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1. Introduction

The interaction of a point-source spherical acoustic wave with a bounded obstacle possesses various attractive and useful properties in direct and inverse scattering theory. More precisely, concerning the direct scattering problem, the far-field interaction of a point source with an obstacle is, under certain conditions, stronger compared to that of a plane wave. On the other hand, in inverse scattering problems the distance of the point-source from the obstacle constitutes a crucial parameter, which is encoded in the far-field pattern and is utilized appropriately for the localization and reconstruction of the obstacle’s physical and geometrical characteristics.

The research of point-source scattering initiated in (1), dealing with analytical investigations of the scattering problem by a circular disc. The main results for point-source scattering by simple homogeneous canonical shapes are collected in the classic books (2) and (3). The techniques of the low-frequency theory (4) in the point-source acoustic scattering by soft, hard, impedance surface, and penetrable obstacles were introduced in (5), (6), and (7), where also explicit results for the corresponding particular spherical homogeneous scatterers were obtained. Moreover, in (5), (6), and (7) simple far-field inverse scattering algorithms were developed for the determination of the sphere’s center as well as of its radius. On the other hand, point-source near-field inverse scattering problems for a small soft or hard sphere were studied in (8). For other implementations of near-field inverse problems see (9), and p. 133 of (10); also we point out the point-source inverse scattering methods analyzed in (11).

In all the above investigations the incident wave is generated by a point-source located in the exterior of the scatterer. However, a variety of applications suggests the investigation of excitation problems, where a layered obstacle is excited by an acoustic spherical wave generated by a point source located in its interior. Representative applications concern scattering problems for the localization of an object, buried in a layered medium (e.g. inside the earth), (12). This is due to the fact that the Green’s function of the layered medium (corresponding to an interior point-source) is utilized as kernel of efficient integral equation formulations, where the integration domain is usually the support of an inhomogeneity existing inside the layered medium. Besides, the interior point-source excitation of a layered sphere has significant medical applications, such as implantations inside the human head for hyperthermia or biotelemetry purposes (13), as well as excitation of the human brain by the neurons currents (see for example (14) and (15), as well as the references therein). Several
physical applications of layered media point-source excitation in seismic wave propagation, underwater acoustics, and biology are reported in (16) and (17). Further chemical, biological and physical applications motivating the investigations of interior and exterior scattering problems by layered spheres are discussed in (18). Additionally, we note that, concerning the experimental realization and configuration testing for the related applications, a point-source field is more easily realizable inside the limited space of a laboratory compared to a plane wave field.

To the direction of modeling the above mentioned applications, direct and inverse acoustic scattering and radiation problems for point source excitation of a piecewise homogeneous sphere were treated in (19).

This chapter is organized as follows: Section 2 contains the mathematical formulation of the excitation problem of a layered scatterer by an interior point-source; the boundary interfaces of the adjacent layers are considered to be $C^2$ surfaces. The following Sections focus on the case where the boundary surfaces are spherical and deal with the direct and inverse acoustic point-source scattering by a piecewise homogeneous (layered) sphere. The point-source may be located either in the exterior or in the interior of the sphere. The layered sphere consists of $N$ concentric spherical layers with constant material parameters; $N-1$ layers are penetrable and the $N$-th layer (core) is soft, hard, resistive or penetrable. More precisely, Section 3.1 addresses the direct scattering problem for which an analytic method is developed for the determination of the exact acoustic Green’s function. In particular, the Green’s function is determined analytically by solving the corresponding boundary value problem, by applying a combination of Sommerfeld’s (20), (21) and T-matrix (22) methods. Also, we give numerical results on comparative far-field investigations of spherical and plane wave scattering, which provide quantitative criteria on how far the point-source should be placed from the sphere in order to obtain the same results with plane wave incidence. Next, in Section 3.2 the low-frequency assumption is introduced and the related far-field patterns and scattering cross-sections are derived. In particular, we compute the low-frequency approximations of the far-field quantities with an accuracy of order $O\left( (k_0a_1)^4 \right)$ ($k_0$ the free space wavenumber and $a_1$ the exterior sphere’s radius). The spherical wave low-frequency far-field results reduce to the corresponding ones due to plane wave incidence on a layered sphere and also recover as special cases several classic results of the literature (contained e.g. in (2), and (5)-(7)), concerning the exterior spherical wave excitation of homogeneous small spheres, subject to various boundary conditions. Also, we present numerical simulations concerning the convergence of the low-frequency cross-sections to the exact ones. Moreover, in Section 3.3 certain low-frequency near-field results are briefly reported. Importantly, in Section 4 various far- and near-field inverse scattering algorithms for a small layered sphere are presented. The main idea in the development of these algorithms is that the distance of the point source from the scatterer is an additional parameter, encoded in the cross-section, which plays a primary role for the localization and reconstruction of the sphere’s characteristics. First, in Section 4.1 the following three types of far-field inverse problems are examined: (i) establish an algorithmic criterion for the determination of the point-source’s location for given geometrical and physical parameters of the sphere by exploiting the different cross-section characteristics of interior and exterior excitation, (ii) determine the mass densities of the sphere’s layers for given geometrical characteristics by combining the cross-section measurements for both interior and exterior point-source excitation, (iii) recover the sphere’s location and the layers radii by measuring the total or differential cross-section for various exterior point-source locations as well as for plane wave incidence. Furthermore,
in Section 4.2 ideas on the potential use of point-source fields in the development of near-field inverse scattering algorithms for small layered spheres are pointed out.

2. Interior acoustic excitation of a layered scatterer: mathematical formulation

The layered scatterer $V$ is a bounded and closed subset of $\mathbb{R}^3$ with $C^2$ boundary $S_1$ possessing the following properties (see Fig. 1): (i) the interior of $V$ is divided by $N-1$ surfaces $S_j$ ($j=2,...,N$) into $N$ annuli-like regions (layers) $V_j$ ($j=1,...,N$), (ii) $S_j$ are $C^2$ surfaces with $S_j$ containing $S_{j+1}$ and $\text{dist}(S_j,S_{j+1}) > 0$, (iii) the layers $V_j$ ($j=1,...,N-1$), are homogeneous isotropic media specified by real wavenumbers $k_j$ and mass densities $\rho_j$, (iv) the scatterer’s core $V_N$ (containing the origin of coordinates) is penetrable specified by real wavenumber $k_N$ and mass density $\rho_N$ or impenetrable being soft, hard or resistive. The exterior $V_0$ of the scatterer $V$ is a homogeneous isotropic medium with real constants $\rho_0$ and $k_0$.

Applying Sommerfeld’s method (see for example (20), (21), (22)), the primary spherical field $u_{k_j}^P$, radiated by this point-source, is expressed by

$$u_{k_j}^P(r) = r_j \exp(-ik_j r_j) \frac{\exp(ik_j |r - r_j|)}{|r - r_j|}, \quad r \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{r_j\},$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $r_j = |r_j|$. We have followed the normalization introduced in (5), namely considered that the primary field reduces to a plane wave with direction of propagation that of the unit vector $\hat{r}_j$, when the point source recedes to infinity, i.e.

$$\lim_{r_j \to \infty} u_{k_j}^P(r) = \exp(-ik_j \hat{r}_j \cdot r).$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

The scatterer $V$ perturbs the primary field $u_{k_j}^P$, generating secondary fields in every layer $V_j$. The respective secondary fields in $V_j$ ($j \neq q$) and $V_q$ are denoted by $u_{k_j}^s$ and $u_{k_q}^{sec}$. By Sommerfeld’s method, the total field $u_{k_j}^q$ in $V_q$ is defined as the superposition of the primary and the secondary field

$$u_{k_j}^q(r) = u_{k_j}^P(r) + u_{k_q}^{sec}(r), \quad r \in V_q \setminus \{r_j\}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

Moreover, the total field in $V_j$ ($j \neq q$) coincides with the secondary field $u_{k_q}^j$. The total field $u_{k_q}^q$ in layer $V_q$ satisfies the Helmholtz equation

$$\nabla^2 u_{k_q}^q(r) + k_q^2 u_{k_q}^q(r) = 0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

for $r \in V_j$ if $j \neq q$ and $r \in V_q \setminus \{r_j\}$ if $j = q$.

On the surfaces $S_q$ and $S_{q+1}$ the following transmission boundary conditions are required

$$u_{k_q}^{q-1}(r) - u_{k_q}^{sec}(r) = u_{k_q}^P(r), \quad r \in S_q$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

$$\frac{1}{\rho_{q-1}} \frac{\partial u_{k_q}^{q-1}(r)}{\partial n} - \frac{1}{\rho_q} \frac{\partial u_{k_q}^{sec}(r)}{\partial n} = \frac{1}{\rho_q} \frac{\partial u_{k_q}^P(r)}{\partial n}, \quad r \in S_q$$
Fig. 1. Typical cross-section of the layered scatterer $V$.

\[ u_{q+1}^{j+1}(r) - u_{q}^{j}(r) = u_{r}^{p}(r), \quad r \in S_{q+1} \]  

(6)

Furthermore, on the surfaces $S_{j}$ ($j \neq q, q + 1, N$) the total fields must satisfy the transmission conditions

\[ u_{r}^{j+1}(r) - u_{r}^{j}(r) = 0, \quad r \in S_{j} \]  

(7)

For a penetrable core (7) hold also for $j=N$. On the other hand, for a soft, hard and resistive core the total field on $S_{N}$ must satisfy respectively the Dirichlet

\[ u_{r}^{N-1}(r) = 0, \quad r \in S_{N} \]  

(8)
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\[ \frac{\partial u^{N-1}_{r_\ell}(r)}{\partial n} = 0, \quad r \in S_N \]  \hspace{1cm} (9)

and the Robin boundary condition

\[ \frac{\partial u^{N-1}_{r_\ell}(r)}{\partial n} + ik_{N-1}\lambda u^{N-1}_{r_\ell}(r) = 0, \quad r \in S_N \quad (\lambda \in \mathbb{R}). \]  \hspace{1cm} (10)

The first of Eqs. (5), (6), (7) and Eq. (8) represent the continuity of the fluid’s pressure, while the second of Eqs. (5), (6), (7) and Eq. (9) represent the continuity of the normal components of the wave’s speed. Detailed discussion on the physical parameters of acoustic wave scattering problems is contained in (4).

Since scattering problems always involve an unbounded domain, a radiation condition for the total field in \( V_0 \) must be imposed. Thus, \( u^p_{r_\ell} \) must satisfy the Sommerfeld radiation condition (11), which clearly is not satisfied by an incident plane acoustic wave.

Besides, the secondary \( u^s_{r_\ell} \) and the total field \( u^0_{r_\ell} \) in \( V_0 \) have the asymptotic expressions

\[ u^s_{r_\ell}(r) = g_{r_\ell}(\hat{r})h_0(k_0 r) + O(r^{-2}), \quad r \to \infty \]  \hspace{1cm} (12)

\[ u^p_{r_\ell}(r) = g_{r_\ell}(\hat{r})h_0(k_0 r) + O(r^{-2}), \quad r \to \infty \quad (q > 0) \]  \hspace{1cm} (13)

where \( h_0(x) = \exp(ix)/ix \) is the zero-th order spherical Hankel function of the first kind. The function \( g_{r_\ell} \) is the q-excitation far-field pattern and describes the response of the scatterer in the direction of observation \( \hat{r} \) of the far-field, due to the excitation by the particular primary field \( u^p_{r_\ell} \) in layer \( V_0 \).

Moreover, we define the q-excitation differential (or bistatic radar) cross-section

\[ \sigma_{r_\ell}(\hat{r}) = \frac{4\pi}{k_0^2} |g_{r_\ell}(\hat{r})|^2, \]  \hspace{1cm} (14)

which specifies the amount of the field’s power radiated in the direction \( \hat{r} \) of the far-field. Also, we define the q-excitation total cross-section

\[ \sigma_{r_\ell} = \frac{1}{k_0^2} \int_{S^2} |g_{r_\ell}(\hat{r})|^2 d\hat{s}(\hat{r}), \]  \hspace{1cm} (15)

representing the average of the amount of the field’s power radiated in the far-field over all directions, due to the excitation of the layered scatterer \( V \) by a point-source located in layer \( V_0 \). Thus, \( \sigma_{r_\ell} \) is the average of \( \sigma_{r_\ell}(\hat{r}) \) over all directions. We note that the definition (15) of \( \sigma_{r_\ell} \) extends that of the scattering cross-section (see (5) of (8) or (17) of (5)) due to a point-source at \( r_0 \in \mathbb{R}^3 \backslash V \).

Finally, we define the absorption and the extinction cross-section

\[ \sigma^a_{r_\ell} = \frac{\rho_0}{\rho_{N-1} k_0} \text{Im} \left[ \int_{S_N} u^{N-1}_{r_\ell}(r) \frac{\partial u^{N-1}_{r_\ell}(r)}{\partial n} dr \right], \]  \hspace{1cm} (16)
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\begin{equation}
\sigma_{\text{rad}}^2 = \sigma_{\text{abs}}^2 + \sigma_{\text{scat}}^2.
\end{equation}

The former determines the amount of primary field power, absorbed by the core $V_N$ (since all the other layers have been assumed lossless) and the latter the total power that the scatterer extracts from the primary field either by radiation in $V_0$ or by absorption. Clearly, $\sigma_{\text{rad}}^2 = 0$ for a soft, hard, or penetrable lossless core, and $\sigma_{\text{rad}}^2 \geq 0$ for a resistive core. We note that scattering theorems for the interior acoustic excitation of a layered obstacle, subject to various boundary conditions, have been treated in (23) and (24).

3. Layered sphere: direct scattering problems

The solution of the direct scattering problem for the layered scatterer of Fig. 1 cannot be obtained analytically and thus generally requires the use of numerical methods; for an overview of such methods treating inhomogeneous and partially homogeneous scatterers see (25). However, for spherical surfaces $S_j$, the boundary value problem can be solved analytically and the exact Green’s function can be obtained in the form of special functions series. To this end, we focus hereafter to the case of the scatterer $V$ being a layered sphere. By adjusting the general description of Section 2, the spherical scatterer $V$ has radius $a_1$ and surface $S_1$, while the interior of $V$ is divided by $N-1$ concentric spherical surfaces $S_j$, defined by $r = a_j$ ($j=2,\ldots,N$) into $N$ layers $V_j$ ($j=1,\ldots,N$) (see Fig. 2). The layers $V_j$, defined by $a_{j-1} \leq r \leq a_j$ ($j=1,\ldots,N-1$), are filled with homogeneous materials specified by real wavenumbers $k_j$ and mass densities $\rho_j$.

3.1 Exact acoustic Green’s function

A classic scattering problem deals with the effects that a discontinuity of the medium of propagation has upon a known incident wave and that takes care of the case where the excitation is located outside the scatterer. When the source of illumination is located inside the scatterer and we are looking at the field outside it, then we have a radiation and not a scattering problem. The investigation of spherical wave scattering problems by layered spherical scatterers is usually based on the implementation of T-matrix (22) combined with Sommerfeld’s methods (20), (21). The T-matrix method handles the effect of the sphere’s layers and the Sommerfeld’s method handles the singularity of the point-source and unifies the cases of interior and exterior excitation. The combination of these two methods leads to certain algorithms for the development of exact expressions for the fields in every layer. Here, we impose an appropriate combined Sommerfeld T-matrix method for the computation of the exact acoustic Green’s function of a layered sphere. More precisely, the primary and secondary acoustic fields in every layer are expressed with respect to the basis of the spherical wave functions. The unknown coefficients in the secondary fields expansions are determined analytically by applying a T-matrix method.

We select the spherical coordinate system $(r,\theta,\phi)$ with the origin $O$ at the centre of $V$, so that the point-source is at $r=r_0$, $\theta=0$. The primary spherical field (1) is then expressed as (19)

$$u_{p_{\text{rad}}}^r (r, \theta) = \frac{1}{h_0(k_q r_q)} \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (2n + 1) j_n(k_q r_q) h_n(k_q r) P_\alpha(\cos \theta), r > r_q \right\}$$

$$+ \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (2n + 1) h_n(k_q r_q) j_n(k_q r) P_\alpha(\cos \theta), r < r_q \right\}$$

where $j_n$ and $h_n$ are the $n$-th order spherical Bessel and Hankel function of the first kind and $P_\alpha$ is a Legendre polynomial.
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Vis satisfied. On the other hand, since zero belongs to \( V \) under determination coefficients. The secondary field in \( V_0 \) has the expansion (18) with \( j=0 \) and \( a_{0,n}^j = 0 \), valid for \( r \geq a_1 \), in order that the radiation condition (11) is satisfied. On the other hand, since zero belongs to \( V_N \), the secondary field in a penetrable core \( V_N \) has the expansion (18) with \( j=N \) and \( \beta_{0,n}^N = 0 \), valid for \( 0 \leq r \leq a_N \).

By imposing the boundary conditions (7) on the spherical surfaces \( S_j \) we obtain the transformations

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\alpha_{q,n}^j \\
\beta_{q,n}^j
\end{bmatrix} = T_n^j
\begin{bmatrix}
\alpha_{q,n}^{j-1} \\
\beta_{q,n}^{j-1}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(19)

The 2×2 transition matrix \( T_n^j \) from \( V_{j-1} \) to \( V_j \), which is independent of the point-source’s location, is given by

\[
T_n^j = -ix_j^2
\begin{bmatrix}
h_n'(x_j)j_n(y_j) - w_jh_n(x_j)j_n'(y_j) & h_n'(x_j)h_n(y_j) - w_jh_n(x_j)h_n'(y_j) \\
w_jh_n(x_j)j_n'(y_j) - f_n'(x_j)j_n(y_j) & w_jh_n(x_j)h_n'(y_j) - f_n'(x_j)h_n(y_j)
\end{bmatrix},
\]

where \( x_j = kJa_j \), \( y_j = k_{j-1}a_j \), \( w_j = (k_{j-1}ρ_j)/(k_jρ_{j-1}) \).

Fig. 2. Geometry of the layered spherical scatterer.

The secondary field \( u_{1,n}^j \) in \( V_j \) \((j=1,\ldots,N-1)\) is expanded as

\[
u_{1,n}^j(r,θ) = \sum_{n=0}^{∞} (2n+1) \frac{h_n(kqr)}{k_0(kqr)} (a_{q,n}^j j_n(kjr) + \beta_{q,n}^j h_n(kjr)) P_n(\cos θ),
\]

(18)

where \( a_{q,n}^j \) and \( \beta_{q,n}^j \) under determination coefficients. The secondary field in \( V_0 \) has the expansion (18) with \( j=0 \) and \( a_{0,n}^j = 0 \), valid for \( r \geq a_1 \).
Since \( a_{q,n}^0 = 0 \), successive application of (19) for \( j=1,...,q \) leads to

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\alpha_{q,n}^j \\
\beta_{q,n}^j
\end{bmatrix} = T_{q,n}^j \begin{bmatrix}
\alpha_{q,n}^0 \\
\beta_{q,n}^0
\end{bmatrix}
\] (20)

where

\[
T_{q,n}^j = T_{q,n}^j \ldots T_{n}^j T_{n}^j .
\] (21)

In a similar way successive application of (19) for \( j=q+1,...,N-1 \) gives

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\alpha_{N,n}^{N-1} \\
\beta_{N,n}^{N-1}
\end{bmatrix} = T_{q,n}^{N-1} \begin{bmatrix}
\alpha_{q,n}^{q+1} \\
\beta_{q,n}^{q+1}
\end{bmatrix}
\] (22)

where

\[
T_{q,n}^{N-1} = T_{n+1}^{N-2} \ldots T_{n+1}^{N-2} T_{n}^{N-1} .
\] (23)

The superscripts + in (20) and – in (22) indicate approach of the layer \( V_q \), containing the point-source, from the layers above and below respectively.

Then, the coefficient of the secondary field in layer \( V_0 \) is determined by combining (20) and (22) and imposing the respective boundary condition on the surface of the core \( V_N \), yielding

\[
\beta_{q,n}^0 = \left\{ j_n(k_q r_q) \left[ f_n(k_N-1\lambda N)(T_{q,n}^-)_{12} + g_n(k_N-1\lambda N)(T_{q,n}^-)_{22} \right] - h_n(k_q r_q) \left[ f_n(k_N-1\lambda N)(T_{q,n}^-)_{11} + g_n(k_N-1\lambda N)(T_{q,n}^-)_{21} \right] \}
\]

\[
\{ h_n(k_q r_q) \left[ f_n(k_N-1\lambda N)(T_{q,n}^- T_{q,n}^-)_{12} + g_n(k_N-1\lambda N)(T_{q,n}^- T_{q,n}^-)_{22} \right] \}^{-1}
\] (24)

where

\[
f_n = j_n, \quad f_n' = j_n' \quad \text{and} \quad f_n' = j_n' + i\lambda j_n
\]

\[
g_n = h_n, \quad h_n' = h_n' + i\lambda h_n
\]

for a soft, hard and resistive core respectively, while for a penetrable core we obtain

\[
\beta_{q,n}^0 = \frac{j_n(k_q r_q) \left( T_n^n T_{q,n}^- \right)_{22} - h_n(k_q r_q) \left( T_n^n T_{q,n}^- \right)_{21}}{h_n(k_q r_q) \left( T_n^n T_{q,n}^- T_{q,n}^- \right)_{22}}
\] (25)

Moreover, by using the above explicit expression for \( \beta_{q,n}^0 \), we see that the coefficients \( a_{q,n}^j \) and \( \beta_{q,n}^j \), describing the field in layer \( V_j \) \((j=1,2,...,N)\), are determined by successive application of the transformations (19).

By using the above method we recover (for \( q=0 \) and \( N=1 \)) classic results of the literature, concerning the scattered field for the exterior point-source excitation of a homogeneous sphere (see for example (10.5) and (10.70) of (2) for a soft and a hard sphere).

A basic advantage of the proposed method is that the coefficients \( \beta_{0,N}^{N+1} \) of the secondary field in the exterior \( V_0 \) of an \( N+1 \)-layered spherical scatterer with penetrable core may be obtained directly by means of the coefficients \( \beta_{q,n}^0 \) of the corresponding \( N \)-layered scatterer by means of an efficient recursive algorithm (19).
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Furthermore, for any type of core the $q$-excitation far field pattern is given by

$$
\mathcal{G}_q(\theta) = \frac{1}{h_0(k_0 r_q)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (2n+1)(-i)^n \rho_{q,n}^0 h_n(k_0 r_q) P_n(\cos \theta). \tag{26}
$$

This expression follows by (12), (13) and (18) for $j=0$ and by taking into account the asymptotic expression $h_n(z) \sim (-i)^n h_0(z)$, $z \to \infty$. By (14) and (26) we get the $q$-excitation bistatic radar cross-section

$$
\sigma_q(\theta) = 4\pi r_q^2 \frac{k_0^2}{k_0^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (2n+1)(-i)^n \rho_{q,n}^0 h_n(k_0 r_q) P_n(\cos \theta)^2. \tag{27}
$$

Now, by combining (15) with (26) and using the Legendre functions orthogonality properties ((27), (7.122) and (7.123)), we get the expression of the $q$-excitation total cross-section

$$
\sigma_q = 4\pi r_q^2 \frac{k_0^2}{k_0^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (2n+1)|\rho_{q,n}^0|^2. \tag{28}
$$

Next, we will give some numerical results concerning the far-field interactions between the point-source and the layered sphere. In particular, we will make a comparative far-field investigation of spherical and plane wave scattering, which provides certain numerical criteria on how far the point-source should be placed from the sphere in order to obtain the same results with plane wave incidence. This knowledge is important for the implementation of the far-field inverse scattering algorithms described in Section 4.1 below.

Figs. 3a, 3b, and 3c depict the normalized 0-excitation total cross-section $\sigma_q/2\pi a_1^2$ as a function of $k_0 a_1$ for a soft, hard, and penetrable sphere for three different point-source locations, as well as for plane wave incidence. The cross-sections for spherical wave scattering are computed by means of (28). On the other hand, by using (28) and taking into account that $h_n(k_0 r_0) \sim (-i)^n h_0(k_0 r_0)$, $r_0 \to \infty$, we obtain

$$
\sigma = 4\pi \frac{k_0^2}{k_0^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (2n+1)|\rho_{0,n}^0|^2,
$$

which is utilized for the cross-sections computations due to plane wave scattering. The cross-section curves reduce to those due to plane wave incidence for large enough distances between the point-source and the scatterer. The critical location of the point-source where the results are almost the same with those of plane wave incidence depends on the type of boundary condition on the sphere’s surface. In particular, for point-source locations with distances of more than 8, 5, and 8 radii $a_1$ from the center of a soft, hard, and penetrable sphere, the results are the same with the ones corresponding to plane wave incidence. We note that those cross-section curves of Figs. 3a and 3b referring to plane wave incidence on a soft and hard sphere coincide with those of Figs. 10.5 and 10.12 of (2).

The 0-excitation total cross-section $\sigma_q$ increases as the point-source approaches the sphere ($r_0 \to a_1$) and hence the effect of the spherical wave on the sphere’s far-field characteristics increases compared with that of the plane wave. Moreover, $\sigma_q$ of a penetrable sphere as a function of $k_0 a_1$ is very oscillatory (see Fig. 3c). These oscillations are due to the penetrable material of the sphere and hence do not appear in the cases of soft or hard sphere. Besides, Fig. 3c indicates that $\sigma_q$ of a penetrable sphere is oscillatory also as $k_0 a_1 \to \infty$, while for the other cases converges rapidly.
Fig. 3. Normalized 0-excitation total cross-section $\sigma_{\text{t}} / 2\pi a_1^2$ as a function of $k_0 a_1$ for (a) a soft, (b) a hard, and (c) a penetrable ($\eta_1=3$, $\rho_1=2$) sphere for various point-source locations and plane wave incidence.
3.2 Far-field results for a small layered sphere

All formulae derived up to now are exact. Now, we make the so-called low-frequency assumption \( k_0a_1 \ll 1 \) for the case of 3-layered sphere with any type of core, that is assume that the radius \( a_1 \) of the sphere is much smaller than the wavelength of the primary field. In order to establish the low-frequency results, we use the following dimensionless parameters

\[
\zeta_1 = a_1 / a_2, \quad \zeta_2 = a_2 / a_3, \quad \eta_i = k_i / k_0, \quad \kappa = i k_0 a_1,
\]

where \( \zeta_1 \) and \( \zeta_2 \) represent the thicknesses of layers \( V_1 \) and \( V_2 \) and \( \eta_i \) and \( \eta_i \) the relative with respect to free space mass densities and refractive indices of layers \( V_i \) (i=1,2,3).

The following two cases are analyzed: (i) exterior excitation by a point-source located at \((0,0,r_0)\) with \( r_0 > a_1 \), lying in the exterior \( V_0 \) of the sphere, and (ii) interior excitation by a point-source located at \((0,0,r_1)\) with \( a_2 < r_1 < a_1 \), lying in layer \( V_1 \) of the 3-layered sphere. We define also

\[
\tau_i = a_1 / r_i, \quad d = r_1 / a_2 \quad (i = 0, 1),
\]

where \( \tau_0 \) represents the distance of the exterior point-source from the sphere’s surface and \( \tau_1 \) and \( d \) the distances of the interior point-source from the boundaries of layer \( V_1 \).

Now, we distinguish the following cases according to the types of the core \( V_3 \).

(a) \( V_3 \) is soft

By using the asymptotic expressions of the spherical Bessel and Hankel functions for small arguments ((26), (10.1.4), (10.1.5)), from (24) we obtain

\[
\beta_{0,q}^0 = S_{0,0}^1 + S_{0,0}^2 \kappa^2 + S_{0,0}^3 \kappa^3 + O(\kappa^4), \quad \kappa \to 0 \quad (q = 0, 1) \tag{29}
\]

\[
\beta_{0,n}^0 = \frac{(k_0 a_1)^{2n+1}}{i(2n + 1)c_n^2} S_{0,n}, \quad \beta_{1,n}^0 \sim \frac{\eta_i^2 (k_0 a_1)^{2n+1}}{i c_n^2} S_{1,n}, \quad k_0 a_1 \to 0 \quad (n \geq 1) \tag{30}
\]

where

\[
c_n = 1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdots (2n - 1), \quad c_0 = 1,
\]

and the quantities \( S_{0,i}^j \) (i=1,2,3) and \( S_{0,n} \) depend on the parameters \( \zeta_1, \zeta_2, \eta_1, \eta_2, d \) and are given in the Appendix of (19).

In order to calculate the \( q \)-excitation far-field patterns with an error of order \( \kappa^4 \), the coefficients \( \beta_{0,0}^q, \beta_{0,1}^q \) and \( \beta_{0,2}^q \) are sufficient. For \( \kappa \to 0 \), (26) gives the approximation of the \( q \)-excitation far-field patterns

\[
G_{0}(\theta) = S_{0,0}^1 \kappa + \left[ S_{0,0}^2 + 2 S_{0,1} P_1(\cos \theta) \right] \kappa^2 + \left[ S_{0,0}^3 - S_{0,1} P_1(\cos \theta) - \frac{T_2}{3} S_{0,2} P_2(\cos \theta) \right] \kappa^3 + O(\kappa^4) \tag{31}
\]

\[
G_{1}(\theta) = S_{1,0}^1 \kappa + \left[ S_{1,0}^2 + 3 \tau_1 S_{1,1} P_1(\cos \theta) \right] \kappa^2 + \left[ S_{1,0}^3 - 3 \tau_1 S_{1,1} P_1(\cos \theta) - \frac{5 T_1}{3} S_{1,2} P_2(\cos \theta) \right] \kappa^3 + O(\kappa^4) \tag{32}
\]
By (28) the calculation of the \(q\)-excitation total cross-sections to the same accuracy requires only \(\beta_{0,0}^0\) and \(\beta_{0,1}^0\), giving for \(\kappa \to 0\) the approximations

\[
\sigma_n = 4\pi a^2 \left\{ (S_{0,0})^2 + k_0 a^2 \left[ (S_{0,0})^2 - 2S_{0,0}\kappa^3 + \frac{2\kappa^2}{3} (S_{0,1})^2 \right] \right\} + \mathcal{O}(\kappa^4) \tag{33}
\]

\[
\sigma_n = 4\pi a^2 \left\{ (S_{1,0})^2 + k_0 a^2 \left[ (S_{1,0})^2 - 2S_{1,0}\kappa^3 + 3\kappa^2 (S_{1,1})^2 \right] \right\} + \mathcal{O}(\kappa^4). \tag{34}
\]

(b) \(V_3\) is hard

The respective results are as follows

\[
\beta_{q,0}^0 = \mathcal{H}_{q,0}^1 \kappa + \mathcal{H}_{q,0}^2 \kappa^2 + \mathcal{H}_{q,0}^3 \kappa^3 + \mathcal{O}(\kappa^4), \quad \kappa \to 0 \quad (q = 0, 1) \tag{35}
\]

\[
\beta_{0,n}^0 = \frac{n}{n + 1} \frac{(k_0 a_1)^{2n+1}}{c_n^2} \mathcal{H}_{0,n}, \quad \beta_{1,n}^0 \sim \frac{\eta_1^1 (k_0 a_1)^{2n+1}}{i(n+1)c_n^2} \mathcal{H}_{1,n}, \quad k_0 a_1 \to 0 \quad (n \geq 1), \tag{36}
\]

where the quantities \(\mathcal{H}_{q,0}^j\) \((j=1,2,3)\) and \(\mathcal{H}_{q,n}\) depending on \(\xi_1, \xi_2, \eta_1, \eta_2, d\) are given in the Appendix of (19).

Now, by using (26) and (28) we obtain the low-frequency expansions of the \(q\)-excitation far-field patterns and total cross-sections as \(\kappa \to 0\)

\[
g_n(\theta) = \frac{\tau_0}{2} \mathcal{H}_{0,1} P_1(\cos \theta) \kappa^2 
+ \left[ \frac{\mathcal{H}_{0,1}^1}{2} P_1(\cos \theta) - \frac{2\tau_0^2}{9} \mathcal{H}_{0,2} P_2(\cos \theta) \right] \kappa^3 + \mathcal{O}(\kappa^4), \tag{37}
\]

\[
g_n(\theta) = \mathcal{H}_{1,0}^1 \kappa 
+ \left[ \frac{\mathcal{H}_{1,0}^2}{2} + \frac{3}{2} \mathcal{H}_{1,1} P_1(\cos \theta) \right] \kappa^2 
+ \left[ \frac{\mathcal{H}_{1,0}^3}{2} + \eta_1^1 \mathcal{H}_{1,1} P_1(\cos \theta) + \frac{5}{9} \tau_2^2 \mathcal{H}_{1,2} P_2(\cos \theta) \right] \kappa^3 + \mathcal{O}(\kappa^4), \tag{38}
\]

\[
\sigma_n = \pi a^2 \frac{\tau_0^2}{3} (\mathcal{H}_{0,1})^2 (k_0 a_1)^2 + \mathcal{O}(\kappa^4) \tag{39}
\]

\[
\sigma_n = 4\pi a^2 \left\{ (\mathcal{H}_{1,0}^1)^2 + k_0 a^2 \left[ (\mathcal{H}_{1,0}^2)^2 - 2(\mathcal{H}_{1,0}^1)^2 \mathcal{H}_{1,2} + \frac{3}{4} \mathcal{H}_{1,1} \right] \right\} + \mathcal{O}(\kappa^4). \tag{40}
\]

(c) \(V_3\) is penetrable

From (25) we have

\[
\beta_{1,0}^0 = \mathcal{P}_{1,0}^1 \kappa + \mathcal{P}_{1,0}^2 \kappa^2 + \mathcal{P}_{1,0}^3 \kappa^3 + \mathcal{O}(\kappa^4), \quad \kappa \to 0 \tag{41}
\]

\[
\beta_{0,n}^0 \sim \frac{\text{inc} (2n+1) a_1^{2n+1}}{c_n^2 (2n+1)} \mathcal{P}_{0,n}, \quad \beta_{1,n}^0 \sim \frac{\eta_1^1 (k_0 a_1)^{2n+1}}{c_n^2} \mathcal{P}_{1,n}, \quad k_0 a_1 \to 0 \quad (n \geq 1), \tag{42}
\]

where the quantities \(\mathcal{P}_{1,0}^j\) \((j=1,2,3)\) and \(\mathcal{P}_{1,n}\) depend on the parameters \(\xi_1, \xi_2, \eta_1, \eta_2, d\) and are given in the Appendix of (19).
From (26) we obtain for $\kappa \to 0$ the approximation of the $q$-excitation far-field patterns and total cross-sections
\[
g_{r_0}(\theta) = \kappa^2 (\kappa - \tau_0) P_{0,1} P_1 (\cos \theta) + \frac{2}{3} \kappa^3 \tau_0^2 P_{0,2} P_2 (\cos \theta) + O(\kappa^4),
\]
\[
g_{r_1}(\theta) = P_{1,0}^1 + \left[ P_{1,0}^2 - 3 \tau_1 P_{1,1} P_1 (\cos \theta) \right] \kappa^2
\]
\[+ \left( \frac{1}{3} \tau_1^2 - 2 \tau_1^2 P_{1,2} P_2 (\cos \theta) \right) \kappa^3 + O(\kappa^4),
\]
\[
\sigma_{r_0} = -4 \pi a_1^2 \kappa^2 \frac{\tau_0^2}{3} (P_{0,1})^2 + O(\kappa^4),
\]
\[
\sigma_{r_1} = 4 \pi a_1^2 \left( (P_{1,0})^2 + k_0^2 a_1^4 \left( (P_{1,0})^2 - 2 P_{1,0}^1 P_{1,0}^2 + 3 \tau_1^2 (P_{1,1})^2 \right) \right) + O(\kappa^4).
\]
For a small layered sphere with a resistive core the corresponding far-field results are given in (19).

We note that: (i) for a soft core the leading order terms of the far-field patterns $g_{r_0}$ and total cross-sections $\sigma_{r_0}$ are of order $\kappa^3$ and $\kappa^0$ independently of $q$, (ii) for a hard, resistive, and penetrable core the leading order terms of $g_{r_1}$ and $\sigma_{r_1}$ are of order $\kappa^2$ for $q=0$ and $\kappa^1$ for $q=1$. Thus, the interaction characteristics of spheres with hard, resistive, and penetrable cores change drastically for point-sources embedded inside the sphere. This last fact is exploited appropriately in certain inverse scattering algorithms, described below.

Now, concerning certain reductions of the above derived low-frequency far-field results, we note that the point-source incident field (1) for $r_0 \to \infty$ ($r_0 \to 0$) reduces to the plane wave (2). Thus, the preceding far-field results for $r_0 \to 0$ reduce to the respective ones, corresponding to the plane wave incidence on a 3-layered sphere with soft, hard, and penetrable core $V_3$ respectively. We remark that the leading term approximations of the 0-excitation cross-sections (39) and (45) for a hard and penetrable core are zero for $r_0 = 0$. Hence the interaction of a layered sphere with hard and penetrable core with an incident low-frequency field is weaker when the incident field is a plane wave than when it is a spherical wave.

Moreover, concerning the spherical wave excitation of a 2-layered sphere with various types of cores, the far-field results are derived by the respective above ones for $\xi_1 = 1$, $e_2 = e_1$, $e_3 = e_2$, and $\eta_2 = \eta_1$. On the other hand, the derived low-frequency far-field results recover (for $\xi_1 = \xi_2 = 1$, $e_1 = e_2 = 1$, $\eta_1 = \eta_2 = 1$) several results of the literature, concerning the exterior spherical wave excitation of 1-layered (homogeneous) small spheres, subject to various boundary conditions; for more details see (19). Besides, by letting also $r_0 \to 0$ they recover classic low-frequency far-field results for plane wave incidence; see (10.28) of (2) and (7.33), (7.35), (7.42), (7.53), (7.55) and (7.66) of (4).

Importantly, the convergence of the low-frequency total cross-section to the exact one plays a significant role in the inverse scattering algorithms described in Section 4 below. To this end, here we will investigate numerically the convergence of the low-frequency $q$-excitation total cross-section to the exact one for a 3-layered sphere with various types of cores. Figs. 4 and 5 depict the exact and low-frequency normalized $q$-excitation total cross-section $\sigma_{r_0} / 2 \pi a_1^2$ as a function of $k_0 a_1$ for a 3-layered sphere with soft and hard core. The exact total cross-sections are computed by means of (28), while the low-frequency ones by (33), (34), (39), and (40).

For small $k_0 a_1$ the convergence of the low-frequency to the exact total cross-section is excellent for all types of cores with weak and strong coatings subject to both interior and exterior
Fig. 4. Exact and low-frequency \( q \)-excitation total cross-section \( \sigma_r \) as a function of \( k_0 a_1 \) for a 3-layered sphere \( (a_2=0.5a_1, a_3=0.25a_1) \) with soft core with (a) weak (\( \eta_1=1.4, \eta_2=1.6, \varphi_1=1.2, \varphi_2=1.4 \)) and (b) strong (\( \eta_1=2, \eta_2=3, \varphi_1=3, \varphi_2=2 \)) coating. The two point-source locations are \( r_0 = 1.1a_1 \) and \( r_1 = 1.1a_2 \).
Fig. 5. Exact and low-frequency $q$-excitation total cross-section $\sigma_{r, q}/2\pi a_1^2$ as a function of $k_0 a_1$ for a 3-layered sphere with hard core with (a) and (b) weak, and (c) strong coating.
excitation. However, even for \( k_0a_1 \) lying outside the low-frequency region, good convergence is also achieved.

In the low-frequency region, the 1-excitation total cross-sections are much larger than the 0-excitation ones. This is the reverse situation to that occurring outside the low-frequency region, as discussed above, where the 0-excitation cross-section is larger that the 1-excitation. So, interior and exterior excitations constitute control mechanisms of the far-field intensity, depending on the desired application.

### 3.3 Near-field results for a small layered sphere

We consider a 2-layered sphere with soft core excited by an exterior source and still continue to adopt the low-frequency assumption \( k_0a_1 \ll 1 \), that is we assume that the outer radius of the layered sphere, \( a_1 \), is much smaller than the wavelength of the primary field. More precisely, we suppose that \( k_0a_1, k_0r_0, k_1a_2 \) and \( k_1r_1 \) are all small (the waves are long compared to all geometrical lengths) whereas \( k_1/k_0 \) and \( \rho_1/\rho_0 \) are not assumed to be small. We define and use the following dimensionless parameters

\[
\xi = a_1/a_2, \quad \eta = k_1/k_0, \quad \kappa = k_0a_1, \quad \tau_0 = a_1/r_0, \quad \tau_1 = r_1/a_2 = \xi/\tau_1. \quad (47)
\]

We use the asymptotic expressions of the spherical Bessel and Hankel functions for small arguments ((26), (10.1.4), (10.1.5)) to obtain the approximations of the elements of the transition matrix (19), as \( \kappa \to 0 \), by means of which we derive approximations for the field coefficients \( \alpha_{0,n}^l \) and \( \beta_{0,n}^l \). Then we estimate the secondary field at the source point, using

\[
\psi_{00}^0(r_0, 0) = h_0(k_0r_0) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (2n+1) \beta_{0,n}^0 |H_n(k_0r_0)|^2, \quad (48)
\]

where

\[
H_n(w) = h_0(w)/h_0(w).
\]

Moreover, from (30), we get

\[
\beta_{0,n}^0 \sim \frac{\kappa^{2n+1}}{i(2n+1)c_n} S_{0,n}(\xi, \eta), \quad \kappa \to 0, \quad (49)
\]

where

\[
S_{0,n}(\xi, \eta) = \frac{B_n(\xi) + \xi n A_n(\xi)}{A_n(\xi, \eta)}, \quad \Delta_n(\xi, \eta) = B_n(\xi) - \xi (n+1) A_n(\xi), \quad (50)
\]

\[
A_n(x) = 1 - x^{2n+1}, \quad B_n(x) = n(x^{2n+1} + 1) + 1.
\]

Now, since

\[
H_n(w) \sim c_n w^{-n} \quad \text{as} \quad w \to 0,
\]

the small-\( \kappa \) approximation of (48) combined with (49) gives the following approximation of the secondary field at the external point-source's location

\[
\psi_{00}^{sec}(r_0, 0) = -\tau_0 \exp(ik_0r_0) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} S_{0,n}(\xi, \eta) \tau_0^{2n} + O(\kappa), \quad (51)
\]
as $k_0 a \rightarrow 0$. Note that, contrary to the case of low-frequency far-field results (see for example (19)), in the near-field every term of the infinite series contributes to the leading order $O(1)$ behaviour. Now, by means of (51) we get

$$|\mu_{n}^{sec}(r_0,0)| = \left| r_0 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} S_n(\xi, \eta)^2 \tau_n^2 | + O(\kappa^2), \right.$$ (52)
as $k_0 a \rightarrow 0$.

4. Layered sphere: inverse scattering problems

The low-frequency far- and near-field results, presented in Sections 3.2 and 3.3, are now utilized for the development of far- and near-field inverse scattering algorithms for the localization and reconstruction of the sphere’s characteristics.

4.1 Far-field inverse scattering problems

The low-frequency realm offers a better environment for inverse scattering, compared to the exact yet complicated far-field series solutions (26) and (28), since the corresponding low-frequency far-field patterns are much more tractable. In particular, we develop inverse scattering algorithms for the determination of the geometrical and physical characteristics of the 3-layered sphere and the point-source, based on low-frequency measurements of the 0- and 1- excitation total cross-section. Additionally, we emphasize that the embedding of the point-source inside the scatterer offers additional essential information for the problem’s characteristics, which cannot be given by a point-source outside the sphere.

4.1.1 Determination of the point-source’s location

We determine the location of the point-source for given geometrical and physical characteristics of the sphere. This type of problem is expected to find applications in the determination of the layer that the neuron currents radiate in investigations of the human brain’s activity (15). An inverse scattering algorithm is established for the hard core case. We use the 0- and 1- excitation total cross-sections (39) and (40) of a 3-layered sphere with hard core. The decision on whether the point-source lies in the interior or the exterior of the sphere is based on the following algorithmic criterion:

Measure the leading term (of order $(k_0 a_1)^0$) in the low-frequency expansion of the total cross-section. If this measurement is zero, then from (39), the point-source is outside the sphere. On the other hand, if this measurement is not zero then from (40) the point-source is inside the sphere.

After determining the layer that the point-source is lying, we can also obtain its position $r_q$ ($q=0,1$). For exterior excitation, we compute the position $r_0$ from the measurement of the leading order term of the 0-excitation total cross-section (39)

$$m_0 = \pi k_0^2 \frac{a_0^6}{57} (H_{0,1})^2,$$

while for interior excitation, the measurement of the second order term of the 1-excitation total cross-section (40)
\[ m_1 = 4\pi k_0^2 a_1^4 \left[ (H_{1,0}^2)^2 - 2H_{1,0}^1 H_{1,0}^3 + \frac{3a_1^2}{4a_1^2} (H_{1,1})^2 \right] \]
gives the position \( r_1 \) of the point-source.

### 4.1.2 Determination of the layers material parameters

We determine the mass densities of the sphere’s layers for given center’s coordinates and layers radii of the sphere. Inverse scattering algorithms are established for a sphere with penetrable core.

Consider two point-source locations \((0,0,b_0)\) and \((0,0,b_1)\) with known distances \(b_0 > a_1\) and \(a_2 < b_1 < a_1\) from the layered sphere’s center (that is the first point-source lies in the exterior and the second one in the interior of the scatterer). First, measure the leading order low-frequency term \(m_0\) of the 0-excitation total cross-section (45) for a point-source at \((0,0,b_0)\)

\[ m_0 = \frac{4\pi k_0^2 a_1^4}{3b_0^2} (P_{0,1})^2, \quad (53) \]

and then the leading \(m_1\) and the second order term \(m_2\) of the 1-excitation total cross-section (46) for a point-source at \((0,0,b_1)\)

\[ m_1 = \frac{4\pi b_1^2}{k_1^2}, \quad (54) \]

\[ m_2 = 4\pi k_0^2 a_1^4 \left[ (P_{1,0})^2 - 2P_{1,0}^1 P_{1,0}^3 + \frac{3a_1^2}{4b_1^2} (P_{1,1})^2 \right]. \quad (55) \]

Eq. (54) provides the mass density \(\varrho_1\) of layer \(V_1\). Eqs. (53) and (55) constitute a \(2 \times 2\) non-linear system, the solution of which provides the densities \(\varrho_2\) and \(\varrho_3\) of layers \(V_2\) and \(V_3\).

### 4.1.3 Determination of the sphere’s center and the layers radii

We determine the center’s coordinates and the layers radii of the 3-layered sphere for given mass densities and refractive indices of the sphere’s layers. We establish the inverse scattering algorithms for a sphere with soft core.

Choose a Cartesian coordinate system \(Oxyz\) and five point-source locations \((0,0,0)\), \((\ell,0,0)\), \((0,\ell,0)\), \((0,0,\ell)\), and \((0,0,2\ell)\) with unknown distances \(b_j\), \(b_2\), \(b_3\), \(b_4\) and \(b_5\) from the layered sphere’s center. The parameter \(\ell\) represents a chosen fixed length. First, measure the leading order low-frequency term \(m_0\) of the 0-excitation total cross-section (33) for a point-source located at the origin

\[ m_0 = 4\pi a_1^2 (S_{0,0}^1)^2 \quad (56) \]

Then, measure the second order low-frequency term \(m_j\) of the 0-excitation total cross-section (33) for each point-source location

\[ m_j = 4\pi k_0^2 a_1^4 \left[ (S_{0,0}^j)^2 - 2S_{0,0}^1 S_{0,0}^3 + \frac{a_1^2}{3b_j^2} (S_{0,1})^2 \right] \quad (j = 1, \ldots, 5) \]
Measurability techniques permitting the isolation of the individual measurements \( m_0 \) and \( m_j \) from the total cross-section measurements as well as measurement sensitivity aspects are discussed in (5).

Next, measure the second order cross-section term \( m_6 \) for a point-source far away from the sphere \((\tau \to 0)\) (namely for plane wave incidence)

\[
m_6 = 4\pi k_0^2 a_1^4 \left[ (S_{0,0}^2)^2 - 2S_{0,0}^1 S_{0,0}^3 \right]
\]  

We define the dimensionless quantities

\[
\gamma_j = \frac{\ell}{\sqrt{m_j - m_0}} = \sqrt{\frac{3}{4\pi}} \frac{\ell}{k_0 a_1^2 S_{0,1}} b_j  
\]

(58)

Now, we have seven equations with the eight unknowns \( a_1, a_2, a_3, \) and \( b_j \). The 8-th equation is derived by the law of cosines

\[
b_j^2 = 2\ell^2 + 2b_4^2 - b_1^2,  
\]

(59)

and thus from (58) and (59) we get

\[
\left( \frac{b_j}{\ell} \right)^2 = \frac{2\gamma_j^2}{\gamma_2^2 - 2\gamma_4^2 + \gamma_1^2}  
\]

which determine the distances \( b_j \). The intersection point of the four spheres centered at \((0,0,0), (0,\ell,0), (0,0,\ell)\) with determined radii \( b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4 \) coincides with the center of the layered spherical scatterer.

Finally, (56), (57), and (58) for \( j=1 \) constitute the \( 3 \times 3 \) non-linear system

\[
a_1 S_{0,0}^1 = \sqrt{m_0/4\pi}  
\]

\[
a_1^4 \left[ (S_{0,0}^2)^2 - 2S_{0,0}^1 S_{0,0}^3 \right] = m_6 / (4\pi k_0^2)  
\]

\[
a_1^2 S_{0,1} = (\sqrt{3} b_1 \ell) / (\sqrt{4\pi k_0^2 \gamma_1}),  
\]

which gives as solutions the layers radii \( a_1, a_2, \) and \( a_3 \).

**4.2 Near-field inverse scattering problems**

The derived low-frequency near-field expansions in Section 3.3 are now utilized to establish inverse scattering algorithms for the determination of the geometrical characteristics of the piecewise homogeneous sphere. More precisely, the near-field inverse problem setting is as follows: we know the sphere’s radius, \( a_1 \), as well as the location of its center and we are interested in estimating the core’s radius, \( a_2 \). Note that for problems, where we need to determine also the sphere’s radius and its center, appropriate modifications of the far-field algorithms (to the present context of near-field measurements) presented in Section 6.1 of (19) may be applied.
Consider a 2-layered sphere with soft core. We suppose that the radius $a_2$ and the density $\rho$ are known and we will estimate the core’s radius $a_1$ by using a single measurement of the near-field due to an exterior point-source. The corresponding near-field at the exterior point-source’s location is given by (52). The series appearing in (52), namely

$$\mathcal{J}(\xi, r_0, \rho) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_n(\xi)}{B_n(\xi) - \rho (n+1)A_n(\xi)} \left( \frac{r}{r_0} \right)^{2n},$$

may be expressed with the aid of Gauss hypergeometric functions, if we consider that the relative mass density $\rho$ of the coating’s layer $V_2$ is close to 1. For this case, the series (60) has the following properties

$$\mathcal{J}(\xi, r_0, 1) = \frac{1}{\xi} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2n+1} \left( \frac{\xi^2}{\xi^2} \right)^n = \frac{1}{2\tau_0} \ln \left( \frac{\xi + \tau_0}{\xi - \tau_0} \right),$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{J}}{\partial \rho}(\xi, r_0, 0) = \frac{1}{\xi} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{n \xi^{2n+1}}{2n+1} (1 - \rho^{2n+1}) \left( \frac{\xi^2}{\xi^2} \right)^n$$

$$= \frac{1}{\xi^2} \left[ \frac{2}{3} \xi^2 F \left( \frac{1}{2}; \frac{3}{2}; \frac{\tau_0^2}{\xi^2} \right) - \frac{\tau_0^2}{3} F \left( \frac{3}{2}; \frac{5}{2}; \frac{\tau_0^2}{\xi^2} \right) - \frac{1}{2\tau_0} \ln \left( \frac{\xi + \tau_0}{\xi - \tau_0} \right),$$

where $F \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\xi}{\xi^2} \right)^n$ is the Gauss hypergeometric function (26). Now, by considering the best linear approximation around the fixed point $\rho = 1$, from (60)-(62), we get

$$\mathcal{J}(\xi, r_0, \rho) \simeq \mathcal{J}(\xi, r_0, 1) + \frac{\partial \mathcal{J}}{\partial \rho}(\xi, r_0, 1) (\rho - 1)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\tau_0} \ln \left( \frac{\xi + \tau_0}{\xi - \tau_0} \right) (2 - \rho) - \frac{1}{\xi^2} \left[ \frac{2}{3} F \left( \frac{1}{2}; \frac{3}{2}; \frac{\tau_0^2}{\xi^2} \right) - \frac{\tau_0^2}{3} F \left( \frac{3}{2}; \frac{5}{2}; \frac{\tau_0^2}{\xi^2} \right) \right] (1 - \rho),$$

which in combination with (52) and (60) gives

$$|u_{\text{in}}^{\text{exc}}(r_0, 0)| = \frac{2 - e}{2} \ln \left( \frac{\xi + \tau_0}{\xi - \tau_0} \right)$$

$$\left[ \frac{\tau_0}{\xi^2} F \left( \frac{1}{2}; \frac{3}{2}; \frac{\tau_0^2}{\xi^2} \right) - \frac{\tau_0^2}{3} F \left( \frac{3}{2}; \frac{5}{2}; \frac{\tau_0^2}{\xi^2} \right) \right] (1 - \rho) + O(\kappa^2).$$

The radius $a_2$ of the core of the 2-layered sphere may now be determined by means of (63) as follows. We measure the leading order term in the above low-frequency expansion of $|u_{\text{in}}^{\text{exc}}(r_0, 0)|$, and we obtain a non-linear equation with respect $\xi = a_1/a_2$. Since, the radius $a_1$ is known, the solution of this equation provides the core’s radius $a_2$. Similar near-field algorithms may be developed for a 2-layered sphere with hard, and penetrable core.
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6. References


Acoustics is a discipline that deals with many types of field wave phenomena. Originally the field of Acoustics was consecrated to the sound, that is, the study of small pressure waves in air detected by the human ear. The scope of this field of physics has been extended to higher and lower frequencies and to higher intensity levels. Moreover, structural vibrations are also included in acoustics as a wave phenomena produced by elastic waves. This book is focused on acoustic waves in fluid media and elastic perturbations in heterogeneous media. Many different systems are analyzed in this book like layered media, solitons, piezoelectric substrates, crystalline systems, granular materials, interface waves, phononic crystals, acoustic levitation and soft media. Numerical methods are also presented as a fourth-order Runge-Kutta method and an inverse scattering method.
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