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1. Introduction

Face recognition is one of the most popular research fields of computer vision and machine learning (Tores (2004); Zhao et al. (2003)). Along with investigation of face recognition algorithms and systems, many face image databases have been collected (Gross (2005)). Face databases are important for the advancement of the research field. Because of the nonrigidity and complex 3D structure of face, many factors influence the performance of face detection and recognition algorithms such as pose, expression, age, brightness, contrast, noise, blur and etc. Some early face databases gathered under strictly controlled environment (Belhumeur et al. (1997); Samaria & Harter (1994); Turk & Pentland (1991)) only allow slight expression variation. To investigate the relationships between algorithms’ performance and the above factors, more face databases with larger scale and various characters were built in the past years (Bailly-Bailliere et al. (2003); Flynn et al. (2003); Gao et al. (2008); Georghiades et al. (2001); Hallinan (1995); Phillips et al. (2000); Sim et al. (2003)). For instance, The “CAS-PEAL”, “FERET”, “CMU PIE”, and “Yale B” databases include various poses (Gao et al. (2008); Georghiades et al. (2001); Phillips et al. (2000); Sim et al. (2003)); The “Harvard RL”, “CMU PIE” and “Yale B” databases involve more than 40 different conditions in illumination (Georghiades et al. (2001); Hallinan (1995); Sim et al. (2003)); And the 'BANCA', and "ND HID" databases contain over 10 times gathering (Bailly-Bailliere et al. (2003); Flynn et al. (2003)). These databases help researchers to evaluate and improve their algorithms about face detection, recognition, and other purposes.

Blur is not the most important but still a notable factor affecting the performance of a biometric system (Fronthaler et al. (2006); Zamani et al. (2007)). The main reasons leading blur consist in out-of-focus of camera and motion of object, and the out-of-focus blur is more significant in the application environment of face recognition (Eskicioglu & Fisher (1995); Kim et al. (1998); Tanaka et al. (2007); Yitzhaky & Kopeika (1996)). To investigate the influence of blur on a face recognition system, a face image database with different conditions of clarity and efficient blur evaluating algorithms are needed. This chapter introduces a new face database built for the purpose of blur evaluation. The application environments of face recognition are analyzed firstly, then a image gathering scheme is designed. Two typical gathering facilities are used and the focus status are divided into 11 steps. Further, the blur assessment algorithms are summarized and the comparison between them is raised on the various-clarity database. The
result of the comparison may give some advice on the selection of blur assessment algorithms and onwards help controlling the quality of the face recognition system.

The rest of this chapter is organized as follow: section 2 introduces the gathering scheme of the database and part of the reason. Section 3 describes the content of the database. Section 4 summarizes the blur assessment algorithms and raises test and comparison of them. The results are also shown in this section. Finally, the conclusion and future works are given in section 5.

2. The acquiring scheme of database

Most of the blur of digital image is caused by out-of-focus of camera or motion of objects. In the environments of face recognition system, the motion of face is not so fast, and a faster shutter may eliminate the motion blur in this case. Hence, the blur of face image is mostly caused by the out-of-focus of camera. The purpose of the new database is to help evaluating the blur assessment algorithms used in face recognition systems and the effect of the blur image on face recognition algorithms.

Around the topic of the database, an acquiring scheme is designed. The characters of the acquiring equipments are shown in table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equipment</th>
<th>PC camera</th>
<th>Professional camera</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of pixels</td>
<td>1,300,000</td>
<td>10,200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focus mode</td>
<td>manually</td>
<td>manually</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focus states</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Characters of acquiring equipments

28 volunteers were invited to contribute. The focus states are divided into 11 step include 10 out-of-focus states and 1 correct focused state. The expression is not restricted. Wearing glasses is allowed but will be gathered twice with glasses and without glasses. So the two equipments is expected to gather 682 frontal facial images in total.

3. The contents of database

The contents of the database are described in table 2. The database contains two parts of face images gathered from two typical facilities – a PC camera (Part I) and a digital single-lens reflex (DSLR) camera (Part II). Images in part I usually come with the face recognition applications in PC, mobile phone, internet authentication, and etc. While images in part II appear more in face detection, face retrieval and some other applications.

Figure 1 and 2 show some example images in the blur database. Figure 1 show images in part I and figure 2 show which in part II. 11 images of each faces are grouped into one troop. The correct focused image in part I is no.6, and that in part II is no.4. The shown samples are selected from the 11 images of each group (No.1,3,5,6,9,11 from part I, No.1,2,3,5,7,9 from part II).
Table 2. Overview of the blur face image databases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Part I</th>
<th>Part II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Individuals</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faces</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With glasses</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race</td>
<td>Oriental</td>
<td>Oriental</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pose</td>
<td>Frontal</td>
<td>Frontal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correct focus</td>
<td>1 image</td>
<td>1 image</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Out of focus</td>
<td>10 images</td>
<td>10 images</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correct focused</td>
<td>No.6</td>
<td>No.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Image size</td>
<td>320 × 240</td>
<td>2896 × 1936</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1. Images acquired by PC camera (Fig.1(d) is the correct focused image)

Fig. 2. Images acquired by DSLR camera (Fig.6(c) is the correct focused image)
4. The evaluation on blur assessment algorithms

Based on the database aiming on the image character of out-of-focus blur, a comprehensive evaluation of blur assessment algorithms is raised. The more credible benchmarking database, the result may be more universal – at least in the application fields related with face image.

4.1 The blur assessment algorithms

There are a great deal of blur assessment algorithms in literatures\(\text{Kim & Paik (1998); Li (2004); Maoyong et al. (2001); Subbarao et al. (1993)}\). Typical algorithms are summarized as follow (Suppose \(f(x, y)\) is the grey scale value of pixel \((x, y)\) of the image, \(N_x, N_y\) are the size of the image, and \(s\) is the clarity score):

1. Variation of grey scale based algorithms:
   (alg-1) Variance of grey scale:
   \[
   \bar{g} = \frac{1}{N_x \times N_y} \sum_{x=1}^{N_x} \sum_{y=1}^{N_y} f(x, y) \\
   s = \frac{1}{N_x \times N_y} \sum_{x=1}^{N_x} \sum_{y=1}^{N_y} (f(x, y) - \bar{g})^2
   \]

   (alg-2) Grey scale threshold. Define the average grey scale of a image as \(u\). \(f_H(x, y)\) means the grey scale value of all the \(C_H\) pixels satisfying \(f(x, y) > (1 + W)u\), and \(f_L(x, y)\) means those of \(C_L\) pixels satisfying \(f(x, y) < (1 + W)u\). Then the clarity score is computed as:

   \[
   \begin{aligned}
   H &= \sum_{x=1}^{N_x} \sum_{y=1}^{N_y} f_H(x, y) / C_H \\
   L &= \sum_{x=1}^{N_x} \sum_{y=1}^{N_y} f_L(x, y) / C_L \\
   s &= (H - L) / (H + L)
   \end{aligned}
   \]  

2. Gradient based algorithms:
   (alg-3) Sum of modulus of grey scale difference:
   \[
   \Delta_x f(x, y) = f(x + 1, y) - f(x, y) \\
   \Delta_y f(x, y) = f(x, y + 1) - f(x, y) \\
   s = \sum_{x=1}^{N_x-1} \sum_{y=1}^{N_y-1} (|\Delta_x f(x, y)| + |\Delta_y f(x, y)|)
   \]  

   (alg-4) Brenner function:
   \[
   s = \sum_{x=1}^{N_x-2} \sum_{y=1}^{N_y} (f(x + 2, y) - f(x, y))^2
   \]
(alg-5) Square plane sum modulus difference:

$$s = \sum_{x=1}^{N_x-1} \sum_{y=1}^{N_y-1} (\Delta_x^2 + \Delta_y^2)$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

(alg-6) Sum of Roberts gradient:

$$s = \sum_{x=1}^{N_x-1} \sum_{y=1}^{N_y-1} \{ |f(x, y) - f(x + 1, y + 1)| + |f(x + 1, y) - f(x + 1, y + 1)| \}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

(alg-7) Sum of Laplace gradient I:

$$\nabla f(x, y) = [f(x + 1, y) + f(x - 1, y) + f(x, y + 1) + f(x, y - 1) - 4f(x, y)]$$

$$s = \sum_{x=2}^{N_x-1} \sum_{y=2}^{N_y-1} |\nabla f(x, y)|$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

(alg-8) Sum of Laplace gradient II:

$$\nabla f(x, y) = [f(x + 1, y) + f(x - 1, y) + f(x, y + 1) + f(x, y - 1) + f(x - 1, y + 1) + f(x + 1, y - 1)] - 8f(x, y)$$

$$s = \sum_{x=2}^{N_x-1} \sum_{y=2}^{N_y-1} |\nabla f(x, y)|$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

(alg-9) Tenengrad function, define $$f_x(x, y) = f(x, y) * K_x$$ and $$f_y(x, y) = f(x, y) * K_y$$, where:

$$K_x = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad K_y = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

Then:

$$s = \sqrt{f_x^2(x, y) + f_y^2(x, y)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

3. (alg-10) Grey scale entropy based algorithm, define $$p(g)$$ as the probability of the grey scale value $$g$$ satisfies $$g \in [0, G]$$. Then:

$$s = - \sum_{g=0}^{G} p(g) \log p(g)$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)
4. (alg-11) Fourier-Transform based algorithm:
\[
F(u, v) = \sum_{x=1}^{N_x} \sum_{y=1}^{N_y} f(x, y)e^{-j2\pi(xu/N_x+yv/N_y)}
\]

5. (alg-12) Wavelet-Transform based algorithm. Suppose \( A \) is the vector of decompose coefficients, the image size is \( I \times I \), then:
\[
s = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} A[i]^2
\]

6. (alg-13) Median filtering based algorithm. Define \( F' \) is the \( 3 \times 3 \) median filtered result of image \( F \). \( D = |F - F'| \), then:
\[
s = \sum_{x} \sum_{y} D(x, y)
\]

Alg-1 to alg-13 are the most frequently used algorithms in literatures which cover the spatial domain and frequency domain methods. The evaluation over them aims to investigate their performance on face images. The references will be the clarity information recorded at gathering of the database. The guideline to evaluate an algorithm is correctness, susceptiveness and unimodality of the curve on the testing image database.

4.2 The evaluation on the database
To evaluate the performance of the blur assessment algorithms effectively, an evaluation scheme is designed as follow:

1. Implement a blur assessment algorithm \( A_i \);
2. Compute the clarity scores of each image in each group, and get 31 \( 3 \times 11 \times 2 \) scores of the \( i \)th algorithm: \( (s_{1,1}^i, s_{2,1}^i, \ldots, s_{11,1}^i), \ldots, (s_{1,1}^i, s_{2,1}^i, \ldots, s_{11,1}^i) \);
3. Compute the average scores of each group in each part, and get 2 score sequences of each part of the database: \( (s_{1,1}^i, s_{2,1}^i, \ldots, s_{11,1}^i) \) and \( (s_{1,1}^{II}, s_{2,1}^{II}, \ldots, s_{11,1}^{II}) \);
4. Go to step 1, repeat the process until all algorithms get their scores;
5. Plot these scores and analysis the result.

Because the image size of part II is 2896 \( \times \) 1936 which is much bigger than that in part I (320 \( \times \) 240). Another data set named part III is acquired via sampling from image in part II. The image size of part III is 362 \( \times \) 242 which is close to part I. Some interesting results will be shown in the next section.

4.3 The results and analysis
The results of the evaluation are shown in figure 3 to figure 5. The algorithm-9 exhibits the best performance in part I and part III of database, while the algorithm-12 shows the stabllest
Fig. 3. The evaluating curves on part I

Fig. 4. The evaluating curves on part II
Fig. 5. The evaluating curves on part III

performance in the three testing sets even though it’s curves are not the steepest curves in part I and III.
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Fig. 6. Comparison of small pixel blocks from different part of database

And there is an interesting phenomenon shown by the curves: most of the blur assessment algorithms give the not so bad image lower scores in database part II. These curves lost their unimodality in this case. In fact, this result reveals an important guide line of blur assessing on images of different sizes: To small size images such as images taken by PC camera, most of the current blur assessment algorithms work well; but only a few algorithms keep their performance on big size images. The reason may be explained partly by figure 6. The three images are the amplified pixel blocks in a image. Their real size is $21 \times 21$ pixels. They are cut separately from a smooth area of blur images of each part. The noise from camera makes notable spots whose size is comparable with the size of many algorithms’ mask. So only the algorithms which use the global features won’t be influence too much by these spots. In that way the curves of algorithm 1, 2, 11 and 12 could keep their unimodality. So the
evaluation suggests that the choosing of blur assessment algorithm should depend on the images’ features, especially the size and noise spots’ influence. The figure 3 to 5 could serve as a reference to help choosing the suitable algorithm.

5. Conclusion and future works

Out-of-focus blur is an important factor related with the performance of face detection, recognition and retrieval algorithms. This chapter describes a new database specially aiming at the factor of out-of-focus blur. And a comprehensive evaluation of blur assessment algorithms is raised which takes this database as a benchmark. 13 typical algorithms are evaluated on three datasets with different features. Some useful results are revealed from the result which may be a guideline for choosing suitable algorithms in a certain application. The future works may include further evaluation of face detection and recognition algorithms, and the applications of the guidelines in a real system.
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