages may facilitate the detection of polyps with certain size. (Table 1) Probably, the most important disadvantage of the virtual colonoscopy is that it is only possible to detect the presence of polyp, and that biopsy cannot be performed or polyps cannot be
removed with this procedure (Byers et al., 1997; Dachman & Yoshida, 2003; Ignjatovic et al., 2010; Fenlon, 2002; Levin et al., 2003; Pilch-Kowalczyk et al., 2004). (Table 2). Polyps appear as intraluminal nodular filling defects on 3D endoluminal images. Their shape is mostly round or oval, but may also have lobulated features. Most of the polyps are sessile; some of them are pedunculated characterized with a stalk. It is noteworthy to mention that some of the pedunculated polyps may change position among prone and supine images, in this case the presence of the stalk helps to prevent diagnostic confusion with fecal residue (Chang et al., 2011; Ignjatovic et al., 2010; Liedenbaum et al., 2010; Taylor et al., 2003). The differentiation of polyps and fecal residue is one of the most important diagnostic challenges in evaluation of CTC. At this point, combined evaluation of both 2D and 3D images is mandatory. On 3D views, both entities are seen as luminal filling defects, on the other hand fecal residue mostly contain air density foci that can readily be seen on 2D images. Another important clue is the change in position of fecal material among supine and prone images (Ferrucci 2001; Wu et al., 2011; Taylor et al., 2003).

Diverticula are relatively common findings on CTC, which appear as air-filled sacs on 2D, and as “complete dark ring” on 3D endoluminal images. This “complete dark ring” appearance is important, since polyps different from diverticula are well defined at their free-profile margin only. On the other hand, when diverticula are filled with fecal material, differentiation might be difficult, since they will be seen as polypoid structures bulging to the lumen from the diverticular orifice (Taylor et al., 2003; Ferrucci 2001).

Pseudo lesions observed with virtual colonoscopy are residual fecal material, ileocecal valve, bulbous and irregular interhastral folds, inadequate colon distention and extrinsic compression defects (Fig 5). Another disadvantage of virtual colonoscopy is the false negative or false positive rates. The most common reasons are inadequate colon preparation, inadequate distention and bulbous hastral fold (Hara et al., 2001; Halligan et al., 2006; Ferrucci, 2001; Roberts-Thomson et al., 2008). Screening in prone or supine position or administration of IV contrast agent might be helpful to avoid confusing polyps with residual fecal material. (Fletcher et al., 1998; Stuart & Andrea, 2007). Attempts have been made to further increase the accuracy of CTC, with the so-called “tagging” methods. They
include basically fecal and fluid tagging. In the tagging methods, laxative dose is reduced and the preparation is accompanied with oral contrast material in order to “tag” the possible residual stool and fluid. From the patient point of view, the tagging methods, enabling less laxative dosage, increase patient compliance by reducing the uncomfortable symptoms related to bowel cleansing. It is well known that fluid and fecal tagging improve the diagnostic performance by reducing the number of false-positive and false-negative results. During the tagging process, the ingested bowel contents are marked with contrast and in turn, they are easily differentiated from real lesions. The polyps, as expected, do not absorb the ingested contrast material and remain in their original soft tissue density which make them easily distinguishable from bowel contents that are admixed and coated with contrast (Bielen et al., 2003; Pickhardt, 2007). Most of the studies suggest increased diagnostic accuracy of CTC with the use of tagging methods (Dachmann et al., 2007; Liedenbaum et al., 2011; McFarland & Brink., 1999).

- Minimally invasive
- High patient comfort
- No sedation is needed
- The examination is less time consuming and causes less pain
- Allows evaluation of extracolonic organs
- Provides evaluation of metastases at the same time in cancer cases
- Detection of polyps hiding behind the haustral fold is easier
- The images may be reevaluated for many times after the procedure
- Provides “fly-through” view as if a normal colonoscopy screening
- Provides evaluation of the proximal aspect of strictures in obstructed lesions where it is not possible to get access with conventional colonoscopy
- The images might be evaluated by different specialists independent from time and place
- It may be performed in patients having additional systemic disorders where conventional colonoscopy is contraindicated
- It may be performed in acute angle sigmoid cases where the conventional colonoscopy failed to proceed

Table 1. Potential advantages of virtual colonoscopy

- Exposure to radiation
- No possibility of biopsy
- The further assessment of the detected lesions should be performed with conventional colonoscopy
- Compared to conventional colonoscopy, it provides less detail of colonic mucosa and lack of color makes it harder to evaluate the color changes of mucosa
- Detection sensitivity is low in polyps less than 5mm and flat adenomas
- It is an expensive procedure
- The evaluation and reporting is relatively time consuming
- It carries the risk of giving false negative or false positive results
- If the colon is not adequately insufflated, the colonic evaluation is hard or almost impossible
- The excess gas insufflations cause pain. Therefore, it should be given under physician control and as required
- Colonic cleansing is crucial (If this procedure is not properly carried out, residual fecal material might mimic or hide lesions)
- The procedure requires an experienced radiologist for both performing and interpretation

Table 2. Disadvantages of virtual colonoscopy
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For the “tagging” procedure, only barium, only iodine, or their combination can be used, the latter resulting in both fecal and fluid tagging. The optimal “tagging formula” is still under debate as to which agents to be used in which dosages (Chang et al., 2011; Pickhardt et al., 2003; Pickhardt, 2007).

Another important factor affecting the rate of polyp detection in CTC is the slice thickness. Studies comparing the efficiency of 3 mm and 5 mm slices have reported that the image clarity of 5 mm slices was less than that of 3 mm slices and that 5 mm slices were less sensitive to polyps sized less than 5 mm. (Hara et al., 1997; Rogalla et al., 2002). It was concluded that the use of slices less than 3 mm was beneficial in CTC. (Stuart & Andrea, 2007). With the technological improvements, this technique yielded images with better resolution, thereby obtaining significantly higher polyp detection rates (Aschoff et al., 2004; Dachman et al., 2007; Vining, 1996) (Fig 6).
Fig. 6. Colonic polyps' view on 3D CTC images

The modality of choice in the detection of colonic polyps is still fiberoptic colonoscopy. Fiberoptic colonoscopy is the only modality that allows detection and at the same time, excision of the polyps. For adenomatous lesions measuring more than 1 cm, this technique has high sensitivity (> 95 %) and specificity (100%). A false negative rate of 6 % has been reported (Dachman & Yoshida, 2003; Dachman et al., 2007). The sensitivity of virtual colonoscopy in the detection of polyps measuring more than 1 cm is, 90-94% (Oto et al., 2003). Studies comparing conventional and virtual colonoscopy have shown that these two modalities have similar sensitivity values for polyps measuring more than 7 mm (Menardo, 2004), while virtual colonoscopy has limited efficiency for the detection of polyps less than 5 mm (Aschoff et al., 2004). In another study comparing these two modalities in terms of detection of colonic adenomas measuring more than 6 mm, the sensitivity of virtual
colonoscopy was reported as 88.7%, and the sensitivity of optic colonoscopy was 92.3%. And in the same study the sensitivity values for lesions measuring more than 10 mm were reported as 93.8% and 87.5%, respectively (Pickhardt et al., 2003). Gottlieb (Gottlieb, 2004), evaluated patients who had undergone virtual colonoscopy, followed by optic colonoscopy and the author reported a 10% false negative rate of optic colonoscopy in the detection of polyps dependent on the operator, whereas lower false negative rates were reported for virtual colonoscopy. The sensitivity rates of optic and virtual colonoscopy are getting closer to each other, parallel to the technological advances. The varying sensitivity values of virtual colonoscopy for polyps measuring 1-5 mm most probably depend on: the adequacy of bowel cleansing, CT acquisition technique, the administration of intravenous contrast medium, the relation of the lesion with mucosal folds, and experience of the radiologist. Among these issues, experience of the radiologist is very important in terms of high diagnostic performance. There are highly different and variable accuracy values reported among different readers, which in turn needs to be standardized with reader training. Various reports indicate that increased reader education and experience provides better accuracy in terms of CTC evaluation (Burling et al., 2007; Haycock et al., 2010; Philip et al., 2011).

5. Conclusion

In conclusion, the size and location of the polyps can be successfully detected by virtual colonoscopy, but it is noteworthy to indicate that conventional colonoscopy is inevitable for pathologic diagnosis. Due to the above-mentioned reasons, we believe that virtual colonoscopy is suitable for screening purposes, and cannot replace the necessity for conventional colonoscopy for definitive diagnosis. However, with technological advances the application of virtual colonoscopy may contribute significantly to the diagnosis of colonic diseases.
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As a result of progress, endoscopy has become more complex, using more sophisticated devices and has claimed a special form. In this moment, the gastroenterologist performing endoscopy has to be an expert in macroscopic view of the lesions in the gut, with good skills for using standard endoscopes, with good experience in ultrasound (for performing endoscopic ultrasound), with pathology experience for confocal examination. It is compulsory to get experience and to have patience and attention for the follow-up of thousands of images transmitted during capsule endoscopy or to have knowledge in physics necessary for autofluorescence imaging endoscopy. Therefore, the idea of an endoscopist has changed. Examinations mentioned need a special formation, a superior level of instruction, accessible to those who have already gained enough experience in basic diagnostic endoscopy. This is the reason for what these new issues of endoscopy are presented in this book of New techniques in Gastrointestinal Endoscopy.
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